## Instructor Evaluation, ECE Department, UMass Lowell College of Engineering

Course Title:	Microprocessor Systems Design I	Course #:	16.317					
Instructor:	Michael Geiger	Date:	12/15/2015					
The purpose of this evaluation is to provide a measure of the effectiveness and quality of classroom instruction. The results will be reviewed with your instructor, along with those of all others in your class, only after final grades have been assigned. You should not sign this evaluation or provide any information that would identify this as yours.								
The questions that follow seek to assess your opinion of the course and teaching effectiveness. For each question you are asked to circle <b>only one answer</b> , with "A" corresponding to excellent, "B" to very good, "C' to satisfactory, "D" to poor, and "F" to failure, unless otherwise specified. The "NA" answer can be used when you are not able to respond to a question for some reason.								
EVALUATI	ON OF COURSE							
1. Was the o	course well organized?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
2. Was the o	course interesting?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
3. How does	s this course compare with others that	t you have taken'	? A	В	C	D	F	NA
	he percentage of classes that you have % C=75-89% D=60-74% F=less	,		В	C	D	F	NA
•	ou recommend this class to others? (A tely; C=perhaps; D=no; F=definite		• /	В	C	D	F	NA
EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTOR								
	nstructor provide a syllabus adequateles for grading, homework, and exams	, , ,	10) A				F	NA
7. Did the ir	nstructor establish adequate office hou	urs?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
8. Did the ir	nstructor display adequate knowledge	of the subject?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
9. Were the	instructor's lectures clear and well or	ganized?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
10. Did the ir	nstructor show interest in the subject?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
11. Did the ir	nstructor communicate well?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
12. Did the ir	nstructor encourage questions from th	e class?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
13. Did the ir	nstructor answer relevant questions sa	tisfactorily?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
	nstructor encourage thinking and the osolving skills?	development of	A	В	C	D	F	NA
	nstructor appear to be concerned with rstanding of the course material?	the students' lea	_	В	C	D	F	NA
16. Did the ir	nstructor use the board and/or other vi	isual aids effecti	vely? A	В	C	D	F	NA
17. Was the i	instructor punctual in starting and end	ing the classes?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
18. Did the ir	nstructor or his substitute meet all class	sses? (A=yes; F=	=no) A				F	NA
19. Was the i	instructor available outside office hou	rs? <b>(A=yes; F=</b> n	no) A				F	NA

## **SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS**

1. I think the overall pace of this course was:

Too slow Appropriate Too fast

2. I believe the lectures were:

Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful

3. I believe the lecture handouts were:

Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful

4. I believe the recorded lectures were:

Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful

5. I believe the difficulty of the homework assignments was:

Easy Moderate Difficult but fair Too difficult

6. Please use the space below for any other comments you would like to make. Detailed comments, which can be the most useful form of feedback when considering changes to the course, are encouraged.