

## SOLUTIONS TO MATH3411 PROBLEMS 35-42

**35.** Here, it is a good idea to draw the decision tree arising from the Huffman algorithm. However, I am going to be lazy and just write up the steps without drawing anything. Let us first find the binary Huffman code for  $S^1 = S = \{s_1, s_2\}$ :

Source	Step 0	Step 1
$s_1$	$p_1 = \frac{2}{3}$ <b>0</b>	$p_{12} = 1$ <b><math>\emptyset</math></b>
$s_2$	$p_2 = \frac{1}{3}$ <b>1</b>	

The binary Huffman code for  $S^1$  is 0, 1. The expected codeword length is 1. Let us now find the binary Huffman code for  $S^2 = \{s_1s_1, s_1s_2, s_2s_1, s_2s_2\}$ :

Source	Step 0	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
$\sigma_1 = s_1s_1$	$p_1 = \frac{4}{9}$ <b>1</b>	$p_1 = \frac{4}{9}$ <b>1</b>	$p_{342} = \frac{5}{9}$ <b>0</b>	$p_{3421} = 1$ <b><math>\emptyset</math></b>
$\sigma_2 = s_1s_2$	$p_2 = \frac{2}{9}$ <b>01</b>	$p_{34} = \frac{3}{9}$ <b>00</b>	$p_1 = \frac{4}{9}$ <b>1</b>	
$\sigma_3 = s_2s_1$	$p_3 = \frac{2}{9}$ <b>000</b>	$p_2 = \frac{2}{9}$ <b>01</b>		
$\sigma_4 = s_2s_2$	$p_4 = \frac{1}{9}$ <b>001</b>			

In other words, the binary Huffman code for  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_4$  is 1, 01, 000, 001.

The expected codeword length is  $1 + \frac{5}{9} + \frac{3}{9} = \frac{17}{9} \approx 1.889$  (using Knuth's theorem).

Finally, let us find the binary Huffman code for  $S^3 = \{s_1s_1s_1, s_1s_1s_2, s_1s_2s_1, s_2s_1s_1, s_1s_2s_2, s_2s_1s_2, s_2s_2s_1, s_1s_1s_1\}$ :

Source	Step 0	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5	Step 6	Step 7
$\sigma_1 = s_1s_1s_1$	$p_1 = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>01</b>	$p_1 = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>01</b>	$p_1 = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>01</b>	$p_1 = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>01</b>	$p_{23} = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>00</b>	$p_{47856} = \frac{11}{27}$ <b>1</b>	$p_{231} = \frac{16}{27}$ <b>0</b>	$p_{23147856} = 1$ <b><math>\emptyset</math></b>
$\sigma_2 = s_1s_1s_2$	$p_2 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>000</b>	$p_2 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>000</b>	$p_{56} = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>11</b>	$p_{478} = \frac{7}{27}$ <b>10</b>	$p_1 = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>01</b>	$p_{23} = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>00</b>	$p_{47856} = \frac{11}{27}$ <b>1</b>	
$\sigma_3 = s_1s_2s_1$	$p_3 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>001</b>	$p_3 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>001</b>	$p_2 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>000</b>	$p_{56} = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>11</b>	$p_{478} = \frac{7}{27}$ <b>10</b>	$p_1 = \frac{8}{27}$ <b>01</b>		
$\sigma_4 = s_2s_1s_1$	$p_4 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>100</b>	$p_4 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>100</b>	$p_3 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>001</b>	$p_2 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>000</b>	$p_{56} = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>11</b>			
$\sigma_5 = s_1s_2s_2$	$p_5 = \frac{2}{27}$ <b>110</b>	$p_{78} = \frac{3}{27}$ <b>101</b>	$p_4 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>100</b>	$p_3 = \frac{4}{27}$ <b>001</b>				
$\sigma_6 = s_2s_1s_2$	$p_6 = \frac{2}{27}$ <b>111</b>	$p_5 = \frac{2}{27}$ <b>110</b>	$p_{78} = \frac{3}{27}$ <b>101</b>					
$\sigma_7 = s_2s_2s_1$	$p_7 = \frac{2}{27}$ <b>1010</b>	$p_6 = \frac{2}{27}$ <b>111</b>						
$\sigma_8 = s_2s_2s_2$	$p_8 = \frac{1}{27}$ <b>1011</b>							

In other words, the binary Huffman code for  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_8$  is

01, 000, 001, 100, 110, 111, 1010, 1011

By Knuth's theorem, the expected codeword length then:

$$L = 1 + \frac{16}{27} + \frac{11}{27} + \frac{8}{27} + \frac{7}{27} + \frac{4}{27} + \frac{3}{27} = \frac{76}{27} \approx 2.81$$

The average codeword length per binary symbol for  $S^1$ ,  $S^2$ , and  $S^3$  is

$$L^{(1)} = 1 \quad \frac{L^{(2)}}{2} = \frac{17}{18} \approx 0.944 \quad \frac{L^{(3)}}{3} = \frac{76}{81} \approx 0.938$$

36.

a) The characteristic polynomial of  $M$  is

$$p_M(x) = \det(M - xI) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} - x & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{2} - x & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} - x \end{pmatrix} = -x^3 + \frac{4}{3}x^2 - \frac{17}{48}x + \frac{1}{48} = \frac{1}{48}(x-1)(12x-1)(4x-1)$$

We see that  $M$  has eigenvalues  $1$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}$ , and  $\frac{1}{12}$ .

