Polar Decomposition

Thomas Seleiro

November 24, 2020

2. Prove that the singular values of A are the eigenvalues of H.

We know that any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, $m \geq n$ has a thin singular value decomposition $A = P\Sigma Q^*$ where $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ has orthogonal columns, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is unitary, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is diagonal with $\Sigma = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$ where $\mathrm{rank}(A) = r$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_r \geq 0$, the singular values of A. Thus we can write

$$A = (PQ^*)(Q\Sigma Q^*) =: UH \tag{1}$$

where U and H satisfy the properties of a polar decomposition.

In particular we have $H = Q\Sigma Q^*$ where Σ is diagonal and Q is orthogonal. Thus the diagonal values of Σ are the eigenvalues of H, which are the singular values of A.

3. Prove that A is normal ($A^*A = AA^*$) iff U and H commute.

We first suppose that U and H commute. Note that for the product HU to be well defined, we must have $m = n$ which implies $U \in \mathbb{C}$ is unitary. Since $A = UH = HU$ we get the following,

$$A^*A = (UH)^*(UH) = H^*(U^*U)H = H^2 \tag{2}$$

$$AA^* = (HU)(HU)^* = H(UU^*)H^* = H^2 \tag{3}$$

so A is normal.

Now suppose A is normal. Since $A^*A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and $AA^* \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, A normal requires $m = n$. Using the singular value decomposition of A, we have

$$AA^* = P\Sigma Q^* Q\Sigma P^* = P\Sigma^2 P^* \tag{4}$$

where $\Sigma^2 = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_r^2)$. Equating

4. Verify the formula

$$U = \frac{2}{\pi} A \int_0^\infty (t^2 I - A^*A)^{-1} dt$$

for full rank A by using the singular value decomposition (SVD) of A to diagonalize the formula.

5. Derive Newton's method for computing U by considering equations $(X + E) * (X + E) = I$, where E is a "small perturbation". (Newton's method is $X_{k+1} = (X_k + X_k^{-*})/2, X_0 = A$)

6. Prove that Newton's method converges, and at a quadratic rate, by using the SVD of A.

7. Use the SVD to analyze the convergence of the Newton-Schulz iteration for computing U:

$$X_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} X_k (3I - X_k^* X_k), X_0 = A$$

8. Evaluate the operation count for one step of Newton's method and one step of the Newton-Schulz iteration (taking account of symmetry). Ignoring operation counts, how much faster does matrix multiplication have to be than matrix inversion for Newton-Schulz to be faster than Newton (assuming both take the same number of iterations)?