The gaps between primes

By LEI SHI

Abstract

It is proved that

- \cdot For any positive integer d, there are infinitely many prime gaps of size 2d.
 - For every integer greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Notation and definitions	
3.	Lemmas	4
4.	Estimation of $L_2(a,t)$ and η	17
5.	Proof of theorems	21
References		25

1. Introduction

In number theory, Polignac's conjecture was made by Alphonse de Polignac in 1849 and states:

For any positive even number n, there are infinitely many prime gaps of size n. In other words: There are infinitely many cases of two consecutive prime numbers with difference n. The case n = 2, it is the twin prime conjecture.

Although the conjecture has not yet been proven or disproven for any given value of n, in 2013 an important breakthrough was made by Zhang Yitang who proved that there are infinitely many prime gaps of size n for some value of n < 70,000,000. Later that year, James Maynard announced a related breakthrough which proved that there are infinitely many prime gaps of some size less than or equal to 600. As of April 14, 2014, one year after Zhang's announcement, according to the Polymath project wiki, n has been reduced to 246. Further, assuming the Elliott–Halberstam conjecture and its generalized form, the Polymath project wiki states that n has been reduced to 12 and 6, respectively [6].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

Goldbach's conjecture is one of the oldest and best-known unsolved problems in number theory and all of mathematics. It was proposed by the German mathematician Christian Goldbach in a letter to Leonhard Euler on 7 June 1742. It states that every even whole number greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers. The conjecture has been shown to hold for all integers less than 4×10^{18} , but remains unproven despite considerable effort [3].

In this paper, we prove the above two conjectures:

- Theorem 1. For any positive even number n, there are infinitely many prime gaps of size n. (This is Polignac's conjecture. The case n=2 is the twin prime conjecture.)
- **Theorem 2.** Every even whole number greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers. (This is Coldbach's conjecture.)

```
12
13
                                   2. Notation and definitions
14
15
           Notation.
16
               a, b, c, d, h, i, j, k, m, n, q, t, w, u: integers.
17
               p: a prime number.
18
               p_t: the t-th odd prime number p_1=3, p_2=5, etc.
19
               a \mid d means a is a divisor of d.
20
               a \nmid d means a does not divide d.
21
               x: variable.
22
               |x| means the largest integer which does not exceed x.
23
               [x] means the least integer not less than x.
24
                      means d choose a; the binomail coefficient \frac{d!}{a!(d-a)!}.
25
<u>26</u>
               A: an abstract field for function parameter.
<u>27</u>
               \mathbb{Z}: the field of integers.
28
               \mathbb{M}^{\circ}: the base set of p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n.
29
               \mathbb{M}_i: infinite set generated by elements of \mathbb{M}^{\circ} with offset i.
30
               \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j} means \mathbb{M}_i \cup \mathbb{M}_j.
31
               \mathbb{A}[a,b) means \mathbb{A}\cap[a,b).
<u>32</u>
               |\mathbb{A}| denote the cardinality of set \mathbb{A}.
<u>33</u>
               \lambda(A,d): generate a new set by adding d to each element of set A.
34
               T(a): product function.
35
               \chi(a, \mathbb{A}): use 0 or 1 to indicate whether a belongs to \mathbb{A}.
36
               \Lambda(d): the von Mangoldt function.
<u>37</u>
               \theta(x): the first Chebyshev function.
38
               \psi(x): the second Chebyshev function.
39
               (a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots), (\cdots): ordered arrays.
<u>40</u>
               \rho((a_1, a_2, \cdots)), \vartheta((\cdots)): custom functions for lemma declaration.
41
               \mu((a_1, a_2, \cdots), m): a custom function for proving lemma.
<u>42</u>
```

```
\mathcal{J}(p), \mathcal{K}(p), \mathcal{S}(w): custom functions for proving lemma.
1
               \varrho(x): a custom function, we will prove that it is less than 1.
2
               \eta: used to denote the boundary that satisfies some conditions.
3
               L_{i}\left(a,t\right): used to estimate a smaller upper bound of \eta.
\underline{4}
               \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{H}: custom sets.
5
               v(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \cdots): defined to assist in estimating L_i(a, t).
6
               (f(x))' means f'(x), that is the derivative of f(x).
7
               \exp \{\cdots\}: exponential function.
8
               \inf\{\cdots\}: greatest lower bound.
9
               \sup\{\cdots\}: least upper bound.
10
```

Definition 1. For $n \geq 1$,

11

12

 $\frac{13}{14}$

 $\frac{15}{16}$ $\frac{17}{17}$

18 19

20 21

<u>22</u>

<u>23</u>

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

 $\frac{27}{28}$ $\frac{29}{29}$

30

 $\frac{31}{32}$ $\frac{33}{33}$

<u>34</u>

 $\frac{35}{36}$ $\frac{37}{37}$

<u>38</u>

 $\frac{39}{40}$ $\frac{41}{41}$

<u>42</u>

$$\mathbb{M}^{\circ} = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}.$$

Definition 2. For any i,

$$\mathbb{M}_i = \bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}}} \{km + i\}.$$

Definition 3. For any i and j,

$$\mathbb{M}_{i\cup j} = \mathbb{M}_i \cup \mathbb{M}_j$$
.

Definition 4. Let λ be the function, defined by

$$\lambda\left(\mathbb{A},d\right)=\left\{ m:m=a+d\wedge a\in\mathbb{A}\right\} .$$

Definition 5. For any a,

$$T(a) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}} (m - a).$$

Definition 6. Let the function χ be given by

$$\chi(a, \mathbb{A}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{A}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 7. The von Mangoldt function Λ is defined by

$$\Lambda\left(d\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \ln p & \quad \text{if } d = p^k \wedge k \geq 1, \\ 0 & \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

The unique factorization property of the natural numbers implies

$$\ln d = \sum_{a|d} \Lambda(a),$$

the sum is taken over all integers a that divide d [7].

