

Identifying and Analyzing Communities in YouTube Comment Sections

Thiago Amado Costa¹, Humberto Torres Marques Neto¹

¹ICEI – Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais (PUC-MG)
Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais - Brasil

thiago.amado@sga.pucminas.br, humberto@sga.pucminas.br

Abstract. *YouTube is the largest video streaming platform on the web, attracting billions of users daily who watch and engage with content through comments. A significant portion of these users consist of children and teenagers, who frequently interact with one another in the comment sections, forming active communities. However, these communities can also experience negative interactions between users, including instances of online bullying. To explore these communities, we used the YouTube Data API v3 to collect comments from videos produced by creators targeting this demographic. We used these comments to construct co-commenter networks. We then applied topic modeling and sentiment analysis to gain deeper insights into the content and dynamics within these communities. This study identified distinct community patterns. Smaller communities demonstrated stronger internal connections, while larger networks showed weaker ties. The analysis uncovered two significant findings: one community showing coordinated negative behavior, and another revealing signs of targeted youth advertising practices.*

1. Introduction

YouTube has grown exponentially since its launch in 2005. With billions of users, it is now the largest video streaming platform on the web, and a major source of online entertainment content. As highlighted by [Alqahtani et al. 2023], many children and teenagers use the platform as an alternative to traditional entertainment sources, such as television, with the majority of their browsing time spent on YouTube.

One way children and teenagers interact on the platform is through the comment section. This feature allows users to share their thoughts on the video, the content creator, and form online communities. These interactions can significantly impact their social development, behavior and attitudes. Additionally, the contrasting one-way relationship between users and content creators further amplifies this impact by creating emotional connections that shape how they experience social media [Lozano-Blasco et al. 2023]. Furthermore, children's YouTube usage patterns are influenced by factors such as self-regulation abilities. These patterns not only affect their engagement with content but are also linked to emotional and behavioral problems [Kim et al. 2024] .

The analysis of the communities formed in YouTube comments can provide valuable insights into the dynamics of user interactions, providing a deeper understanding of the platform's social ecosystem. This process involves identifying groups of users that share similar behaviors, typically modeled as a graph, where nodes are represented by users and edges represent interactions, and unsupervised techniques as well as deep

learning techniques are frequently employed to detect them [Nooribakhsh et al. 2024]. For instance, a study utilized co-commenter networks to analyze suspicious commenter behaviors, leveraging network structural features and clustering methods to identify coordinated activities and behavioral similarities among channels [Shajari et al. 2023] .

The study by [Alqahtani et al. 2023] demonstrates that many current developments focus on identifying inappropriate content targeting young children. Advanced machine learning and deep learning techniques are being used to improve the detection of harmful videos, with the goal of enhancing children’s safety on the platform. However, there is limited research focusing on the analysis of the social network communities formed on the comment section of YouTube creators who produce content aimed at children and teenagers.

This study aims to analyze the communities formed within the comment sections of YouTube creators who produce content aimed at children and teenagers, as well as the content of the comments themselves. To achieve this, we selected 10 Brazilian YouTube creators based on their subscriber count and total views. The analysis begins with the construction of a commenter dataset for each creator by collecting all comments from 50 videos. The number of videos collected was an arbitrary choice. Subsequently, we generate co-commenter networks by linking users who commented on the same video. Then, we compute graph metrics and apply the Louvain Algorithm to detect community structures within these networks. Additionally, we analyze the content of the comments using Topic Modeling and Sentiment Analysis to gain further insights into their thematic and emotional characteristics. The results reveal notable community structures within the networks. Larger networks tend to exhibit weaker internal connections, while smaller communities show higher densities and clustering coefficients. Sentiment analysis indicates that positive comments typically express fan appreciation, whereas negative comments often reflect dissatisfaction with the content. Notably, we identified a specific community that exhibits negative sentiments due to possible organized activities, as well as signs of targeted advertising aimed at children and teenagers in another community.

The remainder of this study is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews related work, highlighting prior research on community analysis, co-commenter networks, and sentiment analysis in the context of YouTube and children’s content. Section 3 details the methodology, including data collection, co-commenter network construction, community detection using the Louvain Algorithm, and the application of topic modeling and sentiment analysis. Section 4 presents the results of the analysis, focusing on the community structures identified, their characteristics, and insights from sentiment and topic modeling. Finally, Section 5 summarizes key findings, discusses limitations, and proposes directions for future research.