Let us now solve  $(M - I)\mathbf{p} = 0$  to find the equilibrium probabilities  $\mathbf{p}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 = R_1 + \frac{1}{2}R_1]{R_2 = R_2 + \frac{1}{2}R_1} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{8} & -\frac{3}{8} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_1 = -\frac{3}{2}R_1]{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{8} & -\frac{3}{8} \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_2 = -\frac{8}{3}]{R_1 = R_1 - R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvectors of  $M$  for the eigenvalue  $1$  are thus  $t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for all  $t \neq 0$ .

Of these,  $\mathbf{p}$  is the one with  $1 = t\frac{3}{4} + t + t = t\frac{11}{4}$ ; i.e.,  $t = \frac{4}{11}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{11} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

b) There might well be a better way to answer this (without referring to Markov literature) but here is one way. Let  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  be eigenvectors of  $M$  for  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ , respectively. Then we can diagonalise  $M$  as  $M = N^{-1}DN$  where  $N$  has columns  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ , and  $D = \text{diag}(1, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (N^{-1}DN)^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1}D^nN = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \end{pmatrix} N = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} N$$

We see that  $M^\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^n$  does indeed exist. To see what  $M^\infty$  is, you might be able to stare hard at the expression above; to be honest, I can't see it myself but it probably isn't difficult. Instead, let us calculate  $M^\infty$  in another way: First note that  $M^\infty \mathbf{p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^n \mathbf{p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}$ , so  $M^\infty$  has  $\mathbf{p}$  as eigenvector for the eigenvalue  $1$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  are eigenvectors of  $M^\infty$  with eigenvalues  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus,  $M^\infty$  has three eigenvalues, the same number as the size of  $M$ , so the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_1$  for the eigenvalue  $1$  is  $1$ . In other words, the eigenvectors of  $M^\infty$  for the eigenvalue  $1$  is the span of  $\mathbf{p}$ . There is thus only the vector  $\mathbf{p}$  that is an eigenvector for  $1$  and has unit length. Now note that  $MM^\infty = M^\infty$ . Letting  $A_i$  denote the  $i$ th column of any matrix  $A$ , we see that, for any  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $M(M^\infty)_i = (MM^\infty)_i = (M^\infty)_i$ . In other words, each of the three columns  $(M^\infty)_i$  is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue  $1$ ; they must therefore be scalar multiples of  $\mathbf{p}$ . However, each column of  $M^\infty$  has unit length (since the columns of  $M$  have unit length,  $M^T$  has the all-1 vector as eigenvector, as does therefore  $M^\infty$ ) and must therefore equal  $\mathbf{p}$ . To conclude, the columns of  $M^\infty$  all equal  $\mathbf{p}$ .

37.

a) Let us now solve  $(M - I)\mathbf{p} = 0$  to find the equilibrium vector  $\mathbf{p}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} -0.3 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & -0.4 & 0.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_2 = R_2 - 2R_3]{R_1 = R_1 + 3R_3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.8 & -1.4 \\ 0 & -0.8 & 1.4 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 = 4R_3]{R_1 = R_1 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.8 & 1.4 \\ 0.4 & 0.8 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 = R_3 + R_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.8 & 1.4 \\ 0.4 & 0 & -0.6 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvectors of  $M$  for the eigenvalue 1 are thus  $t(\frac{0.6}{0.4}, \frac{1.4}{0.8}, 1)^T = t(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{4}, 1)^T$  for all  $t \neq 0$ . Of these,  $\mathbf{p}$  is the one with  $1 = t\frac{7}{4} + \frac{3}{2}t + t = t\frac{17}{4}$ ; i.e.,  $t = \frac{4}{17}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{17} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 7 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let us now calculate the Huffman codes  $\text{Huff}_E$ ,  $\text{Huff}_{(2)}$ ,  $\text{Huff}_{(2)}$ ,  $\text{Huff}_{(3)}$ :

