

1. What do we even mean by an “AI bubble”?

- Basic definition (finance view)
 - A bubble = when prices, investment, and expectations around a technology rise much faster than its real-world cash flows and proven value.
 - It usually ends with:
 - Sharp correction (crash) or
 - Long, slow “deflation” where hype drains out and only a few players survive.
- Two separate cycles you must distinguish
 - Financial cycle: investor hype, valuations, index weight, circular financing, debt.
 - Technology cycle: slow grind of real adoption, co-inventions, infrastructure, skills.
 - The *bubble* pops in the financial cycle, but the technology keeps marching (like internet after 2000).

2. Core evidence that we *are* in an AI bubble

2.1 CapEx vs Revenue: “Singapore vs Somalia” gap

- AI infra spending:
 - Current + near-term AI capex: \$400B → \$500B+ per year on:
 - data centers
 - GPU farms
 - power + cooling
- AI revenue:
 - Consumer spend on AI services is around \$10-12B.
- Interpretation:
 - You’re pouring Singapore-level GDP into AI infra to earn Somalia-level revenue.
 - That ratio is textbook bubble behaviour: investment massively front-running monetization.

2.2 Hyper-concentrated, fragile structure

- Capex is distorting GDP
 - Data-center-related investment accounts for a huge share of US GDP growth.
 - If this slows, headline growth numbers tank.
- Market concentration

- S&P 500 and global indices heavily tilted to a few AI names (Nvidia, MSFT, etc.).
- ~80% of US stock gains in 2025 tied to a small AI cluster.
- Chip choke point
 - One company (Nvidia) has become a systemic “beam” supporting the whole AI story.
 - If Nvidia stumbles, shocks propagate through:
 - AI infra builders
 - hyperscalers
 - index funds
 - retirement accounts.

2.3 Circular financing & “funny” structures

- Patterns highlighted:
 - Nvidia → invests in AI companies → those AI companies buy Nvidia chips.
 - OpenAI:
 - Deals with Nvidia, Oracle, AMD, Broadcom where:
 - partners invest in OpenAI
 - OpenAI spends that on their hardware/services
 - Use of:

- SPVs (special purpose vehicles)
- private credit
- structured deals
- Effect:
 - Revenues look strong
 - Risk is hidden off-balance-sheet
 - True profitability & demand are harder to see.

2.4 Weak real-world impact: the MIT “95% failure” story

- 95% of enterprise GenAI pilots → no measurable P&L impact.
- Only ~5% of companies saw significant revenue/profit improvement.
- Root causes:
 - poor integration into workflows
 - messy data
 - “cool demo, zero business value”
- So:
 - Capex + valuations say “revolution”
 - P&L and productivity say “meh”

This mismatch is peak bubble behaviour: tech works, business doesn’t.

2.5 Overhyped predictions not matching reality

- Anthropic CEO:
 - “AI will write 90% of code in 3–6 months” → timeline passed; nowhere close.
- Elon Musk:
 - AGI “by 2025” → we’re clearly not at real AGI.
- If those forecasts were accurate:
 - Massive dev job losses (e.g., hundreds of thousands at TCS-scale firms) didn’t happen.
- Takeaway:
 - Over-optimistic timelines = classic speculative phase.

2.6 Market + expert signals

- Sam Altman openly says:
 - Yes, AI is in a bubble phase,
 - and yes, AI is still hugely important.
- MIT, IMF, BoE, big investors (Burry, Buffett, etc.) raise concerns:
 - valuations ahead of fundamentals
 - “too big to fail” dynamics
 - risk to index funds and pensions.

3. Evidence *against* a pure “doomed bubble” narrative

This is where we keep it honest. Not everything screams scam.

3.1 Real profits & cash flows exist

- Nvidia is actually printing money:
 - tens of billions in quarterly profit
 - huge data-center revenues
 - long-term pre-orders for chips.
- Many big tech firms:
 - have strong cash flows
 - aren't 1999-style zero-revenue dot-coms.

3.2 Historical pattern of General Purpose Tech

- Electricity, railroads, internet:
 - Financial bubbles around them did blow up.
 - But tech itself became the backbone of the economy.
- AI likely follows:
 - Bubble → crash/deflation → consolidation → long-run dominance.

3.3 Better regulation, accounting, and balance sheets

- More transparency than 2000:

- fewer profitless zombies listed
 - stronger balance sheets
 - more scrutiny of fraud.
- So:
 - A crash might be painful
 - but less likely to be systemic 2008-style collapse.

