

Dr. Thomas Forster, Emeritus Fellow, Queens' College, University of Cambridge

1B/88A Salamanca Road, Kelburn, Wellington 6012, New Zealand

 $375~\mathrm{Mutiny}$ Road, RD 2, Hastings 4172, New Zealand +64-6-876-0995.

Mobile: +64-277711729; email: tf@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

December 4, 2023

These notes are linked at www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~tf/NZMAabstract.pdf

Synonymy Results in the Quine Systems

Two theories are synonymous iff

they are mutually interpretable "up to logical equivalence".

Alternatively they "have the same models".

Examples:

Partial Orders and Strict Partial Orders;

Boolean Algebras and Boolean Rings;

Our other (three) examples concern Set Theory:

- 1. Benedikt Löwe "Set Theory With and Without Urelements, and Categories of Interpretations" Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic 47 2006. . . . shows that the theories ZF and ZFU are synonymous.
- 2. Peano Arithmetic and ZF with ¬Infinity.

Richard Kaye and Tin Lok Wong, "On Interpretations of Arithmetic and Set Theory" Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic **48** Number 4 (2007), 497-510. . . . show that PA is synonymous with ZF \ infinity + ¬Infinity + Transitive Containment.

This is in virtue of the Ackermann trick:

n " \in " m iff the nth bit in the binary representation of m is set.

- 3. Oswald's modification: n " \in " m iff either
 - m is even and the nth bit of m/2 is set; or
 - m is odd and the nth bit of (m-1)/2 is clear.

Church's construction of models of NF₂ (= the 2-stratifiable axioms of NF).

Fix a bijection $k: V \longleftrightarrow V \times \{0,1\}$. Then say $x \in y$ iff either

- the second component of k(y) is 0 and $x \in$ first component of k(y); or
- the second component of k(y) is 1 and $x \notin \text{first component of } k(y)$.

This gives a model of NF₂ plus "the wellfounded sets are a model of ZF and every surjective image of a wellfounded set is a set".

Button (https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.06715, submitted to JSL) shows that this theory is synonymous with ZF.

4. Forster-Holmes (submitted to JSL) show that NF is not synonymous with any theory of wellfounded sets.

Tightness

A theory is *tight* if any two extensions of it that are synonymous are identical; It is *stratified-tight* if any two stratified extensions of it that are synonymous are identical.

PA is tight. ZF is tight. Zermelo + "ranks" is tight.

Tightness is something to do with second-order categoricity:

all these theories are (in a weak sense) second-order categorical.

NF is not tight because 'NF $+ \exists$! Quine atom' and 'NF + there are no Quine atoms' are synonymous. However NF is stratified-tight.

More suggested Reading for Synonymy

Visser Oxford Slides www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~tf/VisserOxford.pdf

Hamkins and Freire:

"Bi-interpretation in weak set theories" Journal of Symbolic Logic 86 (2):609-634 (2021)

Enayat:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313910192_Variations_on_a_Visserian_Theme

Holmes' Proof of Con(NF)

TST is "the ω th order theory of equality".

Jensen's proof of Con(NFU)

Jensen, R.B. "On the consistency of a slight(?) modification of Quine's NF". Synthese 19 (1969) pp. 250-263.

Tangled Type Theory

Tangled Type theory, TTT, is the theory of a structure indexed by of a total order $\langle I, <_I \rangle$ with a set A_i for each $i \in I$, and, for each i < j in I, a binary relation $\in_{i,j}$ such that, whenever $J \subseteq I$ is a subset of order type ω , then the $A_j : j \in J$ equipped with the $\in_{j,j'}$ form a model of TST.

Holmes, Randall "The equivalence of NF-style set theories with "tangled" type theories; the construction of ω -models of predicative NF (and more)" Journal of Symbolic Logic **60** (1995) pp. 178-189.

... shows that NF is equiconsistent with TTT.