

# Number Theory & Cryptography

Written by HADIOUCHE Azouaou.

## Disclaimer

This document contains the lectures given by Dr. ZAIMI.

Some contents were added as remainders and extras for the students.  
To separate the contents of the course to actual additions or out of context information, a black band will be added by its side like the globing this comment.

### Contents

<b>Chapter:</b> Group Actions .....	2
-------------------------------------	---

# Chapter 3

# Group Actions

**Definition 3.1 (Group Actions):** Let  $G$  be a group and  $X \neq \emptyset$ , we say that  $G$  acts (operates) on  $X$  if there is a homomorphism  $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$  the group of permutations of  $x$ .

To avoid complicated notation, we denote  $\varphi(g)(x) = \varphi_g(x)$  as  $g \cdot x$ . Notice in this case  $e \cdot x = \varphi(e)(x) = \text{Id}(x) = x$  and  $(g_1 g_2) \cdot x = \varphi(g_1 g_2)(x) = \varphi(g_1) \circ \varphi(g_2)(x) = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x)$ .

**Definition 3.2 (Group Actions):** Let  $G$  be a group and  $X \neq \emptyset$  if there is a map  $G \times X \rightarrow X, (g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$  where it satisfies the following two identities for any  $x \in X, g_1, g_2 \in G, e \cdot x = x$  and  $g_1 g_2 \cdot x = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x)$  then we say that  $G$  acts on  $X$ .

The two previous definitions are equivalent.

**Definition 3.3 (Orbit/Stabilizer):** Let  $G$  be a group acting on  $X$  ( $X$  is a  $G$ -set) and let  $x \in X$ , we define the following

1. The orbit of  $x$  as  $O_x = \{g \cdot x \mid g \in G\}$ .
2. The stabilizer of  $x$  as  $S_x = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot x = x\}$ .

**Proposition 3.4:**  $S_x$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . □

*Proof.* By definition 2, we have  $e \cdot x = x$  thus  $e \in S_x$ . Let  $g_1, g_2 \in S_x$  then  $g_1 \cdot x = x$  and  $g_2 \cdot x = x$ , so  $g_1 g_2 \cdot x = g_1(g_2 \cdot x) = g_1 x = x$  so  $g_1 g_2 \in S_x$ . Also,  $g_1 \cdot x = x \Rightarrow x = e \cdot x = g_1^{-1}(g_1 \cdot x) = g_1^{-1} \cdot x$  thus  $g_1^{-1} \in S_x$ . □

**Proposition 3.5:** The relation defined on  $X$  by  $x \mathcal{R} x' \Leftrightarrow x' \in O_x$  is an equivalence relation and the class of  $x$  is  $O_x$ .

*Proof.* Trivial. □

**Definition 3.6:**

1. If  $O_x = X$  for some  $x \in X$ , then we say that  $G$  acts transitively on  $X$ .
2. If  $O_x = \{x\}$ , then  $x$  is said to be stable or fixed, in this case  $S_x = G$ .

**Proposition 3.7:** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set and let  $x, y$  in the same orbit, then  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  are conjugates.

*Proof.* Let  $y \in O_x$ , then  $y = gx$  for some  $g \in G$ . Let  $h \in S_x$ , then  $hx = x$ ,  $(ghg^{-1})(y) = ghx = gx = y$  therefore  $gS_xg^{-1} \subseteq S_y$  in a similar way we get  $g^{-1}S_yg \subseteq S_x$  by multiplying both sides by  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$  we get  $S_y \subseteq gS_xg^{-1}$  which gives that  $S_y = gS_xg^{-1}$ . □

**Proposition 3.8:** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set, then there is a bijection between the set of left cosets of  $S_x$  in  $G$  and  $O_x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of left cosets. Consider the function  $\varphi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow O_x, gS_x \mapsto gx$ .  $\varphi$  is well defined and injective since  $gS_x = hS_x \Leftrightarrow h^{-1}g \in S_x \Leftrightarrow h^{-1}gx = x \Leftrightarrow gx = hx \Leftrightarrow \varphi(gS_x) = \varphi(hS_x)$  and it is surjective by definition. □

**Corollary 3.9:** Let  $G$  finite and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set then  $\# G = \# O_x \cdot \# S_x$ .

*Proof.* By Lagrange theorem, we have that  $\# G = \# S_x[G : S_x]$  and by the previous proposition we have  $\# G = \# S_x[G : S_x] = \# S_x \# O_x$ . □

**Corollary 3.10 (Class Equation):** Let  $G$  be finite and let  $O_{x_1}, O_{x_2}, \dots, O_{x_n}$  be the distinct orbits of  $G$ -set  $X$  then  $X$  is finite and  $\# X = \sum_{i=1}^n \# O_{x_i}$ .

**Definition 3.11 (p-Group):** Let  $G$  be a group of order  $p^n$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G$  is said to be a  $p$ -group.

A subgroup of a  $p$ -group is also a  $p$ -group by Lagrange's theorem.

**Corollary 3.12 (Burnside):** The center of a  $p$ -group is a  $p$ -group.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group,  $\mathcal{Z}(G)$  the center of  $G$  and consider the action on  $G$  defined by  $G \times G \rightarrow G, (g, x) \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ . Let  $O_{x_1}, \dots, O_{x_k}$  be the distinct orbits for this action. Notice that  $\forall x \in X, O_x = \{x\} \Leftrightarrow \forall g \in G, gxg^{-1} = x \Leftrightarrow \forall g \in G, gx = xg \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ . Suppose that  $\# G = p^n$ , then is either  $\# O_{x_i} = 1$  or  $\# O_{x_i} = p^{n_i}$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{Z}(G) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ . Thus by the class equation

$$\begin{aligned}\# G &= \# X = \sum_{i=1}^s \# O_{x_i} + \sum_{i=s+1}^n \# O_{x_i} \\ p^n &= s + (p^{n_{s+1}} + \dots + p^{n_k}) \\ s &= p^n - (p^{n_{s+1}} + \dots + p^{n_k})\end{aligned}$$

$s \geq 1$  given that  $e \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  and since  $p$  divides the RHS then it divides  $s$  so the center is a  $p$ -group.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13 (Cauchy):** Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $p$  a prime number that divides  $\# G$ , then there is an element in  $G$  of order  $p$ .

*Proof.* We have already proven this result for the case where  $G$  is abelian. Suppose that  $G$  is non-abelian. Consider  $\# G = n$ . For  $n = 1$ , the result is trivial. Suppose that the result is true for all non-abelian groups of order less than  $n$ . If there is a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  whose order is divisible by  $p$ , we get the result from the induction hypothesis. So suppose that  $\# H \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  with  $H \neq G$ . Consider the conjugation action  $g \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$ . We have that  $O_x = \{\gg\} \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$ . Let  $O_{x_1}, \dots, O_{x_r}$  be the orbits of the action where  $x_1, \dots, x_s$  are

in  $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ . Then by class equation we have  $\# G = s + \sum_{i=s+1}^r O_{x_i}$ , on the other hand  $\# O_{x_i} = \# G / \# S_{x_i}$ , we have that  $\# O_{x_i} > 1$  for  $x_i \notin \mathcal{Z}(G)$ ,  $p$  does not divide  $\# S_{x_i}$  and it divides  $\# G$  thus it divides  $\# O_{x_i}$ , it follows that  $p$  divides  $s$  and thus  $p \mid \# \mathcal{Z}(G)$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$