

```
2(a) mx = 0 and my = E[x+n+b] = 0+0+Px1 = P
      E[x(y-mg)] = E[x+xn+x(b-P)] = 5x+0+0
      E[(5-mg)2] = E((x+n+(b-P))2) = 0,2+02+ (1-P)P
                \hat{X}_{LS} = K(y-x) where K = \frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{x}^{2} + \sigma_{x}^{2} + (i-p)p} x = P.
[8] E[11x - \hat{x}_{LS}1]^2] = \delta_x^2 \left[1 - \frac{\delta_x^2}{\delta_x^2 + \delta_x^2 + (1-P)P}\right]
  (ii) By Bayes Rule, P(b=119) = P(b1b=1) P(b=1)
                                     p(515=0) p(5=0) + p(516=1) p(6=1)
      But P(516=1) = N(1, 5,2+52)(5), P(516=0) = N(0, 5,2+52),
      ( Since n and b are under endent).
      S_{0} P(b=1/y) = \frac{PN(1, \sigma_{x}^{2} + \overline{\sigma_{z}^{2}})5}{(1-P)N(0, \overline{\sigma_{z}^{2} + \overline{\sigma_{z}^{2}}})(y) + PN(1, \overline{\sigma_{z}^{2} + \overline{\sigma_{z}^{2}}})}
                      P + exp \ \frac{-1}{52+52} \left( 1-P \right)
       p(x/y) = p(x/y, b=0) P(b=0/y) + p(x/y, b=1) P(b=1) y
        But p(xly,b=0) and p(xly,b=1) or obtained simply by solving
      the stonderd linear least square problem with b a constant (b=0 of 1
      P(x|y,b=v) = N(K,y, \sigma_{x} \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sigma_{x}^{2} + \sigma_{n}^{2}}\right)
             p(x/y, b=1) = N(K, (y-1), \( \sigma^2 \langle (1 - \sigma^2 \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \rangle \) Where \( K = \sigma_x \)
     It follows from (1)
               XNLS = (1-X(5)) K, 5 + X(5) K, (5-1)
                             K1 (y - x(y))
      Since K,> Kis, & NLS(> XILS for y lorge (-ve)

1 0(5) $\frac{1}{2} \text{ XLLS for y lorge (-ve)} \\
The 'offset more torically increases
                                         for 0 to 1, and is I when 5 = 2.
[2]
```

A (0) We know $x_k = Fk \times 0$, so $y_k = x_k + v_k = Fk \times + v_k$ Since x_0 and y_0 are independent and y_k is independent of $y_1 : k-1$, and finally $v_k \sim N(0, d)$, we have [3] P(5k | 5:k-1, x) = P(5k | x) = N(FKx0, Q)(5k) (b) Insest p(x. 15:12) = N(xolk, Polk) etc with Bases mule -1 (x - x olk) -1 (x-x olk) =-1 (y - Fx) TQ (y - Fkx) -\frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2} - \hat{\chi} \chi \rangle - \hat{\chi} \chi \rangle - \hat{\chi} \chi \rangle - \hat{\chi} \chi \rangle - \hat{\chi} \rangle - \ha - 2 xT [P-L x olk - (Fk) Q' 5 k - Polk - 1 x olk - 1]

+ (term undependent of xo) = 0

Since this expertor is valid for all yo, (6) Polk = Polk - + (FK) TO FK - (*) [7][18] Polk xolk = Polk-, xolh-1 + (FR)TQ'Sk. (c) Specialize to scalar case, and set Q=1, F= NO.5. Then, by (4), $P^{-1} = P^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a^{k}$, where a = 0.5 $= f_{010} + a \int_{0.5}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} dx$ $= f_$ 5 (a) Assume XN P(+) = x8(x+0) + (1-2x)8(+) + a8(x-0) Then E[x] = xx(-5)+(1-2x)x0+xx(+0)=0 mx=0 (1) and $VOT[X] = \sigma^2 \times \times + (1-2\times) \times \sigma^2 + \times \times \sigma^2 = 2\times \sigma^2$ We require then $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \pi E[x^2] = \epsilon^2$ (b) Y= X3+V. Clearly Y has zero mean. So, to construct the least squares osternote we wast collected E[xy] = E[x4 + xv] = E[x4] + E[x] E[v]. and $E[1^{2}] = E[(x^{3}+y)(x^{3}+v)] = E[x^{6}] + 2E[v]E[x^{3}] + E[v^{2}]$ = 06 x 2 + 06 x 2 + 0 + 52 = 06 + 52 It follows that the linear least square estimate is x= ks [10] R = E[XY] E[YZ] = 04 86+52 (c) The approximate linear least square astronists based on lineariting h(x) about m, is $\hat{\chi}' = k' \hat{y}, \text{ when } k' = \frac{\sigma^2 H}{H \sigma^2 H + \sigma_n^2}$ in which H = hx (mx). [4] 13xt h_x(m_x) = d_x x³/_{x=m_{x=0}} = 3x²/_{x=0} = 0. So the approximate linear least square estimate is simply $\hat{x}' = 0$ (= w_x),

[2] It is a bad estimate because it does not make were of the

```
b(x) 5k + 0.5 5k-1 = ek + dek - (1)
     So E[(5k+0.55h-,)=]= E[(ek+dek-,)=]. This implies
       r_{d}(0) + r_{d}(1) + 0.25 r_{d}(0) = 1 + d^{2} - (2)
    Multiply across (1) by yk-, and take expectations. This gives
    ES 5k3k-1 + 0.5 3k2 = ES ek 3k-1 + dyh-1ek-1?
    whence ry(1) + 0.5 1/0) = 0 + d E {56 eh }.
    Also, multiply across (1) by ex mul take El. ? This gives
           ES 5/e/2+0=1+0. So
          Tali) + 0.5 Ty(0) = d
    From (2) and (3), 0.75 (2 6) = 1-d+d2. House
                                                              [107
               Ty(0) = 4 (1-d+d2)
(b) The likelihood function is LR(z) = p(z/d=z)
    when p(+1d=2) = N(0,4) and p(+1d=0) = N(0,4/3)
    The log-likelihood function is
    LLF(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\delta_0^2} - \frac{1}{\delta_1^2} \right) z^2 + constant \left( \delta_0^2 = \frac{4}{3}, \delta_1^2 = \frac{4}{3} \right)
    We must choose c' (and hence c) such that
      Prob [22 > c 1] = 0.05 (2~N(0,34))
   = \frac{900}{500} \left( \frac{3^{12}}{3^{12}} + \frac{3}{500} \right) = \frac{3 \cdot 84}{5000} = \frac{3 \cdot 84}{3} = \frac{4 \times 3 \cdot 84}{3} = \frac{5112}{3}
    N-P test: (If 22 6 5.12 choose Ho
                  (if 22 > 5.12 choose H,
    Also
    P_{4}[2^{2} > 5.12] (z \sim N(0,4))
= P_{7.05}[(z')^{2} > 5.12/4 = 1.28] = 0.26
    De conclude that the power of the test is 0.26. ( Poor
    test - will fail to detect many faults!
```