MAT-INF3600 Assignment

Thobias Høivik

Problem 1

Solution for (a). Let $A = \{0,1\}$, $c^{\mathfrak{A}}$ be any element in A and $R^{\mathfrak{A}}(x,y)$ if x = y. Let $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(x) = x$ be the identity function on A.

Then (i) is satisfied and (ii), $(\forall x)[R(x, f(x))]$ is satisfied.

Solution for (b). Let $A = \{0,1\}$, $c^{\mathfrak{A}}$ be any element in A and $R^{\mathfrak{A}}(x,y)$ if x < y with $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(x) = x$ as before. Then, we get a 2 element universe, but R(x, f(x)) is not satisfied for any x since 0 is not less than itself and 1 is not less than itself.

Solution for (c). Now we must construct a 5 element universe with an injective function.

Let $A = \{1, ..., 5\}$ with $c^{\mathfrak{A}} = 1$ (or any other choice), $R = \emptyset$ (again, arbitrary) and f(5) = 1, f(x) = x + 1 otherwise.

Solution and proof of (d). Let $A = \mathbb{N}$ (0 included) with $c^{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$, $R = \emptyset$ (choice is arbitrary) and $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(n) = n+1$ be the successor function.

Then $\forall x[f(x) \neq c]$ since $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(c^{\mathfrak{A}}) = 0+1$, $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(1) = 1+1$, and so on. By the peano axioms, 0 is not the successor of any natural number. Furthermore the second condition of f being injective is satisfied since

$$f(x) = f(y)$$
$$x + 1 = y + 1$$
$$x = y$$

Hence $\mathfrak{A} \models \Gamma$.

Now to prove that any model of Γ has an infinite universe.

Suppose we have some model of Γ with a finite universe $A = \{c^{\mathfrak{A}}, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$. We require $f: A \to A \setminus \{c^{\mathfrak{A}}\}$ and for it to be injective. Since A is finite we have an injective map from a set of size n+1 to a set of size n which is not possible by the pigeonhole principle, thus we arrive at a contradiction.

To vizualize this more clearly we can attempt to construct an injection $f: A \to A$.

$$f^{\mathfrak{A}}(c^{\mathfrak{A}}) = x_{i_1} \text{ where } x_{i_1} \neq c^{\mathfrak{A}}$$

$$f^{\mathfrak{A}}(x_1) = x_{i_2} \text{ where } x_{i_2} \neq x_{i_1}, \text{ and } x_{i_2} \neq c$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f^{\mathfrak{A}}(x_{n-1}) = x_{i_n} \text{ where } x_{i_n} \neq x_{i_{n-1}}, \dots, x_{i_1}, \text{ and } x_{i_n} \neq c^{\mathfrak{A}}$$

But now we arrive at $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(x_n)$ which cannot go to $c^{\mathfrak{A}}$ as that violates $f(x) \neq c$ and $f^{\mathfrak{A}}(x_n)$ cannot go to any x_i as that would violate injectivity. So we cannot construct a well-defined injection that satisfies $f(x) \neq c$ for all x given a finite universe.

Hence any model of Γ necessarily has an infinite universe.

Problem 2

Proof. Let $n \ge 1$ and let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be sentences. Let Σ be a set of formulas. We will prove, by induction, that $\Sigma \cup \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\} \vdash \phi$ if and only if $\Sigma \vdash \theta_1 \land \dots \land \theta_n \to \phi$.

Base case n = 1.

 $\Sigma \cup \theta \vdash \phi$ if any only if $\Sigma \vdash \theta \rightarrow \phi$, by **Theorem 2.7.4 (The Deduction Theorem)**.

Assume that for n = k. Now look at n = k + 1,

$$\begin{split} \Sigma \cup \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k, \theta_{k+1}\} &\vdash \phi \\ \Sigma \cup \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\} &\vdash \theta_{k+1} \to \phi \text{ by the regular Deduction Theorem} \\ \Sigma \vdash \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^k \theta_i\right] \to (\theta_{k+1} \to \phi) \text{ by assumption} \\ \alpha \to (\beta \to \gamma) \text{ equivalent to } (\alpha \land \beta) \to \gamma \\ \text{Hence } \Sigma \vdash \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k+1=n} \theta_i\right] \to \phi \end{split}$$

Now to show the implication in the other direction:

$$\Sigma \vdash \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k+1=n} \theta_i \right] \to \phi$$

$$\Sigma \vdash \left[\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} \theta_i \right] \to (\theta_{k+1} \to \phi)$$

 $\Sigma \cup \{\overline{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k}\} \vdash \overline{\theta_{k+1} \to \phi}$ by assumption

 $\Sigma \cup \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k+1}\} \vdash \phi$ by the regular Deduction Theorem

This completes the proof.

Problem 3

Proof of (a).

$$\begin{aligned} 1.\forall x[Rx \to Sx] \\ 2.(\forall x[Rx \to Sx]) \to (Ry \to Sy) & (Q1) \\ 3.Ry \to Sy & 1,2 & (PC) \\ 4.\neg Sy \to \neg Ry & 3 & (PC) \\ 5.(\neg Sy \to \neg Ry) \to (\neg Sy \to \neg Ry) & (PC) \\ 6.(\neg Sy \to \neg Ry) \to \forall y(\neg Sy \to \neg Ry) & (QR) \\ 7.\forall y(\neg Sy \to \neg Ry) & 4,6 & (PC) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a deduction of $\forall y [\neg Sy \rightarrow \neg Ry]$ from $\forall x [Rx \rightarrow Sx]$.

Proof of (b). First we recognize that $\phi \not\vdash \psi$ if and only if $\{\phi, \neg \psi\}$ is satisfiable.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a structure with universe $A = \{a, b\}$, $R^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{a\}$, $S^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{a, b\}$.

Then if t = a, Ra is true and Sa is true so $Rx \to Sx$ is true. If t = b, Rb is false so the implication is true regardless. Therefore $\mathfrak{A} \models \forall x [Rx \to Sx]$.

Now to check the other formula. If t = b we have Sb, but we do not have Rb. Hence the implication does not hold for all terms and $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \forall y[Sy \rightarrow Ry]$.