4. Deep structural reasons the AI bubble formed

From your PDF + broader logic, the root-cause model looks like this:

1. Narrative > Numbers

- “AI will change everything” (true) becomes:
 - justification for “spend first, figure out ROI later.”

2. Capital loves scale, not nuance

- Giant funds prefer:
 - \$50B mega checks to AI infra
 - over 10,000 annoying \$5M checks to small real-economy firms.

3. Accounting stretches the party

- SPVs, depreciation, adjusted metrics:
 - hide true AI infra cost
 - overstate short-term earnings.

4. Physical constraints postponed

- Energy, land, grid limits, local politics:
 - treated as details, but they’re hard bottlenecks.

5. Usage ≠ willingness to pay

- Heavy free usage (chatbots, images) vs:
 - weak monetization

- unclear enterprise ROI.

6. Reflexive feedback loop

- Rising AI stock prices → more AI capex → higher GDP → hyped headlines → more inflows into AI-heavy index funds → even higher prices.
- One shock can flip that loop into a downward spiral.

5. How the AI bubble could resolve (scenarios)

5.1 Scenario A – Hard pop (classic crash)

- Triggers:
 - earnings disappointments
 - energy/infra constraints
 - regulatory shocks
 - major AI player stumble (OpenAI, Nvidia, etc.)
- Effects:
 - steep fall in AI stocks, hyperscalers, chipmakers
 - frozen or cancelled data-center projects
 - GDP growth drops; infra + construction jobs hit
 - trillions wiped from index funds + retirement accounts.

5.2 Scenario B – Slow deflation

- Capex growth tapers as CFOs get scared.
- Valuations compress over years.
- AI adoption continues steadily:
 - integrated into workflows quietly
 - no more “everything AI!” premium.

5.3 Scenario C – Two-speed AI world

- A handful of giants capture most value (FAANG 2.0).
- Hundreds of AI unicorns:
 - get acquired, pivot, or die.
- Inequality:
 - AI “haves” (regions & firms with infra + IP)
 - vs AI “have-nots” (late adopters, capital-starved regions).

6. Macro + industry impacts

6.1 Macroeconomic

- GDP right now:
 - artificially boosted by AI construction boom.
- If AI capex falls:
 - headline growth softens
 - “real economy” may look weaker.
- Debt & credit:
 - lots of AI expansion is quietly debt-funded
 - any credit tightening amplifies the correction.

6.2 Corporate / startup level

- Most GenAI pilots fail → board/cfo skepticism increases.
- Capital will:
 - move away from “we do AI, give us money”
 - toward clear ROI / niche, workflow-native AI.
- After correction:
 - infra & chips cheaper
 - startups with discipline + domain focus will have an advantage.

6.3 Careers & labour

- Short term:
 - high demand for infra, ML, data-center ops, chip design.
- Post-bubble:
 - over-hired roles get cut
 - core, high-leverage roles remain:
 - architecture
 - product + biz + AI integration
 - safety, governance, compliance.

7. What this means for you as a scientist / founder

Read this part like a cheat sheet for your own thesis + company.

- Don't build "AI for AI's sake".
 - Build where:
 - there's a clear business pain
 - AI is the cheapest/fastest realistic solution.
- Obsess over:
 - unit economics (cost of infra vs revenue per user)
 - workflow fit (do people actually use it daily?)
 - data quality & integration (the true bottleneck).
- Expect:
 - valuation compression later
 - but demand for real, boring, value-delivering AI to stay and grow.
- The 95% failure of GenAI pilots = huge opportunity:
 - If you can design systems that actually hit P&L, you're in the top 5%.
- Position yourself as:
 - not "an AI founder"
 - but "a founder who uses AI to fix X in Y industry, with measurable Z outcome."

8. Suggested structure if you turn this into a formal paper / thesis

You can almost copy-paste this outline:

1. Introduction

- Define AI bubble
- Separate financial vs technological cycles.

2. Historical Context

- Compare to dot-com, railroads, credit crisis, crypto/NFT.

3. Current Evidence of an AI Bubble

- Capex vs revenue gap
- Market concentration and circular funding
- MIT 95% failure figure
- Overhyped predictions.

4. Counter-Evidence & Stabilizing Forces

- Real profits (e.g., Nvidia)
- Strong balance sheets
- General-purpose technology pattern.

