

Array Sum Problem (array size 1,000,000):

	Sequential	1 thread	2 threads	3 threads	4 threads
Time (milliseconds)	10	8	15	16	16

Matrix Multiplication Problem (for matrix size 1,000:

	Sequential	1 thread	2 threads	3 threads	4 threads
Time (milliseconds)	3359	4215	2403	1895	1612

By these results, it appears that the array sum does not gain any significant speedup from solving the problem in parallel. In fact, adding more threads seems to slightly hinder the total speed. It's possible that there isn't a significant amount of computations to show any significant positive change, but by adding additional overhead by creating and joining threads, the program is slowed down. Maybe if the array size was larger, we would notice an increase in performance instead.

On the other hand, the matrix multiplication problem is shown to achieve computational speedup by adding additional threads. Having only one thread caused the overall time to run increase, possibly from the additional overhead caused by pthread creation and management, but adding additional threads had a positive effect on the running time. This might be due to the fact that the matrix multiplication program has significantly more operations:

sumPart \sim 1000000 elements * 1 addition per element = 1 million total operations

matrixMultiplyThread $\sim 10000000000 * (1000 \text{ multiplications} + 999 \text{ additions})$
 = 1.999 billion total operations

Again, I think if the array sum problem had the same total operations, we might see a similar change in performance.

```
Total Sum: 1784293664
[1] + Done                                "/usr/bin/gdb" --interpreter=mi --tty=${DbgTerm}
thomas@FumpBook:~/Documents/DSU/Fall 2024/CSC-410$ time ./A2/A2_part2/sum_T
Total Sum: 1784293664

real    0m0.016s
user    0m0.042s
sys     0m0.005s
thomas@FumpBook:~/Documents/DSU/Fall 2024/CSC-410$
```

[illegible]