Kursus 02402/02323 Introducerende Statistik

Forelæsning 6: Sammenligning af to grupper

Klaus K. Andersen og Per Bruun Brockhoff

DTU Compute, Statistik og Dataanalyse Danmarks Tekniske Universitet 2800 Lyngby - Danmark

e-mail: klaus@cancer.dk

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Efteråret 2016

Motiverende eksempel - energiforbrug

Motiverende eksempel - energiforbrug

Forskel på energiforbrug?

I et ernæringsstudie ønsker man at undersøge om der er en forskel i energiforbrug for forskellige typer (moderat fysisk krævende) arbejde. In the study, the energy usage of 9 nurses from hospital A and 9 (other) nurses from hospital B have been measured. The measurements are given in the following table in mega Joule (MJ):

Chileman for hear beauted	Hospital A	Hospital B
Stikprøve fra hver hospital,	7.53	9.21
$n_1 = n_2 = 9$:	7.48	11.51
	8.08	12.79
	8.09	11.85
	10.15	9.97
	8.40	8.79
	10.88	9.69
	6.13	9.68
	7.90	9.19

Oversigt

- Motiverende eksempel energiforbrug
- Hypotesetest (Repetition)
- Two-sample t-test og p-værdi
- Konfidensinterval for forskellen
- Overlappende konfidensintervaller?
- Det parrede setup
- Checking the normality assumptions
- Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse two-sample
- The pooled t-test a possible alternative

DTU Compute

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Motiverende eksempel - energiforbrug

Eksempel - energiforbrug

Hypotesen om ingen forskel ønskes undersøgt:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

Sample means og standard deviations:

$$\hat{\mu}_A = \bar{x}_A = 8.293, (s_A = 1.428)$$

 $\hat{\mu}_B = \bar{x}_B = 10.298, (s_B = 1.398)$

NYT:p-værdi for forskel:

$$p-\mathsf{værdi} = 0.0083$$

(Beregnet under det scenarie, at H_0 er sand)

Er data i overenstemmelse med nulhyposen H_0 ?

Data: $\bar{x}_B - \bar{x}_A = 2.005$

Nulhypotese: H_0 : $\mu_B - \mu_A = 0$

NYT: Konfidensinterval for forskel:

 $2.005 \pm 1.412 = [0.59; 3.42]$

Definition af hypotesetest og signifikans (Repetition)

Definition 3.23. Hypotesetest:

We say that we carry out a hypothesis test when we decide against a null hypothesis or not using the data.

A null hypothesis is *rejected* if the *p*-value, calculated after the data has been observed, is less than some α , that is if the p-value $< \alpha$, where α is some pre-specifed (so-called) significance level. And if not, then the null hypothesis is said to be accepted.

Definition 3.28. Statistisk signifikans:

An effect is said to be (statistically) significant if the p-value is less than the significance level α .

(OFTE bruges $\alpha = 0.05$)

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Hypotesetest (Repetition)

Definition og fortolkning af p-værdien (Repetition)

p-værdien udtrykker evidence imod nulhypotesen – Tabel 3.1:

p < 0.001	Very strong evidence against H_0
$0.001 \le p < 0.01$	Strong evidence against H_0
$0.01 \le p < 0.05$	Some evidence against H_0
$0.05 \le p < 0.1$	Weak evidence against H_0
$p \ge 0.1$	Little or no evidence against H_{0}

Definition 3.21 af p-værdien:

The p-value is the probability of obtaining a test statistic that is at least as extreme as the test statistic that was actually observed. This probability is calculated under the assumption that the null hypothesis is true.

Metode 3.36. Steps ved hypotesetests - et overblik (Repetition)

Helt generelt består et hypotesetest af følgende trin:

- Formulate the hypotheses and choose the level of significance α (choose the "risk-level")
- 2 Calculate, using the data, the value of the test statistic
- 3 Calculate the p-value using the test statistic and the relevant sampling distribution, and compare the p-value and the significance level α and make a conclusion
- 4 (Alternatively, make a conclusion based on the relevant critical value(s))

us KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Two-sample t-test og p-værdi

Metode 3.58: Two-sample *t*-test

beregning af teststørrelsen

When considering the null hypothesis about the difference between the means of two independent samples:

$$\delta = \mu_2 - \mu_1$$

$$H_0: \delta = \delta_0$$

the (Welch) two-sample t-test statistic is

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \delta_0}{\sqrt{s_1^2/n_1 + s_2^2/n_2}}$$

