

My Math Notes

Thobias Høivik

December 16, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Model Theory	3
3	Fourier Analysis	4

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1 (Sets). A set is a collection of distinct elements.

As discussed in definition 1.1, elements must be distinct.

Theorem 1.1 (Sum of evens). The sum of two even numbers is even.

Proof. Let the even numbers be $2a$ and $2b$. Their sum is

$$2a + 2b = 2(a + b),$$

which is even. \square

As described in theorem 1.1.

Lemma 1.1 (Parity of sum). If x and y are integers, then $x+y$ is even if and only if x and y have the same parity.

As seen in lemma 1.1, you are a very nice person.

Proof. Assume x and y are both even or both odd.

- If both even, $x = 2m$, $y = 2n$, then $x+y = 2(m+n)$ is even.
- If both odd, $x = 2m+1$, $y = 2n+1$, then

$$x+y = 2m+1+2n+1 = 2(m+n+1),$$

also even.

Conversely, if $x+y$ is even and x is even, then y must be even; similarly for odd. \square

2 Model Theory

Problem 2.1 (Satisfiability). Let $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi$. Show that ϕ is satisfiable.

Proof of Problem 2.1. Since $\mathfrak{M} \models \phi$, by definition ϕ is true in some model (namely \mathfrak{M}). Therefore, ϕ is satisfiable.

More explicitly,

$$\phi = \psi \wedge \theta,$$

where ψ and θ are formulas satisfied by \mathfrak{M} . Hence ϕ is satisfiable. \square

Corollary 2.1 (Consequence of satisfiability). *If ϕ is satisfiable, then $\neg\phi$ is not valid.*

Proof. If $\neg\phi$ were valid, then ϕ would be false in every model. This contradicts the satisfiability of ϕ . \square

3 Fourier Analysis

Definition 3.1 (Fourier Transform). *The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as*

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx.$$

Theorem 3.1 (Fourier Inversion). *If f and \hat{f} are both in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then for almost every x ,*

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi.$$

Sketch of proof. This theorem follows from Plancherel's theorem and properties of the Fourier transform on Schwartz functions. The full proof is beyond this note. \square