

# Online Convex Optimization

## Home Assignment

This homework should be uploaded on Moodle by **Friday, January 9, 2026** in a PDF file separate from the code. The code can be written in any language (Python or R) but should be in a single file that runs immediately when executed in an environment containing the dataset `mnist.csv`.

### Part 1. The "Rock Paper Scissors" game

We consider the sequential version of a repeated two-player zero-sum game between a player and an adversary, whose setting is detailed below. Let  $L \in \{-1, 1\}^{M \times N}$  be a loss matrix known by the players. At each round  $t = 1, \dots, T$ :

1. The player chooses a distribution  $p_t \in \Delta_M := \{p \in [0, 1]^M, \sum_{i=1}^M p_i = 1\}$ .
2. The adversary chooses a distribution  $q_t \in \Delta_N$ .
3. The actions of both players are sampled  $I_t \sim p_t$  and  $J_t \sim q_t$ .
4. The player incurs the loss  $L_{J_t, I_t}$  and the adversary the loss  $-L_{I_t, J_t}$ .

#### 1. Rock Paper Scissors matrix

Recall  $M, N$  and a loss matrix  $L \in \{-1, 1\}^{M \times N}$  that corresponds to the game "Rock Paper Scissors"<sup>1</sup>.

#### 2. Implementation of EWA

- (a) Implement the function `rand_weighted` that takes as input a probability vector  $p \in \Delta_M$  and return  $I = 1, \dots, M$  satisfying  $\mathbb{P}(I = i) = p_i$ .
- (b) Define a function `EWA_update` that takes as input a vector  $p_t \in \Delta_M$  and a loss vector  $\ell_t \in \{-1, 1\}^M$  and returns the updated vector  $p_{t+1} \in \Delta_M$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is a common game where two players choose one of 3 options: (Rock, Paper, Scissors). The winner is decided according to the following: Rock crushes scissors, Paper covers Rock, Scissors cuts Paper.

defined for all  $i = 1, \dots, M$  by

$$p_{t+1}(i) = \frac{p_t(i) \exp(-\eta \ell_t(i))}{\sum_{j=1}^M p_t(j) \exp(-\eta \ell_t(j))}$$

and starting from the uniform weights  $p_0(i) = 1/M$  for  $i = 1, \dots, M$ .

### 3. Simulation against a fixed adversary

Consider the game "Rock Paper Scissors" and assume that the adversary chooses  $q_t = (1/6, 1/3, 1/2)$  and samples  $J_t \sim q_t$  for all rounds  $t \geq 1$ .

- (a) What is the loss  $\ell_t(i)$  incurred by the player if she chooses action  $i$  at time  $t$ ? Simulate an instance of the game for  $t = 1, \dots, T = 100$  for  $\eta = 1$ .
- (b) Plot the evolution of the weight vectors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_T$ . What seems to be the best strategy here?
- (c) Repeat the simulation  $n = 200$  times and plot the average loss  $\bar{\ell}_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(i_s, j_s)$  as a function of  $t$  (averaged over the experiments).
- (d) Repeat one simulation for different values of learning rates  $\eta \in \{0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1\}$  and plot the average loss as a function of  $\eta$ . What are the best  $\eta$  in practice and in theory?

### 4. Implementation of OGD

Consider a version of OGD as an adversary with decision set  $q_t = \Delta_N$  that chooses action  $J_t \sim q_t$  and suffers the expected loss  $\ell_t(q_t) = \sum_{j=1}^N q_t(j) L_{I_t, j}$  against the player's action  $I_t$  at round  $t$ . The update of OGD in this case is

$$q_{t+1} = \text{Proj}_{\Delta_N} (q_t - \eta_t \nabla \ell_t(q_t)),$$

with  $\eta_t = 1/\sqrt{t}$ .