2. Related Work

A social network community can be defined as a set of members of that specific network that interact with each other, similar to real-world communities but in an online environment. These online communities are shaped based on how users interact on each platform, and the analysis of such communities can uncover hidden relationships between users and how they engage [Nooribakhsh et al. 2024].

A recent research by [Kirdemir and Adeliyi 2023] uses community analysis to in-

investigate coordinated inauthentic campaigns on YouTube, focusing on characterizing suspicious behaviors through a multi-step analysis of engagement trends and co-commenter networks. These co-commenter networks are built by connecting two commenters if they commented on the same video. By analysing these co-commenter networks and channel engagement trends, they were able to identify patterns indicative of manipulation, such as increasing views paired with decreasing subscribers, and highlight channels exhibiting coordinated commenting behaviors. In [Shajari et al. 2023], the authors follow this methodology to explore the problematic behaviors of commenters on YouTube, specifically focusing on "commenter mobs" that manipulate engagement metrics to distort public perception. By analyzing 20 targeted channels through social network analysis, the research fills gaps in understanding how suspicious commenter activities boost engagement, which has been insufficiently addressed in prior literature. Employing a co-commenter network model and clustering techniques, the study identifies distinct groups with varying levels of suspiciousness and highlights collusion among commenters across channels. Key findings reveal central figures driving discussions and coordinated efforts to amplify specific narratives, suggesting these channels significantly contribute to the spread of misinformation.

With a similar methodology, the study by [Hussain et al. 2018] investigates disinformation tactics used on a specific conspiracy theory channel, distinguishing its approach by focusing on user engagement patterns rather than just spam detection. Data was collected using the YouTube Data API, monitoring metrics like views, likes, dislikes, and comments, revealing patterns indicative of potential manipulation. The analysis identified two commenter groups, peripheral and core, with the second group exhibiting higher instances of inorganic behaviors. A co-commenter network analysis revealed clusters around specific conspiracy topics and identified bot-like and spam actions.

In [Shekhar et al. 2021], the authors investigate the prevalence and nature of abusive comments on YouTube, particularly focusing on the impact of hate speech on users, especially teenagers, by utilizing exploratory data analysis and topic modeling. The study employed Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) for topic modeling and sentiment analysis using the TextBlob library to assess the emotional tone of comments. Findings revealed that certain YouTubers received significantly harsher comments, with varying sentiment levels indicating the need for interventions to protect young creators from severe online harassment. The study concludes by recommending measures such as disabling comments on particularly abused videos and emphasizes the importance of understanding the dynamics of cyberbullying.

In the context of children's content on YouTube [Alqahtani et al. 2023], machine learning and deep learning techniques are used to analyze video, audio, and user comments to detect inappropriate material. These methods often rely on natural language processing for text analysis and computer vision for visual content detection. Despite recent advancements, the challenge of accurately detecting inappropriate content targeted at this demographic using machine learning and deep learning approaches still persists.

This study differs from the previously mentioned works, by focusing specifically on the co-commenter networks formed in the comment sections of YouTube creators who produce content aimed at children and teenagers. While prior research has explored co-commenter networks to detect suspicious behaviors, coordinated activities, and abusive




Figure 1. Methodology

language, these studies have primarily targeted general or adult-oriented content. Additionally, research on children’s content has largely concentrated on detecting inappropriate material within videos rather than analyzing user interactions. By combining community detection through the Louvain Algorithm with Topic Modeling and Sentiment Analysis, this work provides a perspective on the thematic and emotional dynamics within these communities, addressing a gap in understanding the social interactions surrounding child-focused content on YouTube.

3. Methodology

This section outlines the methodology employed, which is divided into three main phases: data collection, community analysis, and comment analysis, as illustrated in Figure 1. The data collection phase describes the process of gathering comments from selected YouTube channels using the YouTube Data API v3. The community analysis phase explains the construction of co-commenter networks, the application of the Louvain Algorithm for community detection, and the computation of graph metrics to characterize these networks. Finally, the comment analysis phase details the use of BERTopic for topic modeling and XLM-RoBERTa for sentiment analysis to uncover thematic and emotional patterns within the comments. We describe each phase in detail to provide a comprehensive overview of the methodological steps taken to analyze user interactions in YouTube comment section.

