

Lab01-Algorithm Analysis

CS2308-Algorithm and Complexity, Xiaofeng Gao, Spring 2022.

* If there is any problem, please contact TA Hongjie Fang.

* Name: Zhenran Xiao Student ID: 520030910281 Email: xiaozhenran@sjtu.edu.cn

1. Use minimal counterexample to prove that every integer $n \geq 11$ can be written as $5x + 2y$ where x, y are positive integers.

Proof. For smaller integer, obviously the proposition is true:

$$11 = 5 \times 1 + 2 \times 3, 12 = 5 \times 2 + 2 \times 1;$$

For bigger integer, assume that all the integers which do not comfort to the proposition belong to Set \mathbf{M} , and k is the smallest integer in \mathbf{M} .

Obviously, $k - 2$ doesn't belong to \mathbf{M} . It is an integer that comforts to the proposition. So $k - 2 = 5x + 2y$.

Then we can easily find that $k = k - 2 + 2 = 5x + 2y + 2 = 5x + 2(y + 1)$. This contradicts the hypothesis.

So the hypothesis is not true. The original proposition has been proved. \square

2. Rank the following functions by order of growth with brief explanations: that is, find an arrangement g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{10} of the functions $g_1 = \Omega(g_2), g_2 = \Omega(g_3), \dots, g_9 = \Omega(g_{10})$. Partition your list into equivalence classes such that functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are in the same class if and only if $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$. Use symbols " $=$ " and " \prec " to order these functions appropriately. Here $\log n$ stands for $\log_2 n$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 2^{2^n} & n^2 & n! & 2^n & \log^2 n \\ e^n & \log \log n & n \cdot 2^n & n & \log(n^2) \end{array}$$

Solution. $\log \log n \prec \log(n^2) \prec \log^2 n \prec n \prec n^2 \prec 2^n \prec n \cdot 2^n \prec e^n \prec n! \prec 2^{2^n}$

Explanations:

(1) $\log^2 n \prec n$

According to L'Hopital's rule,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} = 0$$

So $\log n \prec \sqrt{n}$. So $\log^2 n \prec n$.

(2) $n \cdot 2^n \prec e^n$

$$n \cdot 2^n \prec \left(\frac{e}{2}\right)^n \cdot 2^n = e^n$$

So $n \cdot 2^n \prec e^n$.

(3) $e^n \prec n!$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^n}{n!} = \frac{e}{1} \cdot \frac{e}{2} \cdot \frac{e}{3} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{e}{n} = 0$$

So $e^n \prec n!$.

The other " \prec "s are obvious. \square

3. Here are the pseudo-codes of improved BubbleSort (Alg. 1) and QuickSort (Alg. 2).

Algorithm 1: Improved BubbleSort	Algorithm 2: QuickSort
Input: An array $A[1, \dots, n]$ Output: A sorted nondecreasingly	Input: An array $A[1, \dots, n]$ Output: A sorted nondecreasingly
<pre> 1 $i \leftarrow 1$; $sorted \leftarrow false$; 2 while $i \leq n - 1$ and not $sorted$ do 3 $sorted \leftarrow true$; 4 for $j \leftarrow n$ downto $i + 1$ do 5 if $A[j] < A[j - 1]$ then 6 swap $A[j]$ and $A[j - 1]$; 7 $sorted \leftarrow false$; 8 $i \leftarrow i + 1$; </pre>	<pre> 1 $i \leftarrow 1$; $pivot \leftarrow A[n]$; 2 for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n - 1$ do 3 if $A[j] < pivot$ then 4 swap $A[i]$ and $A[j]$; 5 $i \leftarrow i + 1$; 6 swap $A[i]$ and $A[n]$; 7 if $i > 1$ then 8 QuickSort($A[1, \dots, i - 1]$); 9 if $i < n$ then 10 QuickSort($A[i + 1, \dots, n]$); </pre>

