THREE AMIGOS TEST PLAN



COMP 4110 – Software Verification and Testing
Group 3

Team Members: Keerthana Madhavan, Charles Corro, and Het Patel

Table of Contents

li	ntroduc	tion	. 3
	1.1	Objectives	3
	1.2	Team Members	3
2	Sco	pe	. 3
3	Ass	umptions / Risks	. 4
	3.1	Assumptions	4
	3.2	Risks	4
4	Tes	t Approach	. 4
	4.1	Test Automation	4
5	Tes	t Environment	. 5
6	Tim	eLine	. 5
	6.1	Test Schedule	5
	6.2	Deliverables	5
	6.3	OWASP TOP 10 Web Application Security	5
	6.4	Our Testing Strategy	6

Introduction

The Test Plan has been created to communicate the test approach to team members. It includes the objectives, scope, schedule, risks, and what approach will be used within the project. This document will clearly identify what the test deliverables will be and what is deemed in and out of scope.

1.1 Objectives

Test Case Three Amigos is a web-based Test Management tool used to test for web application vulnerabilities and as well as display the results of running those tests. This tool is a new product that will be written in Python and Flask. The test team is responsible for testing the case study website Damn Vulnerable Web Application (DVWA) and ensuring it meets their needs. The test team is both the developer and the tester in this project.

Phase 1 of the project will deliver TCTA (Test Case Three Amigos) with functionality to test a website to identify its vulnerabilities or flaws based on the Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP) Principles. This will allow the test team to input a website URL and identify security flaws. Must have functionality is considered more important than the delivery date in this project because security problems are the root cause of damaged reputation and financial losses for most companies'

1.2 Team Members

Resource Name	Role
Keerthana Madhavan	Developer/Tester
Charles Corro	Developer/Tester
Het Patel	Developer/Tester

2 Scope

The initial phase will include all 'must have' requirements. These and any other requirements that get included must all be tested. At the end of Phase 1, a tester must be able to:

- 1. Input a "URL" of a website to we tested.
- 2. With a non-incremental approach, our tool will run and test for several cases.
- 3. Save it
- 4. Retrieve it and can then view the issue in detail
- 5. Mitigation Steps based on OWASP Recommendation
- 6. Rerun the scan

As the team works with the product, tests for flaws, it will be improved for accuracy. Load testing will not be considered part of this project since the user base is known and not an issue.

3 Assumptions / Risks

3.1 Assumptions

This section lists assumptions that are made specific to this project.

1. Delivery of the product is in a format that the user can benefit. All that Three Amigos Testing will require is for the user to enter the URL.

3.2 Risks

The following risks have been identified along with the appropriate action identified to mitigate their impact on our to be built tool. The impact) of the risk is based on how the project would be affected if the risk was triggered. The trigger is what milestone or event would cause the risk to become an issue to be dealt with.

#	Risk	Impact	Trigger	Mitigation Plan
1	Scope Creep – as testers	High	Delays in	Each iteration,
	become more familiar		implementation date	functionality will be
	with the tool, they will			closely monitored.
	want more functionality			Priorities will be set and
				discussed by
				users/stakeholders. Since
				the driver is functional
				and not on time, it may
				be necessary to push the
				date out.
2	Changes to the	High – to	Loss of all test cases	Our tool needs to be
	functionality may negate	schedule		loosely coupled.
	the tests already written	and		
	and we may lose some	quality		
	test cases already written.			

4 Test Approach

The project is using an agile approach, with weekly iterations. At the end of each week, the requirements identified for that iteration will be delivered to the team and will be tested. Exploratory testing will play a large part in the testing as the team has never used this type of tool and will be learning as they go. Tests for planned functionality will be created and added to Three Amigos as we get iterations of the product.

4.1 Test Automation

Automated unit tests are part of the development process, but no automated functional tests are planned at this time.

5 Test Environment

Damn Vulnerable Web Application, XAMPP Server. This will be run on Kali Linux on a local server and the URL will be used in our tool to be tested.

- 1. https://dvwa.co.uk
- 2. https://google-gruyere.appspot.com/526435151700772202118564821480864815257/

6 TimeLine

6.1 Test Schedule

The initial test schedule follows:

Task Name	Start	Finish	Effort	Comments
Test Strategy	March	March	3 d	In progress
	10 th	13 th		
Code Testing Tool	March	March	7 d	Features will be split
	13 th	20th		between team
				members.
Create initial test estimates	March	March	1 d	Test a sample URL.
	21st	22nd		Damn Vulnerable
				Web Application
Resolution of final defects and final	March	March	1d	Find code flaws
build testing	22nd	23 rd		
Deploy to Staging environment	-	-	-	Host Web Tool
Performance testing	-	-	-	To be tested by other
				users.
Release to Production	-	-	End of	Submit the project in
			Semester	BB

6.2 Deliverables

Deliverable	For	Date / Milestone
Test Plan	Professor/Test Team	March 9th
Test Results	Test Team	March 30th
Test Status report	QA Manager, QA Director	March 30th
Presentation	All team members	TBA

