

SA's Leading Past Year

Exam Paper Portal

S T U D Y

You have Downloaded, yet Another Great
Resource to assist you with your Studies ☺

Thank You for Supporting SA Exam Papers

Your Leading Past Year Exam Paper Resource Portal

Visit us @ www.saexamapers.co.za



SA EXAM
PAPERS



basic education

Department:
Basic Education
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS/ NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS

HISTORY P1

2019

MARKING GUIDELINES

MARKS: 150

These marking guidelines consist of 24 pages.

1. SOURCE-BASED QUESTIONS

1.1 The following cognitive levels were used to develop source-based questions:

Cognitive Levels	Historical skills	Weighting of questions
Level 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extract evidence from sources Selection and organisation of relevant information from sources Define historical concepts/terms 	30% (15)
Level 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interpretation of evidence from sources Explain information gathered from sources Analyse evidence from sources 	40% (20)
Level 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interpret and evaluate evidence from sources Engage with sources to determine its usefulness, reliability, bias and limitations Compare and contrast interpretations and perspectives presented in sources and draw independent conclusions 	30% (15)

1.2 The information below indicates how source-based questions are assessed:

- In the marking of source-based questions, credit needs to be given to any other valid and relevant viewpoints, arguments, evidence or examples.
- In the allocation of marks, emphasis should be placed on how the requirements of the question have been addressed.
- In the marking guideline, the requirements of the question (skills that need to be addressed) as well as the level of the question are indicated in italics.
- When assessing open-ended source-based questions, learners should be credited for any other relevant answers.
- Learners are expected to take a stance when answering 'to what extent' questions in order for any marks to be awarded.

1.3 Assessment procedures for source-based questions

- Use a tick (✓) for each correct answer
- Pay attention to the mark scheme e.g. (2 x 2) which translates to two reasons and is given two marks each (✓✓ ✓✓); (1 x 2) which translates to one reason and is given two marks (✓✓)
- If a question carries 4 marks then indicate by placing 4 ticks (✓✓✓✓)

Paragraph question

Paragraphs are to be assessed globally (holistically). Both the content and structure of the paragraph must be taken into account when awarding a mark. The following steps must be used when assessing a response to a paragraph question:

- Read the paragraph and place a bullet (•) at each point within the text where the candidate has used relevant evidence to address the question.
- Re-read the paragraph to evaluate the extent to which the candidate has been able to use relevant evidence to write a paragraph.

- At the end of the paragraph indicate the ticks (✓) that the candidate has been awarded for the paragraph; as well as the level (1,2, or 3) as indicated in the holistic rubric and a brief comment, e.g.

_____ • _____ • _____
_____ • _____ • _____

Level 2 ✓✓✓✓

COMMENT

Used mostly relevant evidence to write a basic paragraph

- Count all the ticks for the source-based question and then write the mark on the right hand bottom margin e.g. 
- Ensure that the total mark is transferred accurately to the front/back cover of the answer script.

2. ESSAY QUESTIONS

2.1 The essay questions require candidates to:

- Be able to structure their argument in a logical and coherent manner. They need to select, organise and connect the relevant information so that they are able to present a reasonable sequence of facts or an effective argument to answer the question posed. It is essential that an essay has an introduction, a coherent and balanced body of evidence and a conclusion.

2.2 Marking of essay questions

- Markers must be aware that the content of the answer will be guided by the textbooks in use at the particular centre.
- Candidates may have any other relevant introduction and/or conclusion than those included in a specific essay marking guideline for a specific essay.

2.3 Global assessment of the essay

The essay will be assessed holistically (globally). This approach requires the teacher to assess the essay as a whole, rather than assessing the main points of the essay separately. This approach encourages the learner to write an original argument by using relevant evidence to support the line of argument. The learner will **not** be required to simply regurgitate content (facts) in order to achieve a level 7 (high mark). This approach discourages learners from preparing essays and reproducing them without taking the specific requirements of the question into account. Holistic marking of the essay credits learners' opinions that are supported by evidence. Holistic assessment, unlike content-based marking, does not penalise language inadequacies as the emphasis is on the following:

- The learner's **interpretation** of the question
- The appropriate selection of factual evidence (relevant content selection)
- The construction of an argument (planned, structured and has an independent line of argument)

2.4 Assessment procedures of the essay

- 2.4.1 Keep the synopsis in mind when assessing the essay.
- 2.4.2 During the reading of the essay, ticks need to be awarded for a relevant introduction (which is indicated by a bullet in the marking guideline), the main aspects/body of the essay that sustains/defends the line of argument (which is indicated by bullets in the marking guideline) and a relevant conclusion (which is indicated by a bullet in the marking guideline). For example in an essay where there are five (5) main points there could be about seven (7) ticks.
- 2.4.3 Keep the PEEL structure in mind when assessing an essay.

