NP-completeness of 3-SAT COMS20010 2020, Video 9-4

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol

A literal is either a variable x or its negation $\neg x$. An OR clause is an OR of literals. A CNF formula is an AND of OR clauses. The SAT problem asks: "Is the input CNF formula satisfiable?"

A **literal** is either a variable x or its negation $\neg x$. An **OR** clause is an OR of literals. A **CNF** formula is an AND of OR clauses. The **SAT** problem asks: "Is the input CNF formula satisfiable?"

We first give a special case of SAT which is still NP-complete. This will make it much easier to prove other problems are NP-hard!

A literal is either a variable x or its negation $\neg x$. An OR clause is an OR of literals. A CNF formula is an AND of OR clauses. The SAT problem asks: "Is the input CNF formula satisfiable?"

We first give a special case of SAT which is still NP-complete. This will make it much easier to prove other problems are NP-hard!

The width of an OR clause is the number of literals it contains. A CNF formula has width k if all its OR clauses have width k. For example,

$$(x \lor \neg y \lor z) \land (a \lor x \lor z)$$
 has width 3.

A literal is either a variable x or its negation $\neg x$. An OR clause is an OR of literals. A CNF formula is an AND of OR clauses. The SAT problem asks: "Is the input CNF formula satisfiable?"

We first give a special case of SAT which is still NP-complete. This will make it much easier to prove other problems are NP-hard!

The width of an OR clause is the number of literals it contains. A CNF formula has width k if all its OR clauses have width k. For example,

$$(x \lor \neg y \lor z) \land (a \lor x \lor z)$$
 has width 3.

3-SAT asks: is the input **width-3** CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete.

A literal is either a variable x or its negation $\neg x$. An OR clause is an OR of literals. A CNF formula is an AND of OR clauses. The SAT problem asks: "Is the input CNF formula satisfiable?"

We first give a special case of SAT which is still NP-complete. This will make it much easier to prove other problems are NP-hard!

The width of an OR clause is the number of literals it contains. A CNF formula has width k if all its OR clauses have width k. For example,

$$(x \lor \neg y \lor z) \land (a \lor x \lor z)$$
 has width 3.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete.

Certainly 3-SAT \in NP, but our proof that SAT is NP-hard breaks for 3-SAT. So to prove NP-hardness, we will reduce SAT to 3-SAT; the result then follows since SAT is NP-hard.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let F be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let F be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Write $F = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$, where C_1, \ldots, C_ℓ are OR clauses. We want to simulate each clause C_i in F'. How we do this depends on its width.

John Lapinskas Video 9-4 3/6

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let F be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Write $F = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$, where C_1, \ldots, C_ℓ are OR clauses. We want to simulate each clause C_i in F'. How we do this depends on its width.

 C_i has width 2: Say $C_i = x \lor y$. Then we would like to replace C_i with $x \lor y \lor False$ in F', since this is True if and only if $x \lor y = True$.

But False is not a literal... Can we add a new variable which is always False in any satisfying assignment?

John Lapinskas Video 9-4 3/6

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let F be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Write $F = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$, where C_1, \ldots, C_ℓ are OR clauses. We want to simulate each clause C_i in F'. How we do this depends on its width.

 C_i has width 2: Say $C_i = x \lor y$. Then we would like to replace C_i with $x \lor y \lor False$ in F', since this is True if and only if $x \lor y = True$.

But False is not a literal... Can we add a new variable which is always False in any satisfying assignment? Yes! If we add this CNF to F:

$$F_z = (\neg z_1 \lor z_2 \lor z_3) \land (\neg z_1 \lor z_2 \lor \neg z_3) \land (\neg z_1 \lor \neg z_2 \lor z_3) \land (\neg z_1 \lor \neg z_2 \lor \neg z_3)$$

then z_1 is forced to be False: No matter what value z_2 and z_3 take, their literals must both be False in one of the above OR clauses.

John Lapinskas Video 9-4 3/6

The width of an OR clause is the number of literals it contains.

A CNF formula has width k if all its OR clauses have width k.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 2 clauses: ✓

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 2 clauses: ✓

If C_i has width 1: Say $C_i = \neg x$. Then we would like to replace C_i with $\neg x \lor \text{False} \lor \text{False}$... which we already know how to do!

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 2 clauses: ✓

If C_i has width 1: Say $C_i = \neg x$. Then we would like to replace C_i with $\neg x \lor \text{False} \lor \text{False}$... which we already know how to do!

We just need to introduce an extra copy of our always-False variable z_1 (since OR clauses can't contain two copies of the same literal).

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable? **Theorem:** 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 2 clauses: ✓

If C_i has width 1: Say $C_i = \neg x$. Then we would like to replace C_i with $\neg x \lor \text{False} \lor \text{False}$... which we already know how to do!