3.1. Data Collection

Initially, we used the YouTube Data API v3 to gather comprehensive channel metadata for a group of YouTube creators. Through Viewstats¹, an analytics platform that facilitates ranking the most viewed channels by country and category, we selected ten creators based on the size of their subscriber base, total view count, and content type. Additionally, we conducted a brief manual review of each creator’s content to assess whether it was primarily targeted toward children and teenagers. A summary of the data is presented in Table 1.

Subsequently, we retrieved video IDs and titles for 50 videos from each channel. Finally, we construct a dataset of user-generated comments and replies by aggregating

¹<https://www.viewstats.com/>

Table 1. YouTubers with Subscribers, Views and Number of Comments

YouTuber	Subscribers	Total Views	Comments	Content Type
Felipe Neto	46,800,000	18,171,376,895	43,232	Entertainment, vlogs
Enaldinho	40,000,000	18,009,391,826	26,702	Entertainment, vlogs
Natan por Aí	24,800,000	16,409,135,985	22,472	Entertainment, vlogs
Rezendeevil	33,800,000	13,686,976,806	34,027	Entertainment, vlogs
Robin Hood Gamer	21,500,000	11,309,149,204	40,855	Gaming (Minecraft, Roblox)
Brancoala	13,100,000	9,897,577,900	21,838	Family vlogs, Games
AuthenticGames	20,100,000	8,967,486,579	24,363	Gaming (Minecraft)
Camila Loures	15,600,000	5,509,570,500	21,463	Lifestyle vlogs
Geleia	10,200,000	3,207,064,265	36,366	Gaming (Minecraft)
CadresPlayer	8,640,000	2,640,042,941	11,211	Gaming (Minecraft)

all comments and their replies associated with the selected videos. We also collected additional contextual information, such as the video titles and the number of likes each comment received. The number of comments collected per channel ranges approximately 11,000 to 43,000.

3.2. Community Analysis

To analyze the communities that emerge within each YouTuber’s comment section, we used the datasets constructed in the previous phase to construct the co-commenter networks. We connect each commenter to another if they commented on the same video, increasing the weight of the edge if they commented on more than one video together. To maintain only the stronger connections, we filtered out co-commenters who commented on less than 10 videos together, as done by [Shajari et al. 2023] and [Kirdemir and Adeliyi 2023].

To identify the communities of each co-commenter network we used the Louvain Algorithm. We chose this algorithm because of its high efficiency and performance on real-world networks without ground truth, as shown by [You et al. 2020].

Following the method developed by [Kirdemir and Adeliyi 2023], we computed a set of metrics computed for each co-commenter network, including average degree, number of nodes and edges, average coefficient, modularity, coverage, the number of communities identified with the Louvain Algorithm. We also computed graph clique metrics, including the number of maximal cliques that have at least five members, average clique size, median clique size, average degree of clique members, average clustering coefficient of clique members. To discover the similarities between the channels, we used these metrics with KMeans and Hierarchical Clustering, along with Principal Component Analysis to reduce the complexity while maintaining important features.

3.3. Comments Analysis

To further understand user behavior, we implement topic modeling and sentiment analysis. For the topic modeling phase, we employ the BERTopic model [Grootendorst 2022] and for the sentiment analysis we use the multilingual XLM-roBERTa-base model [Barbieri et al. 2022]. Initially, we processed and cleaned these comments, masking user handles to preserve anonymity, removing emojis, links and stop words. Then, we use topic modeling to extract themes associated with the communities identified in the previous phase. Finally, the sentiment analysis model evaluates the polarity of the content,

offering deeper insights into the emotional tone of the discussions and facilitating a more comprehensive analysis of the communities.

4. Results

In this section, the results are shown and analyzed. Considering that a modularity value above 0.3 is a good indicator of significant community structures in a network [Clauset et al. 2004], the Louvain algorithm successfully identified significant community structures in all the YouTube creator's co-commenter networks. We applied the KMeans and Hierarchical Clustering methods with the community metrics described, with the reduced complexity of the Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Both methods identified 5 distinct clusters, as can be seen in Figure 2.

The most distinguishable channels are on clusters 1 (Rezendeevil) and 3 (Felipe Neto). Both creators had very large co-commenter networks, with Rezendeevil's network having 13,808 nodes and 149,033 edges, and Felipe Neto having 24,987 nodes and 125,165 edges. The creator Rezendeevil had the lowest modularity (0.52) but the highest average degree (21.5), along with the greatest number of maximal cliques and the largest average clique size. This indicates that both creators have high interconnected and engaged communities. The higher average degree indicates that Rezendeevil's followers are more engaged than Felipe Neto's, while the higher modularity score shows that Felipe Neto's community structure is more distinct. This is illustrated in Figure 4 and Figure 5, where each identified community is represented by a distinct color. The edge shading indicates the strength of connections: lighter edges denote weaker connections (lower edge weight), while darker edges indicate stronger connections (higher edge weight).