P	Point: The candidate introduces the essay by taking a line of argument/making a major point. Each paragraph should include a point that sustains the major point (line of argument) that was made in the introduction.
E	Explanation: The candidate should explain in more detail what the main point is about and how it relates to the question posed (line of argument).
E	Example: The candidates should answer the question by selecting content that is relevant to the line of argument. Relevant examples should be given to sustain the line of argument.
L	Link: Candidates should ensure that the line of argument is sustained throughout the essay and is written coherently.

- 2.4.4 The following symbols **MUST** be used when assessing an essay:

- Introduction, main aspects and conclusion not properly contextualised **A**
- Wrong statement _____
- Irrelevant statement |
- |
- |
- Repetition R
- Analysis A\|
- Interpretation I\|
- Line of Argument LOA ↑↓

2.5 The matrix

2.5.1 Use of the matrix in the marking of essays

In the marking of essays, the criteria as provided in the matrix should be used.

When assessing the essay note both the content and presentation. At the point of intersection of the content and presentation based on the seven competency levels, a mark should be awarded.

- (a) The first reading of essays will be to determine to what extent the main aspects have been covered and to allocate the **content level** (on the matrix).

C	LEVEL 4	

- (b) The second reading of essays will relate to the level (on the matrix) of **presentation**.

C	LEVEL 4	
P	LEVEL 3	

- (c) Allocate an overall mark with the use of the matrix.

C	LEVEL 4	} 26–27
P	LEVEL 3	

COMMENT

Question recognisable in answer.

Shows some evidence of a planned and constructed argument.

GLOBAL ASSESSMENT OF ESSAYS: TOTAL MARKS: 50

PRESENTATION 	LEVEL 7	LEVEL 6	LEVEL 5	LEVEL 4	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 1*
	CONTENT 						
LEVEL 7 Question has been fully answered. Content selection fully relevant to line of argument.	47–50	43–46					
LEVEL 6 Question has been answered. Content selection relevant to a line of argument.	43–46	40–42	38–39				
LEVEL 5 Question answered to a great extent. Content adequately covered and relevant.	38–39	36–37	34–35	30–33	28–29		
LEVEL 4 Question recognisable in answer. Some omissions or irrelevant content selection.			30–33	28–29	26–27		
LEVEL 3 Content selection does relate to the question, but does not answer it, or does not always relate to the question. Omissions in coverage.				26–27	24–25	20–23	
LEVEL 2 Question inadequately addressed. Sparse content.					20–23	18–19	14–17
LEVEL 1* Question inadequately addressed or not at all. Inadequate or irrelevant content.						14–17	0–13

*Guidelines for allocating a mark for Level 1:

- Question not addressed at all/ totally irrelevant content; no attempt to structure the essay = 0
- Answer includes basic and generally irrelevant information; no attempt to structure the essay = 1–6
- Question inadequately addressed and vague; little attempt to structure the essay = 7–13

SECTION A: SOURCE-BASED QUESTIONS**QUESTION 1: HOW DID THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE SOVIET UNION USE BRINKMANSHIP TO RESOLVE THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS?**

1.1

1.1.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 1A – L1]*

- (a) 'General Taylor' or 'Dean Acheson' (any 1 x 1) (1)
- (b) 'Adlai Stevenson' (1 x 1) (1)

1.1.2 *[Interpretation of information from Source 1A – L2]*

- The conservatives/hawks (Taylor and Acheson) preferred to go to war to resolve the dispute regarding the deployment of Soviet missiles to Cuba
- The liberals/doves (Stevenson) wanted to resolve the dispute regarding the deployment of Soviet missiles through dialogue
- Any other relevant response (2 x 2) (4)

1.1.3 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 1A – L1]*

- 'that it would have brought death to thousands of Cuban civilians and to thousands of US military personnel'
- 'such attacks ran the risk of triggering the launch of nuclear weapons'
- 'surprise attack would erode if not destroy the moral positions of the US throughout the world' (any 2 x 1) (2)

1.1.4 *[Interpretation of information from Source 1A – L2]*

The hawks:

- Saw the blockade as a weaker option
- Wanted a military airstrike on Cuba

The doves:

- Wanted the sovereignty of Cuba to be respected
- Respected the principles of democracy and freedom of association
- Wanted to prevent a nuclear war/a 'hot war'
- Any other relevant response (any 2 x 2) (4)

1.2

1.2.1 *[Interpretation of information from Source 1B – L2]*

(a)

- Highlights that Soviet ships carrying military armaments would not be allowed to enter Cuba/ by imposing a blockade
- Any other relevant response (1 x 2) (2)

(b)

