# Notes on Various Symbolic and Formal Systems

Adam InTae Gerard Rev. [4.0.2]

# **Table of Contents:**

| Introduction                          | 3  |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| Łukasiewicz's Simple Sentential Logic | 5  |
| Zero-Order Modal Logic                | 7  |
| Simple Supervaluation Theory          | 10 |

#### I. Introduction

# [Logic qua Field of Inquiry]:

- [1] Logic is the formal science of truth. (Frege)
- [2] Logic is the formal science of logical consequence.

## [Formal Logics]:

- [1] A logic is a language, a semantics to interpret that language and a proof system.
- [2] A formal *language* is an *alphabet* and a *grammar*.
- [3] An alphabet is comprises a set of logical symbols and a set of non-logical symbols.
- [4] A grammar is a set of syntactic formation rules.
- [5] A *semantics* provides an interpretation of and the truth-conditions for expressions of the language.
- [6] A *proof system* is a set of axioms and/or inference rules for making deductions within the language.

# [Characteristic Features]:

- [1] If a logic L is classical then:
  - [A] L is truth-functional: Two-Valued.
  - [B] The following axiom-schemata hold for every well-formed expression p, q in L:
    - [i] Tertium non datur.  $p \lor \neg p$
    - [ii] Non-Contradiction:  $\neg(p \land \neg p)$
    - [iii] Double Negation:  $\neg\neg p \leftrightarrow p$
    - [iv] Contraposition:  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$
    - [v] Reductio Ad Absurdum:  $((\neg p \rightarrow (q \land \neg q)) \rightarrow p)$
    - [vi] Monotonicity:  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ((p \land r) \rightarrow q)$

#### [Conventions]:

- [1] We shall assume the standard conventions for parenthetical dropping, precedence, quotation and uniform substitution.
- [2] 'Logical operator' shall be used interchangeably with 'logical connective'.
- [3] 'Scheme' shall be used interchangeably with 'schema'.
- [4] 'Proof system' shall be used interchangeably with 'calculus'.
- [5] 'Grammar' shall be used interchangeably with 'syntax'.
- [6] 'Model Theory' shall be used interchangeably with 'semantics'.
- [7] A variety of symbols will be deployed to denote meta-variables.
- [8] Arity is the number of arguments that a function or predicate can take.

#### [Definitions - Axioms]:

[1] A *theorem* is a statement proved from the application of our inference rules and *axiom schemata* alone, that is to say without any additional *premises* (assumptions).

- [2] An axiom is a wff that is regarded as self-evident without proof.
- [3] An *axiom schema* represents infinitely many axioms. An *axiom* is obtained by uniformly substituting any wff into the variables of the schema.
- [4] A *theory* is a set of wff.

# [Definitions - Proof Systems]:

- [1] An axiom system S is *sound just in case each* sentence s that is provable in system S is true.
  - [A] An inference rule ' $\vdash$ ' is sound only if  $P \vdash Q$  implies  $P \models Q$ .
  - [B] If axiom system S has only tautologies as axioms and has *modus ponens* as its only rule of inference then, axiom system S is *sound*.
- [2] An axiom system S is *complete just in case* each sentence s that is true is provable in system S.
  - [A] An inference rule ' $\vdash$ ' is complete only if  $P \vDash Q$  implies  $P \vdash Q$ .
  - [B] By proving that a *complete* system M can be proven in S, one can show that S is also *complete*.

# II. Łukasiewicz's Simple Sentential Logic

# [Characteristics]:

- [1] Zero-order.
- [2] Classical.
- [3] Complete.
- [4] Consistent.
- [5] Sentential.