A per community sentiment analysis showed that most comments on Rezendeevil's videos were labeled as positive by the XLM-roBERTa-base model, which is consistent with a highly engaged community of fans. Most positive comments focus on the video content, specific individuals featured, or compliments directed toward the creator. Through topic analysis, a recurring theme labeled "quero" was identified, with comments such as "Eu quero" and "Eu quero muito uma." (translated as "I want" or "I really want one"). These comments predominantly come from members of communities 5 and 6, characterized by higher density values (0.15) and lower conductance metrics (0.07) relative to other communities. Additionally, these communities exhibit lower clustering coefficients, a pattern observed across all communities. A more detailed investigation of the videos receiving these comments could reveal potential signs of direct or implicit advertising aimed at children and teenagers.

In contrast to Rezendeevil, Felipe Neto had more negative comments than positive comments. By analyzing the polarity per community, it was possible to identify that community 12, that has the highest percentage of negative comments (55.3%), is also the community with the highest clustering coefficient of approximately 0.23, lowest conductance of approximately 0.06 and highest density of 0.21. This may indicate a close-knit organized community that frequently comment on the same content spreading negative and hateful comments. Topic Modeling also shows recurring themes labeled "Brasil", "Hipocrisia" ("Hypocrisy"), "Dinheiro, Pobres" ("Money, Poor"), with comments such as "é tanta burrice por metro quadrado é um absurdo como tem gente que acredita nestas hipocrisias" ("it's such stupidity per square meter, it's absurd how many people believe in




Figure 2. KMeans and Hierarchical Clustering

these hypocrisies.”), ”Esse Felipe merda é uma das desgraças que so existe aqui no Brasil, ...” (“This Felipe piece of trash is one of the disasters that only exists here in Brazil, ...”). Figure 3 provides a word cloud visualization of these comments. The term ‘user’ is used as a placeholder to mask instances where one commenter mentions another user’s name. The other communities had lower densities, lower average clustering coefficients and higher values of conductance. These communities displayed a wider variety of topics and a higher number of positive comments compared to community 12.




Figure 3. Felipe Neto's negative comments word cloud

Cluster 2 represents creators with smaller, tightly-knit networks with stronger internal connections, which suggests that users within their communities frequently interact with each other but not much across different subgroups. Topic Modeling shows that topics related to fan appreciation dominate across all communities, with comments like "Te amo Cadres" ("I love you Cadres"), "Oi Natan eu sou teu fan" ("Hi Natan, I'm your fan"). The analysis of both creators' communities with the highest proportion of negative comments showed that while their communities can be critic about their content, they are not entirely hostile. Most negative feedback arises from unmet expectations or dissatisfaction with specific content, with comments like "Não faz isso Natan voce pode morrer sem ar" ("Don't do this, Natan, you could suffocate") or "Natan faz algo melhor que isso" ("Natan, do something better than this"). For Cadresplayer, many criticisms focused on the creator's voice, with topics frequently labeled by the keywords "voice", "strange", "AI", "different". This was reflected in comments such as "Cadres sua voz mudou, está parecendo um robô" ("Cadres, your voice has changed, it sounds like a robot"). For both creators, the communities with the highest proportion of negative comments have varying numbers of clustering coefficient, density and conductance.

The networks of Camila Loures, Robin Hood Gamer, and Geleia0 in Cluster 0 exhibit moderately engaged networks, with significant differences in their community dynamics. All three creators have networks characterized by high modularity values (Geleia0: 0.90, Robin Hood Gamer: 0.84, Camila Loures: 0.64), indicating well-defined subgroups, though Camila Loures’s network is more interconnected with fewer communities (7) compared to the more fragmented networks of Robin Hood Gamer (24 communities) and Geleia0 (31 communities). The average degree is highest for Camila Loures (12.44), suggesting higher interaction levels among her commenters, while the clustering coefficients are low across all creators, indicating sparse local connectivity within communities.