- The Soviet ships that intended entering Cuba would be searched and if they refused would be sunk by the US military ships
- The USA was determined to stop communist expansion in Latin America
- Any other relevant response (1 x 2) (2)

1.2.2 [Interpretation of evidence from Source 1B – L2]

- To inform the American public about the action that President Kennedy's government intended taking against the Soviet Union
- To win the support of the American public in its ideological war against communism
- To demonstrate the fearlessness of the US government against the Soviet Union's aggression
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

1.3**1.3.1 [Definition of a historical concept from Source 1C – L1]**

- The imposition of a blockade on all Soviet ships that were carrying military equipment to Cuba
- To prevent/stop/ any Soviet ships carrying missiles from entering Cuba
- Any other relevant response

(any 1 x 2) (2)

1.3.2 [Extraction of evidence from Source 1C – L1]

- 'Violation of freedom of navigation in international waters and air space'

(1 x 2) (2)

1.3.3 [Extraction of evidence from Source 1C – L1]

- 'To observe strictly the generally accepted standards of navigation in international waters'
- 'Not to retreat one step from them'

(2 x 1) (2)

1.3.4 [Ascertain the usefulness of evidence in Source 1C – L3]**The source is USEFUL because:**

- It is a letter and contains first-hand information of what transpired
- The letter was written on 24 October 1962, which was day 9 of the 13 days of the Cuban Missile Crisis
- It gives insight/information into President Khrushchev's view about the US blockade
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

1.4**1.4.1 [Extraction of evidence from Source 1D – L1]**

- 'Soviet ships would not turn back'
- 'He would have his submarines sink American ships'

(2 x 1) (2)

1.4.2 [Extraction of evidence from Source 1D – L1]

- 'radar'
- 'surveillance planes'

(2 x 1) (2)

1.4.3 [Interpretation of evidence from Source 1D – L2]**The Soviet ships changed course:**

- To avoid open confrontation with the USA
- To avert a military crisis with the USA
- Because Khrushchev might have instructed Soviet officials not to force their way through the quarantine line
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

1.5 [Comparison of evidence in Source 1C and Source 1D –L3]

- Source 1C shows Khrushchev's determination not to take orders from the USA while Source 1D highlights how the Soviet government gave in to the demands of the USA
- In Source 1C Khrushchev indicated that he did not recognise the imposition of the US quarantine of the Soviet ships while Source 1D states that the Soviet Union recognised the quarantine line by turning its ships away
- Source 1C states that the Soviet government did not instruct the captains of the Soviet ships bound for Cuba to listen to American orders while Source 1D refers to Soviet ships changing their course (turning back) to avoid the quarantine line
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

1.6 [Interpretation, evaluation and synthesis of evidence from relevant sources – L3]

Candidates could include the following aspects in their response:

- ExComm recommended the imposition of a naval blockade of Soviet ships that intended entering Cuba (Source 1A)
- The USA preferred to use the blockade as a strategy to avoid direct confrontation with the Soviet Union (own knowledge)
- The American media used threatening language to scare the Soviet Union from entering Cuba (Source 1B)
- The imposition of a naval blockade and the strong language that was used by the US in the media were strategies of brinkmanship to force the Soviet Union to withdraw from Cuba (Sources 1A and 1B)
- President Kennedy addressed the media on the USA's strategies regarding the deployment of missiles to Cuba (own knowledge)
- The Soviet Union leader, Nikita Khrushchev, used strong language to reject and defy the imposition of a US blockade on Soviet ships (Source 1C)
- Khrushchev considered the blockade as an act of aggression that would lead to a nuclear-missile war (Source 1C)
- The Soviet government instructed the captains of Soviet vessels to observe the generally accepted standards of navigation in international waters (not to retreat) (Source 1C)
- Khrushchev threatened the USA if it imposed a blockade/quarantine (Source 1C)
- Khrushchev threatened that Soviet submarines will sink American ships if they interfered with Soviet vessels on their way to Cuba (Source 1D)
- Khrushchev's instruction to Soviet ships was to change their course to ease the tension (brinkmanship) (Source 1D)
- Secret negotiations for the removal of USA missiles from Turkey (own knowledge)
- Setting up a 'hotline' to avoid further conflict between the USA and the USSR (own knowledge)
- Any other relevant response

Use the following rubric to allocate a mark:

LEVEL 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses evidence in an elementary manner, e.g. shows no or little understanding of how the United States of America and the Soviet Union used brinkmanship to resolve the Cuban Missile Crisis. • Uses evidence partially or cannot write a paragraph. 	MARKS 0–2
LEVEL 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence is mostly relevant and relates to the topic e.g. shows some understanding of how the United States of America and the Soviet Union used brinkmanship to resolve the Cuban Missile Crisis. • Uses evidence in an elementary manner to write a paragraph. 	MARKS 3–5
LEVEL 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses relevant evidence e.g. demonstrates a thorough understanding of how the USA and the Soviet Union used brinkmanship to resolve the Cuban Missile Crisis. • Uses evidence very effectively in an organised paragraph that shows an understanding of the topic. 	MARKS 6–8

(8)
[50]

QUESTION 2: WHAT WERE THE DIFFERING VIEWS REGARDING THE OUTCOME OF THE BATTLE OF CUITO CUANAVALE?

