

## Programming Languages and Computation

# Week 10: Encoding data

- \* 1. Construct a bijection between the set  $E = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$  of all even numbers, and the set  $O = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$  of all odd numbers, and show that it is one.

Solution

---

The function

$$\begin{aligned} h : E &\rightarrow O \\ h(e) &= e + 1 \end{aligned}$$

will do. It is injective because

$$h(e) = h(e') \iff e + 1 = e' + 1 \iff e = e'$$

It is surjective because every odd number is of the form  $2n + 1$ . We then have that  $2n$  is even, and  $f(2n) = 2n + 1$ .

---

- \* 2. In the reference material there is a proof that  $\beta$  is a bijection. Verify that  $\beta : \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$  is also an isomorphism: show that the function  $\beta^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined in the lecture has the property that  $\beta^{-1} \circ \beta = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\beta \circ \beta^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Solution

---

By calculation: take all possible cases and show that  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  do the right thing. For example, for  $n \geq 0$  we have

$$(\beta^{-1} \circ \beta)(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta^{-1}(\beta(n)) = \beta^{-1}(2n) = n$$

by the definitions of  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  respectively. Similarly, for  $n < 0$  we have

$$(\beta^{-1} \circ \beta)(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta^{-1}(\beta(n)) = \beta^{-1}(-2n - 1) = -\frac{-2n - 1 + 1}{2} = -\frac{-2n}{2} = n$$

also by the definitions of  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$ . These two cases show that  $\beta^{-1} \circ \beta = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Conversely, for  $n$  even we have

$$(\beta \circ \beta^{-1})(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta(\beta^{-1}(n)) = \beta(n/2) = 2(n/2) = n$$

whereas for  $n$  odd we have

$$(\beta \circ \beta^{-1})(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta(\beta^{-1}(n)) = \beta\left(-\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = (-2)\left(-\frac{n+1}{2}\right) - 1 = n + 1 - 1 = n$$

by the definitions of  $\beta$  and  $\beta^{-1}$  respectively. These two cases show that  $\beta \circ \beta^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

---

\*\* 3. Argue that there cannot be a bijection  $\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$ .

Solution

A function  $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  can never be surjective. Suppose  $f(\perp) = n_0$  and  $f(\top) = n_1$ . Then for any  $n$  other than  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  there cannot be a  $b \in \mathbb{B}$  such that  $f(b) = n$ .

Alternatively, suppose  $f^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is an inverse to  $f$ . Then construct the elements

$$f^{-1}(0), f^{-1}(1), f^{-1}(2), \dots \in \mathbb{B}$$

All of these are elements of  $\mathbb{B}$ , of which there are only 2 ( $\perp$  and  $\top$ ). Thus, by the **pigeonhole principle**, it must be that two elements of that list are the same, i.e. that  $f^{-1}(i) = f^{-1}(j)$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Thus  $f^{-1}$  cannot be injective. We can only conclude that there cannot be an inverse to  $f$ .

\*\* 4. Construct a bijection  $\phi_3 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$ , and prove that it is a bijection.  
[Hint: use the pairing function twice.]

Solution

We may construct a bijection by using the pairing function twice:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_3 : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} &\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \phi_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle n_1, \langle n_2, n_3 \rangle \rangle\end{aligned}$$

The inverse is defined by unpacking the number twice:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_3^{-1} : \mathbb{N} &\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \\ \phi_3^{-1}(n) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3) \text{ where } (n'_1, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(n) \text{ and } (n'_2, n'_3) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(x)\end{aligned}$$

It is then possible to calculate that  $\phi_3^{-1} \circ \phi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ .

Given  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  let

$$y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle n_1, \langle n_2, n_3 \rangle \rangle$$

Calculate  $\phi_3^{-1}(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3)$  by first calculating  $(n'_1, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(y)$  and then  $(n'_2, n'_3) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(x)$ .

First, we have

$$(n'_1, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(y) = \text{split}(\langle n_1, \langle n_2, n_3 \rangle \rangle) = (n_1, \langle n_2, n_3 \rangle)$$

by definition of  $y$  and then using the fact that  $\langle -, - \rangle$  and  $\text{split}(-)$  are inverses. Thus  $n'_1 = n_1$ .

Then, we calculate that

$$(n'_2, n'_3) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(x) = \text{split}(\langle n_2, n_3 \rangle) = (n_2, n_3)$$

by definition and the fact  $\langle -, - \rangle$  and  $\text{split}(-)$  are inverses. Thus  $n'_2 = n_2$  and  $n'_3 = n_3$ .

