

Lecture Notes: Real Analysis — Convergent Sequences (Course: MIT 18.100A)

Thobias K. Høivik

April 21, 2025

Definition 1. $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq M : |x_n - x| < \epsilon$.

Notation: $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$.

To find the definition of a non-convergent sequence we can negate the definition:

Definition 2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ does not converge to x if $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall M \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \geq M$ such that $|x_n - x| \geq \epsilon$.

Examples:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1} = 0.$$

Proof. We aim to prove that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1} = 0$. We do this by finding an expression for M in terms of ϵ to satisfy the definition of convergence. First note that

$$\frac{1}{n^2} > \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$$

We can prove this claim via induction:

Base case: $n = 1$

$$\frac{1}{1} > \frac{1}{1 + 30 + 1}$$

Suppose, for our inductive hypothesis, that

$$\frac{1}{n^2} > \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1}$$

Then, for $k = n + 1$

$$\text{LHS: } \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n + 1}$$

$$\text{RHS: } \frac{1}{k^2 + 30k + 1} = \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n + 1 + 30n + 31} = \frac{1}{n^2 + 32n + 32}$$

Suppose, for a contradiction,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n^2 + 2n + 1} &< \frac{1}{n^2 + 32n + 32} \\ \text{multiply by } -1 & \\ n^2 + 2n + 1 &> n^2 + 32n + 32 \\ 0 &> 32n + 31\end{aligned}$$

which is obviously not true since $n \geq 1$. Thus our assumption was false and therefore, by the principles of mathematical induction,

$$\frac{1}{n^2} > \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$$

Now to prove that the sequence converges let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $M > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ via Archimedes' principle. Then, using Archimedes' principle again, we can choose any natural number $n > M$ which will have the following property:

$$\begin{aligned}n > M &\Rightarrow n > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \\ &\Rightarrow n^2 > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \\ &\Rightarrow \epsilon n^2 > 1 \\ \Rightarrow \epsilon > \frac{1}{n^2} &> \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1} = \left| \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1} \right| \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1} < \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

In other words we have shown that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t } \forall n \geq M : \left| \frac{1}{n^2 + 30n + 1} \right| < \epsilon$$

Thus $(n^2 + 30n + 1)^{-1}$ converges to 0. □

Theorem 1. *If $\{x_n\}$ is convergent then $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.*

Proof. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq M, |x_n - x| < 1$. Which implies $\forall n \geq M$:

$$|x_n| = |x_n - x + x| \leq |x_n - x| + |x| = 1 + |x|$$

Define $B = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_{M-1}| + 1 + |x|$ to be the bound of $\{x_n\}$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|x_n| \leq B$$

Thus $\{x_n\}$ is bounded by $B = 1 + |x| + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} |x_k|$. □

Definition 3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is **monotone increasing** if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \leq x_{n+1}$. I.e $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq x_3 \leq \dots$

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is **monotone decreasing** if $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \geq x_{n+1}$.

If a sequence satisfies one of these conditions we say it is **monotone** or **monotonic**.

Theorem 2. A monotonic sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent **if and only if** it is bounded.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a convergent sequence, converging to x . We already know that any convergent series is bounded by

$$B = x_1 + x_2 + \dots x_{M-1} + 1 + |x| = 1 + |x| + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} |x_k|$$

so it must hold for convergent monotonic sequences also.

Now to prove that any bounded monotonic sequence, there are two cases to consider.

Case 1: Monotone Increasing

$\{x_n\} \leq \{x_{n+1}\}$ and $\exists B \geq 0 : |x_n| \leq B$. Let $x = \sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which must exist since the set of terms in the sequence is non-empty and bounded by B . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ there exists a natural number M such that $x - \epsilon < x_M \leq x$. Since the sequence is increasing we know that $\forall n \geq M : x_n \geq x_M > x - \epsilon$ and $x_n \leq x$. Which implies

$$|x_n - x| < \epsilon$$

Case 2: Monotone Decreasing

By using a symmetric argument and picking $x = \inf\{x_n\}$ we reach the same conclusion. □

Theorem 3.

1. If $C \in (0, 1)$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C^n = 0$.

2. If $C > 1$ then $\{C^n\}$ is unbounded.

Proof of #2. We need to show that $\forall B \geq 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} : C^n > B$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n > \frac{B}{C-1}$$

$$C^n = (1 + (C-1))^n \geq 1 + n(C-1) \geq n(C-1) > \frac{B}{C-1}C - 1 = B$$

$$C^n > B$$

□

Proof of #1. Clearly if $C \in (0, 1)$ then $\{C^n\}$ is bounded by 1. If we can then show that $\{C^n\}$ is monotone decreasing, then we know it must be convergent to L . We prove the claim via induction.

We start with our base case

$$0 < c^2 < c$$

Suppose $0 < C^{m+1} < C^m$. Then

$$0 < C^{m+2} < C^{m+1} < C^m$$

So $\{C^n\}$ is monotone decreasing and bounded which implies it converges to L . Now we'll show $L = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq M$ $|C^n - L| < (1 - c)\epsilon/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - C)|L| &= |L - CL| \leq |L - C^{M+1} + C^{M+1} - cL| \leq |L - C^{M+1}| + C|C^M + 1| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2}(1 - C) + C\frac{\epsilon}{2}(1 - C) < \epsilon(1 - C) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|L| < \epsilon \Rightarrow |L| = 0 \Rightarrow L = 0$$

□

Subsequences

Definition 4. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence, and let $\{n_k\}$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ (strictly increasing). The sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is called a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$.

Example:

$1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots$ is a sequence with $1, 3, 5, 7, 9, \dots$ being a subsequence of the odd entries. $1, 1, 1, 1, \dots$ would be a **non-example**, since n_k is not strictly increasing.

Theorem 4. If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$$

Proof. Since $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots \Rightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N} : n_k \geq k$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, $\exists M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > M_0, |x_n - x| < \epsilon$. Choose $M = M_0$. If $k \geq M \Rightarrow n_k \geq M = M_0 \Rightarrow |x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon$

□