Sprint Retrospective: Sprint 2

Group 16

Thomas Jinton	jintont
Jennifer Krogh	kroghj
Ludvig Lindell	ludlinde
Jesper Lundgren	jeslundg
Johan Nilsson	nilssojo
Emma Pettersson	emmp
Antonia Welzel	welzel

Agile Software Project Management [DAT257]

Information Technology
Chalmers Institute of Technology
Gothenburg, Sweden
2020Version 1.0

Contents

1	What went well in the Sprint	ii
2	What could be improved	iii
3	What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint	iv

1 What went well in the Sprint

- Most members got better at writing comments
- Subteam communication
- The team worked well together and helped each other

2 What could be improved

- Estimation
- Task breakdown
- The length of the meetings, getting more effective
- Comment code, for the one member that forgot about it

What will we commit to improve in the next Sprint

- Task breakdown
- Estimates
- Comment code, for the one member that forgot about it