

# Number systems of different lengths, and a natural approach to infinitesimal analysis

Richard Pettigrew\*

23<sup>rd</sup> April, 2008

## 1 Formal System of Euclidean Arithmetic

### The language of $EA$

- Constant:  $\emptyset$  (empty set).
- Functions:  $P$  (power set);  $TC$  (transitive closure);  $\{ , \}$  (pair set).
- Term-forming operator:  $\{x \in t : A(x)\}$ , whenever  $A$  is bounded.
- Relations:  $=$  (identity);  $\in$  (membership).

### The axioms of $EA$

- Axioms of Empty Set, Power Set, Transitive Closure, Pair Set, and Extensionality are definitions of primitive symbols.
- Instead of the Axiom of Infinity,  $EA$  has the Axiom of Dedekind Finiteness:  $\forall x, y (x \subsetneq y \rightarrow x <_c y)$
- Axiom Schema of Separation restricted to bounded formulae.

## 2 Natural Number Systems

### 2.1 Definitions

Roughly,  $L$  is generated from 0 by  $\sigma$  if it has the following form:

$$[0, \sigma(0), \sigma(\sigma(0)), \dots, a]$$

Roughly,  $\mathcal{N}$  consists of the following linear orderings:

$$[], [0_{\mathcal{N}}], [0_{\mathcal{N}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(0_{\mathcal{N}})], [0_{\mathcal{N}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(0_{\mathcal{N}}), \sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(0_{\mathcal{N}}))], \dots$$

---

\*Department of Mathematics, University of Bristol. Richard.Pettigrew@bris.ac.uk

## 2.2 Examples

- $\mathcal{VN}$  is generated from  $\emptyset$  by  $\sigma_{\mathcal{VN}} : x \mapsto x \cup \{x\}$

$$[], [\emptyset], [\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}], [\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}], \dots$$

- $\mathcal{Z}$  is generated from  $\emptyset$  by  $\sigma_{\mathcal{Z}} : x \mapsto \{x\}$

$$[], [\emptyset], [\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}], [\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}], \dots$$

- $\mathcal{CH}$  is generated from  $\emptyset$  by  $\sigma_{\mathcal{CH}} : x \mapsto P(x)$

$$[], [\emptyset], [\emptyset, P(\emptyset)], [\emptyset, P(\emptyset), P(P(\emptyset))], \dots$$

## 2.3 Induction and Recursion

**Theorem 1 (Bounded induction holds)** *If  $A$  is a bounded formula,*

$$EA \vdash (A([\ ] ) \ \& \ (\forall L \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[A(L) \rightarrow A(\overline{\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(L)})]) \rightarrow (\forall L \text{ in } \mathcal{N})A(L)$$

**Theorem 2 (Unbounded induction fails)** *If  $A$  is unbounded, the following does not necessarily hold:*

$$EA \vdash (A([\ ] ) \ \& \ (\forall L \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[A(L) \rightarrow A(\overline{\sigma_{\mathcal{N}}(L)})]) \rightarrow (\forall L \text{ in } \mathcal{N})A(L)$$

**Definition 3 (Arithmetical global functions)** *Suppose  $\varphi$  is a global function. We say that  $\varphi$  is arithmetical if*

$$EA \vdash \forall x, y (x \cong y \rightarrow \varphi(x) \cong \varphi(y))$$

**Definition 4 ( $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under  $\varphi$ )** *Suppose  $\varphi$  is an arithmetical global function. Then we say that  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under  $\varphi$  if*

$$EA \vdash (\forall x \text{ in } \mathcal{N})(\exists y \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[\text{Field}(y) \cong \varphi(\text{Field}(x))].$$

**Theorem 5** *Given a natural number system  $\mathcal{N}$ , the family of arithmetical global functions under which  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed is closed under limited recursion, but NOT under full recursion.*

- For  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , there are natural number systems closed under all and only the arithmetical functions of Grzegorzczuk's class  $\mathcal{E}^n$ .
- But the distinctions are more fine-grained: *e.g.*
  - There is  $\mathcal{N}$  closed under  $x + \log(x)$  but not under  $x + x$ .
  - There is  $\mathcal{N}$  closed under  $x \log(\log(x))$  but not under  $x \log(x)$ .

**Definition 6** ( $\varphi$  is maximally powerful in  $\mathcal{N}$ )  $\varphi$  is maximally powerful in  $\mathcal{N}$  if, for any arithmetical global function  $\psi$ , if  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under  $\psi$ , then there is  $\mathbf{n}$  such that  $\psi$  is eventually majorized by  $\varphi^{\mathbf{n}}$ .