- (a) Define the Euclidean projection over the simplex `proj_simplex` that takes  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and returns its projection  $\text{Proj}_{\Delta_N}(x) \in \Delta_N$ . See Alg. 2 in the lecture notes <https://wintenberger.fr/cours/OCO/OCO2022.pdf>.
- (b) Define a function `OGD_update` that takes as input a vector  $q_t$ , the loss vector  $L_{I_t, :}$ , and returns  $q_{t+1} \in \Delta_N$ .

### 5. EWA vs OGD

Run an experiment of the game "Rock Paper Scissors" in which EWA is the player and OGD the adversary. Define  $\bar{p}_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t p_s$ . Plot in log log scale  $\|\bar{p}_t - (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)\|_2$  as a function of  $t = 1, \dots, 10000$ .

## 6. Hedge vs OGD

Recall that the loss function considered by Hedge is

$$\ell_t(p) = \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N q_t(j) L(j, i) p_t(i).$$

- (a) Define a function `Hedge_update` that takes as input a vector  $p_t$ , the adversary's strategy  $q_t$ , and returns  $p_{t+1} \in \Delta_N$ .
- (b) Run an experiment of the game "Rock Paper Scissors" in which Hedge is the player and OGD the adversary. Define  $\bar{p}_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t p_s$ . Plot in log log scale  $\|\bar{p}_t - (1/3, 1/3, 1/3)\|_2$  as a function of  $t = 1, \dots, 10000$ . Compare with the results obtained in Question 5.

## Part II. MNIST

We consider a problem of image classification on the MNIST dataset available at

["https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/hojjatk/mnist-dataset".](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/hojjatk/mnist-dataset)

We have images of digits "0", "1", "2", ... and the objective is to build a classifier. The goal is to classify if an image  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  corresponds to the digit "0" in grayscale: the label is  $y_t = 1$  if image  $t$  is "0" and  $y_t = -1$  otherwise. The data is composed of two subfolders, one set of 10000 images. Given a region of search  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we propose to estimate sequentially  $\theta_t \in \mathcal{K}$  to predict the label  $y_t$  with  $\hat{y}_t = \text{sign}(\theta_t^\top X_t)$ .

### 1. Dimension and Region of Search

What is the value of  $d$  here when, as usual, one adds an intercept (a fictitious pixel = 1) after standardizing  $X$  so that the grayscale of the pixels fits to  $[0, 1]$ ? Propose a possible region of search  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### 2. Loss Functions

We define the the logistic loss function  $\ell(y_t, \theta^\top X_t) = \log(1 + \exp(-y_t \theta^\top X_t))$ .

- (a) Implement the loss function `loss_logistic`. Prove it is exp-concave.
- (b) Compute the gradient and the Hessian of the logistic loss. Write the associated functions `gradient_logistic`, `hessian_logistic`.

### 3. Algorithms

We consider  $\mathcal{K} = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\theta\|_2 \leq D\}$  for some  $D > 0$ .

- (a) Implement OGD and AdaGrad in this setting.
- (b) Run the algorithms for a choice of  $D$  and a choice of the gradient step sizes  $\eta_t$ . Motivate these choices.
- (c) How often do your algorithms leave the convex set  $\mathcal{K}$ ? Is your choice of  $D$  restrictive?
- (d) From the sequence  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_T$  obtained for each algorithm, plot the cumulative loss  $S_t = \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(y_s, \theta_s^\top X_s)$  as a function of  $t$ . Compare the different algorithms. Plot also the cumulative 0 – 1 loss. Interpret.

### 4. Regret

Recall that the regret is defined by

$$\text{Regret}_t = \sum_{s=1}^t (\ell(y_s, \theta_s^\top X_s) - \ell(y_s, \theta^* \top X_s)).$$

- (a) Propose an estimate of  $\theta^* \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{s=1}^t \ell(y_s, \theta^\top X_s)$ .
- (b) Plot the evolution of the regret of the algorithms defined in 3(a) and 3(b). Interpret with respect to the regret bounds seen in class.

### Bonus

Propose an alternative sequential algorithm for the problem considered by modifying the convex set  $\mathcal{K}$ .