Definition 8. The first Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is defined by

$$\theta\left(x\right) = \sum_{p \le x} \ln p,$$

where the sum is over primes $p \leq x$ [2].

Definition 9. The second Chebyshev function $\psi(x)$ is defined similarly

$$\psi\left(x\right)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{p^{k}\leq x}\ln p=\sum_{d\leq x}\Lambda\left(d\right),$$

with the sum extending over all prime powers not exceeding x [2].

3. Lemmas

In this section we introduce a number of prerequisite results, some of them given here may not be in the strongest forms, but they are adequate for the proofs of **Theorems 1** and **2**.

LEMMA 1.
$$(\forall i, j)$$
 ($\mathbb{M}_i = \lambda (\mathbb{M}_i, j - i)$).

Proof. By Definition 2 and Definition 4, we obtain

$$\mathbb{M}_{j} = \bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}}} \{km + j\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}}} \{km + i + (j - i)\}$$

$$= \lambda (\mathbb{M}_{i}, j - i).$$

293031

<u>32</u>

<u>33</u>

 $\frac{34}{35}$

38

<u>39</u>

41

<u>42</u>

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{5}{2}$

<u>6</u>

7 8

9 10

 $\frac{11}{12}$ $\frac{13}{13}$

 $\frac{14}{15}$

<u>16</u>

<u>17</u>

<u>18</u>

 $\frac{19}{20}$

<u>21</u>

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{22} \\ \underline{23} \\ \underline{24} \\ \underline{25} \end{array}$

 $\frac{26}{27}$

<u>28</u>

LEMMA 2. $(\forall i, h, a)$ $(\chi(h, M_i) = \chi(h + a, \lambda(M_i, a)) = \chi(h + a, M_{i+a}))$.

Proof. Let us suppose

$$\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = 1,$$

 $\frac{36}{37}$ then

$$(\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (k_0 m_0 + i = h).$$

 $\underline{40}$ And by Lemma 1,

$$\lambda\left(\mathbb{M}_{i}, a\right) = \mathbb{M}_{i+a}.$$

```
Hence,
1
\underline{2}
                                \chi(h+a, \lambda(\mathbb{M}_i, a)) = \chi(h+a, \mathbb{M}_{i+a})
3
                                                                 =\chi((k_0m_0+i)+a, M_{i+a})
\underline{4}
                                                                 = \chi (k_0 m_0 + (i+a), M_{i+a})
5
                                                                 = 1.
6
7
       Otherwise,
8
                                                           \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = 0,
9
       then
<u>10</u>
                                          (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \land m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (km + i \neq h).
11
\underline{12}
       Hence,
<u>13</u>
                                                    h + a \neq km + (i + a),
\underline{14}
       i.e.
<u>15</u>
                                   \chi(h+a, M_{i+a}) = \chi(h+a, \lambda(M_i, a)) = 0.
16
       So that
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
                  (\forall i, h, a) (\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h + a, \lambda(\mathbb{M}_i, a)) = \chi(h + a, \mathbb{M}_{i+a})).
<u>19</u>
<u>20</u>
               Lemma 3. (\forall i, h \land m \in \mathbb{M}_0) ( \chi(m(h-i)+i, \mathbb{M}_i)=1 ).
21
<u>22</u>
               Proof. Obviously,
<u>23</u>
                                      (\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (k_0 m_0 + 0 = m).
24
<u>25</u>
       Let
26
                                                          k_1 = k_0 (h - i),
\underline{27}
       then
28
                                                  m(h-i)+i = k_1m_0+i.
<u>29</u>
       So that
<u>30</u>
31
                                 \chi(m(h-i)+i, M_i) = \chi(k_1m_0+i, M_i) = 1.
32
<u>33</u>
               LEMMA 4. (\forall i, h \land u \notin \mathbb{M}_0) ( \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(u(h-i) + i, \mathbb{M}_i) ).
<u>34</u>
<u>35</u>
               Proof. Suppose that
<u>36</u>
                                                           \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = 1,
<u>37</u>
       then
<u>38</u>
                                       (\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^\circ) (k_0 m_0 + i = h).
<u>39</u>
<u>40</u>
       Hence,
\underline{41}
                               \chi(u(h-i)+i, M_i) = \chi((uk_0)m_0+i, M_i) = 1.
42
```

```
Otherwise,
1
2
                                                             \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = 0,
3
       then
4
                                        (\forall k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (k_0 m_0 + i \neq h).
<u>5</u>
<u>6</u>
       Noting that
7
                                        (\forall k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_1 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (k_1 m_1 + 0 \neq u).
8
       Combining the both, we have
9
10
                                     (\forall k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_2 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (k_2 m_2 \neq u (h - i)).
<u>11</u>
       Thus,
12
                                                    u(h-i) + i \neq k_2 m_2 + i,
<u>13</u>
<u>14</u>
       i.e.
15
                                                    \chi\left(u\left(h-i\right)+i,\ \mathbb{M}_{i}\right)=0.
<u>16</u>
<u>17</u>
       So that
<u>18</u>
                            (\forall i, h \land u \notin \mathbb{M}_0) (\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(u(h-i) + i, \mathbb{M}_i)).
19
               Remark 1. A stronger conclusion is that
<u>20</u>
21
                                              \{m: 0 \leq m < T(0) \land m \notin \mathbb{M}_0\}
<u>22</u>
       is a multiplicative group of integers modulo T(0). It will not be proved here
<u>23</u>
       because this conclusion is not used in the proofs of this paper.
24
25
<u>26</u>
               LEMMA 5. (\forall i, h, d) ( \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h + dT(0), \mathbb{M}_i) ).
<u>27</u>
<u>28</u>
               Proof. By Lemma 2,
<u>29</u>
                                        \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h + dT(0), \mathbb{M}_{i+dT(0)}),
30
31
       and
<u>32</u>
                        \mathbb{M}_{i+dT(0)} = \lambda \left( \mathbb{M}_i, dT(0) \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \{km + i + dT(0)\}.
<u>33</u>
34
<u>35</u>
<u>36</u>
       By the Definition 5,
<u>37</u>
                                                           T\left(0\right) = \prod_{m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}} m.
38
<u>39</u>
       This implies that
<u>40</u>
41
                            (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \land m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) ((\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}) (km + dT(0) = k_0 m))
<u>42</u>
```

