

# Homework 1 - Introduction

---

**1) Lower bounded random walk.** Consider a game in which players bet \$1 to win \$1 with probability  $p$  and lose their bets with probability  $q = 1 - p$ . The wealth of a player as a function of time is a random process. If the player's wealth at time  $t$  is  $w(t)$  (which denotes a realization of the random variable  $W(t)$ ), the wealth at time  $t + 1$  is either  $w(t) + 1$  or  $w(t) - 1$ . Moreover, the probability of the wealth increasing to  $w(t) + 1$  is  $p$  and the probability of the wealth decreasing to  $w(t) - 1$  is  $q$ . We write this as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[W(t+1) = w(t) + 1 \mid W(t) = w(t)] &= p, \\ \mathbb{P}[W(t+1) = w(t) - 1 \mid W(t) = w(t)] &= q. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The first equation, e.g., is read as “the probability of  $W(t+1)$  taking the value  $w(t) + 1$ , given  $W(t) = w(t)$  is  $p$ .” The expression in (1) is true as long as  $W(t) \neq 0$ . When  $W(t) = 0$  the gambler is ruined and  $W(t+1) = 0$ . A rather sophisticated, yet sometimes useful way of expressing this fact is

$$\mathbb{P}[W(t+1) = 0 \mid W(t) = 0] = 1. \quad (2)$$

We saw in class that if  $p > 1/2$  then it is likely that the sample paths  $w(t)$  of the random process diverge making this a rather good game to play. In this exercise  $p$  can take any value. This process can be called a lower bounded random walk. Wealth can be reinterpreted as position on a line and wealth variations as steps taken randomly to left and right. The origin is home, in that if the walker reaches 0 it stays there. It is asked that:

*A) Simulation of a process realization.* Write a function that accepts as parameters the probability  $p$ , the initial wealth  $W(0) = w_0$  and a maximum number of bets  $T$ . The function returns a vector of length at most  $T + 1$  containing the wealth's history  $w(0), \dots, w(T)$  randomly computed according to the probabilities in (1) and (2). If the wealth is depleted at time  $t < T$ , that is, if  $w(t) = 0$  for some  $t < T$ , the function returns a vector of length  $t + 1$  with the wealth's history up to time  $t$ , i.e.,  $w(0), \dots, w(t)$ . Optionally, you can also return a boolean variable to distinguish between a run that resulted in a broken player and one that did not. This might be useful for parts B-E. Show plots with simulated processes for  $w_0 = 20$ ,  $T = 10^3$  and  $p = 0.25$ ,  $p = 0.5$  and  $p = 0.75$ .

*B) Probability of reaching home.* Fixing  $p = 0.55$  and  $w_0 = 10$  compute the probability  $B(p, w_0)$  of eventually reaching home (going broke in the betting context), that is the probability of having  $W(t) = 0$  for some  $t$ . Notice that because once  $W(t) = 0$  wealth stays at 0 this probability can be written as the limit

$$B(p, w_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[W(t) = 0 \mid W(0) = w_0]. \quad (3)$$

Strictly speaking, you would need to run the simulation forever to make sure the gambler does not run out of money. However, you can truncate simulations at time  $T = 100$  for this exercise. With this approximation you would be aiming to compute the probability of reaching home between times 0 and  $T$ , which we assume approximates the probability of reaching home between times 0 and  $\infty$  reasonably well. Put differently, we are assuming that  $\mathbb{P}[W(T) = 0 \mid W(0) = w_0]$  for  $T = 100$  is a good approximation of the limit in (3). To estimate  $\mathbb{P}[W(T) = 0 \mid W(0) = w_0]$  we run the simulation code of part A multiple times. Each of these runs results in a wealth path  $w_n(t)$ , we then define the indicator function  $\mathbb{I}\{w_n(T) = 0\}$  which equals 1 if wealth at time  $T$  is  $w_n(T) = 0$  and 0 if not. The probability of reaching home is then estimated as ( $N$  is the number of simulations ran)

$$\hat{B}_N(p, w_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}\{w_n(T) = 0\}. \quad (4)$$

The expression in (4) is just the average number of times home was reached across all experiments. The function  $\mathbb{I}\{w_n(T) = 0\}$  is called the indicator function of the event  $w_n(T) = 0$  because it “indicates” the event by taking the value 1.

To compute  $\hat{B}_N(p, w_0)$  you need to decide on a number of experiments  $N$ . The more experiments  $N$  you run the more accurate your estimation. Alas, the larger you need to wait. Report your probability estimate and the number of experiments  $N$  used. Explain your criteria for selecting  $N$ .

C) *Probability of reaching home as a function of initial wealth.* We want to study the probability of reaching home as a function of initial wealth. Fix  $p = 0.55$  and vary initial wealth between  $w_0 = 1$  and  $w_0 = 20$ . Show a plot of your probability estimates  $\hat{B}_N(p, w_0)$  as a function of initial wealth. The number of experiments  $N$  run to compute probability estimates for different initial wealths need not be the same.

D) *Probability of reaching home as a function of  $p$ .* The goal is to understand the variation of the probability of reaching home for different values of the probability  $p$ . Fix  $w_0 = 10$  and vary  $p$  between 0.3 and 0.7 – increments 0.02 should do. Show a plot of your probability estimates  $\hat{B}_N(p, w_0)$  as a function of  $p$ . You should observe a fundamentally different behavior for  $p < 1/2$  and  $p > 1/2$ . Comment on that.

E) *Time to reach home.* Fix  $p = 0.4$ . With this value of  $p$  it is possible to see that gamblers eventually deplete their wealth independently of their initial wealth  $w_0$ . This is something remarkable, despite the process being random it is possible to say that  $W(t)$  eventually becomes 0. This needs to be qualified, though. Unlikely as it may be there is a chance of winning all hands. Of course, the probability of this happening becomes smaller as the gambler plays more hands. What we can say about a lower bounded random walk is that with probability 1, wealth  $W(t)$  approaches 0 as  $t$  grows. More formally, the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t)$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{P} \left[ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t) = 0 \right] = 1. \quad (5)$$

We say that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t) = 0$  almost surely. Different wealth paths are possible, but almost all of them result in a broken gambler. If we think of probabilities as measuring the likelihood of an event, the measure of the event  $W(t) \neq 0$  is asymptotically null. An important quantity here is the time at which  $W(t) = 0$  for the first time which we can write as

$$T_0 = \min_t (W(t) = 0). \quad (6)$$

Estimate the probability distribution of  $T_0$  and its average value.