5. Mechanisms of Bubble Formation

- Narrative > numbers
- Scale bias of capital

- Hidden leverage & opaque structures
- Physical constraints & economic distortions.

6. Scenarios for Resolution

- Hard crash
- Slow deflation
- Two-speed AI world.

7. Implications for Policy, Firms, and Labour

- Capital allocation
- Productivity and inequality
- Job displacement vs augmentation.

8. Conclusion

- AI is real; the bubble is in timing and capital, not in the concept.
- Aim: navigate hype, build enduring value.

NASDAQ COMPOSITE INDEX

5,000

4,000

3,000

2,000

1,000

0

1990

'95

2000

'05

SOURCE: FACTSET

PERCENTAGE OF S&P 500 BY MARKET CAP

Information technology

Communication services

40%

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

1996

2000

'05

'10

'15

'20

'25

NOTE: RESHUFFLING OF S&P SECTOR CLASSIFICATIONS IN SEPTEMBER 2018
SOURCE: FACTSET

CASH FLOW VS. NET INCOME

ALPHABET, AMAZON, META AND MICROSOFT

Net income Free cash flow

\$400 BILLION

350

300

250

200

150

100

50

0

2016

'17

'18

'19

'20

'21

'22

'23

'24

'25

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, QUARTERLY

Alphabet

Amazon

Meta

Microsoft

\$100 BILLION

80

amazon

Alphabet

60

∞ Meta

Microsoft

40

20

0

2018

'19

'20

'21

'22

'23

'24

'25

AI BUBBLE BURST – CHART ANALYSIS REPORT

1. Percentage of S&P; 500 by Market Cap

The information technology sector has surged to nearly 35% of the S&P; 500, surpassing dot-com

bubble peak levels. This concentration signals systemic fragility, where a small group of AI-driven

tech firms dominate market performance. Communication services also rose post-2018 reshuffling.

Excessive sector concentration is a classical bubble indicator.

2. NASDAQ Composite Index – Historical Parallel

The Nasdaq 1990–2002 chart shows a sharp exponential rise ending in a violent crash during the

dot-com bubble. Current AI market behavior shows similar steep acceleration, raising concern that

the AI-driven tech rally may be repeating historical speculative cycles.

3. Capital Expenditures (Alphabet, Amazon, Meta, Microsoft)

Quarterly CapEx has exploded past \$80–90 billion, driven by AI infrastructure spending: data

centers, GPUs, and power systems. This is one of the strongest markers of a bubble—massive

investment front-running proven revenue. CapEx growth significantly outpaces revenue contribution

from AI services.

4. Cash Flow vs Net Income

Net income has sharply risen for big tech, but free cash flow has diverged downward due to

extreme capital expenditures. This widening gap shows that companies are profitable on paper but

bleeding cash in real terms, often obscured by depreciation accounting. Such divergences

frequently precede asset repricing events.

Conclusion

These charts collectively demonstrate classical bubble conditions: market concentration,

speculative acceleration, overinvestment, and cash flow stress. If AI monetization fails to catch up,

the sector risks a correction or multi-year deflation phase.

TABLE 1 – Definition & Conceptual Framework

Category	Explanation	Notes
What is a bubble?	Asset prices, capex, valuations rise far faster than real economic value	Classic financial bubble structure
Financial Cycle	Market hype, investor sentiment, debt, circular funding, index concentration	Cycle that pops first
Technology Cycle	Real adoption, infra build-out, co-inventions, productivity	Continues even after financial bubble pops
Bubble Result	Crash or slow deflation	Technology remains long-term

TABLE 2 – Evidence of AI Bubble (2023–2025)

Evidence Category	Data / Observations	Interpretation
CapEx vs Revenue	\$400B → \$500B annual AI infra spending vs ~\$12B consumer AI revenue	“Singapore GDP spent to earn Somalia-level revenue”
Index Concentration	~35% of S&P in tech, 80% of US stock gains from AI cluster	Fragile, over-concentrated market

Chip Dependency	Nvidia single-handedly carrying valuations	Single-point fragility
Circular Financing	Nvidia funds startups → startups buy Nvidia chips; SPVs, structured deals	Artificial demand inflation
Enterprise Failure Rates	95% of GenAI pilots fail; 5% yield P&L wins	Weak real-world value capture
Overhyped Predictions	“AI will write 90% of code in 6 months” (failed), “AGI by 2025” (not reached)	Hype cycle exaggeration
Expert Warnings	Altman, MIT, IMF, major investors all citing bubble conditions	Confirmation from insiders

