

Interpreter

Motivation

- ▶ What can we do with the parse tree?
- ▶ How about running the program we've parsed?
- ▶ This is where our compiler code is no longer independent of the grammar we're using

Grammar

► Recall: The grammar:

IF $\rightarrow \backslash \text{bif} \backslash \text{b}$

ELSE $\rightarrow \backslash \text{belse} \backslash \text{b}$

WHILE $\rightarrow \backslash \text{bwhile} \backslash \text{b}$

SEMI $\rightarrow ;$

NUM $\rightarrow \backslash \text{d}^+$

ID $\rightarrow \backslash \text{w}^+$

EQ $\rightarrow =$

LP $\rightarrow [($

RP $\rightarrow)]$

ADDOP $\rightarrow [-+]$

MULOP $\rightarrow [* /]$

LBR $\rightarrow \{$

RBR $\rightarrow \}$

$S \rightarrow \text{stmt SEMI } S \mid \lambda$

$\text{a-o-f} \rightarrow \text{ID a-o-f'}$

$\text{a-o-f'} \rightarrow \text{EQ } e \mid \text{LP a-o-f''}$

$\text{a-o-f''} \rightarrow \text{RP} \mid e \text{ RP}$

$\text{cond} \rightarrow \text{IF LP } e \text{ RP LBR } S \text{ RBR cond'}$

$\text{cond'} \rightarrow \lambda \mid \text{ELSE LBR } S \text{ RBR}$

$e \rightarrow t e'$

$e' \rightarrow \text{ADDOP } t e' \mid \lambda$

$f \rightarrow \text{ID} \mid \text{NUM} \mid \text{LP } e \text{ RP}$

$\text{loop} \rightarrow \text{WHILE } e \text{ LBR } S \text{ RBR}$

$\text{stmt} \rightarrow \text{a-o-f} \mid \text{cond} \mid \text{loop}$

$t \rightarrow f t'$

$t' \rightarrow \text{MULOP } f t' \mid \lambda$

Table

	\$	ADDOP	ELSE	EQ	ID	IF	LBR	LP	MULOP	NUM	RBR	RP	SEMI	WHILE
S	λ	•	•	•	stmt SEMI S	stmt SEMI S	•	•	•	•	λ	•	•	stmt SEMI S
a-o-f	•	•	•	•	ID a-o-f'	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
a-o-f'	•	•	•	EQ e	•	•	•	LP a-o-f''	•	•	•	•	•	•
a-o-f''	•	•	•	•	e RP	•	•	e RP	•	e RP	•	RP	•	•
cond	•	•	•	•	•	IF LP e RP LBR S RBR cond'	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
cond'	•	•	ELSE LBR S RBR	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	λ	•
e	•	•	•	•	t e'	•	•	t e'	•	t e'	•	•	•	•
e'	•	ADDOP t e'	•	•	•	•	λ	•	•	•	•	λ	λ	•
f	•	•	•	•	ID	•	•	LP e RP	•	NUM	•	•	•	•
loop	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	WHILE e LBR S RBR
stmt	•	•	•	•	a-o-f	cond	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	loop
t	•	•	•	•	f t'	•	•	f t'	•	f t'	•	•	•	•
t'	•	λ	•	•	•	•	λ	•	MULOP f t'	•	•	λ	λ	•

Interpreter

- ▶ We'll create a very simple interpreter that just walks over the tree and executes statements
- ▶ Creating interpreter is straightforward, but tedious: We need to write case statements for every grammar production

Code

- Suppose our interpreter is structured like so:

```
def interpret(n):  
    #n = TreeNode: Has fields  
    #    sym (string)  
    #    token (Token),  
    #    children (list of TreeNode)  
    t=n.sym  
    ch=n.children  
    ...do something...
```

Code

► Begin with the easy cases:

```
if t=="S":
    if len(ch) > 0:      # S -> stmt SEMI S
        interpret(ch[0])
        interpret(ch[2])
    else:
        pass            # S -> lambda
elif t=="stmt":         # stmt -> aof | cond
    interpret(ch[0])
```

Code

- ▶ Now we have these:

$a-o-f \rightarrow ID\ a-o-f'$

$a-o-f' \rightarrow EQ\ e \mid LP\ a-o-f''$

$a-o-f'' \rightarrow e\ RP \mid RP$

- ▶ If we're processing $a-o-f$ node: We don't know what to do quite yet
- ▶ We could have $a-o-f$ examine its child
 - ▶ But that's a bit messy: We're conflating different nodes' processing together
- ▶ We could have $a-o-f'$ look at its sibling
 - ▶ But that's also messy
 - ▶ And $a-o-f''$ would need to consider its uncle: More convoluted

Attributes

- ▶ We introduce the concept of *attributes*
- ▶ Two types: *inherited* and *synthesized*
 - ▶ Inherited = passed down the tree
 - ▶ Synthesized = passed up the tree

Define

- ▶ We need to define the attributes of each production
- ▶ None of the productions we've seen so far have any attributes
- ▶ We'll introduce some now...