In terms of topics and sentiment polarity, Camila Loures's audience engages positively with lifestyle content, though criticism arises around repetitive video formats. The analysis of the creator's less positive communities revealed negative feedback on video




Figure 4. Rezendeevil's Communities

styles or lack of originality ("Essa temporada ta ruim demais muito chata", "This season is really bad, very boring"), as well as neutral comments with content requests ("Você poderia fazer um vídeo mais interativo com os fãs.", "You could make a more interactive video with fans"). These communities demonstrated higher density values, lower conductance metrics, and a significantly elevated average degree (approximately 25, compared to less than 10 in all other communities). However, they exhibited a low average clustering coefficient.

Robin Hood Gamer follows a similar pattern of feedbacks. His fans engage positively around Minecraft videos, with many supporting comments from fans. The analysis of the less positive communities also revealed negative feedback related to content, often focused on content quality, as well as suggestions for future content improvements. However, these communities did not show significant differences compared to the rest of the creator's communities, also showing varying values of clustering coefficient, density and conductance. Geleia0 shows a similar pattern to Robin Hood Gamer, but with emphasis on the communities with the highest proportion of negative comments (44.8% and 38.3%) with comments often expressing frustration, insults, or dissatisfaction with the content or creators. These comments frequently include abusive language, criticism of creators' personalities or actions, and expressions of anger across different themes. Examples include "O dream é um monstro matou o próprio pet que raiva" ("Dream is a monster; he killed his own pet, how infuriating."), "Aaaaaa o geleia é muito burroooooooooo que ódio" ("Aaaaaa Geleia is so dumb, how hateful."), "Nao foi justo ele tirou o messi porque ele nao gosta da argentina" ("It wasn't fair, he took out Messi because he doesn't like




Figure 5. Felipe Neto's Communities

Argentina”). Figure 6 provides a word cloud visualization of these comments.



Figure 6. Geleia0's negative comments word cloud

Cluster 4 represents creators with moderately sized networks that show similar community dynamics and thematic engagement, exhibiting network structures with node counts ranging from 11,000 to 12,000 and edge counts varying between 26,000 and 37,000. The creators in this cluster (Enaldinho, AuthenticGames, and Brancoala) have networks characterized by high modularity values (ranging from 0.75 to 0.86), though

their clustering coefficients are low, reflecting sparse local connectivity within communities. Enaldinho has 14 communities, AuthenticGames has 20, and Brancoala has 19, which shows varying levels of audience fragmentation. Topic Modeling revealed the same pattern of content requests, negative feedback over repetitive content and fan appreciation. For all creators of this cluster, the communities with the highest proportion of negative comments showed varying numbers of average clustering coefficient, density and conductance.

Overall, the analysis of YouTube co-commenter networks revealed distinct patterns of community structure, engagement, and thematic focus across the identified clusters. Each cluster exhibits unique characteristics based on graph metrics, sentiment polarity and topic modeling. Across all co-commenter networks, the communities identified with the Louvain algorithm showed varying numbers of clustering coefficient, density and average degree. Table 2 shows the main metrics used per cluster.

Table 2. Network Characteristics by YouTuber

Cluster	YouTuber	Nodes	Edges	Avg Degree	Modularity	Communities
0	enaldinho	12,827	37,520	5.85	0.75	14
0	AuthenticGames	11,019	32,616	5.92	0.73	20
0	brancoala	11,589	26,118	4.51	0.87	19
1	rezendeevil	13,808	149,033	21.59	0.52	8
2	geleia0	19,978	72,053	7.21	0.90	31
2	RobinHoodGamer1	18,107	68,117	7.52	0.84	24
2	camilaloures	8,817	54,845	12.44	0.64	7
3	felipeneto	24,987	125,165	10.02	0.65	14
4	natanporai	5,359	13,017	4.86	0.83	26
4	cadresplayer	2,770	5,203	3.76	0.78	14

Across all clusters, neutral comments dominate, reflecting passive engagement or constructive feedback. While positive sentiments typically reflect fan appreciation, negative responses generally stem from unmet expectations or frustration with repetitive content, with occasional hateful and targeted comments. Larger communities serve as broader hubs with weaker internal connections, possibly with users that don't often interact with others.

Within the networks of clusters 0, 2 and 4, smaller communities generally show higher densities and clustering coefficients compared to larger ones. Overall, polarity within these communities is generally non-hostile, with exceptions that are sporadically distributed across communities. Analysis of the most negative communities reveals diverse characteristics: while some display more elevated density and clustering coefficient values, others exhibit lower values. Complementing this sentiment analysis, topic modeling provides additional insights, for example fans of Cadresplayer expressed dissatisfaction with the creator's voice, describing it as robotic, while Geleia0's fans engaged in discussions on sports-related themes.

