

Homework 3: Joint Distributions, Bivariate Normal, and MGF

Submission Guidelines: Please combine all your write-ups (photos/scanned copies are acceptable; please make sure that the electronic files are of good quality and reader-friendly) into [one single .pdf file](#) and submit the file via E3.

Problem 1 (Joint Distributions of Two Random Variables)

(6+6+6+6=24 points)

Let X, Y be two random variables with the joint CDF

$$F_{XY}(t, u) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp(-t) - \exp(-u) + \exp(-(t + u + \theta tu)), & \text{if } t > 0, u > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$. Please try to derive the following properties of X and Y .

- (a) Find the marginal CDF of X, Y . For which values of θ (if any) are X, Y independent?
- (b) Find the joint PDF of X, Y .
- (c) Find the marginal PDF of both X and Y .
- (d) Could you explain why we require θ to be in $[0, 1]$?

Problem 2 (Moment Generating Functions)

(12+10=22 points)

(a) Let X be a continuous uniform random variable between -1 and 3 . Find the MGF of X (denoted by $M_X(t)$) and use the derived $M_X(t)$ to find $E[X]$ and $\text{Var}[X]$. (Hint: When evaluating the first-order and second-order derivatives of $M_X(t)$, you may need to leverage the L'Hôpital's rule)

(b) Let Y be a discrete random variable with PMF

$$p_Y(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{6}{\pi^2 k^2}, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that the MGF of Y (denoted by $M_Y(t)$) does NOT exist, i.e., there exists no interval of the form $(-\delta, \delta)$ (with $\delta > 0$) such that $M_Y(t)$ exists. (Hint: Show that $M_Y(t)$ is not finite on $t \in (0, \infty)$)

Problem 3 (Use MGFs to Find Distributions)

(8+8=16 points)

In the following subproblems, please use the MGFs to determine the distribution of random variables.

(a) Let X_1 and X_2 be two random variables with $M_{X_1}(t) = \exp[7(e^t - 1)]$ and $M_{X_2}(t) = \frac{(e^{2t} - e^t)}{t}$. Find the distributions of X_1 and X_2 . (Note: Please clearly express the distributions in terms of either CDF/PDF/PMF)

(b) Suppose X and Y are i.i.d. Poisson random variables with rate $\lambda = 1$ and observation window $T = 1$. Use MGFs to determine whether $X + 2Y$ is also a Poisson random variable?

Problem 4 (Bivariate Normal)

(12+12=24 points)

(a) Let Z and W be two independent standard normal random variables. Let X_1 and X_2 be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \sigma_1 Z + \mu_1, \\ X_2 &= \sigma_2(\rho Z + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W) + \mu_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$, μ_1, μ_2 are finite real numbers, and $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. Show that the joint PDF of X_1, X_2 is bivariate normal, i.e., for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$f_{X_1 X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{\left(\frac{(x_1-\mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 2\rho \frac{(x_1-\mu_1)(x_2-\mu_2)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \frac{(x_2-\mu_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right)}{2(1-\rho^2)} \right].$$

(Hint: Leverage the theorem of linear transformation of two random variables in Lecture 19)

(b) Let X, Y be bivariate normal, and the marginal distributions of X, Y are both $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The correlation coefficient between X and Y is ρ . Define $Z_1 = X + Y$ and $Z_2 = X - Y$. Please show the following two things: (i) Show that Z_1 and Z_2 are also bivariate normal. (ii) Find the joint PDF of Z_1 and Z_2 *without using calculus*. (Hint: Leverage the covariance properties of bivariate normal)

Problem 5 (Conditional Expectation)

(8+8+8=24 points)

Suppose we consider a random experiment with a green die and an orange die as follows:

- Both dice are fair, 6-sided dice. A green die is rolled until it lands 1 for the first time. An orange die is rolled until it lands 6 for the first time.
- Let T_1 be the sum of the values of the rolls of the green die (including the 1 at the end) and T_6 be the sum of the values of the rolls of the orange die (including the 6 at the end).

Suppose Rafael and Novak are debating whether $E[T_1] = E[T_6]$ or $E[T_1] < E[T_6]$. Here are their arguments:

- **Rafael's argument:** We shall have $E[T_1] = E[T_6]$. By Law of Iterated Expectation (LIE), the expected sum of the rolls of a die is the expected number of rolls times the expected value of one roll, and each of these factors is the same for the two dice. In more detail, let N_1 be the number of rolls of the green die and N_6 be the number of rolls of the orange die. By LIE and linearity, we have

$$E[T_1] = E[E[T_1|N_1]] = E[3.5 \cdot N_1] = 3.5 \cdot E[N_1].$$

By a same argument, for the orange die, we also have $E[T_1] = 3.5 \cdot E[N_6]$, which equals $3.5 \cdot E[N_1]$.

- **Novak's argument:** Actually, we shall have $E[T_1] = E[T_6]$. While the expected number of rolls is indeed the same for the two dice, but the key difference is that we know the last roll is a 1 for the green die and a 6 for the orange die. The expected totals are the same for the two dice excluding the last roll of each, and then including the last roll makes $E[T_1] < E[T_6]$.

(a) Do you agree to Rafael's argument? If no, what is the issue with Rafael's argument? Please clearly explain your thoughts.

(b) Do you agree to Novak's argument? If no, what is the issue with Novak's argument? Please clearly explain your thoughts.

(b) Give your own derivations of $E[T_1]$ and $E[T_6]$.