2.1

2.1.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 2A – L1]*

- 'General Konstantin'
- 'Fidel Castro'

(2 x 1) (2)

2.1.2 *[Interpretation of evidence from Source 2A – L2]*

- Cuba had to be convinced to participate in the Angolan war to ensure that communism was entrenched/spread in Southern Africa
- The Soviet Union had to coerce (force) Cuba to assist Africa with the installation of communist led governments
- Any other relevant response

(2 x 2) (4)

2.1.3 *[Interpretation of evidence from Source 2A – L2]*

- He was afraid of the financial implication that the war would have on Cuba
- Cuba would suffer huge casualties/loss which would affect Castro's status
- Cuba's attempt at internationalism was to uplift the standard of living of people and not to engage in war and conflict
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

2.1.4 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 2A – L1]*

- 'Reinforcing Cuito'
- 'Pressuring South African bases'

(2 x 1) (2)

2.2

2.2.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 2B – L1]*

- 'Angolan Minister of Defence'
- 'Other official Angolan sources'
- 'Cuban sources'

(3 x 1) (3)

2.2.2 *[Explanation of a historical concept from Source 2B - L1]*

- The spreading of false/inaccurate information or ideas to deliberately influence people's opinion, i.e. Cuba, South Africa or Angola claimed they were victorious after the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale
- Any other relevant response

(1 x 2) (2)

2.2.3 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 2B – L1]*

- 'Cuba/Cubans'
- 'Angola/Angolans'

(2 x 1) (2)

2.2.4 *[Interpretation of evidence from Source 2B – L2]***SAMVOUSA:**

- Members considered the SADF as invincible and superior to the Cuban and MPLA forces
- Members fought in the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale and did not accept that the South African Defence Force (SADF) had lost the war
- Disliked communism and were not prepared to concede defeat
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

2.3

2.3.1 *[Interpretation of evidence from Source 2C – L2]*

- MPLA soldiers celebrated being victorious at the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale
 - Combined forces from MPLA, Cuban and Soviet soldiers were able to capture a South African military tank
 - Shows that the South African Defence Force was defeated at the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale
 - Shows that the South African Defence Force had abandoned its military tanks in Angola because it was defeated
 - Any other relevant response
- (any 2 x 2) (4)

2.3.2 *[Ascertain the usefulness of evidence in Source 2C – L3]*

Candidates can choose either USEFUL or NOT USEFUL and substantiate their response with relevant evidence

The source is USEFUL because it:

- Depicts MPLA soldiers celebrating their victory at the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale
- Gives photographic evidence of a military tank that was captured by the MPLA
- Shows a captured South African military tank
- Any other relevant response

The source is NOT USEFUL because:

- The photographer is not known
 - The date when the photograph was taken is not indicated
 - It could be used for propaganda purposes
 - There is no evidence to show that it was a South African tank
 - Any other relevant response
- (any 2 x 2) (4)

2.4

2.4.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 2D – L1]*

- '30th anniversary of the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale'
- (1 x 1) (1)

2.4.2 *[Interpretation of evidence from Source 2D – L2]*

- The victory of the MPLA/Cuban forces prevented the installation of a puppet/UNITA government in Angola
 - The victory of the MPLA/Cuban forces contributed to the liberation of Namibia and South Africa
 - The victory of the MPLA/Cuban forces paved way for negotiations in South Africa
 - The victory of the MPLA/Cuban forces destroyed the myth that the SADF was invincible and indestructible in Africa
 - Any other relevant response
- (any 2 x 2) (4)

2.4.3 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 2D – L1]*

- 'Cuito Cuanavale marks the turn in the struggle to liberate the continent and our country from apartheid'
 - 'The defeat of the racist army in Cuito Cuanavale allowed the people of Namibia to finally reach their liberation'
 - 'Defeat of apartheid served as an inspiration for the fighting people of South Africa'
- (any 2 x 1) (2)

2.5 [Comparison of evidence in Sources 2C and 2D – L3]

- Source 2C shows evidence that the SADF was defeated in Cuito Cuanavale and in Source 2D Nelson Mandela states that the apartheid army was defeated Cuito Cuanavale.
- Source 2C shows visual evidence of MPLA troops standing on a captured SADF military tank and in Source 2D Nelson Mandela states that the Angolan and Cuban troops were victorious at the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale.
- Any other relevant response (any 2 x 2) (4)

