Course 02402 Introduction to Statistics Lecture 11:

Twoway Analysis of Variance, ANOVA

Per Bruun Brockhoff

DTU Compute Danish Technical University 2800 Lyngby – Denmark e-mail: perbb@dtu.dk

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Computation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Mypothesis test (F-test)
- Ost hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- 3 Computation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- 4 Hypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

TV set development at Bang & Olufsen

Sound and image quality is measured by th human perceptual instrument:



We developed a tool that is used by B&O to ANOVA (among other things) PanelCheck (Show Panelcheck programme with TV data)

Bang & Olufsen data in R:

```
## # Getting the Bang and Olufsen data from the lmerTest-package:
library(lmerTest) # (Developed by us)
data(TVbo)
# Each of 8 assessors scored each of 12 combinations 2 times
# Let's look at only a single picture and one of the two reps:
# And let us look at the sharpness
TVbosubset <- subset(TVbo, Picture==1 & Repeat==1)[,c(1, 2, 9)]
sharp <- matrix(TVbosubset$Sharpness, nrow=8, byrow=T)</pre>
colnames(sharp) <- c("TV3", "TV2", "TV1")</pre>
rownames(sharp) <- c("Person 1", "Person 2", "Person 3",</pre>
                      "Person 4", "Person 5", "Person 6",
                      "Person 7", "Person 8")
library(xtable)
xtable(sharp)
```

Bang & Olufsen data in R:

	TV3	TV2	TV1
Person 1	9.30	4.70	6.60
Person 2	10.20	7.00	8.80
Person 3	11.50	9.50	8.00
Person 4	11.90	6.60	8.20
Person 5	10.70	4.20	5.40
Person 6	10.90	9.10	7.10
Person 7	8.50	5.00	6.30
Person 8	12.60	8.90	10.70

	Group A	Group B	Group C
Block 1	2.8	5.5	5.8
Block 2	3.6	6.3	8.3
Block 3	3.4	6.1	6.9
Block 4	2.3	5.7	6.1

- hence three Groups on four blocks
- or three treatments on four persons
- or three varieties on four fields (hence blocks)
- or similarly

	Group A	Group B	Group C
Block 1	2.8	5.5	5.8
Block 2	3.6	6.3	8.3
Block 3	3.4	6.1	6.9
Block 4	2.3	5.7	6.1

- hence three Groups on four blocks
- or three treatments on four persons
- or three varieties on four fields (hence blocks)
- or similarly
- oneway vs. twoway ANOVA
- Completely randomized design vs. Randomized block design

	Group A	Group B	Group C
Block 1	2.8	5.5	5.8
Block 2	3.6	6.3	8.3
Block 3	3.4	6.1	6.9
Block 4	2.3	5.7	6.1

	Group A	Group B	Group C
Block 1	2.8	5.5	5.8
Block 2	3.6	6.3	8.3
Block 3	3.4	6.1	6.9
Block 4	2.3	5.7	6.1

- Question: Is there a significant difference (in means) between the groups A, B and C?
- ANOVA can be used if the observations in each group are (approximately) normally distributed OR if n_i s are large enough (CLT)

The toy data in R

```
## Input data and plot
## Observations
v \leftarrow c(2.8, 3.6, 3.4, 2.3,
       5.5, 6.3, 6.1, 5.7,
       5.8, 8.3, 6.9, 6.1)
## treatments (Groups, varieties)
treatm <- factor(c(1, 1, 1, 1,
                    2. 2. 2. 2.
                    3, 3, 3, 3))
## blocks (persons, fields)
block <- factor(c(1, 2, 3, 4,
                   1, 2, 3, 4,
                   1, 2, 3, 4))
## for later formulas
(k <- length(unique(treatm)))</pre>
(1 <- length(unique(block)))
## Plots
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
## Plot histogramms by treatments
plot(treatm, y, xlab="Treatments", ylab="y")
## Plot histogrammer by blocks
plot(block, y, xlab="Blocks", ylab="y")
```

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Omputation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Mypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

Twoway ANOVA, model

Express a model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

where the deviations

$$\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$
 and i.i.d.