 $\underline{1}$ Combining this with above,

11 Hence,

 $\frac{14}{15}$ $\underline{16}$

<u>17</u>

<u>20</u>

<u>22</u>

<u>23</u>

 $\frac{24}{25}$

26

<u>27</u>

28 29

<u>30</u>

 $\frac{31}{32}$ $\frac{33}{34}$ $\frac{35}{36}$ $\frac{37}{38}$

39

 $\frac{40}{41}$

42

$$\chi\left(h,\ \mathbb{M}_{i}\right)=\chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right),\ \mathbb{M}_{i+dT\left(0\right)}\right)=\chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right),\ \mathbb{M}_{i}\right).$$

Remark 2. So we can see that M_i is periodic and its period is T(0).

LEMMA 6.
$$(\forall i, j, h, d)$$
 $(\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j}) = \chi(h + dT(0), \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j}))$.

 $\frac{18}{19}$ Proof. By Lemma 5 we have

$$\chi(h, M_i) = \chi(h + dT(0), M_i),$$

 $\frac{21}{}$ and

$$\chi(h, M_j) = \chi(h + dT(0), M_j).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\chi(h + dT(0), \, \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j}) = \chi(h + dT(0), \, \mathbb{M}_{i}) \circledast \chi(h + dT(0), \, \mathbb{M}_{j})$$
$$= \chi(h, \, \mathbb{M}_{i}) \circledast \chi(h, \, \mathbb{M}_{j})$$
$$= \chi(h, \, \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j}),$$

where we do not need to know exactly what operator \circledast does.

Remark 3. We can also prove it by the truth table.

(1 T) (T)	$\frac{1}{\chi\left(h,\mathbb{M}_{i}\right)\left \chi\left(h,\mathbb{M}_{j}\right)\right \chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right)\left \chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right)\right \chi\left(h,\mathbb{M}_{i\cup j}\right)\right \chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right)\right)}{\chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right)\left \chi\left(h,\mathbb{M}_{i\cup j}\right)\right \chi\left(h+dT\left(0\right)\right }$						
$\chi(n, \mathbb{M}_i)$	$\mid \chi(n, \mathbb{N}_j) \mid$	$\chi(n+dT(0))$	$\chi(n+aT(0))$	$\chi(n, \mathbb{N}_{i \cup j})$	$\chi(n+dT(0))$		
		$,\mathbb{M}_{i})$	$,\mathbb{M}_{j})$		$,\mathbb{M}_{i\cup j})$		
0	0	0	0	0	0		
0	1	0	1	1	1		
1	0	1	0	1	1		
1	1	1	1	1	1		

So $M_{i \cup j}$ and M_i have the same period.

LEMMA 7.
$$|M_0[0, T(0))| = T(0) - T(1)$$
.

Proof. It is easy to see that

```
when
1
                                     (\exists m \in \mathbb{M}_0) (j-i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}).
2
3
     Obviously,
4
                                            T(0) > T(1) > T(2) > 0.
5
     Combining with the above, we have
<u>6</u>
                                 |\mathbb{M}_{i \cup j} [0, T(0))| \le T(0) - T(2) < T(0).
7
8
     By Lemma 6, \mathbb{M}_{i \cup i} is periodic with T(0), and considering Lemma 8, we can
9
10
                 |\mathbb{M}_{i | j}[a, a + T(0))| = |\mathbb{M}_{i | j}[0, T(0))| < T(0) - T(2) < T(0).
11
<u>12</u>
            LEMMA 10. (\exists \eta > 0) ((\forall i, j, a) (|\mathbb{M}_{i \cup j} [a, a + \eta)| < \eta)).
<u>13</u>
14
            Proof. By Lemma 9, there are at least T(2) numbers in any range T(0)
<u>15</u>
     that make
<u>16</u>
                                                  \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_{i \sqcup i}) = 0,
<u>17</u>
     where
<u>18</u>
                                                  h \in [a, a + T(0)).
19
<u>20</u>
     It can also be expressed as
21
                      (\forall i, j, a) \left( \left( \sum_{h \in [a, a + T(0)) \land \gamma(h, M_{i+i}) = 0} 1 \right) \ge T(2) > 0 \right)
\underline{22}
<u>23</u>
     So that
25
                                                    0 < \eta \le T(0).
<u>26</u>
<u>27</u>
<u>28</u>
     On the basis of Lemma 10 we have
29
            Lemma 11. (\forall i, j, a) ((\exists h \in [a, a + \eta)) (\chi(h, M_i) = \chi(h + j - i, M_i) = 0)).
30
31
            Proof. By Lemma 10,
<u>32</u>
                           (\forall i, j, \mathbf{a}) ((\exists h_0 \in [a, a + \eta)) (\chi(h_0, M_{i \cup j}) = 0)),
<u>33</u>
<u>34</u>
     so that
35
                                         \chi(h_0, M_i) = \chi(h_0, M_i) = 0.
<u>36</u>
     By Lemma 2,
<u>37</u>
                                      \chi(h_0, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h_0 + j - i, \mathbb{M}_i).
38
     Therefore,
39
                                    \chi(h_0, M_i) = \chi(h_0 + j - i, M_i) = 0.
<u>40</u>
41
\underline{42}
```