2.6 [Interpretation, analysis and synthesis of evidence from relevant sources – L3]

Candidates could include the following aspects in their response:

- SADF, ANC and Cuba presented different views on the outcome of the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale (own knowledge)
- The eventual outcome of the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale showed that the Commander in Chief of Cuban forces, Fidel Castro, was a capable military leader (Source 2A)
- SAMVOUSA's view was that the SADF won the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale (Source 2B)
- According to SAMVOUSA the Cubans used propaganda to claim that the battle was a 'heroic battle' but the reality of who won the war eventually emerged (Source 2B)
- According to SAMVOUSA the MPLA and Cubans lost the war because they wanted peace at all costs (Source 2B)
- SAMVOUSA claimed that the Cubans requested that they be allowed to withdraw from Angola to conceal their defeat (Source 2B)
- The SADF were the real victors, according to SAMVOUSA (Source 2B)
- MPLA soldiers seen celebrating the victory at the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale, on a captured South African military tank, shows that MPLA and Cubans had won (Source 2C)
- South Africans abandoned their military tanks and left Angola because they were defeated (own knowledge)
- The ANC viewed the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale as having been won by the MPLA and Cuban forces (own knowledge)
- Nelson Mandela praised Cuba for assisting Angola in defeating SADF (Source 2D)
- Victory by the MPLA and Cuban troops marked a turning point in the history of Angola (Source 2D)
- Any other relevant response

Use the following rubric to allocate a mark:

LEVEL 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses evidence in an elementary manner e.g. shows no or little understanding of the differing views regarding the outcome of the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale. • Uses evidence partially or cannot write a paragraph. 	MARKS: 0–2
LEVEL 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence is mostly relevant and relates to the topic e.g. shows some understanding of the differing views regarding the outcome of the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale. • Uses evidence in an elementary manner to write a paragraph. 	MARKS: 3–5
LEVEL 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses relevant evidence e.g. demonstrates a thorough understanding of the differing views regarding the outcome of the Battle of Cuito Cuanavale. • Uses evidence very effectively in an organised paragraph that shows an understanding of the topic. 	MARKS: 6–8

(8)
[50]

QUESTION 3: HOW SUCCESSFUL WAS THE BLACK PANTHER PARTY IN MOBILISING AFRICAN AMERICANS IN THE 1960s?

3.1

3.1.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 3A – L1]*

- 'Police brutality' (1 x 1) (1)

3.1.2 *[Explanation of a historical concept from Source 3A – L1]*

A philosophy that:

- Promoted black-pride, self-determination and social equality through the creation of political and cultural institutions among African Americans
- Promoted culture, identity and self-reliance among African Americans
- Wanted African Americans to work together and establish community based programmes to improve the socio-economic conditions among African Americans
- Any relevant response (any 1 x 2) (2)

3.1.3 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 3A – L1]*

- 'Martin Luther King Jnr'
- 'Malcolm X' (2 x 1) (2)

3.1.4 *[Interpretation of evidence in Source 3A – L2]*

- It did not deal with the complaints that African Americans presented regarding the brutality they experienced at the hands of white policemen
- It did not care for the welfare of African Americans
- The City Council was more concerned about the needs of white Americans rather than African Americans
- Any relevant response (any 2 x 2) (4)

3.2

3.2.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 3B – L1]*

- 'A decent education for our people, that teaches us our true history and our role in the present-day society' (1 x 2) (2)

3.2.2 *[Interpretation of evidence in Source 3B – L2]*

- Many members of the Black Panther Party/African Americans lost their lives/murdered
- The actions of the police violated the constitutional rights of African Americans
- The actions of white American police discriminated against African Americans
- Police only harassed African Americans by using extreme violence
- Any other relevant response (any 2 x 2) (4)

3.2.3 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 3B – L1]*

We want:

- 'Land'
- 'Bread'
- 'Housing'
- 'Education'
- 'Clothing'
- 'Justice'
- 'Peace and people's community control of modern technology' (any 4 x 1) (4)

3.2.4 *[Interpretation of evidence in Source 3B – L2]*

- It needed a policy that could guide it
- The Ten-Point plan served as an action plan for the Black Panther Party (BPP)
- Its members could strive to ensure that the plan was implemented effectively
- To highlight the demands/grievances of the BPP
- Any other relevant response

(any 1 x 2) (2)

3.3 *[Comparison of evidence from Sources 3A and 3B– L3]*

- Source 3A states that African American youth should organise themselves into a political and electoral movement and Source 3B states that African Americans wanted power to run their own communities
- Source 3A states that Huey and Searle studied black history and Source 3B states that African Americans should be taught their history and heritage
- Source 3A and 3B makes reference to the anti-poverty centre
- Both Sources 3A and 3B refer to the Black Panther Party's Ten-Point Plan
- Both Sources 3A and 3B refer to police brutality
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

