

# CFG Introduction

# Motivation

- ▶ Regular expressions are too limiting to express everything we need
- ▶ Some things are absolutely *impossible* with regexes

## Example

- ▶ Produce regex for balanced parentheses:  $()$ ,  $(( ))$ ,  $(( ( )) )$ , etc.
- ▶ Can't be done!
  - ▶ Why not?
  - ▶ Pumping lemma

## Idea

- ▶ Any regex is equivalent to some DFA
- ▶ DFA has only finite number of states
- ▶ That's the only mechanism DFA has to remember anything:  
Change current state
- ▶ Suppose the DFA has  $n$  states
- ▶ If we create a string with  $n+1$  opening parens: DFA *must* re-enter a state twice
- ▶ But once the DFA re-enters that state: It's exactly the same as when it entered the state the first time (when it had less than  $n+1$  parens)
  - ▶ No memory of anything else that happened before!
- ▶ Now the DFA doesn't know how many opening parentheses it's seen so far

# Grammars

- ▶ We need something more powerful
- ▶ We use *grammars*
- ▶ Grammars have other advantages for us
  - ▶ Precise, concise, easy to grasp what it says
  - ▶ Easier to build parser from grammar than without it
  - ▶ Helps ensure language structure is correct
  - ▶ Can “evolve” language: add new constructs to grammar, ensure doesn’t break existing language features

# Specification

- ▶ **Terminals:** These cannot change into anything else
  - ▶ Represent the tokens
- ▶ **Nonterminals:** These can change into other things
- ▶ **Productions:** Means for changing a nonterminal to one or more terminals and/or nonterminals
- ▶ **Start symbol:** Where to begin
  - ▶ By convention: first symbol or else called “S”

## Example

- ▶ Terminals:  $a\ b\ c\ x\ y\ n$
- ▶  $S \rightarrow A \mid B \mid n \mid x A y B$
- ▶  $A \rightarrow a\ b\ c$
- ▶  $B \rightarrow x S y$
- ▶ Ex: Can this grammar produce the string  $x a b c y x n y$ ?
- ▶ Yes: Derivation:
  - ▶  $S \rightarrow x A y B \rightarrow x a b c y B \rightarrow x a b c y x S y \rightarrow x a b c y x n y$
- ▶ This is usually the way the problem is posed in automata class.

## Observe

- ▶ At each step of derivation:
  - ▶ We select exactly one nonterminal
  - ▶ We select a production
  - ▶ We replace that nonterminal with its production
- ▶ Repeat until entire string is all terminals



## Notice

- ▶ Here, productions are always nonterminal  $\rightarrow$  ...something...
- ▶ This is called a *context free grammar*
  - ▶ What a nonterminal can change into does not depend on what's next to it
- ▶ We can also create *context sensitive grammars*

## Example

- ▶ Consider this grammar. The terminals are A, AN, FROG, APPLE, JUMPS, RIPENS, SLOWLY, and QUICKLY:

article  $\rightarrow$  A | AN

noun  $\rightarrow$  FROG | APPLE

verb  $\rightarrow$  JUMPS | RIPENS | ROTS

adverb  $\rightarrow$  SLOWLY | QUICKLY

sentence  $\rightarrow$  subject action adverb

action  $\rightarrow$  verb

A FROG JUMPS  $\rightarrow$  subject action

AN APPLE RIPENS  $\rightarrow$  subject action

AN APPLE ROTS  $\rightarrow$  subject action

- ▶ This is a context sensitive grammar

## CSG

- ▶ CSG's are more difficult to work with
  - ▶ Linear bounded automaton vs. Pushdown automaton
- ▶ We generally stick with CFG's
- ▶ But...some constructs can't be expressed with CFG
  - ▶ Ex: "You must declare variables before you use them."
- ▶ We'll add rules outside the CFG for those cases.

# Compilers

- ▶ In automata theory, problems are often posed as “What strings can we derive with this grammar”
- ▶ For compilers, we have a somewhat different question: *Here's a string (a program). How do we derive it?*

# Ambiguity

- ▶ Sometimes, a grammar gives us several ways to derive a string
- ▶ This means grammar is *ambiguous*
- ▶ Ex:  
 $S \rightarrow x A \mid B y$   
 $A \rightarrow y$   
 $B \rightarrow x$
- ▶ We can derive  
 $xy$   
in two ways (do you see how?)