- μ is the overall mean
- α_i is the effect of treatment i
- β_i is the level for Block i
- there are k treatments and l blocks
- j indicates the observations in the groups, from 1 to n_i for treatment i

Estimates of parameters in the model

ullet We can compute the estimates of the parameters $(\hat{\mu}$ and \hat{lpha}_i , and $\hat{eta}_j)$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{k \cdot l} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} y_{ij}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_i = \left(\frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} y_{ij}\right) - \hat{\mu}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_j = \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{ij}\right) - \hat{\mu}$$

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Computation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Mypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

Twoway ANOVA, decomposition and the ANOVA table, Theorem 8.20

With the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

• the total variation in the data can be decomposed:

$$SST = SS(Tr) + SS(Bl) + SSE$$

Twoway ANOVA, decomposition and the ANOVA table, Theorem 8.20

With the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

• the total variation in the data can be decomposed:

$$SST = SS(Tr) + SS(Bl) + SSE$$

- 'twoway' refers to the fact that there are two factors in the experiment(Two "ways" of the data table)
- The method is called <u>analysis</u> of <u>variance</u>, because the testing is carried out by comparing certain variances.

Formulas for sums of squares

Total sum of squares ("the total variance") (same as for oneway)

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} (y_{ij} - \hat{\mu})^2$$

Formulas for sums of squares

Total sum of squares ("the total variance") (same as for oneway)

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} (y_{ij} - \hat{\mu})^2$$

 treatment sum of squares ("Variance explained by the treatment part of the model")

$$SS(Tr) = l \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{\alpha}_i^2$$

Formulas for sums of squares

 Sum of squares for blocks (persons) ("Variance explained by the block part of the model")

$$SS(Bl) = k \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{l} \hat{\beta}_{j}^{2}$$

 The sum of squares for the residuals ("residual variance after model fit")

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} (y_{ij} - \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_j - \hat{\mu})^2$$

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Omputation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- 4 Hypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

Twoway ANOVA: hypothesis of no effect of treatment, Theorem 8.22

ullet We want to compare (more than 2) means $\mu + lpha_i$ in the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

• So we can express the hypothesis:

 $H_{0.Tr}$: $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i

 $H_{1 Tr}: \alpha_i \neq 0$ for at least one i

Twoway ANOVA: hypothesis of no effect of treatment, Theorem 8.22

ullet We want to compare (more than 2) means $\mu + lpha_i$ in the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

• So we can express the hypothesis:

$$H_{0,Tr}$$
: $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i

$$H_{1,Tr}$$
: $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for at least one i

• Under $H_{0,Tr}$ the following is true:

$$F_{Tr} = \frac{SS(Tr)/(k-1)}{SSE/((k-1)(l-1))}$$

is F-distributed with k-1 and (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom

Twoway ANOVA: hypothesis of no effect of persons (blocks), Theorem 8.22

ullet We want to compare (more than 2) means $\mu+eta_i$ in the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

• So we can express the hypothesis

 $H_{0.Bl}$: $\beta_i = 0$ for all i

 $H_{1,Bl}: \beta_i \neq 0$ for at least one i

Twoway ANOVA: hypothesis of no effect of persons (blocks), Theorem 8.22

ullet We want to compare (more than 2) means $\mu+eta_i$ in the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

So we can express the hypothesis

$$H_{0,Bl}: \quad \beta_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i$$

 $H_{1,Bl}: \quad \beta_i \neq 0 \quad \text{for at least one } i$

• Under $H_{0.Bl}$ the following is true:

$$F_{Bl} = \frac{SS(Bl)/(l-1)}{SSE/((k-1)(l-1))}$$

follows an F-distribution with l-1 and (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom

F-distribution and treatments hypothesis

```
####################################
## Plot the F distribution and see the critical value for treatments
## Remember, this is "under HO" (that is we compute as if HO is true):
## Sequence for plot
xseq \leftarrow seq(0, 10, by=0.1)
## Plot the density of the F distribution
plot(xseq, df(xseq, df1=k-1, df2=(k-1)*(1-1)), type="1")
##The critical value for significance level 5 %
cr \leftarrow qf(0.95, df1=k-1, df2=(k-1)*(1-1))
## Mark it in the plot
abline(v=cr, col="red")
## The value of the test statistic
  (Ftr \leftarrow (SSTr/(k-1)) / (SSE/((k-1)*(1-1))))
## The p-value hence is:
(1 - pf(Ftr, df1=k-1, df2=(k-1)*(1-1)))
```

F-distribution and blocks hypothesis

```
####################################
## Plot the F distribution and see the critical value
## Remember, this is "under HO" (that is we compute as if HO is true):
## Sequence for plot
xseq \leftarrow seq(0, 10, by=0.1)
## Plot the density of the F distribution
plot(xseq, df(xseq, df1=1-1, df2=(k-1)*(1-1)), type="1")
##The critical value for significance level 5 %
cr \leftarrow qf(0.95, df1=1-1, df2=(k-1)*(1-1))
## Mark it in the plot
abline(v=cr, col="red")
## The value of the test statistic
(Fbl <- (SSB1/(1-1)) / (SSE/((k-1)*(1-1))))
## The p-value hence is:
(1 - pf(Fbl, df1=l-1, df2=(k-1)*(l-1)))
```