Attributes

▶ Productions:

- ▶ $a-o-f \rightarrow ID\ a-o-f'$
 - ▶ Sends data to children
- ▶ $a-o-f' \rightarrow EQ\ e$
 - ▶ Inherited attribute: The ID from its parent ($a-o-f$)
- ▶ $a-o-f' \rightarrow LP\ a-o-f''$
 - ▶ Inherited attribute: The ID from its parent ($a-o-f$). Sends to its child
- ▶ $a-o-f'' \rightarrow RP$
 - ▶ Inherited attribute: The ID from its parent
- ▶ $a-o-f'' \rightarrow e\ RP$
 - ▶ Inherited attribute: The ID from its parent

Code

- ▶ To implement this, we need to change signature of interpret()
`def interpret(n , inherited=None)`
 - ▶ inherited will contain any inherited attributes
 - ▶ interpret() will return any synthesized attributes

Code

- ▶ Now we can write our handler:

```
elif t == "a-o-f":  
    interpret( ch[1], ch[0].token.lexeme )
```

a-o-f'

- ▶ $a-o-f' \rightarrow EQ\ e$
- ▶ This involves expression (e)
- ▶ We haven't looked at expression (e) yet, but assume it has a synthesized attribute: The value of the expression

```
elif t == "a-o-f':  
    if ch[0].sym == "EQ":          #EQ e  
        val = interpret( ch[1] )  
        ...what now?...
```

Assignment

- ▶ Interpreter will maintain a symbol table: A dictionary
- ▶ Key = variable name; value = its value
 - ▶ Our language only supports numerical values
 - ▶ If we had several types, the symbol table would also keep track of the variable's type

```
elif t == "a-o-f":  
    if ch[0].sym == "EQ":    #EQ e  
        val = interpret( ch[1] )  
        symtable[ inherited ] = val  
    else:    # LP a-o-f'  
        ...what now?...
```

a-o-f'

- ▶ Our grammar doesn't allow defining of custom functions
- ▶ So we have to specify some
- ▶ We'll give two: `write()` and `halt()`
- ▶ Semantics:
 - ▶ `write()` takes one argument and outputs this value to the console
 - ▶ `halt()` takes no arguments and terminates the program

a-o-f'

```
elif t == "a-o-f':  
    ...  
    else:    # LP a-o-f''  
        interpret( ch[1], inherited )
```

a-o-f'

- ▶ We can now finish off the function processing

```
elif t == "a-o-f'":  
    if len(ch) == 1:      # e RP  
        val = interpret(ch[0])  
        if inherited == "write":  
            print(val)  
        else:  
            error!  
    else:                  #RP  
        if inherited == "halt":  
            sys.exit(0)  
        else:  
            error!
```

Numeric

- ▶ We can now begin working on the expression (e) / term (t) / factor (f) chain
- ▶ All of these will have synthesized attributes that represent the value of the thing evaluated
- ▶ Begin at the bottom of the chain: f

f

- ▶ $f \rightarrow \text{ID} \mid \text{NUM} \mid \text{LP e RP}$
- ▶ If it's a number: Easy: Just return the value
- ▶ If it's LP e RP: Also easy: Evaluate the expression and return its synthesized attribute
- ▶ If it's ID:
 - ▶ Look it up in symbol table
 - ▶ If not found: Error
 - ▶ Else, return its value
 - ▶ Since only one variable type, no need to do type checking

Code

```
elif t == "f":  
    if ch[0].sym == "NUM":  
        return int(ch[0].token.lexeme)  
    elif ch[0].sym == "ID":  
        varname = ch[0].token.lexeme  
        if varname not in symtable: error  
        else: return symtable[varname]  
    else:  
        return interpret(ch[1])
```

t

- ▶ We now have a tandem:
 - ▶ $t \rightarrow f t'$
 - ▶ $t' \rightarrow \text{MULOP } f t' \mid \lambda$
- ▶ This is a little trickier. We need to make use of both inherited and synthesized attributes

```
elif t == "t":  
    v = interpret(ch[0])           #the synthesized attr...  
    return interpret(ch[1],v)      #...becomes an inherited attr
```

t'

► $t' \rightarrow \text{MULOP } f t' \mid \lambda$

```
elif t == "t':  
    if len(ch) > 0:  
        op = ch[0].token.lexeme  
        v2 = interpret(ch[1])  
        if op == "*":  
            v = inherited * v2  
        elif op == "/":  
            v = inherited / v2  
        else: ICE          #internal compiler error  
        return interpret( ch[2], v )          #data flows up and down  
                                                #the tree...  
    else:          #lambda  
        return inherited          #data bounces back up
```

e and e'

- ▶ Same idea as t and t' just with different op's

cond & cond'

- ▶ $\text{cond} \rightarrow \text{IF LP e RP LBR S RBR cond}'$
- ▶ $\text{cond}' \rightarrow \lambda \mid \text{ELSE LBR S RBR}$
- ▶ By now, you probably already can guess how to proceed...

loop

- ▶ `loop` \rightarrow `WHILE e LBR S RBR`
- ▶ This is easy, too!

Assignment

- ▶ Implement the complete interpreter for the language

Sources

- ▶ Aho, Lam, Sethi, Ullman. Compilers: Principles, Techniques, & Tools (2nd ed).
- ▶ K. Louden. Compiler Construction: Principles and Practice.

Created using L^AT_EX.

Main font: Gentium Book Basic, by Victor Gaultney. See <http://software.sil.org/gentium/>

Monospace font: Source Code Pro, by Paul D. Hunt. See <https://fonts.google.com/specimen/Source+Code+Pro> and <http://sourceforge.net/adobe>

Icons by Ulisse Perusin, Steven Garrity, Lapo Calamandrei, Ryan Collier, Rodney Dawes, Andreas Nilsson, Tuomas Kuosmanen, Garrett LeSage, and Jakub Steiner. See <http://tango-project.org>