Theorem 3.59: Fordelingen af (Welch) t-teststørrelsen

Welch t-teststørrelsen er t-fordelt

The (Welch) two-sample statistic seen as a random variable:

$$T = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - \delta_0}{\sqrt{S_1^2/n_1 + S_2^2/n_2}}$$

approximately, under the null hypothesis, follows a t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom, where

$$\nu = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{(s_1^2/n_1)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{n_2 - 1}}.$$

if the two population distributions are normal or if the two sample sizes are large enough.

s KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Two-sample t-test og p-værdi

Metode 3.61: Det ensidede two-sample t-test

Et level α ensidet "less" test er

- **1** Compute $t_{\rm obs}$ and ν as given above.
- 2 Compute the evidence against the *null hypothesis* $H_0: \mu_1 \mu_2 \geq \delta$ vs. the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \delta$ by the

$$p$$
-value = $P(T < t_{\sf obs})$

where the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom is used.

- **3** If p-value $< \alpha$: We reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 .
- The rejection/acceptance conclusion could alternatively, but equivalently, be made based on the critical value t_{α} : If $t_{\rm obs} < t_{\alpha}$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 .

Metode 3.60: Two-sample *t*-test

Et level α test er

- **1** Compute $t_{\rm obs}$ and ν as given above.
- 2 Compute the evidence against the *null hypothesis* $H_0: \mu_1 \mu_2 = \delta$ vs. the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq \delta$ by the

$$p$$
-value = $2 \cdot P(T > |t_{obs}|)$

where the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom is used.

- **1** If p-value $< \alpha$: We reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 .
- The rejection/acceptance conclusion could alternatively, but equivalently, be made based on the critical value(s) $\pm t_{1-\alpha/2}$: If $|t_{obs}| > t_{1-\alpha/2}$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 .

KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Two-sample t-test og p-værdi

Metode 3.62: Det ensidede two-sample *t*-test

Et level α ensidet "greater" test er

- **1** Compute t_{obs} and ν as given above.
- 2 Compute the evidence against the *null hypothesis* $H_0: \mu_1 \mu_2 < \delta$ vs. the alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta$ by the

$$p$$
-value = $P(T > t_{obs})$

where the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom is used.

- 3 If p-value $< \alpha$: We reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 .
- The rejection/acceptance conclusion could alternatively, but equivalently, be made based on the critical value $t_{1-\alpha}$: If $t_{\text{obs}} > t_{1-\alpha}$ we reject H_0 , otherwise we accept H_0 .

Efteråret 2016

^aWe are often interested in the test where $\delta = 0$

Eksempel - energiforbrug

Hypotesen om ingen forskel ønskes undersøgt:

$$H_0: \ \delta = \mu_B - \mu_A = 0$$

versus the non-directional(= two-sided) alternative:

$$H_0: \delta = \mu_B - \mu_A \neq 0$$

Først beregninger af $t_{\rm obs}$ og ν :

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{10.298 - 8.293}{\sqrt{2.0394/9 + 1.954/9}} = 3.01$$

and

$$\nu = \frac{\left(\frac{2.0394}{9} + \frac{1.954}{9}\right)^2}{\frac{(2.0394/9)^2}{8} + \frac{(1.954/9)^2}{8}} = 15.99$$

KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Konfidensinterval for forskellen

Metode 3.69: Konfidensinterval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

Konfidensintervallet for middelforskelen bliver:

For two samples x_1, \ldots, x_n and y_1, \ldots, y_n the $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is given by

$$\bar{x} - \bar{y} \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$$

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is the $100(1-\alpha/2)\%$ -quantile from the t-distribution with ν degrees of freedom given from equation (3.26) (as above).

Eksempel - energiforbrug

Dernæst findes p-værdien:

$$p$$
-value = $2 \cdot P(T > |t_{obs}|) = 2P(T > 3.01) = 2 \cdot 0.00415 = 0.0083$

1 - pt(3.01, df = 15.99)

[1] 0.0041545

Vurder evidencen (Tabel 3.1):

Der er stærk evidence imod nulhypotesen.

Konkluder baseret på $\alpha = 0.05$:

Vi forkaster nulhypotesen, der er signifikant forskel på grupperne sygeplejersker på Hospital B kan siges at have et større (middel)energiforbrug end sygeplejesker på Hospital A.