Source	$p_i$	$\text{Huff}_E$	Source	$p_i$	$\text{Huff}_{(1)}$	Source	$p_i$	$\text{Huff}_{(2)}$	Source	$p_i$	$\text{Huff}_{(3)}$
$s_1$	$\frac{6}{17}$	00	$s_1$	0.7	0	$s_1$	0.2	10	$s_1$	0.1	01
$s_2$	$\frac{7}{17}$	1	$s_2$	0.2	10	$s_2$	0.6	0	$s_2$	0.4	00
$s_3$	$\frac{4}{17}$	01	$s_3$	0.1	11	$s_3$	0.2	11	$s_3$	0.5	1

The average lengths of these codes

$$L_E = \frac{28}{17} \approx 1.65 \quad L_{(1)} = 1.3 \quad L_{(2)} = 1.4 \quad L_{(3)} = 1.6$$

The Markov Huffman code has average length

$$L_M = \frac{6}{17}L_{(1)} + \frac{7}{17}L_{(2)} + \frac{4}{17}L_{(3)} = \frac{6}{17}1.3 + \frac{7}{17}1.4 + \frac{4}{17}1.6 \approx 1.41$$

This is less than  $L_E \approx 1.65$ , and only about  $\frac{L_M}{L_{\text{block}}} = \frac{1.41}{2} \approx 71\%$  of the block code length.

b) We encode  $s_2s_2s_1s_1s_2s_3s_3$ :

symbol	code to use	encoded symbol
$s_2$	$\text{Huff}_E$	1
$s_2$	$\text{Huff}_{(2)}$	0
$s_1$	$\text{Huff}_{(2)}$	10
$s_1$	$\text{Huff}_{(1)}$	0
$s_2$	$\text{Huff}_{(1)}$	10
$s_3$	$\text{Huff}_{(2)}$	11
$s_3$	$\text{Huff}_{(3)}$	1

so this is encoded as 1010010111.

c) We decode 010001010:

code to use	encoded symbol	decoded symbol
Huff <sub>E</sub>	01	$s_3$
Huff <sub>(3)</sub>	00	$s_2$
Huff <sub>(2)</sub>	0	$s_2$
Huff <sub>(2)</sub>	10	$s_1$
Huff <sub>(1)</sub>	10	$s_2$

so this is decoded as  $s_3 s_2 s_2 s_1 s_2$ .

38. We wish to encode the message  $bac \bullet$ :

	subinterval start	width
symbols	0	1
$b$	$0 + \frac{2}{5} \times 1 = \frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{1}{5} \times 1 = \frac{1}{5}$
$a$	$\frac{2}{5} + 0 \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{2}{25}$
$c$	$\frac{2}{5} + \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{2}{25} = \frac{56}{125}$	$\frac{1}{5} \times \frac{2}{25} = \frac{2}{125}$
$\bullet$	$\frac{56}{125} + \frac{4}{5} \times \frac{2}{125} = \frac{288}{625}$	$\frac{1}{5} \times \frac{2}{125} = \frac{2}{625}$

We must therefore choose a number in the interval  $[\frac{288}{625}, \frac{290}{625}) = [0.4608, 0.4640)$ , like 0.4620 say.

39.

a) We wish to encode the message  $s_2 s_1 s_3 s_1 \bullet$ :

	subinterval start	width
begin	0	1
$s_2$	$0 + 0.4 \times 1 = 0.4$	$0.3 \times 1 = 0.3$
$s_1$	$0.4 + 0 \times 0.3 = 0.4$	$0.4 \times 0.3 = 0.12$
$s_3$	$0.4 + 0.7 \times 0.12 = 0.484$	$0.2 \times 0.12 = 0.024$
$s_1$	$0.484 + 0 \times 0.024 = 0.484$	$0.4 \times 0.024 = 0.0096$
$\bullet$	$0.484 + 0.9 \times 0.0096 = 0.49264$	$0.1 \times 0.096 = 0.00096$

We must pick a number in the interval  $[0.49264, 0.49264 + 0.00096) = [0.49264, 0.4936)$ , like 0.493 say.

b) We wish to decode the number 0.12345:

code number rescaled	in interval	decoded symbol
0.12345	$[0, 0.4)$	$s_1$
$(0.12345 - 0)/.4 = 0.308625$	$[0, 0.4)$	$s_1$
$(0.308625 - 0)/.4 = 0.7715625$	$[0.7, 0.9)$	$s_3$
$(0.7715625 - 0.7)/.2 = 0.3578125$	$[0, 0.4)$	$s_1$
$(0.3578125 - 0)/.4 = 0.89453125$	$[0.7, 0.9)$	$s_3$
$(0.89453125 - 0.7)/.4 = 0.97265625$	$[0.9, 1)$	$\bullet$

The decoded message is then  $s_1 s_1 s_3 s_1 s_3 \bullet$ .

