

Dr. Thomas Forster

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB.Tel: +44-1223-337981 (Reception: 765000; fax: 337920.) email: tf@dpmms.cam.ac.uk. URL: www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/ \sim tf. Home: 11 Holyrood Close CB4 3NE, U.K. mobile in UK +44-7887-701-562; mobile in NZ +64-210580093; mobile in US: +1-412-818-1316.

June 1, 2009

2007-4-II-16G

We say (for the purposes of this question) that a function $f: A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ is **recursive** if the relation $\{\langle a,b\rangle: a\in f(b)\}$ is wellfounded. This is not standard nomenclature, but binary relations defined in this way are natural and useful: slightly surprisingly, any structure for the language of set theory can be thought of as a set A of atoms equipped with an *injective* map $f: A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Suppose $g: \mathcal{P}(B) \to B$. We can attempt to define a function h recursively by:

$$h(a) =: g(\{h(a') : a' \in f(a)\}).$$

Clearly we are going to be able to show (by an appeal to the recursion theorem) that this recursion has a unique solution—as long as the relation $\{\langle a,b\rangle:a\in f(b)\}$ is wellfounded. But what about a converse?

Suppose $\{\langle a,b\rangle:a\in f(b)\}$ is not wellfounded. We want to find a B and $g:\mathcal{P}(B)\to B$ such that there is more than one h satisfying

$$(\forall a \in A)(h(a) = g(\{h(a') : a' \in f(a)\})).$$

Let B be a set with at least two members, and b_1 and b_2 be two members of B, and define $g: \mathcal{P}(B) \to B$ by

$$g(B') = \text{if } (B' = \emptyset \vee B' = \{b_1\}) \text{ then } b_1 \text{ else } b_2$$

Suppose now that A' is a subset of A with no minimal member under the relation $\{\langle a,b\rangle:a\in f(b)\}$. Notice that both

$$h_1(a) =: b_1$$

and

$$h_2(a) =: \text{if } a \in A' \text{ then } b_2 \text{ else } b_1$$

are solutions to

$$h(a) = g(\{h(a') : a' \in f(a)\}).$$