# Does ChatGPT smell?

An empirical analysis of ChatGPT's code quality.

# Thomas Trépanier

Montréal, Canada thomas.trépanier@polytml.ca

Abstract—

*Index Terms*—chatgpt, code smells, software repository mining, code quality, python

## I. INTROCUTION

The rise of ChatGPT in the last year has led to many questions as to the quality of the answers it provides, and a lot of worry that bad answers may find their way in official products because of a lack of wariness.

This worry does not escape developers who have been using ChatGPT to answer their code related question more and more in the past year. In order to adress this problem, as part of the MSR2024 Mining Challenge [1], this study aims at evaluating the quality of the code generated by ChatGPT using the DevGPT dataset from the challenge.

It is important to qualify the quality of the code generated by ChatGPT, because its usage has been on the rise and the inclusion of low quality code in production code bases could lead to serious issues down the line.

For example, Spadini *et. al.* [11] found that test smells could lead to more defect-prone production code, and knowing that many developers use ChatGPT to generate unit tests, it is important to know if such tests smells, or other kinds of code smells could be found in ChatGPT's answers.

Furthemore, knowing what kind of code smells are present in ChatGPT's answers may help developers identify them and remove them before integrating its code snippets into their projects.

In order to evaluate the quality of the code generated by ChatGPT, we will use *Pyscent*, an automatic code smell detection tool for Python, to analyse all the Python code snippets in the DevGPT dataset. Then, in order to get a baseline for the kind of code smells found in other online resources, we will compare the code smells found in the code snippets generated by ChatGPT to the code smells found in the code snippets extracted from Python related questions on Stack Overflow. This will help us evaluate the quality of ChatGPT compared to other online resources.

The presence of code smells has been shown to be related to some software qualities such as maintainability by Yamashita and Moonen [10], espacially for small code

snippets. It is therefore why we believe it to be a reasonable approach to measure the code quality of ChatGPT's answers.

More precisely, this paper will try to answer the following research questions:

- RQ1: How prevalent are code smells in ChatGPT's code snippets?
- RQ2: How does ChatGPT's code quality compares to Stack Overflow's answers?

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the related work, Section III presents the methodology used to conduct this study, Section IV presents the results of the study, Section V discusses the results, Section VI presents the threats to validity of this study and Section VII concludes this paper.

#### II. BACKGROUND & RELATED WORK

#### A. Automatic Code Smell Detection

As early as 2009, work was done to automatically detect code smells in Python projects. Chen *et al.* proposed *Pysmell* [2], a program that could detect 11 Python smells with up to 97.7% detection accuracy. However, the tool is too outdated for the purpose of this research, as it supports Python 2.7 only and most of the code snippets in the dataset are written in Python 3, which makes the tool incompatible with the dataset.

In 2021, Wang *et al.* proposed PyNose [3], a tool that could detect 17 Python smells with up to 94.0% detection accuracy. However, their tool is not publicly available, so we were not able to use it for this research.

A tool found on Github named PyScent was published in 2019 by Whyjai17 [4]. It is a Python program that uses a existing libraries such as pylint [5], pyflakes [6], radon [7] and cohesion [8] to detect 11 code smells in a Python project. It then generates a report in PDF format that contains the results of the analysis. Even though its accuracy has not been studied, following the results of Pysmell and PyNose, it is safe to assume that its results are accurate enough for our research.

# B. Code Quality of Stack Overflow's answers

In 2020, Meldrum *et. al.* [9] studied the code quality of Stack Overflow's answers for Java problems. They found that Stack Overflow's answers are not always devoid of code quality problems, finding that there are about 5 violations of

programming rule per code snippet, and 10.5 readability violations per. They proposed that developers should be cautious when using code snippets from Stack Overflow, and that they should be reviewed before being used. This study aims at answering similar questions for Python related questions on Stack Overflow.

## C. Relation between Code Smell and Software Quality

The ultimate goal of detection code smells in a project is to evaluate the quality of the software being produced. To this end, several studies have been conducted to determine the relation between code smells and software quality.

In 2012, Yamashita and Moonen [10] studied if code smells reflect important maintainability aspects. They found that code smells do reflect important maintainability features, espacially at the file level. Since we are working with code snippets of similar size to a file, it could mean that code smells found in code snippets impact the maintainability of the software they are integrated in.

