
Lecture 16

RAID management

Problem

- Avoid loosing files/data due to disk failures.
- Solution: replication of file/data and store them on different disks.
- Example: SME with a local network. Transparently, when a file is stored, 2/3 copies are created and stored on randomly selected disks. Only the main copy is visible. This is a software solution.
- A preservation protocol for the coherency among copies is an addition (overhead) to the multiple copies saving mechanism.



New context

- Disks are of extremely large capacity.
- New requirements:
 - Reliability
 - Fast access to data
 - Fault tolerance
 - Scalability
 - Easy to manage
 - Transparent administration
 - Secure access to data

RAID concept

- The concept of Redundant Arrays of Inexpensive Disks (RAID) was introduced about three decades ago.
- RAID multiple disk drives provides reliability via *redundancy*.
- Increases the *mean time to failure*.
- Frequently combined with *NVRAM* (*non-volatile RAM*) to improve write performance.
- A RAID solution corresponds to one of six different levels.
- Frequently, a small number of *hot-spare* disks are left unallocated, automatically replacing a failed disk and having data rebuilt onto them.

Faster access time by parallelism

- *Data striping*: splitting the bits of each byte across multiple disks *bit-level striping*. With 8 disks, bit *i* will be stored on disk *i*. As a result, the "sector capacity" increases 8 times, the same for the access rate.
- This can be generalised to include a number of disks that is either a multiple of or divides 8. For example, for two disks, every second bit goes to the second disk.
- In *block-level striping*, blocks of a file are striped across multiple disks: with n disks, block i goes to disk $(i \mod n) + 1$.

RAID levels

- Mirroring provides reliability but it is expensive. On the other hand, striping provides high data-transfer rates but not reliability.
- Different models that combine reliability and low cost were proposed:
- *RAID level 0*: striping at the level of blocks but without any redundancy.

• Benefits:

- Best performance is achieved when data is striped across multiple controllers with only one drive per controller.
- Very simple design, easy to implement.

• Major disadvantage:

 Not a "true" RAID because it is NOT fault-tolerant; the failure of one drive will result in all data in an array being lost.

RAID level 1: disk mirroring

• Benefits:

- One Write or two Reads possible per mirrored pair.
- Twice the Read transaction rate of single disks, same Write transaction rate as single disks.
- 100% redundancy of data means no rebuild is necessary in case of a disk failure, just a copy to the replacement disk. Under certain circumstances, RAID 1 can sustain multiple simultaneous drive failures.
- Transfer rate per block is equal to that of a single disk.
- Simplest RAID storage subsystem design.

Disadvantages:

- Highest disk overhead of all RAID types (100%) inefficient.
- Typically the RAID function is done by system software, loading the CPU/Server and possibly degrading throughput at high activity levels.
 Hardware implementation is strongly recommended.

RAID level 2

- It uses error-correcting code (ECC). One disk stores the 1st bit of all bytes, another disk the 2nd bit,...
- Three disks store the error-correcting bits. On Read, the ECC code verifies correct data or corrects single disk errors.

• Benefits:

- "On the fly" data error correction.
- extremely high data transfer rates possible.
- the higher the data transfer rate required, the better the ratio data disks/ECC disks
- relatively simple controller design compared to RAID levels 3,4 & 5.

Disadvantages:

- Very high ratio of ECC disks to data disks with smaller word sizes inefficient
- Entry level cost very high requires very high transfer rate to justify the cost.
- Transaction rate is equal to that of a single disk at best.
- No commercial implementations exist.

- *RAID level 3*: bit-interleaved parity organisation, improves on level 2 by using only one disk for parity.
- *RAID level 4*: block-interleaved parity organisation, keeps a parity block on a separate disk. If one of the disks fails, the parity block can be used with the corresponding blocks from the other disks to restore the blocks on the failed disk.
- *RAID level 5*: block-interleaved distributed parity, spreads data and parity among all disks.
- *RAID level 6*: block-level striping with double distributed parity. Double parity provides fault tolerance up to two failed drives.



(a) RAID 0: non-redundant striping.



(b) RAID 1: mirrored disks.



(c) RAID 2: memory-style error-correcting codes.



(d) RAID 3: bit-interleaved parity.



(e) RAID 4: block-interleaved parity.




(f) RAID 5: block-interleaved distributed parity.




(g) RAID 6: P + Q redundancy.

RAID (0 + 1) and (1 + 0)



a) RAID 0 + 1 with a single disk failure.



b) RAID 1 + 0 with a single disk failure.

Selection of RAID level

- RAID 0 -> High-performance applications where data loss is not critical.
- RAID 1 -> High reliability with fast recovery.
- RAID 10/01 -> Both performance and reliability are important, e.g. in small databases.
- RAID 5 -> Preferred for storing large volumes of data.
- RAID 6 -> Not supported currently by many RAID implementations.

Implementation of RAID

- By the kernel, e.g. RAID 0, 1, or 0+1
- By the host-bus adapter hardware. It's not flexible.
- By the hardware of the storage array. The OS needs to implement the file system on each of the volumes.
- By the storage area network layer by disk virtualisation.

Linux RAID support

- Linux kernel supports md (multiple devices): arrays can be built on top of entire disks or on partitions.
- *mdadm* is the standard RAID management tool and should be found in any modern distribution. See http://linux.die.net/man/8/mdadm
- mdadm has 7 major modes of operation. Normal operation just uses the 'Create', 'Assemble' and 'Monitor' commands.
- /proc/mdstat: the array is running. You can create a file system, just like you would on any other device, mount it, include it in your /etc/fstab, and so on.

• Example:

```
# mdadm --verbose --create /dev/md9 --level=0 --raid-devices=2 /dev/sda1 /dev/sdb1
# mkfs /dev/md9
# mount /dev/md9 /mnt/myraid
```

Questions

- Could RAID level 1 achieve better performance for read requests than RAID 0 (with non-redundant striping of data)? If so, how?
- Consider a RAID 5 architecture with five disks; the fifth stores the parity block. How many blocks are accessed in order to perform the following?
 - A write of one data block;
 - A write of seven continuous blocks of data.