In summary we have

$$\phi_3^{-1}(\phi_3(n_1, n_2, n_3)) = \phi_3^{-1}(y) = (n'_1, n'_2, n'_3) = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$$

Thus  $\phi_3^{-1} \circ \phi_3 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ . A similar calculation shows that  $\phi_3 \circ \phi_3^{-1} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

\*\* 5. Prove that if  $f : A \xrightarrow{\cong} B$  is a bijection, then so is its inverse  $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$ .

Solution

There are two ways to prove this fact. The quick one is use the characterisation of a bijection as a function that has an inverse. It suffices to notice that if the inverse of  $f$  is  $f^{-1}$ , then  $f^{-1}$  is also the inverse of  $f$ : the definition of inverses is *self-dual*.

The longer way is to prove in detail that  $f^{-1}$  is an injection and a surjection. It is an injection because  $f^{-1}(b_1) = f^{-1}(b_2)$  implies that  $f(f^{-1}(b_1)) = f(f^{-1}(b_2))$ , which by one of the defining equation of inverses implies that  $b_1 = b_2$ . It is a surjection because the equation  $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in A$  implies that each  $a \in A$  always has a preimage along  $f^{-1}$ , namely  $f(a)$ .

\*\* 6. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  be functions.

- (a) Prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are injections, then so is  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ .
- (b) Prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are surjections, then so is  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ .
- (c) Prove that if  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  are bijections then so is  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ .

Solution

- (a) Suppose  $(g \circ f)(a_1) = (g \circ f)(a_2)$ . Unfolding the definition of  $g \circ f$ , this means that  $g(f(a_1)) = g(f(a_2))$ . As  $g$  is an injection, this implies that  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ . Given that  $f$  is an injection, this in turn implies that  $a_1 = a_2$ , which is what we wanted to prove.
- (b) Let  $c \in C$ . As  $g$  is a surjection, we can find a  $b \in B$  such that  $g(b) = c$ . Then, as  $f$  is a surjection, we can find a  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . Then  $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a)) = g(b) = c$ .
- (c) A bijection is a function that is injective and surjective.  $f$  and  $g$  are injective, so by the first part of the question so is  $g \circ f$ . Similarly for surjectivity. In conclusion,  $g \circ f$  is a bijection.

\*\* 7. Prove that if  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  is an isomorphism then so is  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ . [Hint: construct an inverse. It is possible to show this in a point-free style using the fact function composition is associative, i.e.  $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$ , and that the identity function is a unit for it, i.e.  $\text{id}_B \circ f = f = f \circ \text{id}_A$ .]

Solution

Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B$  has an inverse  $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  has an inverse  $g^{-1} : C \rightarrow B$ . Then we can show that  $f^{-1} \circ g^{-1} : C \rightarrow A$  is an inverse  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ . Moreover, we can do this in a point-free style:

$$(f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}) \circ (g \circ f) = f^{-1} \circ (g^{-1} \circ g) \circ f = f^{-1} \circ \text{id}_B \circ f = f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_A$$

Similarly,  $(g \circ f) \circ (f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}) = \text{id}_C$ .

\*\*\* 8. We define the set  $\mathcal{T}$  of *binary trees* by the **Backus-Naur form**

$$t \in \mathcal{T} ::= \bullet \mid \text{fork}(t_1, n, t_2)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a natural number. This is an inductive definition: a tree is either empty ( $\bullet$ ), or is a fork, consisting of a left subtree  $t_1$ , a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a right subtree  $t_2$ .

Construct a bijection  $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$ .

[Hint: look at the way lists—also an inductively defined set!—are encoded as natural numbers in the **reference material**. Try to copy that. Also, use  $\phi_3$  from the previous exercise.]

Solution

A bijection is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_T : \mathcal{T} &\rightarrow \mathbb{T} \\ \phi_T(\bullet) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \\ \phi_T(\text{fork}(t_1, n, t_2)) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 + \langle n, \langle \phi_T(t_1), \phi_T(t_2) \rangle \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Its inverse is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_T^{-1} : \mathbb{N} &\rightarrow \mathcal{T} \\ \phi_T^{-1}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \text{fork}(\phi_T^{-1}(n_1), n, \phi_T^{-1}(n_2)) & \text{if } x > 0, \text{ where } (n, ns) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(x-1) \text{ and } (n_1, n_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(ns) \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

You need not prove that this is the inverse; the question did not ask you for that.