Furthermore, in 2018, Spadini *et al.* [11] studied the relation between test smells and software quality. They found that test smells lead to more defect-prone production code. Knowing that ChatGPT and other AI code assistants, such as Github Copilot [12], are often relied on when writing unit tests, it is important to keep this result in mind as the code smells they generate could lead to more defects in the production code.

Finally, in 2020, Kaur [13] found that code smells may have opposit effects on software quality, meaning that some code smell can improve software qualities. This can be explained by code smells such as *Code Repetition*, which negatively impacts the maintainability of the code base, but can also lead to faster ande more performant code. This result is important to keep in mind, as it could mean that some code smells found in ChatGPT's answers could have a positive impact on the software quality of the code base they are integrated in.

## III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology to answer *RQ1* and *RQ2* is mostly the same and can be divided into 5 steps: (1) data collection, (2) code snippet extraction, (3) code snippets filtering, (4) code smell detection, and (5) code smell analysis. The main difference between the two research questions is the data collection step. For *RQ1*, the data used is the DevGPT dataset provided by the MSR2024 challenge [14]. For *RQ2*, a dataset was created using StackOverflow's API following the methodology described in section III-A.

All of the code and algorithms to extract and process data was written in Python. The code is available on GitHub <sup>1</sup>.

# A. Data Collection

1) DevGPT Dataset: All of the provided snapshots were used in the study, as well as all of the JSON files in each of the snapshots. The JSON files contain information about the

<sup>1</sup>https://github.com/ThomasTrepanier/log6307-final-project

conversations between the developers and the ChatGPT bot.

- 2) StackOverflow Dataset: In order to build a dataset of StackOverflow's code snippets, the following approach was used:
  - Fetching Questions from StackOverflow's API: The
    first step was to query StackOverflow's API to get a list
    of Python related questions asked between November
    23rd 2018 and November 23rd 2023. These dates were
    chosen as they represent a recent period of time that
    would correspond to the kind of code snippets found in
    the DevGPT dataset.

Furthermore, the questions had to have at least five answers with one of them being accepted, and having been viewed 1000 times. These criteria were chosen to ensure that the questions were relevant and that the code snippets found in the answers would be of relatively good quality.

2) Retrieving the answers to the questions: The second step was to fetch the answers to the questions retrived in step 1. To do so, the *question\_id* of each question was used to query StackOverflow's API and retrieve the answers associated with the question. The answers were then stored in a JSON file.

# B. Code Snippet Extraction

1) DevGPT Dataset: The DevGPT dataset contains conversations between developers and the ChatGPT bot. Each JSON file contains a data structure called ChatgptSharing, itself containing list Conversation. Each Conversation contains prompt given by the developer, the answer given by ChatGPT, and a data structure called ListOfCode containing the code snippets included in ChatGPT's answer.

This ListOfCode itself provides the code snippet emitted by ChatGPT as well as the programming language used in the snippet.

In order to build a dataset of all of the code snippets generated by ChatGPT, a program was written to parse all of the JSON files of all of the snapshots and extract all the ListOfCode data structures.

2) StackOverflow Dataset: The answers retrieved from StackOverflow's API consisted of HTML bodies containing HTML elements to delimit the code snippet. Therefore, the HTML bodies were parsed using the following Regex to extract the code snippet itself: .

The snippet was then parsed from its HTML format to a Unicode format and stored in a JSON file.

# C. Code Snippets Filtering

Not all code snippets extracted were used for the code smell detection, since not all of them were suited for the analysis. The definition of a *suitable* code snippet is as follows:

- 1) The code snippet must be in Python. This is because the code smell detection tool used, *PyScent*, is a Python tool.
- 2) The code snippet must be at least 5 lines long, and contain either a function (defined with the def keyword) or a class (defined with the class keyword). This is to filter out short answers or code snippets that were not actually Python code, such as JSON objects or library import statements.
- 3) The code snippet must be written entirely in english. This is because non-unicode characters are not supported by *PyScent*.
- 4) The code snippet must not contain any syntax errors. This is because *PyScent* is not able to detect code smells in code that does not compile. To remove the snippets with syntax errors, a program was written to delete export each code snippet to a .py file and try to compile it. If a SyntaxError or TypeError was thrown by Python, the file was assumed to be erronous and deleted from the dataset.

## D. Code Smell Detection

The last step was to detect code smells in the code snippets. To do so, the PyScent tool was used. PyScent is a Python tool that detects code smells in Python code and the source code can be found on Github  $^2$ .

