

Brain and Ventricular Boundary Shift Integral

Kelvin K. Leung, Casper Nielsen and Nick C. Fox Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology

Summary

We describe the processing methods in the brain and ventricular boundary shift integral (BSI). The brain and ventricles were first semi-automatically delineated from the T₁-weighted MRI scans. The repeat scans were then registered to the baseline scans using 9-degree-of-freedom registration. The intensity inhomogeneity between the baseline and registered repeat scans was corrected using the differential bias correction. Finally, BSI was calculated over the boundaries of the brain and ventricles respectively using the registered and corrected scans.

Methods

We now describe each step in the brain and ventricular BSI in more details.

Brain delineation

The brain regions in the baseline scans were delineated using a semi-automated technique based on intensity thresholding and mathematical morphology (Freeborough *et al.* 1997). The brain regions in the repeat scans were delineated by automated region propagation using the baseline brain regions of the same subject. The baseline scans were registered to the repeat scans using affine registration (to align the images globally) and nonrigid registration based on B-splines (to align the images locally) (Rueckert *et al.* 1999). The baseline brain regions were transformed into the repeat scans using the results of the registrations.

Ventricles delineation

After registering the scans to the MNI 305 atlas, the ventricles of the scans were semiautomatically delineated using intensity thresholding, region growing and mathematical morphology (Freeborough *et al* 1997).

Image registration

The baseline and repeat brain scans were registered over the delineated region (the brain or ventricles) using a 9-degree-of-freedom registration algorithm (Woods *et al.* 1998). The repeat scans were transformed using the results of the registration.

Differential bias correction

Differential bias correction (DBC) was applied to correct the intensity inhomogeneity artifacts between the baseline and transformed repeat images (Lewis and Fox 2004). A kernel radius of 5 was used in DBC.

Rev 12 April 2012



Calculation of BSI

The union and intersection regions of the baseline and repeat regions (the brain or ventricles) were computed. After dilating the union region once and eroding the intersection region once, the boundary shift region is given by the XOR (exclusive or) of the dilated union region and the eroded intersect region.

- 1. For classic-BSI, the intensity of registered and DBC-corrected baseline and repeat scans were normalized by dividing by the mean intensity inside the intersect region of the baseline and repeat brain regions. BSI was calculated using a manually-chosen intensity window of [0.45, 0.65] (Freeborough and Fox 1997).
- 2. For KN-BSI, the intensities of CSF, GM and WM in the registered and DBC-corrected baseline and repeat scans were estimated using *k*-means clustering. Linear regression between the corresponding mean intensities (CSF, GM, WM and interior brain region) of the two scans were performed and the results were used to normalize the intensity of the two scans. The intensity window was automatically chosen to be [I_{CSF mean}+I_{CSF sd}, I_{GM mean}-I_{GM sd}], where I_{CSF mean}, I_{CSF sd}, I_{GM mean} and I_{GM sd} are the mean and standard deviation of CSF intensity, and the mean and standard deviation of GM intensity. BSI was then calculated (Leung *et al.* 2010).

References

Freeborough PA, Fox NC. The boundary shift integral: an accurate and robust measure of cerebral volume changes from registered repeat MRI. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 1997; 16: 623-629.

Freeborough PA, Fox NC, Kitney RI. Interactive algorithms for the segmentation and quantitation of 3-D MRI brain scans. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 1997; 53: 15-25.

Leung KK, Clarkson MJ, Bartlett JW, Clegg S, Jack CR, Jr., Weiner MW, Fox NC, Ourselin S. Robust atrophy rate measurement in Alzheimer's disease using multi-site serial MRI: tissue-specific intensity normalization and parameter selection. Neuroimage 2010; 50: 516-523.

Lewis EB, Fox NC. Correction of differential intensity inhomogeneity in longitudinal MR images. Neuroimage. 2004; 23: 75-83.

Rueckert D, Sonoda LI, Hayes C, Hill DL, Leach MO, Hawkes DJ. Nonrigid registration using free-form deformations: application to breast MR images. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 1999; 18: 712-721.



Woods RP, Grafton ST, Holmes CJ, Cherry SR, Mazziotta JC. Automated image registration: I. General methods and intrasubject, intramodality validation. J Comput. Assist. Tomogr. 1998; 22: 139-152.

About the Authors

This document was prepared by Kelvin K. Leung, Casper Nielsen and Nick C. Fox at the Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology. For more information please contact Kelvin at +44 020 3448 4773 or by email at kkleung@ucl.ac.uk.

Notice: This document is presented by the author(s) as a service to ADNI data users. However, users should be aware that no formal review process has vetted this document and that ADNI cannot guarantee the accuracy or utility of this document.