## $\lambda$ Productions

- ▶ Often, it is useful to allow a nonterminal to simply “evaporate”
- ▶ We represent this as a  $\lambda$ -production
  - ▶ Some people use  $\varepsilon$  or  $\Lambda$  instead

- ▶ Ex:

$$S \rightarrow w S \mid \lambda$$

- ▶ Derive:  $w w w$

$$S \rightarrow w S \rightarrow w w S \rightarrow w w w S \rightarrow w w w$$

# Grammar

- ▶ Suppose we want to create a grammar that allows us to add two numbers
- ▶ How could we do that?
  - ▶ Do in class!

# Grammar

- ▶ Suppose we want a grammar that allows us to add two things or multiply two things
- ▶ How could we do that?
  - ▶ Do in class!



# Problem

- ▶ What if we want to allow adding or multiplying arbitrarily many things?
  - ▶ Do in class!

# BNF

- ▶ Sometimes, we use BNF or EBNF to specify grammar
- ▶ Equivalent expressive power to CFG, but a little easier to read
  - ▶ ( ) are used for grouping
  - ▶ [ ] indicates optional item
  - ▶ { } indicates repetition
  - ▶ | indicates choice
  - ▶ 'xyz' means "literal xyz"
  - ▶ Often use ::= instead of arrow
  - ▶ I'm going to introduce my own extension and use < > to delimit regular expressions

## Example

- ▶ CFG for an if-else expression:

if-stmt  $\rightarrow$  IF LPAREN ID EQUALS NUM RPAREN LBRACE stmts  
RBRACE | IF LPAREN ID EQUALS NUM RPAREN LBRACE stmts  
RBRACE ELSE LBRACE stmts RBRACE

- ▶ EBNF for the same thing:

if-stmt ::= 'if' '(' ID '==' NUM ')' '{' stmts '}' [ 'else' '{' stmts '}' ]

## Example

- ▶ Suppose we want a list of statements
- ▶ CFG:  
 $\text{stmts} \rightarrow \text{stmt SEMICOLON stmts} \mid \lambda$
- ▶ EBNF:  
 $\text{stmts} ::= \{ \text{stmt} ';' \}$

## Assignment

- ▶ Write a program which receives a single command line argument: This will be the name of a grammar specification file
- ▶ The file can be described with this EBNF grammar:

grammar ::= { terminal } '\n' { nonterminal }

identifier ::= <\w+>

terminal ::= { identifier } '->' { regex } '\n'

regex ::= ...any valid regular expression...

nonterminal ::= production [ { '|' production } ] '\n'

production ::= 'lambda' | { identifier }

- ▶ Be careful of lambda!

## Output

- ▶ For each nonterminal, report how many productions it has
- ▶ Also report the longest production in the entire grammar (the number of symbols and then the lhs and then an arrow and then the rhs)
- ▶ Your output should follow the form given in this EBNF grammar:

output ::= { line } longest

line ::= symbol ' ' number '\n'

number ::= <\d+>

symbol ::= <\w+>

longest ::= number ' ' symbol '->' production

production ::= { symbol }

# Sources

- ▶ Aho, Lam, Sethi, Ullman. Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools. 2nd ed.
- ▶ K. Louden. Compiler Construction: Principles and Practice
- ▶ Python Tutorial. <http://www.python.org>
- ▶ Java Documentation. <http://java.sun.com>
- ▶ Boost Documentation. <http://www.boost.org>
- ▶ PCRE Documentation
- ▶ [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extended\\_Backus%E2%80%93Naur\\_form](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extended_Backus%E2%80%93Naur_form)
- ▶ Regexp. [https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global\\_Objects/RegExp](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/RegExp)
- ▶ [https://www.tutorialspoint.com/automata\\_theory/chomsky\\_classification\\_of\\_grammars.htm](https://www.tutorialspoint.com/automata_theory/chomsky_classification_of_grammars.htm)
- ▶ Microsoft. EBNF Overview.  
<https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa597401.aspx>

Created using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X.

Main font: Gentium Book Basic, by Victor Gaultney. See <http://software.sil.org/gentium/>

Monospace font: Source Code Pro, by Paul D. Hunt. See <https://fonts.google.com/specimen/Source+Code+Pro> and <http://sourceforge.net/adobe>

Icons by Ulisse Perusin, Steven Garrity, Lapo Calamandrei, Ryan Collier, Rodney Dawes, Andreas Nilsson, Tuomas Kuosmanen, Garrett LeSage, and Jakub Steiner. See <http://tango-project.org>