# [Logic L<sub>1</sub>]:

[1]  $L_1 = \{A, Z, I, \Omega\}$ 

## [Language L<sub>1</sub>]:

- [1] A is a set of propositional variables.
  - [B]  $A = \{A_0, A_1, ..., B_0, B_1, ..., ..., Z_0, Z_1, ...\}$
- [2] [A]  $\Omega$  is the set of primitive logical connectives for L<sub>1</sub>.
  - [B]  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$
  - [C] [i]  $\Omega_0$  is the set of logical connectives of *arity* 0.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_0 = \{\bot, \top\}$
  - [D] [i]  $\Omega_1$  is the set of logical connectives of *arity* 1.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_1 = \{\neg\}$
  - [E] [i]  $\Omega_2$  is the set of logical connectives of *arity* 2.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_2 = \{ \rightarrow \}$
- [3] The set  $A \cup \Omega$  comprises the *alphabet* of L<sub>1</sub>.
- [4] The well-formed formulae (wff) of L<sub>1</sub> are recursively defined as follows:
  - [A] Any  $\delta$ , where  $\delta$  is a sentential variable of L<sub>1</sub>, is a formula.
  - [B] If  $\delta$  is a formula then,  $\neg \delta$  is a formula.
  - [C] If  $\delta$  and  $\varphi$  are formulas then,  $\delta \rightarrow \varphi$  is a formula.
  - [D]  $\top$  and  $\bot$  are formulas.
  - [E] There are no other wff.
- [5] [4] comprises the grammar of  $L_1$ .
- [6] Let  $wff(L_1)$  denote the set of all wff in  $L_1$ .

#### [L<sub>1</sub> Logical Equivalences]:

- [1] The following logical equivalences hold for L<sub>1</sub>:
  - [A]  $A \rightarrow \bot \equiv \neg A$
  - [B]  $T \to A \equiv A$
  - [C]  $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg (A \land \neg B)$
  - [D]  $A \wedge B \equiv \neg(\neg A \vee \neg B) \equiv \neg(A \rightarrow \neg B)$
  - [E]  $A \lor B \equiv \neg A \to B$

$$[F] \qquad A \leftrightarrow B \equiv \neg((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \neg(B \rightarrow A)) \equiv (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$$

# [L<sub>1</sub> Proof System]:

- [1] [A] Z is the set of inference rules valid in  $L_1$ .
  - [B]  $Z = \{(\delta, \delta \rightarrow \phi \vdash \phi)\}$
- [2] [A] I is the set of axiom schemata for  $L_1$ .
  - [B]  $I = AS1 \cup AS2 \cup AS3$ 
    - [i]  $AS1 = \{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)\}$
    - [ii]  $AS2 = \{(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))\}$
    - [iii]  $AS3 = \{ (\neg A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A) \}$

## [L<sub>1</sub> Model Theory]:

- [1] A triple  $\langle V, \Phi, \Phi^* \rangle$  is an  $L^T$  structure just in case:
  - [A] [i] V is a theory.
    - [ii]  $V = A(V) \cup B(V)$  such that:
      - [a]  $A(V) \subseteq A$  and  $A(V) \neq \emptyset$ ; and
      - [b]  $A(V) \subseteq B(V)$ ; and
      - [c]  $B(V) \subseteq wff(\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}).$
  - [B] [i] We call  $\Phi$  a propositional interpretation function (for the non-concatenated wff) of  $L^T$ .
    - [ii]  $\Phi: A(V) \to \{\top, \bot\}$  such that:
      - [a]  $\Phi(p) = T \text{ else } \Phi(p) = \bot.$
  - [C] [i] We call  $\Phi^*$  a sentential interpretation function (for the concatenated wff) of  $L^T$  the procedure for constructing that  $\Phi^*$  is explained below.
    - [ii]  $\Phi^* : B(V) \to \{\top, \bot\}$  such that:
      - [a] For all  $p \in A(V)$ ,  $\Phi^*(p) = \Phi(p)$
      - [b]  $\Phi^*(p) = \top$  just in case  $\Phi^*(p) \neq \bot$
      - [c]  $\Phi^*(\bot) = \bot$
      - [d]  $\Phi^*(\top) = \top$
      - [e]  $\Phi^*(\neg p) = \top$  just in case  $\Phi^*(p) = \bot$
      - [f]  $\Phi^*(p \to q) = \top$  just in case  $\Phi^*(p) = \bot$  or  $\Phi^*(q) = \top$
      - [g]  $\Phi^*(p \& q) = \top$  just in case  $\Phi^*(p) = \top = \Phi^*(q)$
      - [h]  $\Phi^*(p \vee q) = \top$  just in case  $\Phi^*(p) = \top$  or  $\Phi^*(q) = \top$
      - [i]  $\Phi^*(p \leftrightarrow q) = \top$  just in case  $\Phi^*(p) = \Phi^*(q)$
    - [iii] If  $\Phi^*(p) = \top$ , then  $\Phi^* \models p$ .
    - [iv] For all  $p \in V$ , if  $\Phi^* \models p$ , then  $\Phi^*$  is a model of V.