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2} & \text{Lemma 12. } For \ t \geq 1, \\ \frac{2}{3} & (\forall m_1, m_2, m_3, \cdots, m_t \in \mathbb{M}^\circ) \left(\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{T}} \rho \left(\delta \right) \leq \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{T}} \vartheta \left(\delta \right) \right) \\ \frac{4}{5} & \text{where} \\ \frac{6}{5} & \text{where} \\ \frac{7}{5} & \rho \left((a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots) \right) \\ \frac{9}{5} & \rho \left((a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots) \right) \\ \frac{9}{5} & \rho \left((a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots) \right) \\ \frac{9}{5} & \rho \left((a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots) \right) \\ \frac{9}{5} & \rho \left((a_1, a_2, a_3, \cdots) \right) \\ \frac{11}{12} & \rho \left((a_1$$

Then 1 2 $\mathcal{S}(1) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} t - \mathcal{J}(p_1) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}(p_1)}{\begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{\mathcal{K}(p_1)}{\begin{pmatrix} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) \end{pmatrix}}$ 3 $\underline{4}$ 5 <u>6</u> $\leq \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{K}(p_1)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) \end{array}\right)} = \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) \end{array}\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} p_1^{\mathcal{J}(p_1)-1} \\ \end{array}\right)} = \frac{1}{p_1^{\mathcal{J}(p_1)-1}},$ 7 8 9 10 11 <u>12</u> <u>13</u> 14 $\mathcal{S}(2) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} t - \mathcal{J}(p_2) \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}(p_2)}{\begin{pmatrix} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t - \mathcal{J}(p_1) \\ \mathcal{J}(p_2) \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{\mathcal{K}(p_2)}{\begin{pmatrix} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_2) \end{pmatrix}}$ <u>15</u> <u>16</u> 17 <u>18</u> $\leq \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}t\\\mathcal{J}(p_2)\\\hline \\f\end{array}\right)}{t} = \frac{1}{p_2^{\mathcal{J}(p_2)-1}},$ 19 <u>20</u> <u>21</u> $\underline{22}$ $\underline{23}$ 24 <u>25</u> $\mathcal{S}(3) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} t - \mathcal{J}(p_3) \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) + \mathcal{J}(p_2) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}(p_3)}{\begin{pmatrix} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_1) + \mathcal{J}(p_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t - \mathcal{J}(p_1) - \mathcal{J}(p_2) \\ \mathcal{J}(p_2) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}(p_2)}$ <u>26</u> <u>27</u> <u>28</u> <u>29</u> 30 $=\frac{\mathcal{K}(p_3)}{\left(\begin{array}{c}t\\ \mathcal{J}(p_3)\end{array}\right)} \leq \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}t\\ \mathcal{J}(p_3)\end{array}\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{c}t\\ t\end{array}\right)} = \frac{1}{p_3^{\mathcal{J}(p_3)-1}},$ <u>31</u> <u>32</u> <u>33</u> 34 35 <u>36</u> <u>37</u> 38 <u>39</u> $\mathcal{S}(n) = \frac{\mathcal{K}(p_n)}{\left(\begin{array}{c} t \\ \mathcal{J}(p_n) \end{array}\right)} \le \frac{1}{p_n^{\mathcal{J}(p_n) - 1}}.$ <u>40</u> 41 <u>42</u>

So that 1 2 $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{T}} \rho\left(\delta\right) \leq \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t} \prod_{w \in [1, n]} S\left(w\right) \prod_{w \in [1, n]} p_w^{\mathcal{J}(p_w) - 1}$ 3 $\underline{4}$ 5 6 $\leq \frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t} \prod_{w \in [1,n]} \frac{1}{p_w^{\mathcal{J}(p_w)-1}} \prod_{w \in [1,n]} p_w^{\mathcal{J}(p_w)-1}$ 7 8 9 $=\frac{|\mathcal{T}|}{m_1m_2\cdots m_t}$ <u>10</u> 11 $=\sum_{\delta\in\mathcal{T}}\vartheta\left(\delta\right).$ 12 <u>13</u> <u>14</u> 15 On the basis of Lemma 12 we have 16 <u>17</u> Lemma 13. For $t \geq 1$, <u>18</u> <u>19</u> $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{T}} \rho\left(\delta\right) \le \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{T}} \vartheta\left(\delta\right)$ <u>20</u> 21 <u>22</u> where <u>23</u> $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{d0}} \{(m_1, m_2, m_3, \cdots, m_t)\}.$ 24 <u>25</u> $m_2 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}$ 26 $\vdots \\ m_t \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}$ <u>27</u> 28 <u>29</u> *Proof.* Let 30 31 $s = \sum_{h_1 \ge 0 \land h_2 \ge 0 \land \dots \land h_n \ge 0} 1,$ 32 <u>33</u> $h_1 + h_2 + \cdots + h_n = t$ <u>34</u> <u>35</u> <u>36</u> $\{\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2,\cdots,\mathcal{T}_s\}$ <u>37</u> <u>38</u> <u>39</u>

Proof: page numbers may be temporary

 $h_1 \ge 0 \land h_2 \ge 0 \land \dots \land h_n \ge 0$

 $h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_n = t$

<u>40</u>

 $\frac{41}{42}$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{5}{5} \\ \frac{6}{6} \\ \frac{7}{7} \\ \frac{8}{8} \\ \frac{9}{9} \\ \frac{10}{10} \\ \frac{10}{11} \\ \frac{11}{12} \\ \frac{13}{14} \\ \frac{14}{15} \\ \frac{15}{14} \\ \frac{15}{14} \\ \frac{15}{15} \\ \frac{17}{15} \\ \frac{1$$

