

## **Assignment Explanation: Target Data Breach Analysis**

The purpose of this assignment is to analyze the well-covered Target data breach by identifying the weaknesses that allowed the event to occur and by suggesting contemporary architectural remedies to minimize such risks in the future. I referenced the paper *Breaking the Target: An Analysis of Target Data Breach and Lessons Learned*(<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1701.04940>), and I converted three innovative cyber-security strategies Defense in Depth (DiD), Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA), and Adaptive Security Architecture (ASA) to fight the utilized exploitation channels. Every model is illustrated with Python's diagrams module and is supported by tables that provide a breakdown of the control mechanisms along with the reasoning.

### **Initial Setup and Code Overview**

The program initiates its functionality with a few initial modules that are essential for the generation of the required architectural diagrams. Among these are the Diagram, Cluster, and Edge libraries for diagrams and such components as the Internet, Server, Users, Pfsense, and such monitoring tools as Prometheus and Grafana. Also, a directory named `assignment_output` will be created for the purpose of storing the generated diagrams. The `display_diagram(filename)` function can be utilized in a custom way to render the output image files with respect to the team members that are working in the VSCode environment and can this way of generation help.

### **Compromised Base Architecture**

The breach was based on an original architecture that was incredibly reliant on a one-location network with very few compartmentalization practices and bad access control systems. The attackers used some third-party acquaintances to transmit stolen credentials. After having access, they scattered through the internal data staging servers, which had weak authentication. Then they caused a data leak through an unprotected egress pathway due to inadequate outbound filtering. This scenario was used to assess and design the three alternative security models.

## **Defense in Depth (DiD) Architecture**

The DiD model uses several security layers to identify and prevent attacks at different points. The accompanying graphic illustrates the traffic flow starting from the Internet, via the vendor portal through a DMZ with an external firewall and proxy server, and finally into an internal firewall which isolates the point-of-sale (POS) systems and staging servers.

Controls and Rationale:

- **Network Segmentation:** At both the DMZ and internal network limits firewalls' movement from vendor zones to core systems.
- **Strong Authentication:** Obligatory on proxy and staging servers to avert credential abuse.
- **Egress Filtering:** Outbound traffic from the staging servers to external entities is blocked.
- **Continuous Monitoring:** Prometheus is used to identify unusual behavior.
- **Access Controls:** All internal systems are based on the principle of the least privilege.

The focus of these controls is detection, delay, and containment of breaches, even if an attacker has gained initial access.

## **Zero Trust Architecture (ZTA)**

The Zero Trust principle is followed in a manner whereby no user or system, either within or outside the network, is registered as a default trustworthy party.

Authentication and authorization are necessary procedures to authorize every request for access.

**Architecture Design:** As all vendor access is routed through a policy of identity verification (Vault), where Vault verifies all demands. Any POS and staging servers would either call the Zero Trust proxy with imposed rules or be denied access.

Data Representation Summary Points:

- **Strict Authentication:** Vendor credentials can't be used unchecked if they are not adequately authenticated.
- **Micro-Segmentation:** No service may talk to any other service unless they are specifically allowed to do so.

- Least Privilege Access: The assignment of roles should be based on a strict hierarchy of necessity.
- Continuous Monitoring: The monitoring of logs and alerts where the system is Prometheus displays suspicious activity continuously.
- Egress Control: Any structure that will simply allow outbound traffic is the only one blocked unless explicitly permitted.

As a result of combining identification, segmentation, and assumed role access, the Zero Trust Architecture becomes an effective deterrent to credential theft and insider attacks.

### **Adaptive Security Architecture (ASA)**

On a larger scale, ASA makes automated changes in a live threat by collecting information from reliable resources. In this model, the analytics of the customer's behavior and the dynamic regulation of policies will be used.

Diagram Description: Thus, the vendor accesses the system through the transformative proxy. The internal point of sale systems are in communication with the monitoring console which is going to be receiving Prometheus and Grafana alerts. The policies are handled by the vault while a feedback system is in place that allows the monitoring data to affect the proxy rules.

#### **Key Controls:**

- An external threat intelligence gathering system runs through adjusting the proxy rules, which are automated as a dynamic threat filtering system-initiated through the sheer intelligence of similar threats.
- Behavioral Analytics:: An email alert and immediate defenses are triggered when an event is deemed out of the ordinary.
- Automated Policy Updates: Vault configures access rights on the basis of the given risks.
- Real-Time Monitoring: It has the ability to capture and mitigate threats without any delays.
- Feedback Loop: Every connection of the connection tracking information with perimeter management activities is centralized through the direct recording of the monitored events at all levels.

This design enables fast response to threats, thereby shortening the time between spotting a threat and fixing it.

## **Conclusion**

I conducted an in-depth analysis into the multi-layered security (DiD), continuous verification (ZTA), and real-time adaptability (ASA) methodologies and explored how they cater to the complex dynamics of the cyber world. The diagrams module of Python was my ally for converting the complex processes into more easily understandable data flow diagrams. The tables that accompanied the system presented clear-cut directions regarding the adoption of each of the controls according to the information security architectures the styles of the industry were the approved criteria for all decisions regarding the provision of the control technologies. The significance of these controls was further validated as I sought best practices in cybersecurity architecture. Hence the implementation of the strategies demonstrates the importance of not only building barriers to defend but also modifying them in reaction to the alterations in the threats at hand.