We just need to introduce an extra copy of our always-False variable z_1 (since OR clauses can't contain two copies of the same literal).

If C_i has width 3: We can just leave it as it is.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 1 clauses: ✓ Width 2 clauses: ✓ Width 3 clauses: ✓

If C_i has width $k \geq 4$: Say $C_i = \ell_1 \vee \cdots \vee \ell_k$. We would like to replace

$$C_i \rightarrow (e_1 = \ell_1 \vee \ell_2) \wedge (e_2 = e_1 \vee \ell_3) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_{k-2} = e_{k-3} \vee \ell_{k-2}) \wedge (e_{k-2} \vee \ell_k),$$

as given the values of ℓ_1,\ldots,ℓ_k , this is satisfiable if and only if $\ell_1\vee\cdots\vee\ell_k=$ True.

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 1 clauses: \checkmark Width 2 clauses: \checkmark Width 3 clauses: \checkmark

If C_i has width $k \geq 4$: Say $C_i = \ell_1 \vee \cdots \vee \ell_k$. We would like to replace

$$C_i \rightarrow (e_1 = \ell_1 \vee \ell_2) \wedge (e_2 = e_1 \vee \ell_3) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_{k-2} = e_{k-3} \vee \ell_{k-2}) \wedge (e_{k-2} \vee \ell_k),$$

as given the values of ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_k , this is satisfiable if and only if $\ell_1 \vee \cdots \vee \ell_k = \text{True}$. How do we implement the e_i 's?

John Lapinskas Video 9-4 5/6

3-SAT asks: is the input width-3 CNF formula satisfiable?

Theorem: 3-SAT is NP-complete. **Goal:** Prove SAT \leq_c 3-SAT.

Let $F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_\ell$ be the input CNF formula to SAT. We will rewrite F as an equivalent width-3 formula F', simulating each C_i with an equivalent width-3 CNF, then apply our 3-SAT oracle to F'.

Width 1 clauses: ✓ Width 2 clauses: ✓ Width 3 clauses: ✓

If C_i has width $k \geq 4$: Say $C_i = \ell_1 \vee \cdots \vee \ell_k$. We would like to replace

$$C_i \to (e_1 = \ell_1 \vee \ell_2) \wedge (e_2 = e_1 \vee \ell_3) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_{k-2} = e_{k-3} \vee \ell_{k-2}) \wedge (e_{k-2} \vee \ell_k),$$

as given the values of ℓ_1,\ldots,ℓ_k , this is satisfiable if and only if $\ell_1\vee\cdots\vee\ell_k=$ True. How do we implement the e_i 's? We have

$$(a = b \lor c)$$
 if and only if $(a \lor \neg b) \land (a \lor \neg c) \land (\neg a \lor b \lor c)$;

the first two clauses on the right enforce $a = \mathtt{False} \Rightarrow b \lor c = \mathtt{False}$, and the last enforces $b \lor c = \mathtt{False} \Rightarrow a = \mathtt{False}$.

John Lapinskas Video 9-4 5/6

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor \mathtt{False} \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v) \land (v \lor \neg w \lor x \lor \neg y \lor \neg z)$$
$$\land (y \lor z) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor \mathtt{False} \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor \mathtt{False}) \\ \land (v \lor \neg w \lor x \lor \neg y \lor \neg z) \land (y \lor z) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor \texttt{False} \lor \texttt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor \texttt{False})$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor \texttt{False}) \land (y \lor z) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor \mathtt{False} \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor \mathtt{False})$$

 $\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$
 $\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (y \lor z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z).$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F' &= (u \vee \mathtt{False} \vee \mathtt{False}) \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v \vee \mathtt{False}) \\ &\wedge (e_1 = v \vee \neg w) \wedge (e_2 = e_1 \vee x) \wedge (e_3 = e_2 \vee \neg y) \\ &\wedge (e_3 \vee \neg z \vee \mathtt{False}) \wedge (y \vee z \vee \mathtt{False}) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor \mathtt{False} \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor \mathtt{False})$$

 $\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$
 $\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (y \lor z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z).$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \vee \texttt{False} \vee \texttt{False}) \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v \vee \texttt{False})$$

$$\wedge (e_1 = v \vee \neg w) \wedge (e_2 = e_1 \vee x) \wedge (e_3 = e_2 \vee \neg y)$$

$$\wedge (e_3 \vee \neg z \vee \texttt{False}) \wedge (y \vee z \vee \texttt{False}) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z)$$

$$\wedge (\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee a_2) \wedge (\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee \neg a_2) \wedge (\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee a_2)$$

