#### 2005:2:6

Thomas Forster

May 10, 2014

#### Contents

# (a)

Don't think (at this stage) of what the action actually is: think of it as a black box. (This is a plot point for part (d)).  $x \sim y$  if x can be sent to y by the action(!) of a permutation. (I know they say bijection not permutation but, in the only realistic cases you are going to have to think about, all the bijections of A that are of any interest will in fact be permutations). This relation is symmetric beco's the inverse of a permutation is a permutation; it's transitive beco's the composition of two permutations is a permutation; finally it's reflexive beco's of  $\mathbb{1}_A$ .

# (b)

These equivalence classes are called *orbits*. This is nice: the orbit of aplanet is all the places a planet can get sent to; the orbit of an element of X is the set of things it can be moved to by the action of Bij(A).

When you look at  $e_x$  and its definition, don't panic.  $e_x$  is going to be a function from Bij(A) to  $[x]_{\sim}$ . Take some time out to think along the following lines . . . . If i have an  $x \in X$  in my mind, and i want to define a function  $Bij(A) \to [x]_{\sim}$ —using x—what function can i dream up? Think about it for a bit, and you will come up with the definition the examiners have supplied. Do this **before** attempting to understand the definition.

Here, with  $e_x$ , we are thinking of  $x * \sigma$  not as the result of doing  $\sigma$  to x but as the result of doing x to  $\sigma$ . The result is the same, you're just thinking of it differently.

What has to happen for  $e_x$  to be surjective? It has to be that, for every  $y \in [x]_{\sim}$ , there is a  $\sigma \in Bij(A)$  that sends x to y. But  $[x]_{\sim}$  is precisely the set of things that x can be sent to in this way! The fact that  $e_x$  is surjective can be expressed as  $|Bij(A)| \geq^* |[x]_{\sim}|$  and  $\geq^*$  is the same as  $\geq$  when all numbers concerned are finite, so we infer  $n! \geq |[x]_{\sim}|$ .

# (c)

- (i) Clearly n!.
- (ii) Reality check (always useful in cases like this!) the answer had better be a whole number. There are |X| things in X and they are divided amongst equivalence classes each of size n!, so there must be |X|/n! (= m/n! if |X| = m) of these equivalence classes.

# (d)

Key here is to read the definition carefully so you are sure you know what is going on. In fact, a possibly even better idea is to think ... How might Bij(A) act on the set of injections  $A \hookrightarrow B$ ? Then you get 6 fairly easy marks. I think there is a background assumption that A and B are both finite.

There are two situations to consider:  $|A| \leq |B|$  and |A| > |B|. In the second case Inj(A,B) is the empty set.

#### References