COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

Cou	urse Title:	ECE Application Programming	Course # and Sec.:	16.	216-	201		_	
Inst	ructor:	Michael Geiger	Date:					_	
be o		s evaluation is to provide a measure of the wed and used with other measures to deter be made.							
This		important to this effort. You should not sid in confidence and reviewed with your in l.							
aske failt	ed to circle one are, unless othe	ch follow seek to determine your opinion as answer, with "A" corresponding to excelerwise specified. The "NA" answer can be CIRCLE ONLY ONE ANSWER FOR EVE	lent, "B" to very good, "C' to satisfused when you are not able to respo	actor	y, "D	" to	poor,	and	"F" to
<u>EV</u>	ALUATIO	ON OF COURSE							
1.	Was the co	ourse well organized?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
2.	Was the co	ourse interesting and stimulating	?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
3.	How does	this course compare with others	that you have taken?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
4.		e percentage of classes that you 6 C=75-89% D=60-74% F=		A	В	C	D	F	NA
5.	•	a recommend this class to others ely; C=perhaps; D=no; F=define	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	A	В	C	D	F	NA
6.	Did the ins	TION OF INSTRUCTOR structor provide you with a sylla the course procedures (grading,	- ·						
		(A=yes; F=no)		A				F	NA
7.	Did the ins	structor establish adequate office	e hours?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
8.	Did the ins	structor display adequate knowle	edge of the subject?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
9.	Were the i	nstructor's lectures clear and we	ell organized?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
10.	Did the ins	structor show interest in the subj	ect?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
11.	Did the ins	structor communicate well?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
12.	Did the ins	structor create an atmosphere tha	at encouraged questions	A	В	C	D	F	NA

13. Did the instructor answer relevant questions satisfactorily?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
14. Did the instructor encourage thinking and the development of problem-solving skills?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
15. Did the instructor appear to be concerned with the students' learning and understanding of the course material?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
16. Did the instructor use the board and other visual aids effectively?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
17. Was the instructor punctual in starting and ending the classes?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
18. Did the instructor or his substitute meet all classes? (A=yes; F=no)	A				F	NA
19. Was the instructor available for consultation outside office hours? (A=yes; F=no)	A				F	NA
20. Do you have any other comments you would like to make?						

SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS 1. I think the overall pace of this course was: Too slow Appropriate Too fast 2. I believe the lectures were: Not helpful Somewhat helpful Very helpful 3. I believe the lecture handouts were: Very helpful Not helpful Somewhat helpful 4. I believe the in-class programming exercises were: Very helpful Not helpful Somewhat helpful Rate the difficulty of the later programming assignments. If you did not complete a particular assignment, leave that answer blank: Prog. 5 (Exponent/Approximation) Easy Difficult but fair Too difficult Moderate Prog. 6 (Integrals): Easy Moderate Difficult but fair Too difficult Prog. 7 (Histogram): Moderate Difficult but fair Too difficult Easy Too difficult Prog. 8 (Simple Raster Graphics): Easy Difficult but fair Moderate Prog. 9 (Average Voltage/Current): Easy Moderate Difficult but fair Too difficult Prog. 10 (Instruction Decoding): Easy Moderate Difficult but fair Too difficult Prog. 11 (Structures): Difficult but fair Too difficult Easy Moderate Question 4 on the main questionnaire asked you to estimate your lecture attendance. If you did not attend a significant number of lectures, please explain why.

7. What changes to the lectures might have motivated you to attend lectures more frequently?

instruction? Yes

No

9. Please explain your answer to the previous question:

Do you believe having a weekly lab session, in which students would work on short programming exercises and meet with an instructor in the computer lab, would help you better learn the material and improve the quality of