

# Computer Science Tripos 1996 Paper 1 Question

8

Thomas Forster

February 29, 2020

The recurrence

$$R: w(n, k) = w(n - 2^k, k) + w(n, k - 1)$$

can be justified as follows. Every representation of  $n$  pfatz as a pile of coins of size no more than  $2^k$  pfatz either contains a  $2^k$  pfatz piece or it doesn't. Clearly there are  $w(n, k - 1)$  representations of  $n$  pfatz as a pile of coins of size no more than  $2^{k-1}$  pfatz so that's where the  $w(n, k - 1)$  comes from. The other figure arises from the fact that a representation of  $n$  pfatz as a pile of coins of size no more than  $2^k$  pfatz and containing a  $2^k$  pfatz piece arises from a representation of  $n - 2^k$  pfatz as a pile of coins of size no more than  $2^k$ .

Base case.  $w(n, 0) = 1$ . That should be enough.

To derive  $w(4n, 2) = (n + 1)^2$ , substitute  $4n$  for  $n$ , and 2 for  $k$  in  $R$ , getting

$$w(4n, 2) = w(4n - 2^2, 2) + w(4n, 1)$$

But this rearranges to

$$w(4n, 2) = w(4(n - 1), 2) + w(4n, 1)$$

$w(4n, 1)$  is  $2n + 1$ , since we can have between 0 and  $2n$  2-pfatz pieces in a representation of  $4n$ . This gives

$$w(4n, 2) = w(4(n - 1), 2) + 2n + 1$$

This is a bit clearer if we write this as  $f(n) = f(n - 1) + 2n + 1$ . This recurrence relation obviously gives  $f(n) = (n + 1)^2$  as desired.

We can always get an estimate of  $w(n, k)$  by applying equation  $R$  recursing on  $n$ , and this works out quite nicely if  $n$  is a multiple of  $2^k$  because then we hit 0 exactly, after  $n/(2^k)$  steps. Each time we call the recursion we add  $w(n, k - 1)$  (or rather  $w(n - y, k - 1)$  for various  $y$ ) and clearly  $w(n, k - 1)$  is the biggest of them. So  $w(n, k)$  is no more than  $n/(2^k) \cdot w(n, k - 1)$ .

Finally, using  $R$  with  $2^{k+1}$  for  $n$  again we get  $w(2^{k+1}, k) = w(2^k, k) + w(2^{k+1}, k - 1)$ . The hint reminds us that every representation of  $2^k$  pfatz using

the first  $k$  coins gives rise to a representation of  $2^{k+1}$  pfatz using the first  $k+1$  coins. Simply double the size of every coin. It's also true that every representation of  $2^k$  pfatz using the first  $k$  coins gives rise to a representation of  $2^{k+1}$  pfatz using the first  $k+1$  coins by just adding a  $2^k$  pfatz piece. The moral is:  $w(2^{k+1}, k+1) = 2 \cdot w(2^k, k)$ . This enables us to prove the left-hand inequality by induction on  $k$ .

To prove the right-hand inequality we note that any manifestation of  $2^k$  pfatz using smaller coins can be tho'rt of as a list of length  $k$  where the  $i$ th member of the list tells us how many  $2^i$  pfatz coins we are using. How many lists of length  $k$  each of whose entries are at most  $2^k$  are there? Answer  $(2^k)^k$ , which is  $2^{k^2}$ .