**Theorem 7** Suppose there is  $\mathbf{C}$  such that

$$(i) \quad EA \vdash (\forall x)(\mathbf{C} \leq x \rightarrow x < \varphi(x))$$

$$(ii) \quad EA \vdash (\forall x, y)(\mathbf{C} \leq x \leq y \rightarrow \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y))$$

$$(iIi) \quad EA \vdash (\forall x, y)(\mathbf{C} \leq x \leq y \rightarrow \varphi(x) - x \leq 2^y - y)$$

Then there a natural number system  $\mathcal{ACK}_\varphi$  such that  $\varphi$  is maximally powerful in  $\mathcal{ACK}_\varphi$ .

## 2.4 Relations of length

**Definition 8**  $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$  if

$$EA \vdash (\forall x \text{ in } \mathcal{M})(\exists y \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[\text{Field}(y) \cong \text{Field}(x)].$$

**Theorem 9**  $\mathcal{VN}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  are incommensurable: that is,

$$\mathcal{VN} \not\preceq \mathcal{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z} \not\preceq \mathcal{VN}.$$

### 2.4.1 The syntactic proof

**Lemma 10 (Parikh-style Bounding Lemma)** Suppose  $A$  is a bounded formula. Then, if

$$EA \vdash \forall x \exists! y A(x, y)$$

then there is a classical natural number,  $\mathbf{n}$ , such that

$$EA \vdash \forall x \exists! y (y \in P^{\mathbf{n}}(\text{TC}(x)) \ \& \ A(x, y))$$

*Proof of Theorem 9.* Suppose  $\mathcal{VN} \preceq \mathcal{Z}$ . That is,

$$EA \vdash (\forall v \text{ in } \mathcal{VN})(\exists! z \text{ in } \mathcal{Z})(\text{Field}(v) \cong \text{Field}(z))$$

Thus, by Parikh-style Bounding Lemma, there is  $\mathbf{n}$  such that

$$EA \vdash (\forall v \text{ in } \mathcal{VN})(\exists z! \text{ in } \mathcal{Z})(z \in P^{\mathbf{n}}(\text{TC}(v)) \ \& \ \text{Field}(v) \cong \text{Field}(z))$$

But, by (meta-theoretical) induction on  $\mathbf{n}$ ,

$$EA \vdash (\forall v \text{ in } \mathcal{VN})(\forall z \text{ in } \mathcal{Z})(z \in P^{\mathbf{n}}(\text{TC}(v)) \rightarrow z \in V_{\mathbf{n}+4})$$

Thus,

$$EA \vdash (\forall v \text{ in } \mathcal{VN})(\exists z! \text{ in } \mathcal{Z})(z \in V_{\mathbf{n}+4} \ \& \ \text{Field}(v) \cong \text{Field}(z))$$

which is false. □

### 2.4.2 The model-theoretic proof

*Proof of Theorem 9.* Let  $M$  be a model of  $EA$  that contains a non-standard member of  $\mathcal{VN}$ ,  $b$ . Then define the following submodel of  $M$ :

$$C(M, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in M : M \models x \in P^n(b)\}$$

We call  $C(M, b)$  the *cumulation model of  $EA$  of  $b$* . Then

$$C(M, b) \models EA$$

But  $C(M, b)$  contains only standard members of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , while it contains non-standard members of  $\mathcal{VN}$ . Thus, it is not the case that  $\mathcal{VN} \preceq \mathcal{Z}$ .  $\square$

### 2.5 Measuring the universe

**Definition 11** ( $\mathcal{N}$  measures the universe)  $\mathcal{N}$  measures the universe if

$$EA \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[x \cong \text{Field}(y)]$$

**Theorem 12** In the presence of  $\Sigma_1$  induction, and thus unlimited recursion, every natural number system measures the universe.

**Theorem 13** In  $EA$ , no natural number system measures the universe.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{N}$  measures the universe. If  $\mathbf{k}$  is a classical natural number, let

- $v_{\mathbf{k}}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}^{\text{th}}$  member of  $\mathcal{VN}$ ,
- $z_{\mathbf{k}}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}^{\text{th}}$  member of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and
- $n_{\mathbf{k}}$  be the  $\mathbf{k}^{\text{th}}$  member of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{N}$  measures the universe,

$$EA \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y! \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[x \cong y]$$

Thus, by the Parikh-style Bounding Lemma, there is  $\mathbf{n}$  such that

$$EA \vdash (\forall x)(\exists y! \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[y \in P^n(x) \ \& \ x \cong y]$$

Thus, for all classical natural numbers,  $\mathbf{k}$ ,

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} \in P^n(v_{\mathbf{k}}) \quad \text{and} \quad n_{\mathbf{k}} \in P^n(z_{\mathbf{k}})$$

