Strings, Lines, Loops, Dimensions, and Relational Bundles

Adam InTae Gerard¹

o.o Introduction

This paper is conceived as joint attack on the concept of objecthood whose lasting and pernicious **monopoly** on fundamental ontology has infected and ruined most areas of genuine human inquiry.

The concept of an object is reinforced by the concept of a dot. Dots, points, produce numerous conceptual worries particularly when such limited conceptual and ontological building blocks generate problems within theoretical physics.

I will address this problematic concept² by demonstrating mostly why it is not needed. Along the way I will attempt to articular pointless topologies and demonstrate *Relational Bundle Theory* as coterminous with the strings of String Theory.

1.0 Observations

[o.o.1] A dot is **not** a point but a circle (or like the infinity symbol). A "self-subsisting" closed, one-dimensional, thing – this is a string (per string theory) and a kind of closed loop.

There is no space to it though we are forced to think in our mind of it as a *circle* (a line with *space* evenly proportioned between every opposite part of the line that can be finitely represented but infinitely traversed). Herein we find Kant's observation that the mind prestructures or pre-configures our understanding of fundamental conceptual building blocks.

Another way: by way of the *infinity* symbol (and is that realization not infinite in value – that Democritus has made such a great joke of the foolish species humanity?).

[0.0.2] n dimensions can be represented in n-1 dimensions. One can draw a cube on a piece of paper. This is the main takeaway from the theory of mathematical holograms.

This is the hint of ontic *structuralism* – that there are no totally *free-standing* things save for the *one* which is simultaneous *all* and *many* (not totally free-standing things).

[0.0.3] This is leap from dots to lines. From points to structures. Hegel's remark applies here but it need not be *The State*. He called for us to enjoin all into a self-organizing language

¹ **Revision 0.0.5– 3.1.8.18** - https://www.linkedin.com/in/adamintaegerard/

² Perhaps one of the greatest early fallacies was falling to recognize the greater structure of the *dot*: the *atom*. To get from 1 dimension from 0 dimensions - *Ex nihil nihil* – seemingly nothing – that is why Democritus laughs – he mocks us!

structure with its customs and rules governed by logos – i.e. the birth of the concept of the Nation State.

Here, the dot bears political implications. The Pathetic Dot³...

[0.0.4] Our bodies are languages systems (bacteria, cells, DNA, proteins). The world is language systems. And so on.

3