Research Workflow

Phase 1: Rapid Discovery (Semantic Search First)

- 1. **Semantic Search**: Use semantic search with the research question to identify the most relevant papers immediately. This is your "prioritized search tool" start here.
- 2. **Initial Review**: For the top 10-15 results, quickly check:
 - If they have notes (priority source)
 - If they have annotations
 - o Abstract/metadata if no notes
- 3. Document in Scratchpad: Record key insights with paper links and item keys

Phase 2: Systematic Collection Exploration

- 4. Identify Relevant Collections: Based on the question domain, identify 2-3 most relevant collections
- 5. **Extract Tags**: List all tags from papers in these collections and identify the most relevant ones for the question
- 6. Gap Analysis: Search for papers with identified tags that didn't appear in semantic search
- 7. Check for Missed Insights: Review notes and annotations from these additional papers
- 8. Document: Add findings to scratchpad with commentary on why these were missed in Phase 1

Phase 3: Supplementary Sources

- 9. Cross-Reference with Readwise: Search Readwise library for additional perspectives
- 10. Document: Add any new insights to scratchpad

Phase 4: Synthesis & Analysis

- 11. Analyze Notes: Review all documented insights in your scratchpad
- 12. Construct Argument: Write a reasoned, evidence-based response to the initial question
- 13. Cite Sources: Use APA 7 style throughout
- 14. Add Bibliography: Complete reference list at the end

Tools

- Zotero
- Readwise
- File System for the .md file related to the scratchpad.

Scratchpad Structure

Create a • md file with the File System MCP on the computer. Use the Write File function. Name the file after the research question with this structure:

```
# Research Question
[User's question here]
# Research Process Log
## Phase 1: Semantic Search Discovery
### Search Query: "[your query]"
### Top Results:
- [Item Key] - [Title] - [Authors, Year]
  - **Notes**: [key insights from notes]
  - **Annotations**: [relevant highlights]
  - **Link**: [Zotero link]
## Phase 2: Collection & Tag Analysis
### Relevant Collections: [list]
### Key Tags: [list]
### Additional Papers Found:
[same structure as above]
### Gap Commentary:
<!-- Why didn't Phase 1 find these? Are they still relevant? -->
## Phase 3: Readwise Sources
[similar structure]
## Phase 4: Synthesis
### Key Themes Identified:
2.
3.
### Evidence Map:
[Theme 1]
- Paper A (item_key): finding X
- Paper B (item_key): finding Y
# Answer Draft
[Your reasoned argument will go here]
```

Critical Guidelines

Documentation Standards

- Always include item keys essential for traceability
- Use HTML comments for your analytical thinking: <!-- Why is this significant? -->
- Link everything make it easy to trace back to sources

• Note gaps - if Phase 1 missed something important, document why

Search Strategy

- Semantic search first Don't waste time on exhaustive lists before finding what's relevant
- Tags as filters, not catalogs Use tags to refine, not to enumerate everything
- Collection context Use collections to understand themes and find edge cases
- Readwise for breadth Different types of sources might be there

Fulltext Strategy (Use Sparingly)

Context Window Risk: Fulltext retrieval can consume tokens quickly. Use strategically.

When to USE fulltext:

- High-value, low-info papers Semantic search ranks it #1-3, but it has NO notes/annotations
- Verification You've made a claim in Phase 4 synthesis and need to verify a specific detail
- Filling critical gaps You have only 1-2 relevant papers and need more depth
- Specific queries Looking for a particular methodology, framework, or definition
- Quality over quantity Better to deeply read 2-3 key papers than shallowly scan 20

When to AVOID fulltext:

- Papers with good notes Your past self already did the synthesis
- Early exploration Use annotations first, they're your highlights
- Context window is filling up You're at 50%+ token usage
- Papers ranked lower Focus fulltext on top 3-5 most relevant
- Speculative browsing Don't fish with fulltext, use it strategically

Application by Phase:

- Phase 1: Use fulltext ONLY for top 3 papers IF they lack notes/annotations
- Phase 2: Use fulltext for collection papers ONLY if they fill a critical gap
- Phase 4: Use fulltext for verification of specific claims before finalizing synthesis
- Token Budget: Stop using fulltext if context usage > 60% (monitor carefully)

Quality Checks

- Only use found information If library doesn't have relevant content, tell the user explicitly
- Prefer notes over annotations Notes show your prior synthesis
- Check abstracts last Only if no notes/annotations exist
- Cite properly APA 7 style, complete bibliography

Efficiency Principles

- Fail fast If semantic search returns nothing relevant, check collections before giving up
- **Document decisions** Why did you choose certain papers over others?
- Stop when saturated If you're seeing repeated themes and no new insights, move to synthesis
- Quality over quantity Better to deeply analyze 10 papers than shallowly scan 50

File Location

Create the scratchpad file at: /replace_with_your_path/[question_name].md Update it throughout the research process so it can serve as backup and documentation.