

Logical Module

Adam InTae Gerard¹

0.0 Introduction

1.0 Definitions

We assume a *logical module* or grammatical fragment suitable for first and higher-order implementations. More precisely, I define a *module* m per the following:

- (1) A negation operator $\{\neg\}$.
- (2) A set of conceptual variables $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$.
- (3) A belief operator $\{\bullet\}$.
- (4) A set of temporal operators $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$.
- (5) A time indexing operator $\{@\}$.
- (6) The following grammatical rules:

(where wff is any well-formed formula in the language of implementation)

- (i) $\neg wff$ is a well-formed formula.
- (iia) $\bullet(\lambda a, \lambda b)$ is a well-formed formula
- (iib) $\bullet(\lambda a)$ is a well-formed formula
- (iic) where $\lambda a, \lambda b$ range over *conceptual variables*.
- (iii) $wff @ t_a$ is a well-formed formula where t_a ranges over *temporal operators*.

A *module* m is *implemented* by a language L whenever:

- (7) The syntactic marks (symbols) in (1) – (5) are in L 's vocabulary.
- (8) The grammatical rules in (6) are consistent with and part of L 's grammar.

I define *plug and play* with respect to a language L as an attribute of a *module* whenever it, the *module*, can satisfy conditions (7) and (8) with respect to L .

Tertiary bits (no pun): a *module* m *interfaces* whenever it is *implemented* by two languages L_1, L_2 . Usually we think of this as a morphism (a kind of relation) between two languages. I'd prefer to focus on the *module* here.

¹ Revision 0.0.2 - 3.18.18 - <https://www.linkedin.com/in/adamintaegerard/>

2.0 Potential Applications

Carnap's *Linguistic Frameworks*

Hegel's *Dialectical Method* and *Science of Logic*

A *conceptual variable* denotes a *logic*.