3.4

3.4.1 *[Interpretation of evidence from Source 3C – L2]*

- To show that members of the Black Panther Party challenged state authorities (California legislature)
- To highlight that the Black Panther Party was determined (not afraid) to fight for their constitutional rights and should be allowed to carry guns
- To show that the Black Panther Party were implementing the principles as outlined in the Ten-Point Plan
- To highlight that members of the Black Panther Party openly carried guns for self-defence
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

3.4.2 *[Ascertain the usefulness of evidence in Source 3C – L3]***The source is USEFUL because:**

- It is a photograph and contains first-hand evidence
- It was taken in May 1967 when the Black Panther Party was popular
- It depicts members of the Black Panther Party protesting against the Gun Control Bill
- It shows members of the Black Panther Party fighting for their rights as enshrined in the constitution
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

3.5

3.5.1 *[Extraction of evidence from Source 3D – L1]*

- 'The success of the Panther's political activities'
- 'The success of community programmes'
- 'Their huge growth and influence and membership'

(3 x 1) (3)

3.5.2 [Interpretation of evidence from Source 3D – L2]

The FBI:

- Destroyed provisions that were to be used during the breakfast programmes
- Arrested, harassed, tortured and killed members of the Black Panther Party
- Infiltrated the Black Panther Party and wanted to portray them in a bad light
- Created internal divisions and encouraged rivalries among members of the Black Panther Party
- Any other relevant response

(any 1 x 2) (2)

3.5.3 [Interpretation of evidence from Source 3D – L2]

Candidates can state whether they AGREE or DISAGREE and substantiate their response with relevant evidence

AGREE

Members of the Black Panther Party:

- Challenged authorities who regarded them as too radical
- Carried guns which might have scared ordinary Americans
- Monitored the police and their approach may have alienated them from other African Americans
- Used army uniforms which implied that they were militarised
- Any other relevant response

DISAGREE

Members of the Black Panther Party:

- Reacted vigorously to police brutality
- Defended African American communities against police harassment
- Realised that the approach that the Civil Rights Movement used was ineffective
- Forced authorities to respect the constitutional rights of African Americans
- Any other relevant response

(any 2 x 2) (4)

3.6 [Interpretation, evaluation and synthesis of evidence from relevant sources – L3]

Candidates could include the following aspects in their response:

- The Black Panther Party (BPP) was very successful in mobilising African American youth because it attended to their needs (Source 3A)
- The Ten-Point Plan was designed to attract more African Americans by appealing to their needs (Source 3B)
- The Black Panther's manifesto was based on socialist ideals and therefore appealed to many oppressed African Americans (own knowledge)
- The Black Panther demanded freedom, equality and social justice which served to attract African American youths (Source 3B)
- Legislative bodies throughout the USA was challenged by the BPP (Source 3C)
- Monitoring the police made the Black Panther popular among African American youth (own knowledge)
- Several branches of the Black Panther Party were established throughout America to conscientise African Americans about their rights (own knowledge)
- The BPP was successful in mobilising African Americans therefore the FBI used a variety of methods to discredit and destroy this organisation (Source 3D)
- The BPP used community-based programmes to conscientise African Americans to become self-sufficient (own knowledge)
- Any other relevant response

Use the following rubric to allocate a mark:

LEVEL 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Uses evidence in an elementary manner e.g. shows no or little understanding of how successful the Black Panther Party was in mobilising African Americans in the 1960s.• Uses evidence partially or cannot write a paragraph.	MARKS 0–2
LEVEL 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evidence is mostly relevant and relates to the topic e.g. shows some understanding of how successful the Black Panther Party was in mobilising African Americans in the 1960s.• Uses evidence in an elementary manner to write a paragraph.	MARKS 3–5
LEVEL 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Uses relevant evidence e.g. demonstrates a thorough understanding of how successful the Black Panther Party was in mobilising African Americans in the 1960s.• Uses evidence very effectively in an organised paragraph that shows an understanding of the topic.	MARKS 6–8

(8)
[50]

SECTION B: ESSAY QUESTIONS

QUESTION 4: CASE STUDY – CHINA

[Plan and construct an original argument based on relevant evidence using analytical and interpretative skills]

SYNOPSIS

Candidates should critically discuss how the failure of the Great Leap Forward led to Mao Zedong introducing the Cultural Revolution to accelerate agricultural and industrial transformation in the People's Republic of China.

MAIN ASPECTS

Candidates could include the following aspects in their response:

- Introduction: Candidates should take a line of argument and indicate how the failure of the Great Leap Forward led to the introduction of the Cultural Revolution to transform the agricultural and industrial production in the People's Republic of China.