PyScent detects the 11 following code smells:

- Long Method: A method with over 50 statements.
- Long Parameter List: A method that takes more than 5 arguments.
- Long Branches: An if statement with more than 12 branches.
- Too Many Attributes: A class that has more than 7 attributes.
- Too Many Methods: A class that has more than 20 public methods.
- Useless Try/Except Clauses: A try/except clause that catches too many exception (using the Exception or Standard Error), or whose catch clauses are empty.
- Shotgun Surgery: A Class that calls more than 5 methods from other classes.
- Class Cohesion: A class that has cohesion score lower 30%. The cohesion score is computed using the Cohesion project on Gitbut <sup>3</sup>.

- **Code Complexity:** A block that has a cyclomatic complexity score lower than 'C'. The cyclomatic complexity score is computed using the Radon project <sup>4</sup>.
- Long Lambda: A lambda function that has more than 60 characters.
- Long List Comprehension: A list comprehension that has more than 72 characters.

To analyse the code snippets, *PyScent* needs them to be in .py files under one directory. Therefore, a program was written to export all of the code snippets to .py files and run *PyScent* on them.

The results of the code smell detection are presented in section IV.

## IV. RESULTS

In this section, we first present the result of the code smell analysis performed by Pyscent in section IV-A, then, we compare the results between ChatGPT and Stack Overflow in IV-B.

## A. Code Smell Results

Following the code snippet analysis by Pyscent, a report was generated detailling the number of smells detected for each Code Smell described in section III. The report also presents the number of possible occurences of each Code Smell, so for example, there were 1247 methods detected in ChatGPT's code snippets, and 9 of them were detected as Long Parameter.

Furthermore, to be able to compare the results between ChatGPT and Stack Overflow, the percentage of each Code Smell was calculated. This percentage is the number of smells detected divided by the number of possible occurences of the smell.

The results of the analysis are presented in tables I and II.

TABLE I CHATGPT'S CODE SMELLS

Smell Name	Smell Count	# of poss. occ.	%
Long Methods	0	1247	0.000%
Long Parameter	9	1247	0.722%
Long Branches	1	N/A	N/A
Too Many Attribute	11	250	4.400%
Too Many Methods	0	250	0.000%
Useless try/catch	1	101	0.990%
Shotgun Surgery	175	250	70.000%
Low Class Cohesion	0	250	0.000%
Code Complexity (< C)	17	1497	1.136%
Long Lambda	0	43	0.000%
Long List Comprehension	16	59	27.119%

For ChatGPT, we can see that the most common code smell is Shotgun Surgery, with a 70% occurence rate. This is not surprising, since ChatGPT is not aware of the code context of the developer. Therefore, it will often generate code snippets that are not related to the context.

We can also observe that ChatGPT tends to write long list comprehension. This might be because the training data it was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://github.com/whyjay17/Pyscent

<sup>3</sup>https://github.com/mschwager/cohesion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://pypi.org/project/radon/

fed contained a lot of long list comprehension. However, it does not seem to generate long lambdas, which might mean that it is aware of this code smell.

Finally, we can see that ChatGPT does not generate long methods, which could mean it is also aware of this code smell, but it could also be because ChatGPT does not tend to write long code snippets in general, so the code smell cannot appear.

TABLE II STACK OVERFLOW'S CODE SMELLS

Smell Name	Smell Count	# of poss. occ.	%
Long Methods	5	3438	0.145%
Long Parameter	18	3438	0.524%
Long Branches	14	N/A	N/A
Too Many Attribute	4	592	0.676%
Too Many Methods	0	592	0.000%
Useless try/catch	19	204	9.314%
Shotgun Surgery	376	592	63.514%
Low Class Cohesion	14	592	2.365%
Code Complexity $(< C)$	41	4030	1.017%
Long Lambda	19	146	13.014%
Long List Comprehension	56	439	12.756%

From Table II, we can see that Stack Overflow's code snippets also tend to have a lot of Shotgun Surgery and long list comprehension, but not as much as ChatGPT. This is probably because Stack Overflow's code snippets are written by humans, who are more aware of those Code Smells.

However, Stack Overflow's code snippet present a higher occurence of useless try/catch and long lambdas compared to ChatGPT's. The first one might be because the developer does not put a lot of thought into the type of error handled by the try/catch to save time, and the second one could be because they want to impress the reader with a complex lambda.

