# Social optima in economies with heterogeneous agents ${ m Nu\~no}~\&~{ m Moll}$ (2018)

Leo Aparisi de Lannoy

Beyond macro

#### MOTIVATION AND MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORK

- Continuous-time framework to compute the social optimum in models with heterogeneous agents subject to idiosyncratic shocks.
- Reduce the problem to a MFG.
  - ► HJB coupled with a KF.
  - Market clearing conditions
  - ▶ Incomplete markets ⇒ restrictions on the control set.
- Mathematical ingredients:
  - Hilbert space (≈ scalar product) to compute infinite dimensional Gateaux derivatives (≈ directional derivative).
  - 2 Duality approach to reduce the SP problem to a competitive problem through the use of a Lagrange multiplier (captures the externality)
- Use sparse matrices to compute the equilibrium (Achdou et al.(2017)).



#### ECONOMY: INDIVIDUAL PROBLEM

- lacksquare Deterministic (no shocks!) aggregate variable  $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- Continuum of agent  $i \in [0,1]$  with constant death (and birth) rate  $\eta$ .
  - ightharpoonup Controls  $\mu\left(t,X_{t}^{i}
    ight)\in\mathbb{R}^{m}$
- lacksquare Individual state  $X_t^i \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$dX_t^i = b\left(X_t^i, \mu\left(t, X_t^i\right), Z_t\right) dt + \sigma\left(X_t^i\right) dB_t^i$$
(1)

- Admissible set of control  $\mu(t,x) \in \mathcal{M}(t,x)$  that solves uniquely (1)
  - ▶ Encompasses restriction on market completeness for example.

## ECONOMY: MFG FORMULATION

■ Value function V(t,x) maximizes utility under (1):

$$V\left(t,x\right) = \max_{\mu\left(t,X_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{M}\left(t,X_{s}\right)} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left.\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\rho+\eta\right)\left(s-t\right)} u\left(X_{s},\mu\right) ds\right| X_{t} = x\right]$$

Transversality condition:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}e^{-\rho t}V\left(t,x\right)=0$$

Individual's problem summarized by the HJB:

$$\rho V(t,x) = \frac{\partial V(t,x)}{\partial t} + \max_{\mu(t,x) \in \mathcal{M}(t,x)} \{u(x,\mu(x,t)) + \mathcal{A}V\}$$

► Infinitesimal generator of the process (1):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + AV = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x, \mu, Z) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \sigma(x) \sigma(x)' \right]_{i,k} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} - \eta V$$

(2)

### ECONOMY: MFG FORMULATION

- Random initial state  $x_0 \sim \psi(x)$
- Distribution g(t,x) solves the KF equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{A}^* g + \eta \psi \\ \int g(t, x) dx &= 1 \\ g(0, t) &= g_0(x) \end{cases}$$
 (3)

•  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is the adjoint (pprox transpose through integration by part) of  $\mathcal{A}$  :

$$\mathcal{A}^{*}g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[ b_{i}\left(x, \mu, Z\right) g\left(t, x\right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}} \left[ \left[ \sigma\left(x\right) \sigma\left(x\right)' \right]_{i, k} g\left(t, x\right) \right] - \eta g$$

Market clearing:

$$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, p\}, Z_k(t) = \int f_k(x, \mu) g(t, x) dx$$
 (4)

#### COMPETITIVE EQUILIBRIUM

- A **competitive equilibrium** is a vector of aggregate variables Z(t), a value function V(t,x), a control  $\mu(t,x)$  and a density g(t,x) such that:
  - Given Z(t), V(t,x) is the solution of the HJB equation (2) and the optimal control is  $\mu(t,x)$ .
  - 2 Given  $\mu(t,x)$  and Z(t), g(t,x) is the solution to the KF system (3)
  - 3 Given  $\mu(t,x)$  and g(t,x), the aggregate variables Z(t) satisfy the market clearing conditions (4)
- The recursive structure is helpful to solve numerically:

$${Z(t)} \stackrel{\text{(2)}}{\Rightarrow} {Z(t), \mu(t, x)} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{\Rightarrow} {g(t, x), \mu(t, x)} \stackrel{\text{(4)}}{\Rightarrow} {Z(t)}$$