ELABORATION

In critically discussing the statement, candidates could include the following points in their answer:

- Mao Zedong's implementation of the First Five year Plan/Hundred Flowers Campaign (Brief background only)

The Great Leap Forward:

- Mao Zedong's Second Five Year Plan (Great Leap Forward) that started in 1958
- Its objective was to increase agricultural and industrial production in China
- To end all forms of private enterprise
- Promoted the collectivisation of co-operatives into 'People's Communes'
- Dealt with resistance to collectivisation by forceful amalgamation of farms
- Propaganda used to increase agriculture and industrial production

Failures of the Great Leap Forward:

- Industries collapsed due to bad planning, poor support to peasants and corrupt local officials
- High taxation on farm products led to disgruntled peasants and decreased production
- Depended on unskilled labour (peasants) for industrial production rather than scientists and engineers. This led to a decrease in productivity in the industrial sector
- Workers had to work long hours to increase production
- Mao's effort to increase iron and steel production in 'backyard furnaces' led to inferior quality of iron and steel
- The economy collapsed in the People's Republic of China
- The Great Leap Forward was a dismal failure after 3 years of its implementation, also referred to as 'Three Bitter Years'
- Mao Zedong was unable to stop starvation of millions of people due to famine
- Mao resigned as President of China in 1959 but remained as Chairperson of the Chinese Communist Party
- In 1962 he handed over the responsibility of the economy to President Liu Shaoqi and General Secretary Deng Xiaoping and withdrew from the political scene

The Cultural Revolution:

- Mao launched the Cultural Revolution in 1966 to restore authority that was lost as a result of the failure of the Great Leap Forward
 - Used the Communist Party to stamp the authority of the government
 - Introduced the re-nationalisation of heavy industries
 - Focused on the principle of establishing a classless society
 - Established the Red Guards to deal with opponents of the Communist Party
 - Used the Red Guards to change old habits and attitudes (Four Olds)
 - Introduced the Little Red Book to change the mind-set of critics and promote communism
 - Huge demonstrations were held in Tiananmen Square, Beijing and posters and pictures of Mao were put up everywhere
 - The Little Red Book (contained Mao's philosophies about communism; all citizens expected to memorise principles of communism; a source of communist propaganda in China)
 - To enforce communism schools, universities & colleges were closed and critics were dealt with accordingly
 - It left a generation without formal education
 - Eliminated key officials (Xiaoping & Liu Shaoqi) and became the sole leader of China in 1966
 - Industry suffered and production stopped by 1968
 - Any other relevant response
- Conclusion: Candidates should tie up their argument with a relevant conclusion.

[50]

QUESTION 5: INDEPENDENT AFRICA: COMPARATIVE CASE STUDY – THE CONGO AND TANZANIA

[Plan and construct an original argument based on relevant evidence using analytical and interpretative skills]

SYNOPSIS

In writing this essay, candidates should explain to what extent both Mobuto Sese Seko in the Congo and Julius Nyerere in Tanzania dealt with political and economic challenges that confronted their respective countries after attaining independence in the early 1960s.

MAIN ASPECTS

Candidates could include the following aspects in their response:

- Introduction: Candidates should highlight to what extent Mobuto Sese Seko and Julius Nyerere were able to deal with the political and economic challenges that confronted their respective countries after attaining independence in the early 1960s. They also need to compare how each leader responded to the challenges that confronted them. Candidates should also indicate how they would support their line of argument.

ELABORATION

In responding to the question, candidates could include the following points in their answer:

Political challenges:

The Congo:

- Attained independence through democratic elections (the Congo (1960): J Kasavubu became President and P Lumumba became Prime Minister)
- The Congo was highly fragmented along ethnic lines (colonial legacy) with leaders competing against each other
- The period after independence was characterised by violence and political upheaval
- After holding multi-party elections at independence, the Congo became a one-party-state within the first five years after gaining independence
- Mobuto Sese Seko came into power by a military coup
- He remained as 'president for life' until his death in 2007
- A Kleptocracy was created where a group of appointed public officials abused their position for financial gain
- A strong military was established and was controlled by the central government
- Ensured political stability (though based on authoritarianism)
- Applied the policy of Africanisation
- Any other relevant response

Tanzania:

- Attained independence through democratic elections (J Nyerere elected as prime minister)
- Smooth transition (peaceful change/racial harmony/commitment to promotion of human equality and dignity)
- After holding multi-party elections at independence Tanzania became a one-party-state
- Nyerere amended the constitution to become President (1962)
- He remained as 'president for life' between the 1960s and 1970s

