03/07/2016 09:22

5742456688

SUPERIORCT

PAGE 01/08

From: Eric Tamashasky Fax: (574) 696-0303

To: +15742456688

Fax: +15742466688

Page 9 of 10 03/07/2016 9:08 AM

STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COURT					
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY)	GENERAL BOOK ENTRY					
IN RE: A PENDING CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION)						
of Child Exploitation that occurred in	St. Joseph County,					
Indiana on or about March 4, 2016)					
SICPD Cyber 1614						

COURT ORDER .

The Court, having reviewed the subpoena duces tecum and accompanying Affidavit of Prosecutor in the following manner [H.I.], orders:

I find that the foregoing subpoena duces tecum is reasonable in that it is: relevant in purpose to a valid criminal investigation; sufficiently limited in scope; and specific in directive so that compliance will not be unreasonably burdensome. I also find that the affidavit in support provides specific and articulable facts showing there are reasonable grounds to believe the information sought is relevant and material to a pending criminal investigation. Its issuance is therefore APPROVED, and the recipient is therefore ORDERED to refrain from disclosing any information concerning the existence of the Subpoena/Court Order for at least ninety (90) days, unless ORDERED otherwise by this Court.

The recipient of the foregoing subpoena duces tecum/Court Order is hereby ORDERED to comply with the subpoena duces tecum/Court Order and to not disclose the existence of this subpoena/court order for a period of ninety (90) days from the date of issuance, unless otherwise ORDERED by this Court.

SO ORDERED this 7- day of Much , 2016

Le Worded Toute

Judge, St. Joseph Superior Court

03/07/2016 09:22 5742456688 SUPERIORCT PAGE 02/08

From: Eric Tamashasky Fax: (574) 696-0303 To: +15742456688 Fay: +15742456688 Page 10 of 10 03/07/2016 9:08 AM

Sent from my iPad

From: Eric Tamashasky Fax: (574) 696-0303

To: +15742458688

Fax: +15742456088

Page 3 of 10 03/07/2016 9:08 AM

STATE OF INDIANA) 1	IN THE ST. JOSEPH SUPERIOR COUR	Т
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY)	GENERAL BOOK ENTRY	
IN RE: A PENDING CRIM	INAL INVESTIGA	ATION)	
of Child Exploitation that or	curred in St. Joseph	h County,	
Indiana on or about March 4	l, 2016)	
SICPD Cyber 1614			

PROSECUTOR'S SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM AND ATTACHED COURT ORDER

TO:

ATT Legal Compliance Department

Fax: (707) 435-6409

Upon receipt of this Subpoena, you are hereby commanded to present to Eric Tamashasky of the St. Joseph County Police Department via email to etamashasky@co.st-joseph.in.us or fax to 574-696-0303, the following tangible items and documents:

AT&T Internet Records for subscribers assigned 23.119.118.36 from Midnight EST January 1. 2016 to Midnight EST March 7, 2016

- **Customer Account Records**
 - Account owner, contact information, service type, service location, email addresses.
- IP Address Assignment Records
 - Records associated with the IP address 23.119.118.36 on March 4, 2016

*** JUDGE HAS ORDERED NON-DISCLOSURE (SEE JUDGE PAGE) ***

ANY QUESTIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO ERIC TAMASHASKY AT THE ST. JOSEPH COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT (574) 876-9047

Attorney for State of Indiana Jennifer McKinnev Deputy Prosecuting Attorney South Bend, IN 46601 (574) 235-9544

Clerk of St. Joseph Superior Court

DATED: March 7, 2016

5742456688

SUPERIORCT

PAGE 04/08

From: Eric Tamachasky Fax: (574) 696-0303

To: +15742456688

Fax: +15742456688

Page 4 of 10/03/07/2015/9:08 AM

STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE ST	IOSEPH SUPERIO	R COURT
)			
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY)	GENERAL E	BOOK ENTRY	
IN RE: A PENDING CRIM	IINAL IN	VESTIGATION)	
of Child Exploitation that o	j			
Indiana on or about March -	4, 2016)	
SJCPD Cyber 1614				

AFFIDAVIT OF PROSECUTOR

Jennifer McKinney, upon information and belief, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

I am a Deputy Prosecutor for the 60th Judicial Circuit. County of St. Joseph. State of Indiana. I have read a verified affidavit by Eric Tamashasky of the St. Joseph County Police Department concerning an investigation into the Possession and Distribution of Child Pornography.