# III. Zero-Order Modal Logic

# [Characteristics]:

- [1] Zero-order.
- [2] Classical.
- [3] Complete.
- [4] Consistent.
- [5] Propositional.
- [6] Modal.

# [Logic L<sub>2</sub>]:

[1]  $L_2 = \{A, Z, I, \Omega\}$ 

# [Language L<sub>2</sub>]:

- [1] [A] A is a finite set of propositional variables.
  - [B]  $A = \{A_0^0, A_1^0, ..., B_0^{\bar{0}}, B_1^0, ..., ..., Z_0^0, Z_1^0, ...\}$
- [2] [A]  $\Omega$  is the set of primitive logical connectives for L<sub>1</sub>.
  - [B]  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$
  - [C] [i]  $\Omega_0$  is the set of logical connectives of arity 0.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_0 = \{\top, \bot\}$
  - [D] [i]  $\Omega_1$  is the set of logical connectives of *arity* 1.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_1 = \{ \neg, \Box \}$
  - [E] [i]  $\Omega_2$  is the set of logical connectives of arity 2.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_2 = \{ \rightarrow \}$
- [3] The set  $A \cup \Omega$  comprises the *alphabet* of L<sub>2</sub>.
- [4] The well-formed formulae (wff) of L<sub>2</sub> are recursively defined as follows:
  - [A] Any  $\delta$ , where  $\delta$  is a sentential variable of L<sub>2</sub>, is a formula.
  - [B] If  $\delta$  is a formula then,  $\neg \delta$  is a formula.
  - [C] If  $\delta$  and  $\varphi$  are formulas then,  $\delta \rightarrow \varphi$  is a formula.
  - [D]  $\top$  and  $\bot$  are formulas.
  - [E] If  $\delta$  is a formula then,  $\Box \delta$  is a formula.
  - [F] There are no other wff.
- [5] [4] comprises the grammar of  $L_2$ .
- [6] Let  $wff(L_2)$  denote the set of all wff in  $L_2$ .

## [L<sub>2</sub> Logical Equivalences]:

- [1] The following logical equivalences hold for L<sub>2</sub>:
  - [A]  $A \rightarrow \bot \equiv \neg A$
  - [B]  $\top \to A \equiv A$
  - [C]  $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg (A \land \neg B)$

- [D]  $A \wedge B \equiv \neg(\neg A \vee \neg B) \equiv \neg(A \rightarrow \neg B)$
- [E]  $A \lor B \equiv \neg A \to B$
- $[F] \qquad A \leftrightarrow B \equiv \neg((A \to B) \to \neg(B \to A)) \equiv (A \to B) \land (B \to A)$
- [G]  $\Diamond A \equiv \neg \Box \neg A$

