

# Exercise 4.1 - Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE)

(1+1 points)

- a) Show how a linear regression procedure can be justified as an MLE procedure, assuming that mean squared error is used as a metric. Recall that

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \|\hat{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}\|^2.$$

Justify and motivate the assumptions you make along the way. This particular deduction is not covered in the lecture. Consult the book to gain further understanding.

- b) Given an i.i.d. sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ , find the MLE of the parameter  $\lambda$ . Recall that

$$\Pr(X = x|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}.$$

a) If we are given a probabilistic model of the data of the form

$$p(y|x, \theta) = \mathcal{N}(w^T x, \sigma^2)$$

where  $\theta = (w, \sigma^2)$  and we assume  $\sigma^2$  to be fixed, and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  where  $d$  is the dimension of the data vectors  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $w$  contains an extra entry simulating the bias of the model

We can write the MLE estimator of  $\theta$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} = (\hat{w}, \hat{\sigma}^2) &= \operatorname{argmin} - \sum_{i=1}^m \log \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - w^T x)^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \operatorname{argmin} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - w^T x)^2 + \frac{m}{2} \cdot \log(2\pi\sigma^2) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i - w^T x)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that a weight that minimizes the MSE also minimizes the MLE.



b) We seek to estimate  $\lambda$  via the maximum-likelihood method.

$$\lambda_{\text{mle}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda} -\log P(D|\lambda)$$

Where  $D = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is our given data. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} -\log P(D|\lambda) &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} \\&= \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log (\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}) - \log (x_i!) \\&= \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log (\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}) \\&= \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} - \sum_{i=1}^n [\log (\lambda^{x_i}) - \lambda] \\&= \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda \in (0, \infty)} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n [\log (\lambda) x_i - \lambda]}_{:= f(\lambda)}\end{aligned}$$

Setting the derivative of  $f(\lambda)$  to zero yields

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} x_i - 1 \right] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Thus

$$\lambda_{\text{mle}} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