Finally, it might be surprising to see that Stack Overflow's code snippets present Code Smells that are not present in any of ChatGPT's, such as long methods, low class cohesion and long lambdas, since we would expect developers to be more aware of Code Smells than ChatGPT.

# B. Code Smell Comparison

Table III presents the comparison between ChatGPT and Stack Overflow's code smell percentages where the difference is significative (>0.5%). The percentage difference is calculated by substracting the percentage of Stack Overflow's code smells from the percentage of ChatGPT's code smells.

Therefore, a positive value means that there are more code smells of this type in Stack Overflow's code snippets, whereas a negative value means that ChatGPT uses this code smell more often.

Finally, an overall percentage difference is computed using the sum of the percentage difference for all of the code smells.

From Table III, we can see that ChatGPT's code snippets present more code smells than Stack Overflow's, with an overall difference of -1.04%. This means that the code quality of ChatGPT is more or less similar to that of Stack Overflow.

TABLE III SO vs. ChatGPT code smells

Smell Name	SO (%)	ChatGPT %	difference (%)
Too Many Attribute	0.68%	4.40%	-3.72%
Useless try/catch	9.31%	0.99%	8.32%
Shotgun Surgery	63.51%	70.00%	-6.49%
Low Class Cohesion	2.37%	0%	2.37%
Long Lambda	13.01%	0%	13.01%
Long List Comprehension	12.76%	27.12%	-14.36%
Overall			-1.04%

## V. DISCUSSION

## A. RQ#1: Code Smells in ChatGPT's answers

It is not surprising to find that ChatGPT produces code smells, as any code base is bound to present some at some point. However, it is interesting to note that it does seem to have an understanding of some code smells and tries to avoid them, such as long methods, long lambdas and Too Many Methods. As discussed before, long methods may be absent because of the short nature of ChatGPT's answer, but as we saw with the Stack Overflow analysis, long methods can still appear in code snippets.

The absence of the Too Many Methods code smell could also be explained by the nature of ChatGPT's answers, as well as the nature of Python. ChatGPT's answers are short, and Python is not an object-oriented language. Therefore, the number of methods in ChatGPT's answers is bound to be low. It is interesting to note however that *Pyscent* found 11 occurences of the Too Many Attributes code smell, meaning that code smells related to OOP can still be found in ChatGPT's answers.

As for the absence of long lambdas, it is possible that the relatively low number of lambda functions in the dataset led to its absence. However, it is also possible that ChatGPT has a better understanding of the long lambda code smell than it does of other code smells, and therefore tries to avoid it.

It would be difficult nonetheless to identify if ChatGPT has a real understanding of Code Smells as it learned the concept on the internet, or if because the way it was trained to generate code did not include such code smells.

## B. RQ#2: SO vs. ChatGPT Code Smells

What could be surprising however is the finding that Chat-GPT does not seem to produce a significantly higher number of code smells than Stack Overflow.

In fact, ChatGPT's answer seem to be characterized by a higher amount of the Too Many Attribute, Shotgun Surgery and Long List Comprehension code smells, whereas Stack Overflow's answers are characterized by the presence of useless try/catch, low class cohesion and long lambdas.

What this finding really reminds us however, is that developers should always be careful when integrating exterior code in their projects and should adapt it to meet their standards and practices.

## VI. THREATS TO VALIDITY

This section presents the internal validity and external validity threats to this study.

# A. Internal Validity

1) Code Smells are in part related to OOP: Many of the code smells defined in the litterature as well as in this study are related to OOP. Code smells such as Too Many Attribute and Too Many Methods are directly linked to the usage of classes in a program. However, Python programs are not always written in an OOP fashion, compared to Java or C++ programs.

Furthermore, the short nature of code snippets mean that the code smells that are related to OOP might not appear as often as they would in a full program. This means that the analysis of code smells for such code snippets might not be the best way to measure code quality.

- 2) Multiple conversations containing the same code snippet: By manually going through some conversations between developers and ChatGPT, we realized that the AI sometimes provided the same code snippet in follow up answers. This means that code smells in a code snippet would be counted multiple times, but when integrating the code into a project, they would only appear once. This could therefore skew the results of the analysis.
- 3) Code smells can positively impact code quality: As presented in section II-C, the relationship between code smells and code quality is not always negative. Some code smells can actually improve software qualities. Therefore, the presence of code smells in ChatGPT's answers might not be entirely negative in terms of code quality. Further studies would have to be performed to see what qualities are impacted by the code smells found in this study.