$$\wedge (\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor \mathtt{False})$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (y \lor z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (y \lor z \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$\begin{split} F' &= (u \vee f_1 \vee \mathtt{False}) \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v \vee f_1) \\ &\wedge (e_1 = v \vee \neg w) \wedge (e_2 = e_1 \vee x) \wedge (e_3 = e_2 \vee \neg y) \\ &\wedge (e_3 \vee \neg z \vee f_1) \wedge (y \vee z \vee \mathtt{False}) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z) \\ &\wedge (\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee a_2) \wedge (\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee \neg a_2) \wedge (\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee a_2) \\ &\wedge (\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee \neg a_2). \end{split}$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$\begin{split} F' &= \big(u \vee f_1 \vee \mathtt{False} \big) \wedge \big(\neg u \vee \neg v \vee f_1 \big) \\ &\wedge \big(e_1 = v \vee \neg w \big) \wedge \big(e_2 = e_1 \vee x \big) \wedge \big(e_3 = e_2 \vee \neg y \big) \\ &\wedge \big(e_3 \vee \neg z \vee f_1 \big) \wedge \big(y \vee z \vee f_1 \big) \wedge \big(\neg v \vee w \vee z \big) \\ &\wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee a_2 \big) \wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee \neg a_2 \big) \wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee a_2 \big) \\ &\wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee \neg a_2 \big). \end{split}$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$\begin{split} F' &= \big(u \vee f_1 \vee \mathtt{False} \big) \wedge \big(\neg u \vee \neg v \vee f_1 \big) \\ &\wedge \big(e_1 = v \vee \neg w \big) \wedge \big(e_2 = e_1 \vee x \big) \wedge \big(e_3 = e_2 \vee \neg y \big) \\ &\wedge \big(e_3 \vee \neg z \vee f_1 \big) \wedge \big(y \vee z \vee f_1 \big) \wedge \big(\neg v \vee w \vee z \big) \\ &\wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee a_2 \big) \wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee a_1 \vee \neg a_2 \big) \wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee a_2 \big) \\ &\wedge \big(\neg f_1 \vee \neg a_1 \vee \neg a_2 \big). \end{split}$$

We simulate the first instance of False with f_1 ... and the second instance with f_2 .

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor \mathtt{False}) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

We simulate the first instance of False with f_1 ... and the second instance with f_2 .

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

We simulate the first instance of False with f_1 ... and the second instance with f_2 .

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w) \land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x) \land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 = v \lor \neg w)$$

$$\land (e_2 = e_1 \lor x)$$

$$\land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (\mathbf{e_1} \lor \neg \mathbf{v} \lor f_1) \land (\mathbf{e_1} \lor \mathbf{w} \lor f_1) \land (\neg \mathbf{e_1} \lor \mathbf{v} \lor \neg \mathbf{w})$$

$$\land (\mathbf{e_2} = \mathbf{e_1} \lor x)$$

$$\land (\mathbf{e_3} = \mathbf{e_2} \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (\mathbf{e_3} \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 \lor \neg v \lor f_1) \land (e_1 \lor w \lor f_1) \land (\neg e_1 \lor v \lor \neg w)$$

$$\land (e_2 \lor \neg e_1 \lor f_1) \land (e_2 \lor \neg x \lor f_1) \land (\neg e_2 \lor e_1 \lor x)$$

$$\land (e_3 = e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 \lor \neg v \lor f_1) \land (e_1 \lor w \lor f_1) \land (\neg e_1 \lor v \lor \neg w)$$

$$\land (e_2 \lor \neg e_1 \lor f_1) \land (e_2 \lor \neg x \lor f_1) \land (\neg e_2 \lor e_1 \lor x)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg e_2 \lor f_1) \land (e_3 \lor y \lor f_1) \lor (\neg e_3 \lor e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Suppose our original SAT instance is:

$$F = u \wedge (\neg u \vee \neg v) \wedge (v \vee \neg w \vee x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (\neg v \vee w \vee z).$$

We transform this into a 3-SAT instance as follows:

$$F' = (u \lor f_1 \lor f_2) \land (\neg u \lor \neg v \lor f_1)$$

$$\land (e_1 \lor \neg v \lor f_1) \land (e_1 \lor w \lor f_1) \land (\neg e_1 \lor v \lor \neg w)$$

$$\land (e_2 \lor \neg e_1 \lor f_1) \land (e_2 \lor \neg x \lor f_1) \land (\neg e_2 \lor e_1 \lor x)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg e_2 \lor f_1) \land (e_3 \lor y \lor f_1) \lor (\neg e_3 \lor e_2 \lor \neg y)$$

$$\land (e_3 \lor \neg z \lor f_1) \land (y \lor z \lor f_1) \land (\neg v \lor w \lor z)$$

$$\land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor a_1 \lor \neg a_2) \land (\neg f_1 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2)$$

$$\land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor a_2) \land (\neg f_2 \lor \neg a_1 \lor \neg a_2).$$

Phew! We could have done this directly, without the gadgets as intermediate steps, but they made it much easier to think about...