TABLE 3 — Evidence Against Total Collapse

Stabilizing Factor	Supporting Data	Meaning
Real Profits Exist	Nvidia, MSFT, AMZN generating tens of billions in net income	Not a zero-revenue dot-com bubble
Historical Pattern	Railroads, Internet had bubbles → then dominated economy	Bubble ≠ scam; it's timing mismatch

Better Regulations	Fewer fraudulent listings, stronger balance sheets	Reduces systemic risk
Long-term demand	AI = general-purpose tech (GPT)	Eventually integrates everywhere

TABLE 4 — Root Causes of the AI Bubble

Cause	Explanation	Impact
Narrative > Numbers	“AI will change everything” leads to reckless spending	Mispricing of risk
Capital Scale Bias	Mega funds prefer \$50B AI bets over \$5M real-economy bets	Capital misallocation
Accounting Manipulation	Depreciation tricks, SPVs, off-book financing	Distorts true profitability
Physical Constraints Ignored	Energy, land, grid capacity = hard bottlenecks	Limits scalability
Weak Monetization	Heavy free usage; low willingness-to-pay	Revenue lags behind hype

Reflexive Loop	Rising prices → more capex → more hype → rising prices	Self-reinforcing bubble
----------------	--	-------------------------

TABLE 5 — Resolution Scenarios

Scenario	Trigger	Outcome
A. Hard Crash	AI earnings miss, regulatory freeze, chip supply stumble	Major stock correction, capex freeze, GDP drop
B. Slow Deflation	CFO caution, capex plateau	Valuations compress over years
C. Two-Speed World	Big players survive, small players die	FAANG 2.0 emerges; inequality widens

TABLE 6 — Macro Impacts

Area	Current Effect	Post-Bubble Effect
GDP	Artificially boosted by AI infra construction	Growth drop if capex slows
Debt/Credit	Hidden leverage funding AI data centers	Credit tightening = shock multiplier

Inflation/Energy	Massive demand for power, land, chips	Potential infrastructure strain
------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------------

TABLE 7 – Corporate / Startup Impacts

Area	Impact	Notes
Enterprise Adoption	95% pilots fail → CFO skepticism	Push toward real ROI
Startup Strategy	“AI for AI’s sake” will die	Niche + workflow-native wins
Cost Structure	High GPU cost → high burn rate	Post-bubble: infra becomes cheaper

TABLE 8 – Labour Market Impact

Phase	Impact	Who Wins
Short Term	High demand for AI infra roles, LLM engineers	Chip designers, ML ops
Post-Bubble	Layoffs in overbuilt teams	High-architecture + integration talent

Long Term	AI-augmented workforce	Product thinkers + domain experts
-----------	------------------------	-----------------------------------

TABLE 9 – Strategic Guidance for a Scientist/Founder (You)

Principle	Meaning	Action
Solve Real Pain	Don't build "AI for AI."	Choose industry X + problem Y + AI use Z
Obsess Over ROI	Enterprise adoption requires measurable impact	Build P&L-positive pilots
Master Unit Economics	AI infra expensive → margin matters	Optimize inference, reduce GPU costs
Workflow Native AI	AI must fit real habits	High adoption = high retention
Data Quality Focus	Data is bottleneck	Build data pipelines before model
Prepare for Valuation Compression	Bubble won't last forever	Keep burn low, diversify revenue

TABLE 10 – Recommended Thesis / Paper Structure

Section	Purpose
Introduction	Define AI bubble; finance vs technology cycle
Historical Context	Compare AI to dot-com & GPT history
Current Evidence	Capex, valuations, concentration
Counter-Evidence	Real profits, stabilizers
Mechanisms	How bubble formed
Scenario Analysis	Hard crash, slow deflation, two-speed
Implications	for policy, industry, labour
Conclusion	AI real; bubble is financial, not technological

Resources:

1. <https://fortune.com/2025/08/18/mit-report-95-percent-generative-ai-pilots-at-companies-failing-cfo/>
2. <https://www.cnbc.com/2025/08/18/openai-sam-altman-warns-ai-mark-et-is-in-a-bubble.html>
3. <https://www.derekthompson.org/p/this-is-how-the-ai-bubble-will-pop>
4. <https://www.businessinsider.com/anthropic-ceo-ai-90-percent-code-3-to-6-months-2025-3>

Several Youtube videos and news reports!