

# Notes

SCK team

## 1 Notation

- Average emission per source:  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  where  $m = 200$ .
- Measured concentrations  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  where  $n = 4636$ . These are consecutive measurements for several measurement stations.
- $M_{i,(j,\Delta)}$  is a block in the sensitivity matrix  $M$  that represents the contribution of  $x_j$  from  $\Delta - 1$  days ago to the observed concentration  $y_i$ .
- Scaling factors  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  (in `scalings.csv`). These are put in a diagonal matrix  $S$ .
- $\mathbf{1}_q = [1 \dots 1]^T \in \mathbb{R}^q$  is the constant vector consisting of only ones.
- $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product. In particular,

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^p} \otimes \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^q} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{pq}}.$$

## 2 Model

With  $n = 1, \dots, 4636$  and  $m = 1, \dots, 200$ , the initial model is

$$y_i \approx \hat{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\Delta=1}^{15} M_{i,(j,\Delta)} \frac{x_j}{s_j}.$$

That is, for each emission source  $j$ , we simulate the contribution of 15 days, and add this together for all  $j$ . A matrix formulation of this reads

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_m \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{1,(1,1)} & M_{1,(1,2)} & \cdots & M_{1,(n,15)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ M_{m,(1,1)} & M_{m,(1,2)} & \cdots & M_{m,(n,15)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_1^{-1} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_1^{-1} x_1 \\ s_2^{-1} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n^{-1} x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{1,(1,1)} & M_{1,(1,2)} & \cdots & M_{1,(n,15)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ M_{m,(1,1)} & M_{m,(1,2)} & \cdots & M_{m,(n,15)} \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & s_n & \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{15} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_i$  are the scalings.

Now we present a different interpretation. Instead of  $S^{-1}x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{15}$ , we make a vector  $\tilde{x} := S^{-1}x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{365}$ . This is a vector of length  $365 \times 200$  that gives the emission from day 1 to day 365 for each source. This is assumed to be constant for all days. Therefore,  $\tilde{x}$  consists of  $m = 200$  blocks, each of which is constant.

With this formulation, the predicted concentration in station  $y_i$  is

$$\hat{y}_i = [\cdots \quad M_{1,(1,1)} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1,(1,15)} \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad M_{1,(2,1)} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1,(2,15)} \quad \cdots] (S^{-1}x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{365}).$$

Now assume that in each station, there is one observation per day, named  $y_{kt}$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, K$  where  $K$  is the number of stations and  $t = 1, \dots, 365$ . The index  $t = 1$  corresponds to the most recent measurement. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{1,1} \\ \hat{y}_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_{2,1} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{y}_{K,365} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} M_{(1,1),(1,1)} & M_{(1,1),(1,2)} & \cdots & M_{(1,1),(1,15)} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & M_{(1,1),(2,1)} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_{(1,2),(1,1)} & \cdots & M_{(1,2),(1,14)} & M_{(1,2),(1,15)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ M_{(2,1),(1,1)} & M_{(2,1),(1,2)} & \cdots & M_{(2,1),(1,15)} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & M_{(2,1),(2,1)} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{bmatrix}}_{:=M_{shift}} \tilde{x}$$

where

$$\tilde{x} := S^{-1}x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{365} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1^{-1}x_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_1^{-1}x_1 \\ s_2^{-1}x_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_m^{-1}x_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we weigh each day and each emission source by some factor, we get

$$\hat{y} = M_{shift}W(S^{-1}x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{365})$$

where  $W$  is a diagonal matrix containing the weights. If  $W$  is what we should be looking for, then we can use well-known numerical techniques for solving linear matrix equations. For theoretical purposes, we describe the problem as a large linear system involving Kronecker products. Writing  $W = \text{diag}(w)$  for some vector  $w$ , the above can be reformulated as a liner operation applied to  $w$  as follows:

$$\hat{y} = ((S^{-1}x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{365})^T \otimes M_{shift})\text{vec}(\text{diag } w)$$

where  $\text{vec} \circ \text{diag}$  is a constant linear map that can be inferred from the dimensions of the problem.