# Homework 9

Thomas Kim tsk389 51835

David Munoz dam2989 51840

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CS331}}$  Algorithms and Complexity

**Problem Q1(a).** 4 - SAT: Each clause has exactly 4 variables.

Prove or disprove: 4 - SAT is NP-Complete

Claim: 4 - SAT is NP-Complete

# Poly-time reduction

- 1: Let  $\Phi$  be an expression of a 3-SAT problem
- 2: Let  $\Phi'$  be an initially empty expression
- 3: for  $\forall C_j = (x \lor y \lor z) \in \Phi$  where x, y, z are literals do
- Create a new boolean variable  $\alpha$ 4:
- $C_i^1 := (x \lor y \lor z \lor \alpha)$ 5:
- $C_j^2 := (x \vee y \vee z \vee \overline{\alpha}) \ \Phi' := \Phi \wedge C_j^1 \wedge C_j^2$ 6:
- 8: return  $4 SAT(\Phi')$

### **Proof of Correctness**

```
Proof. Take (x \lor y \lor z \lor \alpha) \land (x \lor y \lor z \lor \overline{\alpha})
```

- $= ((x \lor y \lor z) \lor \alpha) \land ((x \lor y \lor z) \lor \overline{\alpha})$
- $= ((x \lor y \lor z) \land (x \lor y \lor z)) \lor ((x \lor y \lor z) \land \overline{\alpha}) \lor (\alpha \land (x \lor y \lor z)) \lor (\alpha \land \overline{\alpha})$
- $= (x \vee y \vee z) \vee ((x \vee y \vee z) \wedge \overline{\alpha}) \vee (\alpha \wedge (x \vee y \vee z))$
- $= (x \vee y \vee z) \vee (((x \vee y \vee z) \wedge \overline{\alpha}) \vee (\alpha \wedge (x \vee y \vee z)))$
- $= (x \lor y \lor z) \lor ((x \lor y \lor z) \land (\overline{\alpha} \lor \alpha))$
- $= (x \lor y \lor z) \lor ((x \lor y \lor z) \land T)$
- $= (x \lor y \lor z) \lor (x \lor y \lor z)$
- $= (x \lor y \lor z)$

Therefore,  $(x \lor y \lor z \lor \alpha) \land (x \lor y \lor z \lor \overline{\alpha}) = (x \lor y \lor z)$ 

By line 5-6, every clause  $C_j \in \Phi$  is transformed into an equivalent set of two clauses  $C_j^1, C_j^2 \in \Phi'$ Now, by the associative property,  $C_1^1 \wedge C_1^2 \wedge C_2^1 \wedge C_2^2 \cdots \wedge C_n^1 \wedge C_n^2 = (C_1^1 \wedge C_1^2) \wedge \cdots \wedge (C_n^1 \wedge C_n^2)$ By the previous proof, we have established  $(C_j^1 \wedge C_j^2) = C_j$ 

Therefore,  $\Phi' = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_n$ 

Therefore,  $\Phi' = \Phi$  which means 4 - SAT can solve any 3-SAT problem  $\Phi$  by using the above reduction.

#### **Proof of Runtime**

*Proof.* Let the number of clauses in  $C_j$  be expressed as N The reduction processes each clause  $C_j \in \Phi$ once and generates two new clauses  $C_j^{1}, C_j^{2}$ 

Therefore, the runtime is  $\theta(2N) = \theta(N)$  which is polynomial in N

Therefore, if the reduction is correct,  $3 - SAT \leq_p 4 - SAT$ 

**Problem Q1(b).** T - SAT: All variables appear in non-negated form only

Prove or disprove: T - SAT is NP-Complete

Claim: T - SAT is not NP-Complete

T-SAT Algorithm

1: return 1

#### Proof of correctness:

*Proof.* Let all variables be assigned T

Each clause is of the form  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor \cdots \lor x_k)$ 

When every variable is assigned T, this reduces to:

$$(T \lor T \lor \cdots \lor T)$$

So every clause evaluates True.

Now 
$$\Phi = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_n$$

Since every clause is True, this becomes:

$$\Phi = T \wedge T \wedge T \cdots \wedge T$$

This trivially evaluates to True.

Therefore, every T-SAT expression is satisfiable.

The algorithm returns 1 by line 1, so it is correct.

#### Proof of runtime:

*Proof.* The runtime is constant, since there is only 1 line and it is a return statement

**Problem Q1(c).** P-SAT: Each clause has precisely 3 variables, and each variable appears in exactly 3 clauses.

Prove or disprove: P - SAT is NP-Complete

## **Problem Q2: 8.16.** Intersection Inference Problem:

Given a finite set U of size n and collection  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  of subsets of U, cardinalities  $c_1, \ldots, c_m$ , does there exist a set  $X \subset U$  such that  $X \cap A_i = c_i$ ?

Prove NP-Completeness

```
1: Let 3 disjoint sets X, Y, Z of size n and T \subset X \times Y \times Z be an instance of 3D matching
```

2: Let 
$$m = |X \cup Y \cup Z|$$

- 3: Let U be an initially empty set
- 4: Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  be initially empty sets
- 5: Let  $c_1, \ldots, c_m = 1$
- 6: U := T
- 7: for  $\forall v_i \in X \cup Y \cup Z$  do

8: **for** 
$$\forall t_i = (x, y, z) \in T$$
 **do**

- 9: if  $v_i = x \lor v_i = y \lor v_i = z$  then
- 10:  $A_i := A_i \cup t_i$
- 11: end if
- 12: end for
- 13: end for
- 14: **return** Intersection Inference  $(U, A_1, \ldots, A_m, c_1, \ldots, c_m)$

### Proof of correctness:

*Proof.* Suppose there exists some X which fulfills the Intersection Inference condition.

