

# Modeling Football Match Outcomes with Poisson Attack–Defence Ratings

Thomas Gourlay

January 21, 2026

## 1 Mathematical Model of Football Match Outcomes

We model football match scores using a **Poisson attack–defence model** that accounts for the strength of each team in a given season and includes a global home advantage. To capture changes in team performance over time, we allow ratings to evolve between seasons following a random walk.

### 1.1 Notation

Let:

- $i = 1, \dots, N$  index teams,
- $s = 1, \dots, S$  index seasons,
- $m$  index matches,
- $H_m, A_m$  denote the home and away teams in match  $m$ ,
- $Y_m^{(h)}, Y_m^{(a)}$  denote the goals scored by the home and away teams.

Each team  $i$  in season  $s$  has an **attack rating**  $\alpha_{i,s}$  and a **defence rating**  $\delta_{i,s}$ . There is also a **global home advantage parameter**  $\gamma$  that applies across all seasons.

### 1.2 Poisson Model for Match Goals

We assume that, given the team ratings, goals scored in a match are independent and follow a Poisson distribution:

$$Y_m^{(h)} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_m^{(h)}), \quad Y_m^{(a)} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_m^{(a)})$$

with expected goals:

$$\lambda_m^{(h)} = \exp(\gamma + \alpha_{H_m, s(m)} - \delta_{A_m, s(m)}), \quad \lambda_m^{(a)} = \exp(-\gamma + \alpha_{A_m, s(m)} - \delta_{H_m, s(m)})$$

where  $s(m)$  indicates the season of match  $m$ . The exponential ensures all expected goal values are positive.

### 1.3 Identifiability Constraint

Attack and defence ratings are not uniquely identifiable if left unconstrained. To resolve this, we enforce that the attack ratings in each season sum to zero:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,s} = 0, \quad \forall s$$

### 1.4 Likelihood of Observed Matches

The likelihood for a single match is given by the Poisson probabilities for the home and away goals. Taking logs and summing over all matches gives the total log-likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matches}}(\theta) = \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{m \in s} \log \mathbb{P}(Y_m^{(h)} \mid \lambda_m^{(h)}) + \log \mathbb{P}(Y_m^{(a)} \mid \lambda_m^{(a)})$$

### 1.5 Modeling Rating Changes Between Seasons

Team performance can change from one season to the next. To model this, we assume ratings evolve smoothly following a random walk. Specifically, for each team  $i$  and season  $s > 1$ :

$$\alpha_{i,s} = \alpha_{i,s-1} + \varepsilon_{i,s}^{(\alpha)}, \quad \delta_{i,s} = \delta_{i,s-1} + \varepsilon_{i,s}^{(\delta)}, \quad \varepsilon_{i,s}^{(\alpha)}, \varepsilon_{i,s}^{(\delta)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

Here,  $\sigma$  controls how much ratings are allowed to change from season to season. This is equivalent to adding a Gaussian penalty to differences in ratings between consecutive seasons:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{RW}}(\theta) = \sum_{s=2}^S \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ -\frac{(\alpha_{i,s} - \alpha_{i,s-1})^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(\delta_{i,s} - \delta_{i,s-1})^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] + \text{const}$$

### 1.6 Total Likelihood and Parameter Estimation

The total log-likelihood combines the match likelihood and the inter-season random walk:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{matches}}(\theta) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{RW}}(\theta)$$

The parameter vector  $\theta$  contains all attack and defence ratings for every season, plus the home advantage:

$$\theta = (\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{N,1}, \delta_{1,1}, \dots, \delta_{N,1}, \dots, \alpha_{1,S}, \dots, \delta_{N,S}, \gamma)$$

We estimate parameters using **maximum likelihood**, typically via numerical optimisation such as L-BFGS-B.

### 1.7 Using the Fitted Model

Once fitted, the model can produce probabilities for derived events. For example, the probability that the total goals in a match exceed  $k$  is:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_m^{(h)} + Y_m^{(a)} > k) = 1 - F_{\text{Poisson}}(k; \lambda_m^{(h)} + \lambda_m^{(a)})$$

This allows direct computation of over/under probabilities and other useful metrics.