- (a) The key idea of the improved BubbleSort is that we can stop the iteration if there are no swaps during an iteration. Therefore, we use an indicator *sorted* in Alg. 1 to check whether the array is already sorted. Analyze the **best** and **worst** time complexity of the improved BubbleSort.
- (b) Analyze the **average** time complexity of the QuickSort in Alg. 2.
- (c) To avoid the worst case of QuickSort from happening too often, in practice we can randomly shuffle the sequence before sorting. Follow this idea and Alg. 2 to implement QuickSort in C++. You only need to complete the TODO part in Lab01-QuickSort.cpp. (Hint: you can use the built-in function *random_shuffle(...)* in C++ <algorithm> library to randomly shuffle the sequence before sorting. Other built-in sorting functions such as *sort(...)* in C++ are **NOT** allowed to use.)
- (d) (Bonus) Analyze the **average** time complexity of the improved BubbleSort in Alg. 1. (Hint: consider the relation between average number of comparisons and interchanges.)

Solution.

- (a) Best Case: $\Theta(n)$. The array has been sorted before BubbleSort.
Worst Case: $\Theta(n^2)$. BubbleSort goes through the whole array.
- (b) For partition, the cost is $n - 1$.
The results of partition are equally possible. It means that after partition i takes each value in $[0, n - 1]$ with equal probability. There are i elements on the left of the pivot and $n - 1 - i$ elements on the right.
So we can make out the recursive formula:

$$T(n) = (n - 1) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} [T(i) + T(n - 1 - i)], n \geq 2$$

And we can easily know $T(1) = T(0) = 0$. And according to the symmetry, $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(n - 1 - i)$.

So the recursive formula can be changed into:

$$T(n) = (n-1) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(i), n \geq 2$$

We can use dislocation subtraction to work out the final result:

$$\begin{aligned} nT(n) &= n(n-1) + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(i), (n-1)T(n-1) = (n-1)(n-2) + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} T(i) \\ \Rightarrow nT(n) - (n-1)T(n-1) &= 2(n-1) + 2T(n-1) \\ \Rightarrow nT(n) &= (n+1)T(n-1) + 2(n-1) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{T(n)}{n+1} &= \frac{T(n-1)}{n} + \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Set $X(n) = \frac{T(n)}{n+1}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} X(n) &= X(n-1) + \frac{2(n-1)}{n(n+1)}, X(1) = X(0) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow X(n) - \frac{2}{n+1} &= X(n-1) - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{2}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Set $Y(n) = X(n) - \frac{2}{n+1}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} Y(n) &= Y(n-1) + \frac{2}{n+1}, Y(1) = -1, Y(0) = -2 \\ \Rightarrow Y(n) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{2}{i+1} \sim \ln n + c \\ \Rightarrow X(n) &= Y(n) + \frac{2}{n+1} \sim O(\log n) \\ \Rightarrow T(n) &= (n+1)X(n) \sim O(n \log n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the average time complexity of the QuickSort is $O(n \log n)$.

(c) See it in `Lab01_QuickSort.cpp`.

(d) Assume that the number of comparisons is c and the number of interchanges is i . So the time cost is

$$COST = c + i$$

And we can easily know there must be $c \geq i$. So there is

$$COST \geq 2i$$

About interchanging, according to the BubbleSort algorithm, we can know that its core idea is turning disordered pairs to ordered pairs. In fact, each interchange eliminates a disordered pair.

Assume that there are d disordered pairs and o ordered pairs in a series. We can know that

$$d + o = \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

Considering the possibility of each possible series is equal and the symmetry between ordered pairs and disordered pairs, the average number of disordered pairs in a series should be

$$\bar{d} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}$$

Because each interchange eliminates a disordered pair, so

$$\bar{i} = \bar{d} = \frac{n(n-1)}{4}$$

Therefore,

$$T(n) = \overline{COST} \geq 2\bar{i} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

The worst case of the improved BubbleSort is $\Theta(n^2)$, so the average time complexity is $\Theta(n^2)$.

□

Remark: You need to include your .pdf, .tex and .cpp files in your uploaded .rar or .zip file.