## SOCIAL PLANNER (SP) PROBLEM

■ Infinitely lived SP maximizes a Social Welfare Function (SWF) with Pareto weights  $\omega(t,x)$  to get a value function  $J(g_0(x))$ :

$$\max_{g(t,x),Z(t),\mu(t,x)\in\mathcal{M}(t,x)} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \left[ \int_{x\in\mathcal{X}} \omega(t,x) u(x,\mu) g(t,x) dx \right] dt$$

$$\text{s.t } \rho V(t,x) = \frac{\partial V(t,x)}{\partial t} + \max_{\mu(t,x)\in\mathcal{M}(t,x)} \left\{ u(x,\mu(x,t)) + \mathcal{A}V \right\} (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{A}^* g + \eta \psi \left[ \mathbf{j} \left( \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x} \right) \right] \\ \int g(t,x) dx &= 1 \\ g(0,t) &= g_0(x) \end{cases}$$

$$\forall k \in \{1,\dots,p\}, Z_k(t) = \int f_k(x,\mu) g(t,x) dx (4) \left[ \lambda_k(t) \right]$$

#### NECESSARY CONDITIONS: FROM SP TO COMPETITIVE

After a lot of Gateaux derivatives... The main result!

#### Proposition 1: Necessary conditions

■ If a solution to the SP problem exists with  $(e^{-\rho t}g, e^{-\rho t}\mu, e^{-\rho t}j) \in L^2 \Rightarrow \exists$  Competitive equilibrium (HJB, complementary slackness, SP objective from HJB):

$$\rho j(t,x) = \max_{\mu(t,x) \in \mathcal{M}(t,x)} \omega(t,x) u(x,\mu) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \lambda_k(t) [f_k(x,\mu) - Z_k] + \mathcal{A}j(t,x) + \frac{\partial j(t,x)}{\partial t}$$

$$\forall k \in \{1,\dots,p\} \lambda_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \frac{\partial j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial Z_k} g(t,x) dx$$

$$J(g(0,x)) = \int j(0,x) g(0,x) dx + \eta \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \left[ \int e^{-\rho t} j(t,x) \psi(x) dx \right] dt$$

#### FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEME: AIYAGARI EXAMPLE

■ Discrete grids of asset a (x before) and idiosyncratic productivity z (B before):

$$(a,z) \in \{a_1, a_2 + \Delta a, \ldots, a_I\} \times \{z_1, z_2 + \Delta z, \ldots, z_J\}$$

- Planner value function (j before) is  $V_{ij} \equiv V(a_i, z_i)$
- $\blacksquare$  Saving function  $s_{ij}$

$$s_{i,j} = wz_j + (r + \eta) a_i - c_{ij}$$

■ Define forward and backward derivatives in asset a:

$$\partial_{a,F} V_{ij} = rac{V_{i+1,j} - V_{i,j}}{\Delta a}$$
 $\partial_{a,B} V_{ij} = rac{V_{i,j} - V_{i-1,j}}{\Delta a}$ 

■ Forward derivative for idiosyncratic productivity *z*:

$$\partial_z V_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i,j+1} - V_{i,j}}{\Delta z}$$

$$\partial_{zz} V_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i,j+1} - 2V_{i,j} + V_{i,j-1}}{(\Delta z)^2}$$

#### UPWIND SCHEME

- The utility, including the multiplier is  $u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \lambda(a-K)$
- The discretized HJB is:

$$\frac{V_{i,j}^{n+1} - V_{i,j}^{n}}{\Delta} + \rho V_{i,j}^{n+1} = u\left(c_{i,j}^{n}\right) + \partial_{a,F} V_{i,j}^{n+1} s_{i,j,F}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{s_{i,j,F}^{n} > 0} + \partial_{a,B} V_{i,j}^{n+1} s_{i,j,B}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{s_{i,j,B}^{n} < 0} 
+ \theta\left(\hat{z} - z_{j}\right) \partial_{z} V_{i,j}^{n+1} + \frac{\sigma_{z}^{2} z_{j}}{2} \partial_{zz} V_{i,j}^{n+1} - \eta V_{i,j}^{n+1}$$

■ With savings given by:

$$s_{ij,F}^{n} = wz_{j} + (r + \eta)a_{i} - (u')^{-1} \left(\partial_{a,F} V_{i,j}^{n}\right)$$
  
$$s_{ij,B}^{n} = wz_{j} + (r + \eta)a_{i} - (u')^{-1} \left(\partial_{a,B} V_{i,j}^{n}\right)$$

#### SOLVING THE HJB: SPARSE MATRICES

■ Can rewrite the previous HJB as:

$$\frac{\mathbf{V}^{n+1} - \mathbf{V}^n}{\Delta} + \rho \mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{A}^n \mathbf{V}^{n+1}$$

