

# ME C231A / EE C220B Project Plan: MPC for ERCOT BESS Bidding Strategy

*Thibaud Cambronne, Lazlo Samuel Paul, Maximilian Christof, Agustin Nahuel Coppari Hollmann*

## 1. Introduction & Motivation

As renewable penetration increases, volatility in electricity prices creates both a challenge for grid stability and an opportunity for arbitrage using Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS). **Project Goal:** We aim to design a 2 stage control strategy using MPC to maximize revenue by participating in Day-Ahead (DA) and Real-Time (RT) Energy and Ancillary Service (AS) markets, serving as a benchmark against ongoing research in the eCAL lab with Prof. Scott Moura. We will focus our analysis on the ERCOT market (Texas).

## 2. Overview Project Scope

We will develop a two-stage optimization controller. Both stages are designed as **convex optimization problems**.

- **Stage 1 - Day-Ahead (DA) Scheduler:** Runs at 10 am of Day-1 for hours 0 to 23 of Day 0 (the target day).
  - Determines bids/commitment for DA Energy, Regulation Up, and Regulation Down capacity (other AS ignored at this stage for simplicity), as well first estimate of the RT energy bids
- **Stage 2 - Real-Time (RT) MPC Controller:** Manage state-of-charge (SoC) and real-time energy bids while enforcing DA commitments.

If time allows, we would additionally want to consider:

- Integration of the 3 remaining DA Ancillary Services: Responsive Reserve Service (RRS), Non-Spinning Reserve (NSRS), and ERCOT Contingency Reserve Service (ECRS).
- Implementation of a basic forecasting model (e.g., LSTM or XGBoost) to replace the Persistence forecast.

## 3. Goals & Analysis Metrics

Our primary objective is **Revenue Maximization**. We will evaluate the controller's performance through three specific lenses:

1. **Value of information:** Quantify the "optimality gap" (= performance) between the Persistence Forecast controller and the Perfect Information controller to understand the theoretical upper bound of revenue.
2. **Horizon sensitivity:** Analyze how horizon handling affects performance and end-of-day SoC by comparing:
  - *Shrinking Horizon:* Horizon that reduces as the day progresses.
  - *Receding Horizon:* Fixed window of 24h, 48h, and 72h.
3. **(Optional) Robustness to anomalies:** Evaluate performance on "out-of-distribution" days (e.g., grid failures, extreme weather events) to see if the MPC effectively capitalizes on critical price spikes.

## 5. Team Task Breakdown

*To ensure all members gain experience with MPC implementation, we will work together on the formulation and implementation of the MPC. Collaboration will be done through GitHub ([repo here](#)). Data on prices of the different markets can easily be found on ERCOT's portal.*

Member	Primary Responsibility	Learning Goal Focus
Thibaud, Lazlo	<b>Forecaster:</b> Module taking as inputs a control strategy (perfect, persistence, LSTM, etc...), a current time of the day, a horizon and returning a forecast between the current time and the end of the horizon	Machine Learning and Data processing
Lazlo	<b>DA stage solver:</b> Module solving the DA stage, and providing bids for the DA markets, as well as a first estimate of the RT bids and the RT SoC	Convex optimization & Solver interfacing
Max, Agustin	<b>RT stage solver:</b> Module solving the RT MPC given a horizon	MPC
All	<b>Combine the DA and the RT stages:</b> Evaluate performance	MPC
Thibaud	<b>Horizon sensitivity analysis:</b> Analyze how horizon handling affects performance and end-of-day SoC	MPC
?	<b>(Optional) Robustness to anomalies:</b> Evaluate performance on "out-of-distribution" days	MPC