Proof: page numbers may be temporary

 $M' \approx -0.238502787152357217 \cdots$

The value of M' is approximately

 $\frac{40}{41}$

 $\underline{42}$

Since 1 $\underline{2}$ $\left|\ln\left(1-2p^{-1}\right)+2p^{-1}\right| = \left|\int_{1}^{1-2p^{-1}} \left(t^{-1}-1\right)dt\right|$ 3 4 $= \left| \frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{2^2}{2p^2} - \frac{2^3}{3p^3} - \frac{2^4}{4p^4} - \dots \right|$ 5 6 $<\frac{2^2}{2p^2} + \frac{2^3}{2p^3} + \frac{2^4}{2p^4} + \cdots$ 7 8 9 $=\frac{2}{p\left(p-2\right) },$ 10 11 and <u>12</u> $\sum_{p>2} \frac{2}{p(p-2)}$ <u>13</u> 14 is convergent, the series 15 16 $\sum_{n \ge 2} \left(\ln \left(1 - 2p^{-1} \right) + 2p^{-1} \right)$ <u>17</u> 18 must be convergent. Because the series <u>19</u> $\sum_{p > 2} \left(p^{-1} \right)$ <u>20</u> 21 <u>22</u> is divergent and so the product <u>23</u> $\prod_{p>2} (1-2p^{-1})$ <u>24</u> <u>25</u> <u>26</u> must diverge also. We can deduce that <u>27</u> \sum_{2 28 29 30 $= \sum_{p} \left(\ln \left(1 - 2p^{-1} \right) + 2p^{-1} \right) - \sum_{p>x} \left(\ln \left(1 - 2p^{-1} \right) + 2p^{-1} \right)$ 31 32 $= \sum_{p} \left(\ln \left(1 - 2p^{-1} \right) + 2p^{-1} \right) + O\left(\sum_{p > x} \left(\frac{1}{p(p-2)} \right) \right)$ <u>33</u> 34 35 $= \sum_{n} \left(\ln \left(1 - 2p^{-1} \right) + 2p^{-1} \right) + O\left(x^{-1} \right),$ 36 37 38 39 $\ln \left(\prod_{2$ <u>40</u> 41

Proof: page numbers may be temporary

42

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{1}{2} & = -2\sum_{p\leq x} \left(p^{-1}\right) + \sum_{2< p\leq x} \left(\ln\left(1-2p^{-1}\right) + 2p^{-1}\right) \\ \frac{4}{5} & = -2\ln\ln x - 2M' + \sum_{2< p\leq x} \left(\ln\left(1-2p^{-1}\right) + 2p^{-1}\right) + O\left(\ln^{-1}x\right). \\ \frac{6}{7} & \text{It's known from numerical calculation} \\ \frac{9}{7} & \sum_{p\geq 2} \left(\ln\left(1-2p^{-1}\right) + 2p^{-1}\right) \approx -0.660393386913. \\ \frac{10}{9} & \text{Combining with the above, we can crudely estimate} \\ \frac{11}{12} & \prod_{2< p\leq x} \left(1-2p^{-1}\right) \geq \frac{0.4}{\ln^2 x} \\ \frac{12}{13} & \text{through numerical analysis.} \\ \frac{15}{16} & \left(\frac{|x|!}{\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor!\right)^2} < 6^{\frac{x}{2}}\right). \\ \frac{19}{20} & Proof. \text{ If } \lfloor x \rfloor = 2k \text{ is even, then} \\ \frac{1}{22} & \frac{|x|!}{\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor!\right)^2} = \left(\frac{2k}{k}\right) \leq 2^{2k} = 4^{\frac{x}{2}} \leq 2^{2k+1} \left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right)^k < 6^{\frac{x}{2}}, \\ \frac{23}{24} & \text{because it's the largest binomail coefficient in the binomail expansion of } (1+1)^{2k}. \\ \frac{26}{25} & \text{Otherwise, } \lfloor x \rfloor = 2k+1 \text{ is odd, then} \\ \frac{26}{27} & \frac{|x|!}{\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\right\rfloor!\right)^2} = \left(\frac{2k+1}{k}\right) (k+1) \leq 2^{2k} (k+1) \leq 2^{2k+1} \left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right)^k < 6^{\frac{x}{2}}. \\ \frac{29}{30} & \text{Lemma } 16. & \textit{Upper bounds exist for both } \theta\left(x\right) \text{ and } \psi\left(x\right) \text{ that} \\ \frac{29}{32} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac$$

Proof: page numbers may be temporary

 $\psi(x) \ge \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \ge \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \ge \psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) \ge \cdots,$

40

 $\frac{41}{42}$

so that

1

<u>5</u>

<u>6</u> 7

<u>8</u> <u>9</u>

10 11

12 13

 $\frac{14}{15}$ $\frac{16}{16}$

 $\frac{17}{18}$

21

22

 $\frac{25}{26}$ $\frac{27}{27}$

<u>28</u>

 $\frac{29}{30}$ $\frac{31}{31}$

 $\frac{34}{35}$

 $\frac{36}{37}$

39

 $\frac{40}{41}$ $\frac{42}{42}$

$$\psi(x) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \le \ln\left(\lfloor x\rfloor!\right) - 2\ln\left(\lfloor \frac{x}{2}\rfloor!\right) = \ln\left(\frac{\lfloor x\rfloor!}{\left(\lfloor \frac{x}{2}\rfloor!\right)^2}\right).$$

Combining this with Lemma 15, we can get

$$\psi(x) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) < \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \ln 6.$$

Changing x to $\frac{x}{2}$, $\frac{x}{4}$, $\frac{x}{8}$, \cdots , we have

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) < \left(\frac{x}{4}\right)\ln 6,$$

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{4}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{8}\right) < \left(\frac{x}{8}\right)\ln 6,$$

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{8}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{x}{16}\right) < \left(\frac{x}{16}\right)\ln 6,$$

Adding up them all, we have

$$\psi(x) < x \ln 6$$
.

