

Computer Science Tripos 2018 Paper 2 Question

10

A Discussion Answer

Thomas Forster

April 20, 2022

This is a nice question.

(a) (i) obviously not regular.

(a) (ii) This is very cute. Every time you change from reading *as* to reading *bs* you get an *ab* and every time you change from reading *bs* to reading *as* you get a *ba*. Since the language only contains *as* and *bs* a string has the same number of *abs* as *bas* iff the first character is the same as the last. So it's regular. (How many states *exactly* do you need to check whether or not the first character is the same as the last?)

Notice that this argument works to show that the language is regular only because the alphabet contains no character other than '*a*' and '*b*'. If it had any other characters it would fail to be regular for the same reason that (i) is not regular. It's easy to show that if you start with a regular language and delete from its words all occurrences of a fixed character then the result is regular. This example shows that if you try to go in the other direction it doesn't work. And this despite the fact that an interleaving of two regular languages is regular!!!

(a) (iii) This is $a^n b^n$ wot ain't regular.

(a) (iv) This is $a^* b^*$ wot is regular.

(a) (v) Try my thought-experiment. What do you have to keep track of, and how many bits do you need to keep track of it? You need to keep track of the number of *as* mod 3 (and that's just under 2 bits) and the number of *bs* mod 7 (and that's just under 3 bits) so definitely do-able by a DFA.

(b) is bookwork. I don't need this!!

But i suppose i should say something. The retype of regular expressions over a language has two binary constructors, juxtaposition and disjunction. Binary constructors bring with them the danger that you might need brackets, at least if they aren't associative, because you then need to distinguish between $A * (B * C)$ and $(A * B) * C$. Actually juxtaposition and disjunction are both associative so that isn't an immediate problem. However we do have *two* constructors, and we have to distinguish between $(A|B)C$ and $A|(BC)$, so we do need brackets after all. And once you have brackets your language ceases to be regular, because you have to keep track of how many you have opened!