## [L<sub>2</sub> Proof System]:

- [1] [A] Z is the set of inference rules valid in  $L_2$ .
  - [B]  $Z = \mathbf{MP} \cup \mathbf{NR}$ 
    - [i]  $\mathbf{MP} = \{(\delta, \delta \rightarrow \phi \mid \phi)\}$
    - [ii]  $\mathbf{N}\mathbf{R} = \{\delta \mid \Box \delta\}$
- [2] [A] I is the set of axiom schemata for  $L_2$ .
  - [B]  $I = AS1 \cup AS2 \cup AS3 \cup \mathbf{K}$ 
    - [i]  $AS1 = \{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)\}$
    - [ii]  $AS2 = \{(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))\}$
    - [iii]  $AS3 = \{ (\neg A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A) \}$
    - $[iv] \quad \mathbf{K} = \{ \Box (\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}) \to (\Box \mathbf{A} \to \Box \mathbf{B}) \}$
- [3] Proof system Z is called modal axiom *System K*.
- [4] The following axiom schemata are regularly added to *System K*:
  - [A]  $\mathbf{D} = \{ (\Box A) \to (\Diamond A) \}$
  - [B]  $\mathbf{T} = \{ (\Box A) \to A \}$
  - [C]  $\mathbf{B} = \{A \rightarrow (\Box \Diamond A)\}\$
  - $[D] \qquad \mathbf{S4} = \{(\Box A) \to (\Box \Box A)\}$
  - [E] S5 =  $\{(\lozenge A) \rightarrow (\square \lozenge A)\}$
- [5] The following modal axiom systems are obtained by adding the corresponding axiom rules to *System K*:
  - [A] System  $T =_{df}$  System  $K + \mathbf{T}$
  - [B] System  $S4 =_{df} System T + S4$
  - [C] System  $S5 =_{df} System S4 + B$  (alternatively: T + S5)
  - [D] System  $D =_{df}$  System  $K + \mathbf{D}$

#### [L<sub>2</sub> Model Theory]:

- [1] A set  $\langle W, R, V \rangle$  is a Kripke Model for L<sub>2</sub> just in case:
  - [A] [i]  $W \neq \emptyset$ 
    - [ii]  $R \subseteq W \times W$
    - [iii]  $V: A \times W \rightarrow \{\bot, \top\}.$
  - [B] [i] Each  $w \in W$  is called a possible world.
    - [ii] For each  $p \in A$ :  $p \in wff(L_2)$ .
- [2] Truth of a modal formula *p* at a *possible world w* in a relational structure
  - $M = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  is denoted 'M,  $w \models p'$  and is inductively defined as follows:
  - [A]  $M, w \models p \text{ just in case } V(p, w) = \top$
  - [B]  $M, w \models \top$  and  $M, w \not\models \bot$
  - [C]  $M,w \models \neg p \text{ just in case } M,w \not\models p$

- [D]  $M,w \vDash p \& q \text{ just in case } M,w \vDash p \& M,w \vDash q$
- [E]  $M,w \models \Box p \text{ just in case } (\forall v \in W)(wRv \rightarrow M,v \models p)$
- [F]  $M,w \models \Diamond p \text{ just in case } (\exists \ v \in W)(w R v \& M,v \models p)$

# V. Simple Supervaluation Theory

# [Characteristics]:

- [1] Fragment of First-Order Logic.
- [2] No quantification.
- [3] Complete.
- [4] Consistent.

## [Logic L<sub>3</sub>]:

[1]  $L_3 = \{A, Z, I, \Omega\}$ 

## [Language L<sub>3</sub>]:

- [1] A is the set of non-logical symbols.
  - [B]  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$
  - [C]  $A_1$  is the set of *individual constants* such that  $A_1 = \{a, b, c, ...\}$ .
  - [D]  $A_2$  is a singleton set of a particular, vague, unary predicate such that  $A_2 = \{P\}$ .
- [2] [A]  $\Omega$  is the set of logical operators (logical connectives) for  $L_3$ .
  - [B]  $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$
  - [C] [i]  $\Omega_0$  is the set of logical connectives of *arity* 0.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_0 = \{\bot, \top\}$
  - [D] [i]  $\Omega_1$  is the set of logical connectives of *arity* 1.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_1 = \{\neg, D\}$
  - [E] [i]  $\Omega_2$  is the set of logical connectives of arity 2.
    - [ii]  $\Omega_2 = \{ \rightarrow \}$
- [3] The set  $A \cup \Omega$  comprises the *alphabet* of  $L_3$ .
- The well-formed formulae (wff) of  $L_3$  are recursively defined as follows:
  - [A] For any individual constant a: P(a) is a formula of  $L_3$ .
  - [B] If  $\phi$  is a wff of  $L_3$  then, so is  $\neg \phi$ .
  - [C] If  $\phi$  and  $\phi$  are wff of  $L_3$  then,  $\phi \to \phi$  is formula.
  - [D]  $\top$  and  $\bot$  are formulas.
  - [E] If  $\phi$  is a formula of  $L_3$  then, so is  $D\phi$ .
  - [F] Nothing else is a formula in  $L_3$ .
- [5] [4] comprises the grammar of  $L_3$ .
- [6] Let  $wff(L_3)$  denote the set of all wff in  $L_3$ .