Klaus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Konfidensinterval for forskellen

Konfidensinterval og hypotesetest (Repetition)

Acceptområdet er de mulige værdier for μ som ikke ligger for langt væk fra data:



20 / 45

Eksempel - energiforbrug - det hele i R:

Let us find the 95% confidence interval for $\mu_B - \mu_A$. Since the relevant t-quantile is, using $\nu = 15.99$,

$$t_{0.975} = 2.120$$

the confidence interval becomes:

$$10.298 - 8.293 \pm 2.120 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2.0394}{9} + \frac{1.954}{9}}$$

which then gives the result as also seen above:

us KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6


Efteråret 2016 21 / 45

Overlappende konfidensintervaller?

Eksempel - energiforbrug - Præsentation af resultat

Barplot med error bars ses ofte

Et grupperet barplot med nogle "error bars" - herunder er 95%-konfidensintervallerne for hver gruppe vist:



Efteråret 2016

Eksempel - energiforbrug - det hele i R:

```
xA=c(7.53, 7.48, 8.08, 8.09, 10.15, 8.4, 10.88, 6.13, 7.9)
xB=c(9.21, 11.51, 12.79, 11.85, 9.97, 8.79, 9.69, 9.68, 9.19)
t.test(xB, xA)
##
   Welch Two Sample t-test
## data: xB and xA
## t = 3.0091, df = 15.993, p-value = 0.008323
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.59228 3.41661
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
```

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

22 / 45

Overlappende konfidensintervaller?

Vær varsom med at bruge "overlappende konfidensintervaller"

Man bruger faktisk så ikke den rigtige variation til at vurdere forskellen:

Stand. dev. of $(\bar{X}_A - \bar{X}_B) \neq \text{Stand. dev. of } \bar{X}_A + \text{Stand. dev. of } \bar{X}_B$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}_A - \bar{X}_B) = \operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}_A) + \operatorname{Var}(\bar{X}_B)$$

Antag at de to standard-errors er 3 og 4: Summen er7, men $\sqrt{3^2+4^2}=5$

Det korrekte forhold mellem de to er således:

Stand. dev. of $(\bar{X}_A - \bar{X}_B) <$ Stand. dev. of $\bar{X}_A +$ Stand. dev. of \bar{X}_B

Vær varsom med at bruge "overlappende konfidensintervaller"

Remark 3.73. Regel for brug af "overlappende konfidensintervaller":

When two CIs do NOT overlap: The two groups are significantly different

When two CIs DO overlap: We do not know what the conclusion is

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016 26 / 45

Det parrede setup

Parret setup og analyse = one-sample analyse

```
x1=c(.7,-1.6,-.2,-1.2,-1,3.4,3.7,.8,0,2)
x2=c(1.9,.8,1.1,.1,-.1,4.4,5.5,1.6,4.6,3.4)
dif=x2-x1
t.test(dif)
    One Sample t-test
## data: dif
## t = 4.6716, df = 9, p-value = 0.001166
## alternative hypothesis: true mean is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.86133 2.47867
## sample estimates:
## mean of x
    1.67
```

Motiverende eksempel - sovemedicin

Forskel på sovemedicin?

I et studie er man interesseret i at sammenligne 2 sovemidler A og B. For 10 testpersoner har man fået følgende resultater, der er givet i forlænget søvntid (i timer) (Forskellen på effekten af de to midler er angivet):

	person	A	B	D = B - A
Stikprøve, $n = 10$:	1	+0.7	+1.9	+1.2
	2	-1.6	+0.8	+2.4
	3	-0.2	+1.1	+1.3
	4	-1.2	+0.1	+1.3
	5	-1.0	-0.1	+0.9
	6	+3.4	+4.4	+1.0
	7	+3.7	+5.5	+1.8
	8	+0.8	+1.6	+0.8
	9	0.0	+4.6	+4.6
	10	+2.0	+3.4	+1.4

us KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Det parrede setup

Parret setup og analyse = one-sample analyse

```
t.test(x2, x1, paired=TRUE)
   Paired t-test
## data: x2 and x1
## t = 4.6716, df = 9, p-value = 0.001166
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.86133 2.47867
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
```

Efteråret 2016

Parret versus independent eksperiment

Completely Randomized (independent samples)

20 patients are used and completely at random allocated to one of the two treatments (but usually making sure to have 10 patients in each group). So: different persons in the different groups.