# B. External Validity

- 1) Generalization of the findings: This study only looked at the code snippets generated by ChatGPT and found on Stack Overflow for problems in Python. Therefore, the results of this study might not be generalizable to other programming languages.
- 2) Only one source of comparison: This study also only compared the code smells found in the code snippets generated by ChatGPT to the code smells found in the code snippets found on Stack Overflow. Therefore, the results of this study might not be generalizable to other sources of code snippets, such as documentation or tutorials.
- 3) No-change assumption: This study assumes that the code snippets generated by ChatGPT are not modified by the developers before being integrated into their projects. However, this is likely not the case, as changes will often be required to integrate such code in projects. Developers might

therefore modify the code snippets and remove the code smell found, which would not impact the quality of their software.

# VII. CONCLUSION

This study aimed at bettering our understanding of the quality of the code generated by ChatGPT as part of the MSR2024 Mining Challenge. To do so, we used *Pyscent*, an automatic code smell detection tool for Python to analyse all the Python code snippets in the MSR2024 dataset. We then compared the code smells found in the code snippets generated by ChatGPT to the code smells found in the code snippets found on Python related questions on Stack Overflow.

We found that ChatGPT produces around 1% more code smells as the answers found on Stack Overflow. We also found that ChatGPT tended to produce more Too Many Attributes, Shotgun Surgery and Long List Comprehension code smells as Stack Overflow, but it did not produce a single Long Method or Long Lambda whereas some were found in the answers found on Stack Overflow.

Following the results of this study, developers should keep in mind that wherever they find code snippets to fix their problems, they should always be aware of the potential presence of code smells, as they seem to be present both in ChatGPT's answers as well as in online forums such as Stack Overflow.

However, future work would be required to see if this trend is present across other programming languages or online sources such as documentation or tutorials. Furthermore, future work could be done to see if developers adapt the code snippets provided by ChatGPT to remove code smells before integrating them into their projects.

## REFERENCES

- MSR 2024 Mining Challenge. https://2024.msrconf.org/track/msr-2024-mining-challenge.
- [2] Z. Chen, L. Chen, W. Ma and B. Xu, "Detecting Code Smells in Python Programs," 2016 International Conference on Software Analysis, Testing and Evolution (SATE), Kunming, China, 2016, pp. 18-23, doi: 10.1109/SATE.2016.10.
- [3] T. Wang, Y. Golubev, O. Smirnov, J. Li, T. Bryksin and I. Ahmed, "PyNose: A Test Smell Detector For Python," 2021 36th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE), Melbourne, Australia, 2021, pp. 593-605, doi: 10.1109/ASE51524.2021.9678615.
- [4] Whyjai17, "Pyscent". 2019. https://github.com/whyjay17/Pyscent
- [5] Pylint 2.3.1, https://pypi.org/project/pylint/2.3.1/
- [6] Pyflakes 2.1.1, https://pypi.org/project/pyflakes/2.1.1/
- [7] Radon 3.0.1, https://pypi.org/project/radon/3.0.1/
- [8] Cohesion 0.9.1, https://pypi.org/project/cohesion/0.9.1/
- [9] S. Meldrum, S. A. Licorish, C. A. Owen, B. T. Roy Savarimuthu, "Understanding stack overflow code quality: A recommendation of caution", Science of Computer Programming, Volume 199, 2020, 102516, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scico.2020.102516.
- [10] A. Yamashita and L. Moonen, "Do code smells reflect important maintainability aspects?," 2012 28th IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM), Trento, Italy, 2012, pp. 306-315, doi: 10.1109/ICSM.2012.6405287.
- [11] D. Spadini, F. Palomba, A. Zaidman, M. Bruntink and A. Bacchelli, "On the Relation of Test Smells to Software Code Quality," 2018 IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance and Evolution (ICSME), Madrid, Spain, 2018, pp. 1-12, doi: 10.1109/ICSME.2018.00010.
- [12] Github Copilot, https://github.com/features/copilot

- [13] Kaur, A. "A Systematic Literature Review on Empirical Analysis of the Relationship Between Code Smells and Software Quality Attributes," Arch Computat Methods Eng 27, 1267-1296 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-019-09348-6
  [14] NAIST-SE. "DevGPT: Studying Developer-ChatGPT Conversations". MSR-2024 Challenge. https://github.com/NAIST-SE/DevGPT