By construction,  $X \subset T$ 

Claim: X contains at most one triple (x, y, z) for each unique value of x, y, z

Suppose two triples in X contain the same element.

Without loss of generality, suppose this element is x

Find the set  $A_i$  containing all triples that include x

Now,  $|X \cap A_i| = 2$  because two triples contain x, both of which are in  $A_i$  and both of which are in X Contradiction.

Claim: X contains a triple containing each unique value at least once Suppose X does not contain a triple that includes some unique value v.

Find  $A_i$  corresponding to v

 $|X \cap A_i| = 0$  because  $A_i$  contains only triples which include v

Contradiction Therefore, X contains each element exactly once.

Suppose there does not exist any X which fulfills the Intersection Inference condition.

Claim: There does not exist any 3D matching

Suppose there existed a 3D matching.

Take each triple  $t_i$  in the 3D matching and let  $X = \{t_i\}$ 

By the 3D matching problem statement, X contains precisely 1 triple for every value  $v \in X \cup Y \cup Z$ Take any arbitrary  $A_i$ 

 $|X \cap A_i| = 1$ , because precisely one triple in  $X_i$  contains the value associated with  $A_i$  Contradiction.

## Proof of runtime:

*Proof.* Let n be the cardinality  $|X \cup Y \cup Z|$ 

Let m be the cardinality |T|

For each element of  $X \cup Y \cup Z$ , the reduction checks each  $t_i$ 

All other operations are constant time.

Therefore, the reduction has runtime  $\theta(mn)$ 

Let N = max(|X|, |Y|, |Z|)

We see  $n = |X \cup Y \cup Z| \le 3N$ 

Also,  $m = |T| \le N^3$ 

So the runtime as a function of the largest set is  $\theta(N^4)$ , which is polynomial.

# Problem Q3: 8.17. Zero-Weight-Cycle Problem:

Given a directed graph G=(V,E) with weights  $w_e \in \mathbb{Z}$ , does there exist a simple cycle C such that  $\sum_{e \in C} w_e = 0$ ?

Prove NP-Completeness

### Proof of NP

*Proof.* Let the certificate be some cycle  $c = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  in G To verify whether the solution is valid, check whether  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 0$ 

# Reduction from Subset-Sum to Zero-Weight-Cycle

1: Let  $T, A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be an instance of the subset-sum problem with target sum T and set A

2: Let G = (V, E) be an initially empty graph

3: Let  $e = (x, y)_w$  be a directed edge from x to y with weight w

4:  $V := \{s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ 

5: for  $\forall a_i \in A$  do

6: for  $\forall 1 \leq j < i \text{ do}$ 

7:  $E := E \cup \{(v_j, v_i)_{a_i}\}$ 

8: end for

9:  $E := E \cup \{(v_i, s)_{-T}\}$ 

10: end for

11: return Zero - Weight - Cycle(G)

### Proof of correctness:

*Proof.* Claim: Any cycle  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$  contains exactly one or zero edges corresponding to each weight  $a_i \in A$  Suppose 2 edges in the cycle have the same weight  $a_i$ .

This means 2 edges entered  $v_i$ , as only edges incoming to  $v_i$  have weight  $a_i$ 

This means  $v_i$  was used at least twice, thus it is not a simple cycle.

As a corollary, this means every cycle corresponds precisely to one valid subset of A

Claim: Any simple cycle must pass through s.

Remove all edges with one endpoint at s from the graph

By construction, there exists no edge between nodes  $v_i, v_j$  where i > j

Therefore, there exists a topological ordering of this new graph.

Therefore, there exist no cycles in this new graph without any edges to s.

Therefore, any cycle must pass through s.

Claim: No edge in the graph has a weight  $w \neq a_i \land w \neq -T$ 

By construction, every graph is assigned a weight either from  $a_i \in A$  or -T

Claim: If a simple cycle C has weight 0, there exists a subset with sum T

Remove the edge  $(v_k, s)$  from the cycle to get C'. By construction,  $\sum_{e_i \in C'} w_i = T$  since the weight of  $(v_k, s) = -T$ 

Construct a subset A' which contains all  $a_i$  such that  $e_i \in C'$ 

The sum of this subset is precisely T

Claim: If no simple cycle C has weight 0, there exists no subset with sum T

Suppose there existed a subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  with sum T.

Order this set such that  $x_i < x_j \equiv i < j$ 

Create a cycle using edges  $(s, x_1), (x_1, x_2), \ldots, (x_n, s)$ 

The first n edges have a total weight of T by construction.

The last edge has a weight of -T

Therefore the cycle has zero weight.

Contradiction.

#### Proof of runtime:

*Proof.* Let the size of the set be N

For each set element, precisely 1 vertex and at most  $\theta(N)$  edges are made

Therefore, the runtime of the reduction is  $\theta(N^2)$ 

This is polynomial in N.

5