## **INSTRUCTOR/COURSE EVALUATION**

Course Title:		Microprocessor Systems Design I Course # and Se		:: 16.317-									
Instructor: Michael Geiger Date:  The purpose of this evaluation is to provide a measure of the effectiveness and quality of classroom instruction. The results will be carefully reviewed and used with other measures to determine the teaching effectiveness of each course in order to improve the course where appropriate.													
Your evaluation is important to this effort. You should not sign it, or provide any information that would identify it as yours. It will be treated in confidence and reviewed with your instructor with those of all others in your class only after final grades have been assigned.													
The questions which follow seek to determine your opinion of course and teaching effectiveness. For each you are asked to circle one answer, with "A" corresponding to excellent, "B" to very good, "C' to satisfactory, "D" to poor, and "F" to failure, unless otherwise specified. The "NA" answer should be used when you are not able to respond to the questions for any reason. PLEASE CIRCLE ONE ANSWER FOR EVERY QUESTION.													
<b>EVALU</b> 1.		OF COURSE class interesting and stimulating?		A	В	C	D	F	NA				
2.	•	ou recommend this class to others? (A=enth C=perhaps; D=no; F=definitely not).	nusiastically;	A	В	С	D	F	NA				
<b>EVAL</b> U	JATION	OF INSTRUCTOR											
1.	Were the	e instructor's lectures clear and well organize	ed?	A	В	C	D	F	NA				
2.	Did the	instructor communicate well?		A	В	C	D	F	NA				
3.	Did the instructor use the blackboard and/or visual aids effectively?					C	D	F	NA				
4.	Did the	instructor show interest in the subject?		A	В	C	D	F	NA				
5.		instructor encourage thinking and the develo-solving skills?	pment of	A	В	C	D	F	NA				
6.		instructor appear to be interested in the stude ed with their learning and understanding the		A	В	C	D	F	NA				
7.	Did the	instructor display adequate knowledge of the	subject?	A	В	C	D	F	NA				
8.	Did the	instructor establish adequate office hours?		A	В	C	D	F	NA				
9.		instructor provide you with a syllabus; did it he course expectations?	adequately	A	В	C	D	F	NA				
10.	Would y	ou recommend this instructor to others?		A	В	C	D	F	NA				
11.	Do you	have any other comments you would like to	make?										

## **SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS**

1.	I think the overall pace of this course was:											
	Too slow	Appropri	Appropriate		Too fast							
2.	I believe the lectures were:											
	Not helpful	Somewh	at helpfu	1	Very helpful							
3. I believe the lecture handouts were:												
Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful												
4.	I believe the difficulty of the homework assignments was:											
	Easy Mo	oderate	Difficult	but fair	Too difficult							
5.	What changes would you have liked to see in the homework assignments?											
_	D 1 1100											
6. Rate the difficulty of the lab assignments. If you did not complete a particular assignment, leave that answer blank:												
Lab	1 (DOS commai	nds/DEBUG):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult						
Lab	2 (Assembling/e	xecuting inst.):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult						
Lab	3 (Assembly pro	gramming):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult						
Lab	4 (PIC microcor	troller intro):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult						
Lab	5 (Stepper moto	r control):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult						
7.	What changes w	ould you have	liked to s	see in the lab assig	gnments?							
8.	8. Please estimate your lecture attendance:											
	Frequently (75-1	100%) Mos	st lectures	s (50%-75%)	Occasionally (25%-50%)	Rarely (< 25%)						
9.												
,	ii you aid not at	.e u sigeu		or or recourse, pre-	ase enplant why.							
10.	10. What changes to the lectures might have motivated you to attend lectures more frequently?											