Four-Sum

Thore Husfeldt and Riko Jacob

Wed Feb 13 11:21:08 2019 +0100, rev. 7706e46

Implement a very simple algorithm in two different languages, run some experiments. Improve the algorithm.

Description

Given a list of N (long) integers, detect if there are four of them that sum to 0.

Exhaustive search. The simplest solution is to use exhaustive search using four nested for loops. Go ahead and implement that in the language that you're comfortable in, Python or Java. There is a code skeleton called sol/Simple.java or sol/simple.py that you must use. The program expects a list of N integers on standard input, following the number N itself. The directory data contains several of such lists for various N.

The program must write True to standard output if it finds a solution, and False otherwise; your program may write other output to standard error (stderr). This is the basis of the tests on code-Judge, and all your programs must at least pass all of TestsTiny and TestsSmall.

Make it work; and determine the running time on your machine on the input files. There are a handful of inputs for each size. Report the fastest observed time, the slowest, and the average. (Try to be half-way serious about this— e.g., restart the computer before the experiment, so as to make sure no spurious processes are running in the background, don't have a World of Warcraft-server or Bitcoin mining programme running at the same time.) You probably won't be able to handle the larger inputs—don't report experiments that take more than a few minutes. Plot the result using both a standard and a log-log plot.

Optional *Exhaustive search in a different language*. Do the same as above, but in the other language. This may involve installing compiler or a run-time environment, figuring out where the semicolons or brackets go (or don't go) and other annoyances. Compare the running times of the two implementations of exhaustive search in the different languages. This is the only task you are to implement in both languages.

Faster. Use the idea of the fast three-sum algorithm in [SW, Chapter 1.4] ThreeSumFast to write a faster algorithm for the four-sum

problem. Your running time must be close to cubic time, proportional to $N^3 \lg N$. Call it Faster.java or faster.py. Redo the experiments and report them.

Optional There is a cute, simple, and very satisfying way to solve the problem in time proportional to $N^2 \lg N$ instead. If you can figure that trick out, implement it and hand in that code instead.

Deliverable

- *Programs* Implementation of "Exhaustive search" and "Faster" in your standard programming language (java or python3).
- Optional Program 1 Implementation of "Exhaustive search" in the other programming language (python2 is just as good).
- Optional Program 2 Implementation of your self designed even faster algorithm.
- *Report.* Hand in a very brief report as a PDF, preferably by just finishing the skeleton in report-foursum.tex in the git-repository or zip-archive. Please add all the names and itu-email addresses of the group members as authors in the PDF of the report.

All programs should be uploaded to codeJudge and submitted on learnit as a zip-archive. Please refer to one successful submission on codeJudge (by time and id) in your report.

Tips and comments

- Java types. The integers in the input files are very large, and will not fit into the Java datatypes int or Integer. Use Long instead. Do not worry about overflow.
- Python dialect. You can use Python 2 (which may be already installed on your computer) or Python 3 (remember that the library of the course is written in python3).
- Course libraries. In this exercise (and this exercise *only*) you are *not* required to use the course libraries, say for input/output. This is because it is too much overhead to ask students in language *x* to install the libraries for language *y*. Therefore, this particular exercise is very bare-bones. You are welcome to use the course library if you want to.
- Measuring time. On a unix system, the time command gives sufficiently good performance estimates, and is better than sitting with a stopwatch. Both Java and Python come with better solutions; the

course libraries offer a Stopwatch class, and Python has a handy time module. You are free to use either. On some modern Java systems, the compiler performs very funky optimisations behind your back, giving wildy divergent measurements for the same code on the same machine. You can handle that by running time code a few times before measuring it. It's a black art.

Experiment design. If you like doing repetitive work, you can run your experiments individually by starting dozens of processes from the command line (or, worse, an IDE) and writing the results into a spreadsheet to compute averages. However, such an approach quickly becomes both tedius and error-prone.

Instead, construct another program that performs all the experiments for you, and writes the results, including a time stamp of the experiment, into another file. There are many schools of thought about how to write that program; you can make it part of your original solution, or you can use a separate programme in a dedicated scripting language. One useful program (or builtin in bash) is time, a simple usage would be

```
time java Simple < ... / data/ints -200-2.txt
```

Because of the setup on codeJudge, our programs have debugging output on stderr, and there is the need to ignore this when collecting experimental results into a file. The following is an example how this could look like (note that the trailing \ continues the command on the next line) (this script can be found in the [git repository]:

```
#!/bin/bash
nn="100 200 400 800"
javac Weed.java
if [ ! -d Input ]
then
    mkdir Input
    for n in $nn
    do
        java Weed $n 1 > Input/Weed1_$n.in
    done
fi
rm simple.table
for n in $nn
do
  /usr/bin/time -f "$n %e" bash -c \
    "java -cp javaSol Simple <Input/Weed1_$n.in > /dev/null 2>&1" \
    >> simple.table 2>&1
```

done

More advanced solutions (maybe in other languages) could compute averages, or even draw the plots for you. One example (that turned out to be somewhat more complicated) can be found in ../src/experiment.py.

Learning outcomes

Besides some important secondary skills (input/output, exposing yourself to an unfamiliar programming language, basic experimentation, drawing graphs, computing averages), the main points of this exercise are

- It does *not* make a big difference which language you use.
- It does make a big difference which algorithm you use.
- The slowest observed performance is well characterised by the predicted worst-case running time.
- The fastest observed performance contains very little information about general behaviour.