COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

Cou	irse Litle:	Microprocessor Systems Design	n I Course # and Sec.:	10.	31/			_	
Inst	ructor:	Michael Geiger	Date:					_	
be c		wed and used with other measures	e of the effectiveness and quality of classr to determine the teaching effectiveness of						
This		d in confidence and reviewed with	I not sign this or provide any information t your instructor with those of all others in						
aske failt	ed to circle on are, unless othe	e answer, with "A" corresponding to	pinion of the course and teaching effective or excellent, "B" to very good, "C' to satisfican be used when you are not able to response EVERY QUESTION.	factor	y, "[)" to	poor,	and	"F" to
EV	ALUATIO	ON OF COURSE							
1.	Was the c	ourse well organized?		A	В	C	D	F	NA
2.	Was the c	ourse interesting and stimul	lating?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
3.	How does	this course compare with o	others that you have taken?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
4.		ne percentage of classes that C=75-89% D=60-74%	you have attended? (A=100%; F=less than 60%)		В	C	D	F	NA
5.	•	u recommend this class to cely; C=perhaps; D=no; F=	others? (A=enthusiastically; =definitely not).	A	В	C	D	F	NA
	Did the in	ON OF INSTRUCTOR structor provide you with a g the course procedures (gra							
	(A=yes; F		iding, nome work, enume).	A				F	NA
7.	Did the in	structor establish adequate	office hours?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
8.	Did the in	structor display adequate ki	nowledge of the subject?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
9.	Were the	instructor's lectures clear ar	nd well organized?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
10.	Did the in	structor show interest in the	e subject?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
11.	Did the in	structor communicate well?	?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
12.	Did the in from the c	<u>-</u>	re that encouraged questions	A	В	С	D	F	NA

13. Did the instructor answer relevant questions satisfactorily?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
14. Did the instructor encourage thinking and the development of problem-solving skills?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
15. Did the instructor appear to be concerned with the students' learning and understanding of the course material?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
16. Did the instructor use the board and other visual aids effectively?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
17. Was the instructor punctual in starting and ending the classes?	A	В	C	D	F	NA
18. Did the instructor or his substitute meet all classes? (A=yes; F=no)	A				F	NA
19. Was the instructor available for consultation outside office hours? (A=yes; F=no)	A				F	NA
20. Do you have any other comments you would like to make?						

SUPPLEMENTAL OUESTIONS

<u>SU</u>		verall pace of this		vas:						
	Too slow Appropr				Too fast					
2.	I believe the lectures were:									
	Not helpful Somewhat helpful			ul	Very helpful					
3.	3. I believe the lecture handouts were									
	Not helpful	Not helpful Somewhat helpful			Very helpful					
4. I believe the recorded lectures were:										
	Not helpful Somewhat helpful			ul	Very helpful					
5.	. I believe the difficulty of the homework assignments was:									
	Easy	Moderate	Difficul	t but fair	Too difficult					
6.	What change	es would you have	liked to	see in the homewo	ork assignments?					
7.	7. Rate the difficulty of the lab assignments. If you did not complete a particular assignment, leave that answer blank:									
Lab	1 (Assembli	ng/executing inst.):Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult				
Lab	2 (Assembly	programming):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult				
Lab	3 (PIC micro	ocontroller intro):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult				
Lab	4 (Stepper m	notor control):	Easy	Moderate	Difficult but fair	Too difficult				
8.	8. What changes would you have liked to see in the lab assignments?									
9.	9. Question 4 on the main questionnaire asked you to estimate your lecture attendance. If you did not attend significant number of lectures, please explain why.									
organical number of fectures, please explain why.										
10.	What change	es to the lectures r	night hav	e motivated you to	o attend lectures more frequency	uently?				