Paired (dependent samples)


10 patients are used, and each of them tests both of the treatments. Usually this will involve some time in between treatments to make sure that it becomes meaningful, and also one would typically make sure that some patients do A before B and others B before A. (and doing this allocation at random). So: the same persons in the different groups.

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Checking the normality assumptions

Eksempel - Q-Q plot inden for hver stikprøve:



Eksempel - Sovemedicin - FORKERT analyse


```
t.test(x1,x2)
   Welch Two Sample t-test
## data: x1 and x2
## t = -1.9334, df = 17.9, p-value = 0.06916
  alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
   -3.48539 0.14539
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
        0.66
                  2.33
```

DTU Compute

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Checking the normality assumptions


Eksempel - Sammenligning med simulerede, A



DTU Compute

Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse - two-sample

Eksempel - Sammenligning med simulerede, B



DTU Compute

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse - two-sample

Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse - two-sample

Finding the sample size for detecting a group difference of 2 with $\sigma = 1$ and power= 0.9:

```
power.t.test(power = 0.90, delta = 2, sd = 1, sig.level = 0.05)
        Two-sample t test power calculation
                 n = 6.3868
             delta = 2
                sd = 1
         sig.level = 0.05
##
             power = 0.9
##
       alternative = two.sided
## NOTE: n is number in *each* group
```

Efteråret 2016

Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse - two-sample

Finding the power of detecting a group difference of 2 with $\sigma = 1$ for n = 10:

```
power.t.test(n = 10, delta = 2, sd = 1, sig.level = 0.05)
##
##
        Two-sample t test power calculation
                 n = 10
             delta = 2
                sd = 1
         sig.level = 0.05
##
             power = 0.98818
##
       alternative = two.sided
## NOTE: n is number in *each* group
```

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse - two-sample

Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse - two-sample

Finding the detectable effect size (delta) with $\sigma = 1$, n = 10 and power= 0.9:

```
power.t.test(power = 0.90, n = 10, sd = 1, sig.level = 0.05)
##
        Two-sample t test power calculation
##
                 n = 10
             delta = 1.5337
                sd = 1
         sig.level = 0.05
             power = 0.9
##
       alternative = two.sided
## NOTE: n is number in *each* group
```

Metode 3.64: The pooled two-sample *t*-test statistic

Beregning af den poolede teststørrelse (og 3.63)

When considering the null hypothesis about the difference between the means of two independent samples:

$$\delta = \mu_2 - \mu_1$$

$$H_0: \delta = \delta_0$$

the pooled two-sample t-test statistic is

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \delta_0}{\sqrt{s_p^2/n_1 + s_p^2/n_2}}$$

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

The pooled t-test - a possible alternative

Vi bruger altid "Welch' versionen

Nogenlunde (idiot)sikkert at bruge Welch-versionen altid

- if $s_1^2 = s_2^2$ the Welch and the Pooled test statistics are the same.
- Only when the two variances become really different the two test-statistics may differ in any important way, and if this is the case, we would not tend to favour the pooled version, since the assumption of equal variances appears questionable then.
- Only for cases with a small sample sizes in at least one of the two groups the pooled approach may provide slightly higher power if you believe in the equal variance assumption. And for these cases the Welch approach is then a somewhat cautious approach.

Theorem 3.65: Fordelingen af den poolede test-størrelse

er en t-fordeling

The pooled two-sample statistic seen as a random variable:

$$T = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - \delta_0}{\sqrt{S_p^2/n_1 + S_p^2/n_2}} \tag{1}$$

follows, under the null hypothesis and under the assumption that $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$, a t-distribution with $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ degrees of freedom if the two population distributions are normal.

aus KA og Per BB (klaus@cancer.dk) Introduktion til Statistik, Forelæsning 6

Efteråret 2016

43 / 45

The pooled t-test - a possible alternative

Oversigt

- Motiverende eksempel energiforbrug
- Hypotesetest (Repetition)
- Two-sample t-test og p-værdi
- Konfidensinterval for forskellen
- Overlappende konfidensintervaller?
- Det parrede setup
- Checking the normality assumptions
- Styrke og stikprøvestørrelse two-sample
- The pooled t-test a possible alternative