 $\frac{19}{20}$ It is easy to see that the relationship between $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ is given by

$$\psi\left(x\right) = \sum_{d>1} \theta\left(x^{\frac{1}{d}}\right).$$

 $\frac{23}{24}$ There is the fact that

$$\theta(x) \le \psi(x) < x \ln 6.$$

Lemma 17. For $x \geq 3$, let

$$\varrho(x) = \left(\prod_{2$$

 $\frac{32}{33}$ then $\varrho(x) < 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 16,

$$\ln\left(\prod_{2$$

 $\frac{38}{}$ thus

$$\left(\prod_{2$$

```
By Lemma 14,
1
                                   \left(1 - \prod_{2 
2
3
\underline{4}
5
      Combining these results with numerical analysis we obtain
\underline{6}
                                  \varrho\left(x\right)<\exp\left\{x\ln 6\right\}\left(1-\frac{0.4}{\ln^2 x}\right)^{\left(\frac{\ln^2 x}{0.4}\right)x\ln 6}
7
8
                                            < \exp \{x \ln 6\} \exp \{-x \ln 6\}
9
10
                                            = 1.
11
<u>12</u>
<u>13</u>
                                         4. Estimation of L_2(a,t) and \eta
14
             In this section we estimate L_2(a,t) and \eta.
<u>15</u>
      First, for t \geq 0, let
16
<u>17</u>
           L_1(a,t) = \{m: \{m, m+1, m+2, \cdots, m+t\} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_i [a, a+T(0)+t)\}.
18
      We can see that for each element in L_1(a,t), it denotes that there are (t+1)
19
      consecutive elements in M_i [a, a + T(0) + t). We have
<u>20</u>
                                         |L_1(a,t)| \le T(0) \left(1 - \frac{T(1)}{T(0)}\right)^{t+1}.
<u>21</u>
<u>22</u>
<u>23</u>
             Proof. For t = 0, obviously,
24
                              |L_1(a,0)| = T(0) - T(1) = T(0) \left(1 - \frac{T(1)}{T(0)}\right).
<u>25</u>
<u>26</u>
      Otherwise, let
27
                                                      s = \sum_{m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}} 1,
<u>28</u>
<u>29</u>
                                                               m_1 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}
30
<u>31</u>
<u>32</u>
                                                               m_t \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}
<u>33</u>
                   \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \cdots, \mathcal{H}_s\} = \bigcup \left(\left\{\left\{m_0 q^0, m_1 q^1, \cdots, m_t q^t\right\}\right\}\right),
34
<u>35</u>
                                                      m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}
<u>36</u>
                                                      m_1 \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}
<u>37</u>
38
                                                      m_t \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}
<u>39</u>
      where q > 1 is any pseudo prime number that
<u>40</u>
41
                                             (\forall m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}).
\underline{42}
```

```
And
1
                                                                     v\left(\mathcal{H}_1,\mathcal{H}_2,\cdots\right) = \frac{q^{\alpha}}{\prod \delta},
\underline{2}
3
4
           where \alpha is the number of pseudo prime factor q contained in
5
6
7
8
           Considering the proof of Lemma 7 and Lemma 8,
9
<u>10</u>
                                                             |L_1(a,t)| = \left| \bigcap_{w \in [0,t]} \mathbb{M}_{i+w} \left[ a, a + T(0) \right) \right|
11
12
<u>13</u>
                                                = \left| \bigcup_{\substack{m_i \in \mathbb{M}_i [a, a+T(0)) \\ m_{i+1} \in \mathbb{M}_{i+1} [a, a+T(0)) \\ \vdots \\ m_{i+t} \in \mathbb{M}_{i+t} [a, a+T(0))} \left( \bigcap_{d \in [i,i+t]} m_d \right) \right|
<u>14</u>
<u>15</u>
16
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
<u>19</u>
<u>20</u>
21
22
<u>23</u>
24
25
26
<u>27</u>
28
                  = \left| \bigcup_{ (\forall k_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_0 \in \mathbb{M}^\circ) (m_i = k_0 m_0 + i \land m_i \in [a, a + T(0))) \atop (\forall k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_1 \in \mathbb{M}^\circ) (m_{i+1} = k_1 m_1 + i + 1 \land m_{i+1} \in [a, a + T(0))) \atop \vdots \atop (\forall k_t \in \mathbb{Z} \land m_t \in \mathbb{M}^\circ) (m_{i+t} = k_t m_t + i + t \land m_{i+t} \in [a, a + T(0))) \right|
29
31
32
<u>33</u>
<u>34</u>
<u>35</u>
36
                                                                                           \left(\bigcap_{l\in[\cdot,+d]}m_d\right).
<u>37</u>
<u>38</u>
39
           Combining this with Lemma 12 and Lemma 13,
40
41
                                                                                                  |L_1(a,t)| \leq
42
```

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{5} \\$$

$$\frac{26}{27} \qquad L_2(a,t) = \{m : \{m, m+1, m+2, \cdots, m+t\} \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j} [a, a+T(0)+t)\}.$$

We can also see that for each element in $L_{2}(a,t)$, it denotes that there are $\underline{9}(t+1)$ consecutive elements in $\mathbb{M}_{i\cup j}[a,a+T(0)+t)$.

30 It is similar to the case of $L_1(a,t)$, combining this with Lemma 9, we have

 $\frac{41}{42}$

 $\underline{1}$ when x > 436 through numerical analysis.

2 So that f(x) is monotonically increasing when x > 436.

 $\underline{3}$ Next, the numerical analysis is continued, we can easily get another crude result that

 $\frac{6}{}$ when x > 2096.

7 Now we know that the condition (4.4) is satisfied when $p_n > 2096$.

Example 2006. Therefore, (4.3) holds for $p_n > 2096$.

 $\frac{9}{10}$

<u>16</u>

19 20

21

 $\frac{22}{23}$ $\frac{24}{24}$

<u>25</u>

<u>28</u>

30

31

 $\frac{32}{33}$

34

<u>37</u>

 $\frac{40}{41}$

 $\underline{42}$

5

5. Proof of theorems

 $\frac{11}{12}$ We are now in the position to prove **Theorem 1** and **2**.

