COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

Cou	urse Title:	ECE Application	Programming	Course # and Sec.:	16.	216-	-201		_	
Inst	tructor:	Michael Geiger		Date:					_	
be o		wed and used with o		e effectiveness and quality of classromine the teaching effectiveness of						
This		d in confidence and		gn this or provide any information of structor with those of all others in y						
aske failt	ed to circle one ure, unless othe	e answer, with "A" cerwise specified. The	corresponding to excel	of the course and teaching effective llent, "B" to very good, "C' to satis used when you are not able to response to the course of the course	factor	y, "D	" to	poor,	, and	"F" to
EV	ALUATIO	ON OF COURS	<u>SE</u>							
1.	Was the co	ourse well organ	nized?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
2.	Was the co	ourse interesting	g and stimulating	?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
3.	How does	this course com	npare with others	that you have taken?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
4.			classes that you D=60-74% F =	have attended? (A=100%; =less than 60%)		В	C	D	F	NA
5.	•		nis class to others s; D=no; F=defi	? (A=enthusiastically; nitely not).	A	В	C	D	F	NA
6.	Did the in:	-	you with a sylla	bus adequately homework, exams)?						
	(A=yes; F	_	edures (grading,	nomework, exams).	A				F	NA
7.	Did the in	structor establis	h adequate office	e hours?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
8.	Did the in	structor display	adequate knowle	edge of the subject?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
9.	Were the i	nstructor's lect	ares clear and we	ell organized?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
10.	Did the in	structor show in	terest in the subj	ect?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
11.	Did the in	structor commu	nicate well?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
12.	Did the ins		n atmosphere tha	at encouraged questions	A	В	C	D	F	NA

13. Did the instructor answer relevant questions satisfactorily?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
14. Did the instructor encourage thinking and the development of problem-solving skills?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
15. Did the instructor appear to be concerned with the students' learning and understanding of the course material?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
16. Did the instructor use the board and other visual aids effectively?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
17. Was the instructor punctual in starting and ending the classes?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
18. Did the instructor or his substitute meet all classes? (A=yes; F=no)	A				F	NA
19. Was the instructor available for consultation outside office hours? (A=yes; F=no)	A				F	NA
20. Do you have any other comments you would like to make?						

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS

1. I think the overall pace of this course was:

Too slow Appropriate Too fast

2. I believe the lectures were:

Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful

3. I believe the lecture handouts were:

Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful

4. I believe the in-class programming exercises were:

Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful

5. Rate the difficulty of the programming assignments. If you did not complete a particular assignment, leave that answer blank:

Prog. 1 (Simple C Program):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 2 (Basic Circuit Analysis):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 3 (Calculator):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 4 (Root Finding):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 5 (Integrals):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 6 (Histogram):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 7 (Simple Raster Graphics):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 8 (Average Voltage/Current)	: Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 9 (Instruction Decoding):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult
Prog. 10 (Doubly-Linked List):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult

6. Question 4 on the main questionnaire asked you to estimate your lecture attendance. If you did not attend a significant number of lectures, please explain why.

7. What changes to the lectures might have motivated you to attend lectures more frequently?