The facts which render the foregoing subpoena duces tecum reasonable are set forth in the narrative supplemental report of Eric Tamashasky, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as "Affidavit of Investigator." I believe that this request is reasonably relevant to a valid criminal investigation. I further believe that the request is sufficiently limited in scope and specific in directive so that compliance will not be unreasonably burdensome to the recipient.

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the foregoing is true.

ToseEuting Attorney

From: Eric Tamashasky Fax: (574) 696-0303 To: +15742456698 Fax: +15742456698 Page 5 of 10 03/07/2016 9:08 AM

STATE OF INDIANA)	IN THE ST	OSEPH SUPERIOR COURT
ST. JOSEPH COUNTY	Ś	GENERAL E	BOOK ENTRY
IN RE: A PENDING CRIMI of Child Exploitation that occ Indiana on or about March 4, SJCPD Cyber 1614	curred in St. Jose)))

AFFIDAVIT OF INVESTIGATOR

I, Eric Tamashasky, am deputized as a Special Deputy with full law enforcement powers with the St. Joseph County Police Department. I have been a special deputy since 2011 and am responsible for the St. Joseph County Cybercrimes Against Children Unit. Prior to my role as a Special Deputy with the St. Joseph County Police Department, I was a deputy prosecuting attorney for the St. Joseph County Prosecutor's Office since 2004. In that capacity, I prosecuted major crimes—including cybercrimes cases. I was the lead prosecutor for the St. Joseph County Prosecutor's Office High Tech Crimes Unit from 2007 until is closure in 2009. I have training on cybercrime investigations from the United States Secret Service, the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children, the National White Collar Crime Center, and the Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Taskforce.

I received training specifically related to the online sharing and distribution of child pornography from the ICAC Task Force that was specifically related to online file sharing via peer-to-peer clients. Peer-to-Peer (P2P) file sharing is the method by which users on the same network share digital files with one another. I am trained to search for child pornography on both the Ares Peer to Peer Network (September 2013) and BitTorrent peer-to-peer file sharing (December 2014).

P2P investigations typically center upon contact with particular IP addresses. An "IP address" is a numeric address for a particular computer accessing the internet on a particular date and time. Once online, the IP address is unique to a particular access point. It is possible for multiple computers to use one public IP address through the use of internal networking. Should

From: Eric Tomoshasky Fax: (674) 696-0303

To: +15742456688

Page 6 of 10 03/07/2616 9:08 AM

a particular IP address become an address of interest in an investigation, it is reasonable to believe multiple computers may be using the same IP address.

INVESTIGATORY METHODS

During my training in Ares investigations. I was given a program that allowed me to search the Ares P2P network for files possibly containing child pornography. We tested and validated the program during the training class itself to ensure it located appropriate files and only downloaded from a single source at a time. The program works by comparing the Ares network's own listing of user's files versus a list of files known to law enforcement to be associated with child pornography. Not every file contained within the law enforcement Ares database is child pornography in that some of the files depict the child victims of child abuse in light states. The existence of a photograph of a known victim of child abuse is relevant because child pornography collectors may acquire "series" images of victims. A "series" may be a couple of licit pictures followed by that same child being abused and raped. Files are generally catalogued on file sharing sites by the hash value of a particular file, not by the file name attached to the file. "Hash values" are numeric values calculated by the application of a particular algorithm or formula to a digital data. The formulas that calculate hash values are so sensitive that a change of one pixel in a picture will completely change the hash value. They are akin to digital fingerprints. File names are easily changed and altered to disguise the contents of a file. To change a hash value requires additional knowledge and skill not generally displayed by P2P traders.