The twoway ANOVA table

Source of	Deg. of	Sums of	Mean sum of	Test-	<i>p</i> -
variation	freedom	squares	squares	statistic F	value
Treatment	k-1	SS(Tr)	$MS(Tr) = \frac{SS(Tr)}{k-1}$	$F_{\mathrm{Tr}} = \frac{MS(Tr)}{MSE}$	$P(F > F_{\mathrm{Tr}})$
Block	l-1	SS(Bl)	$MS(Bl) = \frac{SS(Bl)}{l-1}$	$F_{\mathrm{Bl}} = \frac{MS(Bl)}{MSE}$	$P(F > F_{\rm Bl})$
Residual	(k-1)(l-1)	SSE	$MSE = \frac{SSE}{(k-1)(l-1)}$		
Total	n-1	SST			

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Omputation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Mypothesis test (F-test)
- Ost hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

Post hoc confidence interval

- As for oneway (Use methods 8.9 and 8.10) substitute (n-k) degrees of freedom with (k-1)(l-1) (and use MSE from twoway).
- Can be done with either treatments or blocks

Post hoc confidence interval

- As for oneway (Use methods 8.9 and 8.10) substitute (n-k) degrees of freedom with (k-1)(l-1) (and use MSE from twoway).
- Can be done with either treatments or blocks
- A single pre-planned confidence interval for the difference between treatment i and j is found as:

$$\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n-k} \left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)} \tag{1}$$

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is based on the t-distribution with (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom.

Post hoc confidence interval

- As for oneway (Use methods 8.9 and 8.10) substitute (n-k) degrees of freedom with (k-1)(l-1) (and use MSE from twoway).
- Can be done with either treatments or blocks
- A single pre-planned confidence interval for the difference between treatment i and j is found as:

$$\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j \pm t_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n-k} \left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)} \tag{1}$$

where $t_{1-\alpha/2}$ is based on the t-distribution with (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom.

• If all M = k(k-1)/2 combinations of pairwise confidence intervals are found use the formula M times but each time with $\alpha_{\mathsf{Bonferroni}} = \alpha/M$.

Post hoc pairwise hypothesis test

ullet A single pre-planned level lpha hypothesis tests:

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j, \ H_1: \mu_i \neq \mu_j$$

is carried out as:

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j}{\sqrt{MSE\left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)}} \tag{2}$$

and:

$$p$$
 – value = $2P(t > |t_{obs}|)$

where the *t*-distribution with (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom is used.

Post hoc pairwise hypothesis test

ullet A single pre-planned level lpha hypothesis tests:

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j, H_1: \mu_i \neq \mu_j$$

is carried out as:

$$t_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j}{\sqrt{MSE\left(\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}\right)}} \tag{2}$$

and:

$$p$$
 – value = $2P(t > |t_{obs}|)$

where the t-distribution with (k-1)(l-1) degrees of freedom is used.

• If all M = k(k-1)/2 combinations of pairwise confidence intervals are found use the formula M times but each time with $\alpha_{\mathsf{Bonferroni}} = \alpha/M$.

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Omputation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Mypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

Variance homogeneity

Look at box-plot to check whether the variability seems different for the groups

Normal assumption

Look at qq-normal plot

```
## Check the assumption of normality of residuals
## qq-normal plot of residuals
qqnorm(fit$residuals)
qqline(fit$residuals)
## Or with a Wally plot
require (MESS)
qqwrap <- function(x, y, ...) {qqnorm(y, main="",...);</pre>
 qqline(y)}
## Can we see a deviating gg-norm plot?
wallyplot(fit$residuals, FUN = qqwrap)
```

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Omputation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Mypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book

A complete example - from the book



Example 8.26 Car tires

In a study of 3 different types of tires ("treatment") effect on the fuel economy, drives of 1000 km in 4 different cars ("blocks") were carried out. The results are listed in the following table in km/l.

	Car 1	Car 2	Car 3	Car 4	Mean
Tire 1	22.5	24.3	24.9	22.4	22.525
Tire 2	21.5	21.3	23.9	18.4	21.275
Tire 3	22.2	21.9	21.7	17.9	20.925
Mean	21.400	22.167	23.167	19.567	21.575

Let us analyse these data with a two-way ANOVA model, but first some explorative plotting:

Callastina the data in a data forms

- Intro: Small example and TV-data from B&O
- Model
- Computation decomposition and the ANOVA table
- Hypothesis test (F-test)
- Post hoc analysis
- Model control
- A complete example from the book