40.

a) We wish to encode the message   ma\_na\_ma\_na\_doo\_doo\_doo\_doo\_doo\_doo\_ma\_na\_ma\_na\_doo\_doo\_doo\_:

	$r$	$s$	$\ell$	new entry	output
ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo		$\emptyset$	0	1. m	(0,m)
a_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo		$\emptyset$	0	2. a	(0,a)
_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo		$\emptyset$	0	3. _	(0,_)
na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo		$\emptyset$	0	4. n	(0,n)
a_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	a		2	5. a_	(2,_)
ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	m		1	6. ma	(1,a)
_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	_		3	7. _n	(3,n)
a_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	a_		5	8. a_d	(5,d)
oo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	$\emptyset$		0	9. o	(0,o)
o_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	o		9	10. o_	(9,_)
doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	$\emptyset$		0	11. d	(0,d)
oo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	o		9	12. oo	(9,o)
_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	_		3	13. _d	(3,d)
oo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	oo		12	14. oo_	(12,_)
doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	do		11	15. do	(11,o)
o_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo	o_		10	16. o_d	(10,d)
oo_ma_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	oo_		14	17. oo_m	(14,m)
a_na_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	a_		5	18. a_n	(5,n)
a_ma_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	a_		5	19. a_m	(5,m)
a_na_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	a_n		18	20. a_na	(18,a)
_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	_d		13	21. _do	(13,o)
o_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	o_d		16	22. o_do	(16,o)
o_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	o_do		22	23. o_doo	(22,o)
_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo_doo	_do		21	24. _doo	(21,o)

The encoded message is then

(0,m)(0, a)(0, \_)(0, n)(2, \_)(1, a)(3, n)(5, d)(0, o), (9, \_)(0, d)(9, o)(3, d)(12, \_)(11, o)(10, d)(14,m)(5, n)(5,m)(18, a)(13, o)(16, o)(22, o)(21, o)

b) Let us decode the codeword (0,t)(0,o)(0, \_)(0,b)(0,e)(3,o)(0,r)(3,n)(2,t)(3,t)(2, \_)(4,e) :

output	new dictionary entry
(0,t)	1. t
(0,o)	2. o
(0, _)	3. _
(0,b)	4. b
(0,e)	5. e
(3,o)	6. _o
(0,r)	7. r
(3,n)	8. _n
(2,t)	9. ot
(3,t)	10. _t
(2, _)	11. o_
(4,e)	12. be

The decoded text is “to be or not to be”.

41.  $H(S) = -\frac{2}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{9} \log_2 \frac{1}{9} = \frac{2}{3} \log_2 3 + \frac{6}{9} \log_2 3 = \frac{4}{3} \log_2 3 \approx 2.113$

42.

Q29: a)  $H(S) = -\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6} \log_2 \frac{1}{6} \approx 1.459$

The average length  $L = 1.5 > H(S)$  - but pretty close.

b)  $H(S) = -\frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5} \log_2 \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} \log_2 \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{20} \log_2 \frac{1}{20} \approx 2.140$

The average length  $L = 2.217 > H(S)$  - but not far off.

c)  $H(S) = -\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{16} \log_2 \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{16} \log_2 \frac{1}{16} \approx 1.875$

The average length  $L = 1.875 = H(S)$  - exactly the same!

d)  $H(S) = -\frac{27}{40} \log_2 \frac{27}{40} - \frac{9}{40} \log_2 \frac{9}{40} - \frac{3}{40} \log_2 \frac{3}{40} - \frac{1}{40} \log_2 \frac{1}{40} \approx 1.280$

The average length  $L = 1.425 > H(S)$  - not too far off.

Q33:  $H(S) = -0.22 \log_4 0.22 - 0.2 \log_4 0.2 - 0.18 \log_4 0.18 - 0.15 \log_4 0.15 - 0.10 \log_4 0.10 - 0.08 \log_4 0.08 - 0.05 \log_4 0.05 - 0.02 \log_2 0.02 \approx 1.377$

The average length  $L = 1.47 > H(S)$  - but pretty close.

Q35:  $H(S^1) = -\frac{2}{3} \log_2 \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{3} \approx 0.918$

$H(S^2) = 2H(S^1) \approx 1.837$

$H(S^3) = 3H(S^1) \approx 2.755$

The corresponding average lengths are 1, 1.889 and 2.815, respectively.

These are all greater than the corresponding entropies - but not by a lot.

Q39:  $H(S) = -0.4 \log_{10} 0.4 - 0.3 \log_{10} 0.3 - 0.2 \log_{10} 0.2 - 0.1 \log_{10} 0.1 \approx 0.5558$  digits/symbol.

The 5-symbol message  $s_2 s_1 s_3 s_1 \bullet$  was encoded as 0.493, so  $\frac{3}{5} = 0.6$  digits per symbol were used, which is more than 0.5558 but not by a lot.