# [L<sub>3</sub> Logical Equivalences]:

- [1] The following logical equivalences hold for L<sub>2</sub>:
  - [A]  $A \rightarrow \bot \equiv \neg A$
  - [B]  $\top \to A \equiv A$

- [C]  $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg (A \land \neg B)$
- [D]  $A \wedge B \equiv \neg(\neg A \vee \neg B) \equiv \neg(A \rightarrow \neg B)$
- [E]  $A \lor B \equiv \neg A \to B$
- $[F] \qquad A \leftrightarrow B \equiv \neg((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \neg(B \rightarrow A)) \equiv (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$

# [L<sub>3</sub> Proof System]:

- [1] [A] Z is the set of inference rules valid in  $L_3$ .
  - [B]  $Z = \{(\delta, \delta \rightarrow \phi \vdash \phi)\}$
- [2] [A] I is the set of axiom schemata for  $L_3$ .
  - [B]  $I = AS1 \cup AS2 \cup AS3$ 
    - [i]  $AS1 = \{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)\}$
    - [ii]  $AS2 = \{(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))\}$
    - [iii]  $AS3 = \{ (\neg A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A) \}$

# [L<sub>3</sub> Model Theory]:

- [1] A 4-tuple  $\langle D, P, \mathbb{T}_+, \mathbb{T}_- \rangle$  is a partial model for  $L_3$  just in case:
  - [A] [i] Dis a non-empty domain of objects.
    - [ii] We write |M| to denote the domain of partial model M.
  - [B] *P* is an vague unary predicate.
  - [C] [i]  $\mathbb{F}_+$  is an extension function mapping Pinto a subset of D.
    - [ii]  $\mathbb{T}_-$  is an *anti-extension* function mapping *P* into a subset of *D*.
    - [iii]  ${}^{\mathbb{F}}P^{\mathbb{T}}_{+} \cap {}^{\mathbb{F}}P^{\mathbb{T}}_{-} = \emptyset.$
- [2] Partial model  $M_2$  extends partial model  $M_1$  if:
  - [A]  $|M_1| = |M_2|$ .
  - [B]  $P \in A_2^{M1}$  and  $P \in A_2^{M2}$ .
  - $[C] \qquad {^{\mathsf{F}}P^{\mathsf{T}}}_{+}{^{M1}} \subseteq {^{\mathsf{F}}P^{\mathsf{T}}}_{+}{^{M2}}.$
  - [D]  ${}^{\mathbb{F}}P^{\mathbb{I}}_{-}{}^{M1} \subset {}^{\mathbb{F}}P^{\mathbb{I}}_{-}{}^{M2}.$
- Given an assignment function g, a partial model M then supports a notion of truth in a model ( $\models$ ) and falsity in a model ( $\models$ ) with base clauses:
  - [A]  $M, g \models P(x) \text{ just in case } g(x) \in {}^{\mathbb{F}}P^{\mathbb{T}}_{+}.$
  - [B] M, g = P(x) just in case  $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}P_{-}$ .
  - [C]  $M, g \models \neg \sigma \text{ just in case } M, g \models \sigma.$
  - [D]  $M, g = \neg \sigma \text{ just in case } M, g = \sigma.$
  - [E]  $M, g \models (\sigma \lor \rho)$  just in case  $M, g \models \sigma$  or  $M, g \models \rho$ .
  - [F]  $M, g \models (\sigma \land \rho)$  just in case  $M, g \models \sigma$  and  $M, g \models \rho$ .
  - [G]  $M, g \models D\varphi$  just in case for each partial model R, given an assignment h, extending from M: R,  $h \models \varphi$ .
- [4] A partial model M is complete if  $\mathbb{P}_+ \cup \mathbb{P}_- = |M|$ .
- [5] [A] A specification space is an arbitrary collection of partial models.
  - [B] A *rooted* specification space is a specification space with one model identified as the *root* partial model.

