

# ML2 vs ML ++ - Questionnaire

Thimoty

August 2025

## 1 Background

### 1.1 Educational Level

- ☐ High School or equivalent
- ☐ Vocational/Technical Training
- ☐ Professional Bachelor's Degree
- ☐ Bachelor's Degree
- ☐ Master's Degree
- ☐ Doctorate
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.2 Technical Background

- ☐ IoT/CPS System Developer
- ☐ Embedded Software engineer
- ☐ Data Scientist / ML engineer
- ☐ Educator
- ☐ Software Architect
- ☐ Software Engineer backend/front-end/full-stack
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.3 Self Rated Experience

	Beg.	Inter.	Adv.	Expert
IoT/CPS development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Model-Driven Eng.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ML/ Data-science	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## 1.4 Prior Experience

Have you used this type of software before?

## 2 Questionnaire

Select the software version: Manual (M) or Graphical (G). Questions with a letter apply only to that software version.

### 2.1 Time Efficiency

**Design** How much time did you spend designing the use cases?

**Implementation** How much time did you spend implementing the use cases?

### 2.2 Functionality

**Successful Implementation** Do you think you were able to complete the use cases successfully?

- None ☐ Partially ☐ Completely ☐

**Ease of use: DSL** How easy was it to define model instances?

- Data types & Objects: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
- Fragments & Messages: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
- Things: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
  - Ports: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
  - Properties: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
  - Behaviour: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
  - Data Analytics: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐
- Configuration: Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐

**Ease of use: ML**

- Data preprocessing:    Difficult ☐    Moderate ☐    Easy ☐
- Model training:    Difficult ☐    Moderate ☐    Easy ☐
- Model evaluation:    Difficult ☐    Moderate ☐    Easy ☐

**Code Quality**    How would you rate the written python code or generated python code?

**Broken Features**    Which of th existing features do you feel need to be worked on?

**Missing Features**    Do you feel like there are missing features?

## 2.3    Learning Curve

**Learning**    How much time did you think was needed to be comfortable to use the software?

**Learning**    How easy was it to learn the software?

- Easy ☐    Moderate ☐    Hard ☐

**Intuitivity**    How intuitive was it to use the software?

**Unintuitivity** Which part of the software or workflow was the hardest to learn?

**LoC Python** (M) How many lines of python code did you write?

**LoC DSL** (M) How many lines of ML-Quadrat code did you write?

## 2.4 Error Handling and Debugging

**Error Rate** How many errors did you encounter when implementing the use cases?

**Error Description** Did the software provide clear error messages?

- Not Clear ☐ Moderately Clear ☐ Very Clear ☐

**Debugging Time** How long did it take to resolve these issues?

**Debugging Effort** How difficult was it to resolve these issues?

- Easy ☐ Moderate ☐ Hard ☐

## 2.5 User Satisfaction

**Overall Satisfaction** How satisfied are you with the workflow?

- Dissatisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Satisfied ☐

**Feature Satisfaction** (G)

- Plot Generation: Dissatisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Satisfied ☐
- Metric Generation: Dissatisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Satisfied ☐
- GUI: Dissatisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Satisfied ☐

**Favourite Features** What was your favourite feature of the software?

**Least Favourite Feature** What was your least favourite feature of the software?

**Extra Feature** If you could add your own feature, what would you add to the software?

## References