The tool also captures the IP addresses of those individuals offering files of interest for distribution. The program determines the approximate geographic location of a particular user's device by searching publically available tools with the captured IP address information. Because of this feature, investigators may focus on users offering files for distribution that are located in particular geographic areas. Our program has been tested and validated to do only single source downloads; this means that when we attempt to download a file from a user it is only that particular user who provides the file to us. While the general architectural design of P2P file sharing is to gather file pieces from multiple users, our search methodology only allows us to retrieve file pieces from a single user. This ensures we have relevant information about the file(s) possessed by that singular user.

From: Eric Tamashasky Fax: (574) 696-0303 To: +15742456688 Fax: +15742456688 Page 7 of 10 03/07/2016 9:08 AM

Once a file of interest has been located on an appropriate geographically located machine, the Ares tool attempts to download the files. The acquisition of partial files—so long as those fragments themselves contain verifiable contraband—is not a weakness of this tool. Internet connections may time out or the user sharing the file could leave the network or move the file. The reason a partial file I obtain is significant is that it is proof the user possessed the entire file at one time. Since Ares clients only report hash values to the network once the user possesses the complete file, the only files our tool can seek to obtain are those files the user's machine reported as possessing completely. The partial obtained by the Ares tool represents a sample of the entire item possessed by the user.

Note that newly manufactured child pomography will not show up in via our Ares investigatory tool. The only files we attempt to download are those files already known to law enforcement for their relevance to online child exploitation because they've been obtained previously. New files have as-of-yet unknown hash values that cannot have been entered into our database of previously found files of interest.

INVESTIGATORY DETAILS

During my the course of my investigations of Ares, my investigative program identified a computer with the IP address <u>23.119.118.36</u> as a potential download candidate (source) for over 100 file(s) of investigative interest. That IP address geolocates to South Bend, Indiana and appears to be owned by AT&T Internet Services. I have obtained a complete download of a file from a computer at <u>23.119.118.36</u>. I viewed the movie file and I believe that it represents child pornography as defined by both state of Indiana and federal law. A description of the video follows:

On March 4, 2016, my computer began a download from a user at 23.119.118.36. Specifically, I was connected to 23.119.118.36 from 2016/Mar/04 20:46:08 UTC 05:00 to 2016/Mar/04 21:04:09 UTC 05:00. I obtained a file named "sexo infantil pai filha pai mete na menina sado anal-partel.mpg" from a device at that IP address. I viewed the file and it is 1:15 long. The video shows a child that appears to be younger than 10 years old, gagged, bent over a table while an adult male inserts his penis into the child. Ultimately, the adult male ejaculates onto the child's back. I am not able to determine the gender of the child due to the camera angle.

Page 8 of 10/03/07/2016 9:08 AM From: Eric Tamashasky Fax: (574) 696-0303 To: +15742456688 Fax: +15742456688

> The record I have of contacts with 23.119.118.36 dates back to 2013. Over that time, my machine reported over 181 files of investigatory interest from that IP address. This is the first time I have successfully initiated a download from that device.

> Through my training and experience, I believe that people who search for and view child pornography generally keep the items they collect. And even if a person deletes the item after some period of time, a forensic computer examination often can find part (or all) of the deleted files on the person's hard drive; it is very difficult to successfully remove all traces of a deleted file from a hard drive.

> On March 7, 2016 a query on the IP address 23.119.118.36 was conducted through the American Registry for Internet Numbers (ARIN) via whatismyipaddress.com. I received information that the IP address is registered to AT&T Internet Services. I believe that a subpoena to ATT to identify the subscriber(s) who was/were using 23.119.118.36 from Midnight EST January 1, 2016 through Midnight EST March 7, 2016 is relevant to my ongoing investigation into the possession and distribution of child pornography. Further, I believe that the facts and information contained within this affidavit represent specific, articulable facts that show there are reasonable grounds to believe the records sought are relevant and material to an ongoing criminal investigation as is the standard under federal law pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d).§

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the foregoing is true and accurate.

Eric Tamashasky

St. Joseph County Police Department