For n with $p_n \leq 2096$, we know that the theorems hold through computer verification.

 $\overline{}_{15}$ Otherwise, we have

$$\eta \le \frac{p_n^2}{8}.$$

 $\frac{17}{18}$ Since

$$\eta \leq \frac{p_n^2}{8} < \frac{p_n^2+1}{2},$$

combining this with Lemma 11, we have

$$\left(\forall a, i, j \in \left[i+1, i+\frac{p_n+1}{2} \right) \right)$$

$$\left(\left(\exists h \in \left[a, a + \frac{p_n^2 + 1}{2}\right)\right) \left(\chi\left(h, \, \mathbb{M}_i\right) = \chi\left(h + j - i, \, \mathbb{M}_i\right) = 0\right)\right).$$

 $\frac{26}{27}$ And let

$$a = i + \frac{T(0) + p_n}{2},$$

 $\frac{29}{2}$ we have

(5.1)
$$\left(\forall i, j \in \left[1, \frac{p_n + 1}{2}\right)\right) \left(\left(\exists h \in \left[i + \frac{T(0) + p_n}{2}, \frac{T(0) + p_n}{2}\right]\right)\right)$$

$$i + \frac{T(0) + p_n^2 + p_n + 1}{2}$$
) $(\chi(h, M_i) = \chi(h + j, M_i) = 0)$.

 $\frac{35}{36}$ Then we can deduce that for every h in (5.1) satisfying the condition

$$(\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h+j, \mathbb{M}_i) = 0),$$

 $\frac{38}{39}$ so we have q_1 and q_2 are both prime numbers, defined by

$$q_1 = 2\left(h - i\right) - T\left(0\right),\,$$

$$q_2 = q_1 + 2j = 2(h - i) - T(0) + 2j.$$

```
Proof. Since
1
                                       \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h+j, \mathbb{M}_i) = 0,
2
3
      we have
<u>4</u>
                    \chi(h, M_i) = \chi(h-i, \lambda(M_i, 0-i)) = \chi(h-i, M_0) = 0.
5
      Because the prime number 2 does not belong to \mathbb{M}^{\circ},
6
      by Lemma 4, we have
7
8
                                  \chi(h, M_i) = \chi(2(h-i) + i, M_i) = 0.
9
      Combining this with Lemma 5 we have
10
11
                  \chi(h, M_i) = \chi(2(h-i) + i - T(0), M_i) = \chi(q_1, M_0) = 0.
<u>12</u>
      i.e.
<u>13</u>
<u>14</u>
      (5.2)
                                       (\forall m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (q_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}).
<u>15</u>
      Similarly, we have
16
             \chi(h+i, M_i) = \chi(2(h-i)+i-T(0)+2i, M_i) = \chi(q_2, M_0) = 0.
<u>17</u>
<u>18</u>
      i.e.
<u>19</u>
                                       (\forall m \in \mathbb{M}^{\circ}) (q_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}).
      (5.3)
<u>20</u>
21
      Noting that the domain of h, we can deduce
<u>22</u>
                                          q_1 \in [p_n, p_n(p_n+1)],
<u>23</u>
                                          q_2 \in [p_n + 2, \ p_n (p_n + 2)].
24
<u>25</u>
      Obviously,
26
                                                T(0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
<u>27</u>
                                                    q_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2},
<u>28</u>
<u>29</u>
                                                    q_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.
30
      And \mathbb{M}^{\circ} contains all odd primes not greater than p_n, so that
31
32
                                            \forall w \in [p_n, p_n(p_n+2)],
<u>33</u>
      if w is not a prime number, there must be
<u>34</u>
                                  (\exists m \in (\mathbb{M}^{\circ} \cup \{2\})) (w \equiv 0 \pmod{m}).
<u>35</u>
<u>36</u>
      Thus, combined with (5.2) and (5.3), q_1 and q_2 must be prime numbers.
<u>37</u>
      This implies that
<u>38</u>
            for every p_s > 2096, there must be primes p_a and p_b between p_s and
      p_s^2 + 2p_s
<u>40</u>
                                   \left(\forall d \in \left[1, \frac{p_s + 1}{2}\right)\right) \left(p_a - p_b = 2d\right).
41
42
```

i.e. 1 $(\forall p_s > 2096) ((\exists p_a, p_b \in [p_s, p_s^2 + 2p_s])$ 2 3 $\left(\left(\forall d \in \left[1, \frac{p_s+1}{2}\right)\right) \left(p_a - p_b = 2d\right)\right)\right).$ 4 5 Since there are infinite primes, we can conclude that <u>6</u> for any positive integer d, there are infinitely many prime gaps of size 2d. 7 This proves **Theorem 1**. 8 9 Next, let us transform the problem of gaps between primes into the prob-<u>10</u> lem of sums of primes. 11 Let <u>12</u> $a = i + \frac{(T(0) + p_1)}{2}.$ <u>13</u> <u>14</u> Since $\eta \leq \frac{p_n^2}{8}$ <u>15</u> <u>16</u> <u>17</u> combining this with Lemma 10, we have 18 $\left(\forall i, j \in \left[i + p_1 + \left\lceil \frac{p_n^2}{8} \right\rceil, i + p_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{p_n^2}{2} \right\rfloor\right)\right) \left(\left(\exists h \in \left\lceil i + \frac{T(0) + p_1}{2}, \right\rceil\right)\right)$ 19 <u>20</u> $i + \frac{T(0) + p_1}{2} + \left\lceil \frac{p_n^2}{8} \right\rceil \right) \left(\chi(h, \, \mathbb{M}_{i \cup j}) = 0 \right) \right).$ 21 <u>22</u> <u>23</u> Then we can deduce that for every h in (5.4) satisfying the condition 24 $(\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_{i\cup i}) = 0),$ <u>25</u> <u>26</u> so we have q_1 and q_2 are both prime numbers, defined by <u>27</u> $q_1 = 2(h-i) - T(0)$, <u>28</u> $q_2 = 2(j - h) + T(0)$. <u>29</u> 30 *Proof.* By the condition, 31 32 $\chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = \chi(h, \mathbb{M}_i) = 0.$ <u>33</u> Then it is similar to the proof of **Theorem 1**, 34 35 $\chi(q_1, M_0) = \chi(2(h-i), M_0) = \chi(h-i, M_0) = \chi(h, M_i) = 0,$ <u>36</u> $\chi(q_2, M_0) = \chi(2(j-h), M_0) = \chi(h-j, M_0) = \chi(h, M_i) = 0.$ <u>37</u> 38 It is easy to see that

