









Lecture 10 – Operator Overloading

CS2513

Cathal Hoare

A TRADITION OF INDEPENDENT THINKING



Lecture Contents

Inheritance



Python Name Mangling and Encapsulation

- In some textbooks and lectures, you will see the use of the following naming scheme for instance variables:
 - self.__myVar = 1 #use of double underscore
- This is known as name mangling
- This is often presented as a means of enforcing encapsulation in Python. IT IS NOT!
- Most style guides (PEP8, Google), advanced texts (Effective Python) on Python etc advise against this practice as it is not the intended use of the mechanism.
 - And when we use things in ways they are not intended, things break!



Python Name Mangling (True Use!)

- In Python, mangling is used for class attributes that one does not want subclasses to use which are designated as such by giving them a name with two or more leading underscores and no more than one trailing underscore.
- On encountering name mangled attributes, Python transforms these names by prepending a single underscore and the name of the enclosing class.
- In our Rectangle class, a variable __testValue will be treated as having variable name _Rectangle__testValue – that is _[name of class] with the variable name appended.
- With the full name, the variable is still accessible and so encapsulation can still be broken!!!!
- This is used to prevent naming conflicts when it is desirable to have a same name variable in both a super class and subclass.



 The result of the '*' operator depended on the types passed to it.

 Python (and some other OO languages) allow us to define the meaning operations built into Python when applied to our classes (for example - +, *, <, ==, !=, etc)



• But just because we can, doesn't mean we should!

- Before implementing these features, we should consider:
 - Do we need them?
 - And is the overloading appropriate?



For Example:

```
john = Person("John Smith", "Engineer", 55000)
mary = Person("Mary Smith", "Engineer", 65000)
if mary == john:
    print("These both work at the same job")
```

- Does such a comparison make sense? Would it not be more appropriate to have a method haveSameJob()
- On the other hand, if the Person class included a unique ID such as a social security number then we could reasonably argue that there is a mechanism to check if two instances were equivalent.



- Python provides a large list of built in operators that can be overloaded.
- It does this by providing a method header that can be implemented in a class:
- For instance, __eq__(self, other_person) is called when we compare two person objects for equivalence.
- We must provide an implementation for this in our
 Person class if we wish to support the '==' operator.





- init
- __str__
- They are recognised by the double underscore and are called 'magic' methods...because they add a little 'magic' to your class.
- They are also known as 'Dunder' methods.
- We can inspect a type's (or class) methods using dir()



- __add___ allows us to add (+) two instances together
- __lt__ allows us to check if one object is less than another
- eq__ allows us to check if one object is equivalent to another
- __ne__ allows us to check if one object is not equivalent to another