6.3 OWASP TOP 10 Web Application Security

- A01:2021-Broken Access Control
- A02:2021-Cryptographic Failures
- A03:2021-Injection
- A04:2021-Insecure Design
- A05:2021-Security Misconfiguration
- A06:2021-Vulnerable and Outdated Components A07:2021-Identification and Authentication Failures

- A08:2021-Software and Data Integrity Failures
- A09:2021-Security Logging and Monitoring Failures
- A10:2021-Server-Side Request Forgery
- A02:2021-Cryptographic Failures
- A03:2021-Injection
- A04:2021-Insecure Design
- A05:2021-Security Misconfiguration
- A06:2021-Vulnerable and Outdated Components
- A07:2021-Identification and Authentication Failures
- A08:2021-Software and Data Integrity Failures
- A09:2021-Security Logging and Monitoring Failures
- A10:2021-Server-Side Request Forgery

6.4 Our Testing Strategy

- We will be testing the following Categories in the OWASP 10. Our team members came up with this checklist. We will be using open source tools and python libraries to code our Three Amigos testing product.

Informat	ion Gathering					
□ WAP- INFO-001	Check for information leakage by conducting search engine discovery and reconnaissance.	Ex. site:owasp.org cache:owasp.org Tools: Google Hacking, Shodan, Baidu, netcat	Use a search engine like google chrome or safari to search for network diagrams, credentials, error message content and configuration.		Yes No	□ Passed □ Partially failed □ failed
□ WAP- INFO-002	Fingerprint Web Server	nc 202.41.76.251 80 HEAD / HTTP/1.0 nc apache.example.co m 80 Tools: netcat, httprecon, httprint	Find the version and type of a running web server to determine known vulnerabilities and the appropriate exploits	_ _	Yes No	□ Passed □ Partially failed □ failed
□ WAP- INFO-003	Review Webserver Metafiles for Information Leakage	curl -0 http://www.google. com/robots.txt	☐ Analyze robots.txt and identify <meta/> Tags from website.		Yes No	□ Passed □ Partially failed

					□ failed
		Tools: Browser, curl, wget, rockspider			
□ WAP- INFO- 004	Map application architecture	Ex. GET /webconsole/ServerInfo. jsp%00 HTTP/1.0 Tools: Browser, curl, wget	Identify the application architecture like the web languages used, application server, proxies and the database backend	Yes No	Passed Partially failed failed
WAP- INFO- 005	Enumerate Applications on Webserver	Ex. nmap -PN -sT sV -p0-65535 192.168.1.100 Dns: host -l www.owasp.org ns1.secure.net Tools: dnsrecon, Nmap, fierce, Recon-ng	Find web applications on the server including virtual hosts and subdomains, ports and dns	Yes No	Passed Partially failed failed
WAP- INFO- 006	Fingerprint Web application Framework	nc 127.0.0.1 80 Tools: netcat, Whatweb	☐ Find the type of web application framework/CMS from HTTP headers, Cookies, Source code, Specific files and folders.	Yes No	Passed Partially failed failed
□ WAP- INFO- 007	Fingerprint Web application	Cookies – GET / HTTP/1.1 Nc 127.0.0.1 80 Python BlindElephant.py	Find the type of web application framework from HTTP headers, cookies, source code, specific files and the folders.	Yes No	Passed Partially failed failed

http://website drupal		
Tools: Wappalyer, Whatweb, BlindElephant,		
netcat		

AUTHENTICATION

□ WAP- AUTH-001	Testing for default credentials	Try default usernames such as: admin, administrator, root, system, guest, operator, superuser Nikto -h <hostname ip=""> - id <id:pass> or <id:pass:realm> Tools: Burp Proxy, ZAP, nikto</id:pass:realm></id:pass></hostname>	Testing for credentials of common applications, Testing for default password for new accounts	Yes No	□ Passed □ Partially failed □ failed
□ WAP- AUTH-002	Testing for Weak password policy	hydra localhost mysql -l root -p rootpass hydra localhost mysql -L logins.txt P password.txt Tools: Burp Proxy, ZAP, Hydra	Find out the resistance of the application against brute force password guessing.	Yes No	□ Passed □ Partially failed □ failed

□ WAP- AUTH-003	Testing for weak password change or reset functionalities	Tools: Browser, Burp Proxy, ZAP	☐ Test the password reset function and test password change whether to have password in plain test, send via email or CSRF vulnerability	□ Yes □ No	Passed Partially failed failed
□ _{WAP} . AUTH-004	Testing for Credentials		Check referrer whether its HTTP or HTTPs.	□ Yes □ No	□ Passed
	Transported over an Encrypted	Tools: Burp Proxy, ZAP	Sending data through HTTP and HTTPS.		□ Partially failed □ failed
□ WAP- AUTH-005	Testing for Weaker authentication in alternative channel	Sample example: http://example.co m For authentication: http://example.co m/myaccount/ Tools: Browser	Understand the primary mechanism and Identify other channels (Mobile App, Call center, SSO)	□ Yes □ No	□ Passed □ Partially failed □ failed
□ WAP- AUTH-006	Test for Privilege Escalation	Tools: ZAP	Test for role/privilege manipulate the values of hidden variables.	□ Yes □ No	□ Passed □ Partially failed □ failed