- Introduced the 'Leadership Code' in the Arusha Declaration which demanded high levels of integrity from public officials
- Applied the policy of Africanisation
- Introduced the policy of African Socialism / Ujamaa
- Establishment of the United Republic of Tanzania (1964) which was a centralised and a unitary state
- Any other relevant response

Economic challenges

The Congo:

- Inherited single product economy from the colonisers
- Relied heavily on agriculture and mineral extraction (one product economy) and its impact on the economy
- Lacked a vibrant manufacturing sector
- Followed a capitalist economic system
- Zaireanisation (replacing foreigners with Zairian nationals) was a failure because of inexperience/ corruption/mismanagement/ neglect
- The economy was characterised by nepotism and elitism (large gulf emerged between rich and poor)
- Nationalised land and industries
- Decline in the state of infrastructure
- Increased the gap between the rich and the poor
- Application of Retrocession (reversal of Zaireanisation)
- Dependant on foreign aid and investment
- Any other relevant response

Tanzania:

- Inherited a single product economy from the colonisers
 - Lacked a vibrant manufacturing sector
 - Relied heavily on agriculture and mineral extraction (one product economy) and was able to develop a credible manufacturing sector
 - Followed an African socialist economic system
 - Arusha Declaration (abolished exploitation/reduction of income gap between the poor and the rich/ownership of the country's resources)
 - Villagisation (collective villages) improved service delivery/created a stable society that was free from economic inequalities. However, farmers refused to leave their ancestral lands/agricultural production fell drastically
 - Most companies that were nationalised became bankrupt
 - Exports declined and had a negative impact on the economy
 - Attempts to make Tanzania self- sufficient failed
 - Tanzania managed to minimise the gap between the rich and the poor
 - Tanzania reverted to dependence on foreign aid / loans in 1970s
 - Any other relevant response
- Conclusion: Candidates should tie up their argument with a relevant conclusion

[50]

QUESTION 6: CIVIL SOCIETY PROTESTS FROM THE 1950s TO THE 1970s: THE CIVIL RIGHTS MOVEMENT

[Plan and construct an original argument based on relevant evidence using analytical and interpretative skills]

SYNOPSIS

Candidates should indicate whether they agree or disagree that the Selma – Montgomery marches of 1965 were regarded as the most significant form of protests against segregation and discrimination in the United States of America. In supporting their line of argument candidates must select examples of mass-based resistance, non-violent protests that the Civil Rights Movement embarked on, such as sit-ins, demonstrations and marches until the passing of the Civil Rights Act in 1964 and the Voters Act in 1965.

MAIN ASPECTS

Candidates could include the following aspects in their response:

- **Introduction:** Candidates should take a stance by agreeing/ disagreeing that the Selma – Montgomery marches of 1965 were the most significant form of civil rights protest against segregation and discrimination in the USA.

ELABORATION

If candidates disagree with the statement posed, the following aspects should be included in their response:

- Montgomery bus boycott (1955) (Background only)
- **Sit-ins** (from 1960): four students in Greensboro sat at whites only counter; the number of students increased daily; Sit-ins spread across the south; the role of the SNCC (Student non-violent Coordinating Committee); Sit-ins spread to Read-ins, Wade-ins etc. (impact: restaurants counters opened to all races in the USA in the summer of 1961)
- **Freedom Riders:** African Americans and Whites from the North started the rides to challenge segregation in the bus system; they were attacked, bombed and jailed; they received no protection from the police. President Kennedy was forced to protect them; The Federal government released an order on 1 November 1961 officially desegregating all interstate public facilities
- **The Birmingham Campaign (1963):** Mass demonstrations challenged racial segregation and economic exclusion of African Americans; included a children's march; met with violent action from the police. Kennedy responded to the racial segregation in the USA; On 10 May 1963, Birmingham announced that all municipal facilities would be desegregated
- **March on Washington (August 1963)** was attended by 250 000 people to demand equality and jobs; it was famous because Martin Luther King Jnr delivered 'I Have a Dream speech.' It contributed significantly to the signing of the Civil Rights Act, 1964
- **Freedom Summer (1964):** More than 70 000 African Americans volunteers registered and taught literacy in freedom schools in Mississippi; they were met with serious violence from segregationists and police officers. It contributed to the signing of the Civil Rights Act, 1964

- **Selma to Montgomery Marches (1965):** (March 1965): (To demand that African American be allowed to register to vote (only 2,5% of black people were registered voters due to intimidation and racist attacks) – after three attempts, brutal police attacks on non-violent demonstrators (Bloody Sunday) and mass support from across the country they reached Montgomery. President Johnson was pressurised to pass the 1965 Voting Rights Act)
- Any other relevant response
- Conclusion: Candidates should tie up their argument with a relevant conclusion

[50]

If candidates agree, they should substantiate their line of argument with relevant evidence

TOTAL: 150