In contrast, the analysis of Cluster 3's network identified a distinct group disseminating negative comments directed at the creator and other users, with topic modeling revealing recurring themes within these interactions. Meanwhile, the analysis of Cluster 1's network with topic modeling highlighted potential indicators of direct or implicit

advertising.

5. Conclusion and Future Works

This study analyzed the communities formed in the comment sections of YouTube creators who produce content aimed at children and teenagers. By leveraging co-commenter network analysis, community detection with the Louvain Algorithm, topic modeling, and sentiment analysis, the research uncovered significant insights into the structure, engagement, and thematic dynamics of these online communities.

Our findings highlighted the existence of distinct community structures within YouTube comment sections. By grouping channels into clusters, the analysis allowed for a detailed comparison of the similarities and differences across these networks. Topic modeling and sentiment analysis revealed consistent patterns across all creators: positive comments were primarily associated with fan appreciation, neutral comments indicated passive engagement, and negative comments often reflected unmet expectations or dissatisfaction.

However, we noted exceptions. For instance, in Cluster 3 the network analysis revealed a distinct community spreading negative comments, with higher values of clustering coefficient and density, and low conductance. This pattern was unique to Cluster 3, in other networks, communities with similar network metrics did not necessarily correspond to higher levels of negativity. Communities with high negativity were found across clusters 0, 2 and 4 both in groups with similar network characteristics to Cluster 3's community (high density and clustering coefficient) and in those with lower network metric values.

This research demonstrates the value of examining social interactions in YouTube comments through the combined application of community analysis, topic modeling, and sentiment analysis. Future studies would benefit from exploring alternative community detection algorithms, analyzing larger datasets spanning multiple videos and channels, investigating overlapping community structures, and extending the analysis to different social media platforms. This expanded scope would provide deeper insights into user behavior and community dynamics, ultimately contributing to the development of a safer online environment for children and teenagers.

References

- Alqahtani, S. I., Yafooz, W. M. S., Alsaedi, A., Syed, L., and Alluhaibi, R. (2023). Children's safety on youtube: A systematic review. *Applied Sciences*, 13(6).
- Barbieri, F., Espinosa Anke, L., and Camacho-Collados, J. (2022). XLM-T: Multilingual language models in Twitter for sentiment analysis and beyond. In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 258–266, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Clauset, A., Newman, M. E. J., and Moore, C. (2004). Finding community structure in very large networks. *Phys. Rev. E*, 70:066111.
- Grootendorst, M. (2022). Bertopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based tf-idf procedure. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05794*.

- Hussain, M. N., Tokdemir, S., Agarwal, N., and Al-Khateeb, S. (2018). Analyzing disinformation and crowd manipulation tactics on youtube. In *2018 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*, pages 1092–1095. IEEE.
- Kim, D., Lee, S., Kim, H., and Shin, Y. (2024). From temperament to youtube: exploring the link between childhood temperament, youtube usage patterns, and emotional/behavioral problems among children. *BMC Public Health*, 24.
- Kirdemir, B. and Adeliyi, O. (2023). Towards characterizing coordinated inauthentic behaviors on youtube. In *The 2nd Workshop on Reducing Online Misinformation through Credible Information Retrieval (ROMCIR 2022) held with the 44th European Conference on Information Retrieval (ECIR 2022)*.
- Lozano-Blasco, R., Mira-Aladrén, M., and Gil-Lamata, M. (2023). Social media influence on young people and children: Analysis on instagram, twitter and youtube. *Comunicar: Media Education Research Journal*, 31(74):117–128.
- Nooribakhsh, M., Fernández-Diego, M., González Ladrón-De-Guevara, F., and Mollamotalebi, M. (2024). Community detection in social networks using machine learning: a systematic mapping study. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, pages 1–55.
- Shajari, S., Agarwal, N., and Alassad, M. (2023). Commenter behavior characterization on youtube channels.
- Shekhar, S., Akanksha, and Saini, A. (2021). Utilizing topic modelling to identify abusive comments on youtube. In *2021 International Conference on Intelligent Technologies (CONIT)*, pages 1–5.
- You, X., Ma, Y., and Liu, Z. (2020). A three-stage algorithm on community detection in social networks. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 187:104822.