\*\*\* 9. Given a bijection  $f : A \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$  and a bijection  $g : B \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$ , show how to construct a bijection  $A \times B \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$ .  
Prove that it is a bijection.

Solution

Define the function  $h : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by

$$h(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f(a), g(b) \rangle$$

Then, its inverse  $h^{-1} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A \times B$  is given by

$$h^{-1}(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (f^{-1}(n_1), g^{-1}(n_2)) \quad \text{where } (n_1, n_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{split}(n)$$

A calculation like the ones given previously shows that these are inverses.

[BONUS] Here is a fun way to obtain the same result. Given any functions  $h : A \rightarrow C$  and  $k : B \rightarrow D$ , define a function  $h \times k : A \times B \rightarrow C \times D$  by

$$(h \times k)(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (h(a), k(b))$$

First prove that if  $h$  and  $k$  are bijections then so is  $h \times k$ . Hence we immediately obtain a bijection

$$f \times g : A \times B \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$$

We may then compose this with the pairing function. By previous exercises, the composition of two bijections is a bijection!

\*\*\*\*

10.

Prove that bijections and isomorphisms are the same thing.

- (a) (Easier.) Prove that every isomorphism is a bijection.
- (b) (Harder.) Prove that every bijection is an isomorphism. [Hint: consider the **preimage**  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$  of a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$  at every possible  $b \in B$ . What does it look like?]

Solution

- (a) First we prove that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is surjective. Given any particular  $b \in B$  the equation  $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}_B$  gives us  $f(f^{-1}(b)) = b$ , so  $f^{-1}(b) \in A$  is a preimage of  $f$  at  $b \in B$ . Then, we prove that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is injective. Suppose  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  have the property that  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ . Applying the inverse  $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$  to both sides we have

$$a_1 = f^{-1}(f(a_1)) = f^{-1}(f(a_2)) = a_2$$

where we have used the fact  $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_A$  in the first and last equations.

- (b) Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a bijection. Given any  $b \in B$ , consider the **preimage** of  $f$  at  $b$ , i.e.

$$f^{-1}(\{b\}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid f(x) = b\}$$

This is the set of all solutions of the equation  $f(x) = b$  for  $x \in A$ .

First, notice that for any  $b \in B$  the preimage  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$  is non-empty. As  $f$  is a surjective function, we have that for every  $b \in B$  there exists some  $a_b \in A$  with  $f(a_b) = b$ . Thus this  $a_b$  is in the preimage  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$ .

Second, notice that for any  $b \in B$  there is at most one element in the preimage  $f^{-1}(\{b\})$ . Suppose that  $a_1, a_2 \in f^{-1}(\{b\})$ . Then we have that  $f(a_1) = b$  and  $f(a_2) = b$ , so  $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ . As  $f$  is injective, it must be that  $a_1 = a_2$ .

We have thus shown that if  $f$  is a bijection then  $f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{a_b\}$  for a unique  $a_b \in A$ . We thus define the inverse by

$$f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$$

$$f^{-1}(b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_b$$

where the choice of  $a_b$  is now unique.

We must not forget to show that  $f^{-1}$  is an inverse! We clearly have  $f(f^{-1}(b)) = f(a_b) = b$ , hence  $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}_B$ . Moreover,  $f^{-1}(f(a)) = a$ , as  $a$  is mapped to  $f(a)$  by  $f$ , so it must be the unique preimage  $a_{f(a)} \in f^{-1}(\{f(a)\})$  of  $f$  at  $f(a) \in B$ .

\*\*\* 11. Prove that if  $s : A \rightarrow B$  and  $r : B \rightarrow A$  are a section-retraction pair, then

- (a)  $s$  is injective, and
- (b)  $r$  is surjective.

Solution

- (a) Suppose  $s(a_1) = s(a_2)$ . Then, applying  $r$ , we have that  $r(s(a_1)) = r(s(a_2))$ . But—by the definition of a section-retraction pair, the LHS is  $a_1$  and the RHS is  $a_2$ . Thus  $a_1 = a_2$ . Hence  $s$  is injective.
- (b) Suppose  $a \in A$ . Then by the definition of a section-retraction pair,  $r(s(a)) = a$ . Hence  $s(a)$  is in the **preimage** of  $r$  at  $a$ .
-