■ Rearranging:

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{\Delta} + \rho\right) \mathbf{I}^n - \mathbf{A}^n\right] \mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}^n + \frac{\mathbf{V}^n}{\Delta}$$

- Algorithm:
  - Starts with  $V_{i,j}^0 = \frac{u(ra_i + wz_j)}{a}$
  - **1** Compute  $\partial_{a,F} V_{ij} = \partial_{a,B} V_{ij} = \partial_z V_{i,j} = \partial_{zz} V_{i,j}$  using finite diff.
  - **2** Compute  $c_{i,j}^n$  using:

$$c_{i,j}^{n} = \left(u'\right)^{-1} \left(\partial_{a,F} V_{i,j}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{s_{i,j,F}^{n} > 0} + \partial_{a,B} V_{i,j}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{s_{i,j,B}^{n}} < 0 + u' \left(wz_{j} + ra_{i}\right) \mathbf{1}_{s_{i,j,F}^{n} < 0, s_{i,j,B}^{n} > 0}\right)$$

- 3 Solve the previous system to find  $V^{n+1}$
- **1** Iterates until  $|\mathbf{V}^{n+1} \mathbf{V}^n| < \epsilon$

#### Solving the KF and finding the aggregate capital

Discretized KF can be written taking advantage of the self adjoint property as:

$$\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{g} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{A}^n = \mathbf{A}$$

▶ We solve the system and renormalize:

$$g_{ij} = \frac{g_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{ij} \Delta a \Delta z}$$

• Finding the equilibrium aggregate capital is the standard Aiyagari algorithm.



#### FINDING THE LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER

- Choose a constant  $\vartheta \in (0,1)$ , begin with an initial guess of the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^0 = 0$ , set m = 0:
- **1** Compute the value function  $V^m$ , consumption  $C^m$ , density  $g^m$  and aggregate capital  $K^m$  given  $\lambda^m$ .
- **2** Update  $\tilde{\lambda}^{m+1}$ :

$$\tilde{\lambda}^{m+1} = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{(K^m)^{2-\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ g_{i,j}^m + a_i \frac{g_{i+1,j}^m - g_{i,j}^m}{\Delta a} - K^m z_j \frac{g_{i+1,j}^m - g_{i,j}^m}{\Delta a} \right] V_{i,j}^m \Delta a \Delta z$$

- **3** If  $\tilde{\lambda}^{m+1} \neq \lambda^m$ , set  $\lambda^{m+1} = \vartheta \tilde{\lambda}^{m+1} + (1 \vartheta) \lambda^m$
- **4** If  $|\lambda^{m+1} \lambda^m| > \epsilon$ , keep iterating

#### AIYAGARI: FINDING AGGREGATE CAPITAL

- Choose  $\nu \in (0,1)$ , and  $K^0$
- ① Compute  $r^n$  and  $w^n$  as a function of  $K^n$
- 2) Given  $r^n$  and  $w^n$  solve the HJB numerically to get  $V^n$  and  $c^n$
- 3 Given  $c^n$  solves the KF to get  $g^n$
- **1** Compute aggregate capital  $S^n = \sum_{(i,j)} a_i g_{ij} \Delta a \Delta z$
- **6** Update  $K^{n+1} = \nu S^n + (1 \nu) K^n$
- **6** f  $\left|K^{n+1}-K^n\right|>\epsilon$ , keep iterating

#### Math

■ Choose as Hilbert *space* the space of Lebesgue-integrable functions  $L^2$  with the inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x) g(x) dx$$

Let's T an operator, its adjoint  $T^*$  is defined by:

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \langle f, T^*g \rangle$$

- ightharpoonup An operator is *self-adjoint* if  $T = T^*$
- Let J(g) be a functional and let h be arbitrary in  $L^2$ . The *Gateaux derivative* of J in the direction h is defined as:

$$\delta J(g;h) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{J(g + \alpha h) - J(g)}{\alpha}$$



#### Матн

- Let  $H: L^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- If J has a continuous Fréchet differential, a necessary condition for J to have an extremum at g under the constraint H(g) = 0 is:

$$\exists \lambda \in L^2 \Rightarrow egin{cases} \delta \mathcal{L}(g;h) &= 0 \ \mathcal{L}(g) &= J(g) + \langle \lambda, H(g) \rangle \end{cases}$$

back
 back