Thus,

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} \in P^n(v_{\mathbf{k}}) \cap P^n(z_{\mathbf{k}})$$

Thus,

$$n_{\mathbf{k}} \in V_{\mathbf{n}+4}$$

But this gives a contradiction, since  $V_{\mathbf{n}+4}$  cannot contain sufficiently many members of  $\mathcal{N}$  to measure all standard members of  $\mathcal{VN}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Infinitesimal Analysis

#### 3.1 Extending $EA$

**Definition 14 ( $\mathcal{N}$ -small and  $\mathcal{N}$ -large)** Suppose  $\mathcal{N}$  is a natural number system.

- $x$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -small  $\leftrightarrow (\exists y \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[x < \text{Field}(y)]$
- $x$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -large  $\leftrightarrow (\forall y \text{ in } \mathcal{N})[\text{Field}(y) < x]$

**Definition 15**  $EA^+$  is obtained from  $EA$  by adding the following axiom:

$$(\exists x)[x \text{ is } \mathcal{ACK}\text{-large}]$$

**Theorem 16** If  $EA$  is consistent, then  $EA^+$  is consistent.

#### 3.2 Infinitesimal analysis in $EA^+$

**Definition 17 (Integers in  $EA^+$ )** An integer is an ordered pair  $(a, b)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are sets. (Intuitively,  $(a, b)$  is  $a - b$ .)

$$(a, b) =_Z (c, d) \leftrightarrow a + d \cong b + c$$

**Definition 18 (Rationals in  $EA^+$ )** A rational is an ordered pair  $(a, b)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, and  $b \neq_Z 0$ . (Intuitively,  $(a, b)$  is  $\frac{a}{b}$ .)

$$(a, b) =_Q (c, d) \leftrightarrow a \times_Z d \cong b \times_Z c$$

**Definition 19 (Reals in  $EA^+$ )**

$$r \text{ in } R \leftrightarrow (\exists x)[x \text{ is } \mathcal{ACK}\text{-small} \ \& \ |r| < x]$$

**Definition 20 (Infinitesimal in  $EA^+$ )**

$$r \text{ in } I \leftrightarrow (\forall x) \left[ x \text{ is } \mathcal{ACK}\text{-small} \rightarrow |r| < \frac{1}{x} \right]$$

**Definition 21 ( $x \simeq y$ )** If  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $R$ , then  $x \simeq y \leftrightarrow x - y \text{ in } I$

**Theorem 22**  $R$  is ‘almost’ real closed.

#### 3.3 Continuous functions in $EA^+$

**Definition 23 ( $f$  is continuous)** If  $f : J \rightarrow R$ , then  $f$  is continuous if

$$(\forall x, y \text{ in } J)[x \simeq y \rightarrow f(x) \simeq f(y)]$$

### 3.4 Differential and integral calculus in $EA^+$

**Definition 24** ( *$f$  is differentiable*) Suppose  $f : J \rightarrow R$ ,  $x$  is in  $J$ , and  $\alpha$  is in  $R$ . Then  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  with derivative  $\alpha$  if

$$(\forall \delta \text{ in } I) \left[ \frac{f(x + \delta) - f(x)}{\delta} \simeq \alpha \right]$$

**Definition 25** ( *$f$  is integrable*) Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$ ,  $a \leq x \leq b$ , and  $\alpha$  is in  $R$ . Then  $f$  is integrable at  $x$  with definite integral  $\alpha$  if, for any  $ACK$ -large  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \frac{b-a}{N} \cdot f\left(a + i \frac{b-a}{N}\right) \simeq \alpha$$

### 3.5 Polynomials of large degree

**Definition 26** *By definition,*

$$e_N^x = \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{x^i}{i!}$$

Then  $e_N^x$  is in  $R$ , if  $x$  is in  $R$  and  $N$  is large. Also,  $e_M^x \sim e_N^x$ , if  $x$  is in  $R$  and  $M$  and  $N$  are large. Finally,  $\lambda x e_N^x$  is differentiable at all  $x$  in  $R$  with derivative  $e_N^x$ .

**Theorem 27 (Weierstrass)** Suppose  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow R$  is continuous function. Then there is a polynomial,

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i$$

possibly of large degree, such that

$$(\forall a \leq x \leq b)[P(x) \simeq f(x)]$$

### 3.6 References

All the results here and many more can be found in:

Pettigrew, R. *Natural, Rational, and Real Arithmetic in a Finitary Theory of Finite Sets* PhD Doctoral Thesis, University of Bristol. <http://www.maths.bris.ac.uk/~rp3959/thesis1.pdf>