- [C] A complete specification space S satisfies the following condition: for every partial model M in S there is some complete partial model R in S that extends M.
- [6] [A] [i] A wff  $\phi \in wff(L_3)$  is *supertrue* in a complete specification space S if  $\phi$  is true at each complete extension of a root partial model.
  - [ii] A wff *p* is *supertrue just in case p* is evaluated as true at the root partial model.
  - [iii] A wff *p* is *supertrue just in case p* is evaluated as true at each complete partial model.
  - [iv] A wff p is supertrue just in case for every specification point M,  $M \models p$ .
  - [B] A sentence  $\phi \in wff(L_3)$  is *superfalse* in a complete specification space S if  $\phi$  is false at each complete extension of a root partial model.

# [Validity]:

- [1] [A] We shall write ' $A \models_L B$ ' for *local validity* (A is a set of premises and B a conclusion).
  - [B]  $'A \models_L B'$  reads left-to-right 'A locally entails B' and right-to-left 'B is a local consequence of A'.
  - [C] [i]  $A \models_{L} B$  just in case at every specification point, if A is true so is B.

    [ii]  $A \models_{L} B$  just in case necessarily, if A is true so is B.
    - [iii]  $A \models_L B$  just in case for every specification point  $M: M \models_A \to M \models_B B$ .
- [2] [A] We shall write  $A \models_G B'$  for *global validity* (A is a set of premises and B a conclusion).
  - [B]  $A \models_G B'$  reads left-to-right 'A globally entails B' and right-to-left 'B is a global consequence of A.'
  - [C] [i]  $A \models_G B$  just in case the supertruth of A guarantees the supertruth of B.
    - [ii]  $A \models_G B$  just in case A's supertruth necessitates B's supertruth.
    - [iii]  $A \models_G B$  just in case for every specification point  $M, M \models A$  then for every specification point  $N, N \models B$ .
  - [D] This is also referred to as *supervalidity*.
- [3]  $(A \models_{\mathbf{L}} B) \Rightarrow (A \models_{\mathbf{G}} B)$

# [Failure of Deduction Theorem]:

- [1] The Deduction Theorem:  $(A \cup p \models_G q) \Rightarrow (A \models_G p \rightarrow q)$ .
- [2] The Deduction Theorem fails if 'p  $\models_G Dp$ ' succeeds and ' $\varnothing \models_G p \to Dp$ ' fails.
- [3] Dp is true just in case p is evaluated as true at each specification point.
- Thus, whenever p is supertrue so is Dp. So ' $p \models_G Dp$ ' always succeeds.
- [5] Imagine a specification space where p is indeterminate. It follows that Dp is evaluated as false at each specification point.
- [6] Thus, there is a specification point where p is evaluated as true, but Dp is evaluated as false.
- [7] Hence, there is a specification point where ' $p \rightarrow Dp$ ' is false.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The unsubscripted turnstile  $'M \models A'$  reads 'M satisfies A' or equivalently 'A is true under M' or still, 'A is evaluated or interpreted as true in M.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Substitute 'Ø' for 'A' and 'Dp' for 'q'.

- Hence, ' $p \to Dp$ ' is not supertrue. Hence, ' $\varnothing \models_G p \to Dp$ ' fails.
- [8] [9]