Proof: page numbers may be temporary

 $(\forall m \in (\mathbb{M}^{\circ} \cup \{2\})) (q_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}),$

 $(\forall m \in (\mathbb{M}^{\circ} \cup \{2\})) (q_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}).$

39

 $\frac{40}{41}$

 $\underline{42}$

Noting that the domain of h, we can deduce

$$\frac{2}{3} \qquad q_1 \in \left[p_1, \ 2\lceil \frac{p_n^2}{8} \rceil + p_1 \right],$$

$$\frac{4}{5} \qquad q_2 \in \left[p_1, \ p_n^2 + p_1 \right].$$

So q_1 and q_2 are both prime numbers. 6

7 Now let us look at the domain of $(q_1 + q_2)$,

$$q_1 + q_2 = 2(j-i) \in \left[2p_1 + 2\lceil \frac{p_n^2}{8} \rceil, \ 2p_1 + 2\lfloor \frac{p_n^2}{2} \rfloor\right).$$

<u>10</u> This implies that

11 for every $p_s > 2096$, there must be primes p_a and p_b between p_1 and <u>12</u> <u>13</u>

$$\left(\forall d \in \left[p_1 + \left\lceil \frac{p_s^2}{8} \right\rceil, \ p_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{p_s^2}{2} \right\rfloor\right)\right) \left(\ p_a + p_b = 2d \ \right).$$

i.e. 16

1

5

8

9

<u>14</u> <u>15</u>

19

<u>20</u>

<u>23</u>

26

<u>29</u> <u>30</u>

$$\frac{17}{18} \quad (5.5) \qquad (\forall p_s > 2096) \left(\left(\exists p_a, p_b \in \left[p_1, p_s^2 + p_1 \right] \right) \left(\left(\forall d \in \left[p_1 + \left\lceil \frac{p_s^2}{8} \right\rceil, \right) \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

$$p_1 + \lfloor \frac{p_s^2}{2} \rfloor \biggr) (p_a + p_b = 2d) \biggr) .$$

21 By Bertrand-Chebyshev theorem [1], we have 22

$$p_{s+1} < 2p_s$$

<u>24</u> then <u>25</u>

$$\frac{p_{s+1}^2}{8} < \frac{p_s^2}{2}$$

<u>27</u> 28

$$(\forall s > 1) \left(\left[p_1 + \left\lceil \frac{p_s^2}{8} \right\rceil, \ p_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{p_s^2}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \cap \left[p_1 + \left\lceil \frac{p_{s+1}^2}{8} \right\rceil, \ p_1 + \left\lfloor \frac{p_{s+1}^2}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \neq \phi \right).$$

31 Combining this with (5.5), we can conclude that

$$\frac{32}{33} \qquad (\forall p_s > 2096) \left((\exists p_a, p_b) \left(\left(\forall d \in \left[p_1 + \lceil \frac{p_u^2}{8} \rceil, \ p_1 + \lfloor \frac{p_s^2}{2} \rfloor \right) \right) (\ p_a + p_b = 2d \) \right) \right),$$

where p_u is the smallest prime greater than 2096, that is, 2099. <u>35</u>

36 It is easy to get

$$p_1 + \lceil \frac{p_u^2}{8} \rceil = 3 + 550726 = 550729.$$

39 i.e.

<u>37</u>

38

$$\frac{40}{41} \qquad (\forall p_s > 2096) \left((\exists p_a, p_b) \left(\left(\forall d \in \left[550729, \ p_1 + \lfloor \frac{p_s^2}{2} \rfloor \right) \right) (\ p_a + p_b = 2d \) \right) \right).$$

1	While the results of $d \in [1,550729)$ can be obtained by computer-aided verifi-				
$\frac{-}{2}$	cation.				
3	Since there are infinite primes, we can conclude that				
$\underline{4}$	for every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers.				
<u>5</u>	This proves Theorem 2 .				
<u>6</u>	References				
<u>7</u>					
<u>8</u>	[1] WIKIPEDIA, Bertrand's postulate, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bertrand%27s.				
9	postulate. [2] Wikipedia, Chebyshev function, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chebyshev.				
<u>10</u>	function. Tunction, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chebyshev.				
11	[3] Wikipedia, Goldbach's conjecture, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Goldbach%				
<u>12</u>	27s_conjecture.				
13 14	[4] WIKIPEDIA Meissel-mertens constant https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Meissel%				
14 15	$E2\%80\%93Mertens_constant.$				
$\frac{15}{16}$	[5] WIKIPEDIA, Mertens' theorems, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mertens%27				
17 17	theorems.				
<u>18</u>	[6] WIKIPEDIA, Polignac's conjecture, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Polignac%27s.conjecture.				
<u>19</u>	[7] Wikipedia, Von mangoldt function, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/				
20	Von_Mangoldt_function.				
<u>21</u>	<u> </u>				
<u>22</u>	E-mail: theyarestone@163.com				
<u>23</u>					
<u>24</u>					
$\underline{25}$					
<u>26</u>					
$\underline{27}$					
<u>28</u>					
<u>29</u>					
<u>30</u>					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35 36					
<u>36</u>					
$\frac{37}{38}$					
<u>39</u>					
<u>40</u>					
41					

 $\underline{42}$