Figore Roşu and Traian Florin Şerbănuţă ({grosu,tserban2}@illinois.edu) niversity of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Abstract This is the K dynamic semantics of the typed SIMPLE language. It is very similar to the semantics of the untyped SIMPLE, the difference being that we now dynamically check the typing policy described in the static semantics of typed SIMPLE. Because of the dynamic nature of the semantics, we can also perform some additional checks which were not possible in the static semantics, such as memory leaks due to accessing an array out of its bounds. We will highlight the differences between	
the dynamically typed and the untyped SIMPLE as we proceed with the semantics. We recommend the reader to consult the typing policy and the syntax of types discussed in the static semantics of the typed SIMPLE language. **DOULE SIMPLE-TYPED-DYNAMIC-SYNTAX** Syntax The syntax of typed SIMPLE extends that of untyped SIMPLE with support for declaring types to variables and functions. The syntax below is identical to that of the static semantics of typed SIMPLE. However, the **Extrictness attributes are like those of the untyped SIMPLE, to capture the desired evaluation strategies of the various language constructs.	
<pre>SYNTAX</pre>	
$Type[]$ $Types -> Type$ $Types := List{Type, ", "}$ SYNTAX $Types := List{Type, ", "}$ SYNTAX $Param := Type \ Id$	
SYNTAX Params ::= List{Param, ", "} SYNTAX Decl ::= Type Exps ;	
$String Id (Exp) [bracket] H + Exp Exp[Exps] [strict, klabel('_:Exp[_:Exps])] Exp(Exps) [strict] - Exp [strict] sizeOf (Exp) [strict] read () Exp * Exp [strict] Exp + Exp [strict] Exp + Exp [strict] Exp * Exp [stric$	
Exp - Exp [strict] $Exp < Exp [strict]$ $Exp > Exp [strict]$ $Exp > Exp [strict]$ $Exp > Exp [strict]$ $Exp = Exp [strict]$ $Exp = Exp [strict]$ $Exp = Exp [strict]$ $Exp [strict]$ $Exp [strict]$ $Exp [strict]$ $Exp [strict]$ $Exp & & Exp [strict(1)]$ $Exp & & Exp [strict(1)]$ $Exp & & Exp [strict(2)]$	
Like in the static semantics, there is no need for lists of identifiers (because we now have lists of parameters). SYNTAX $Exps ::= List\{Exp, ", "\}$ [strict] SYNTAX $Block ::= \{\}$	
{Stmts} SYNTAX	
throw Exp; [strict] join Exp; [strict] acquire Exp; [strict] release Exp; [strict] rendezvous Exp; [strict] rendezvous Exp; [strict] SYNTAX Stmts ::= Stmt Stmts Stmts The same desugaring macros like in the statically typed SIMPLE.	[macro
	[macro
T X; X = E; D MODULE SIMPLE-TYPED-DYNAMIC Semantics Values and results	
These are similar to those of untyped SIMPLE, except that the array references and the function abstrations now also hold their types. These types are needed in order to easily compute the type of any value in the language (see the auxiliary typeOf operation at the end of this module). SYNTAX Val ::= Int Bool String array (Type, Int, Int) lambda (Type, Params, Stmts) SYNTAX Vals ::= List{Val,","}	
SYNTAX <i>KResult</i> ::= <i>Val</i> Configuration The configuration is almost identical to that of untyped SIMPLE, except for a return cell inside the control cell. This return cell will hold, like in the static semantics of typed SIMPLE, the expected type of the value returned by the function being executed. The contents of this cell will be set whenever a function is invoked and will be checked whenever the evaluation of the function body encounters an explicit return statement.	
threads thread* (\$PGM:Stmts ~ execute) fstack	
PList Void PList Void PList Void PList Void PMap PMap O PMap PMap O PList Void PMap PMap O PList Void PMap PMap O PMap PMap O PList Void PMap PMap O PMap PMap O PList Void	
Variable Declaration. The "undefined" construct is now parameterized by a type. A main difference between untyped SIMPLE and dynamically typed SIMPLE is that the latter assigns a type to each of its locations and that type cannot be changed during the execution of the program. We do not do any memory management in our semantic definitions here, so locations cannot be reclaimed, garbage collected and/or reused. Each location corresponds precisely to an allocated variable or array element, whose type was explicitly or implicitly declared in the program and does not change. It is therefore safe to type each location and then never allow that type to change. The typed undefined values effectively assign both a type and an undefined value to a location.	
SYNTAX $\textit{KItem} := \bot_{\textit{Type}}$ RULE $\underbrace{T:\textit{Type} \ X:Id}_{\bullet_{\textit{K}}}$; $\underbrace{Env}_{\textit{Env}[\vec{L} \ / \ X]}$ $\underbrace{\underbrace{L:Int}_{\textit{L} \ + \ Int} \ 1}$ Array Declaration. The dynamic semantics of typed array declarations is similar to that in untyped SIMPLE, but we have to make sure that we associate the right type to the allocated locations.	
RULE $T: Type \ X: Id[N:Int]$; Env $Env[L \mid X]$ Env $Env[L \mid X]$	
SYNTAX $Id ::= Token\{"\$1"\}$ $ Token\{"\$2"\}$ RULE $T:Type \ X:Id[N1:Int, N2:Int, Vs: Vals];$ $T[] < Vs > X[N1]; \{T[][] < Vs > \$1 = X; \text{ for (int } \$2 = 0; \$2 <= N1 - 1; ++ \$2)\{T \ X[N2, Vs]; \$1[\$2] = X;\}\}$ Function declaration. Store all function parameters, as well as the return type, as part of the lambda abstraction. In the spirit of dynamic typing, we will make sure that parameters are well typed when the function is invoked. RULE $T:Type \ F:Id(Ps:Params)S$ Env Store NextLoc Nap	[structura
Calling main() When done with the first pass, call main(). SYNTAX $\textit{Kltem} ::= \text{execute}$	[structura
Expressions Variable lookup.	
RULE $X:Id \ X \mapsto L$ $\{L \mapsto V: Val\}$ Variable/Array increment. CONTEXT ++ \Box $\exists Value \ \Box$	[lookuj
RULE $\left(\begin{array}{c} ++ \log \left(L\right) \\ I+I_{nt} \end{array}\right) \left\{\begin{array}{c} L \mapsto \frac{I:Int}{I+I_{nt}} \end{array}\right\}$ Arithmetic operators. RULE $\frac{I1:Int+I2:Int}{I1+I_{nt}}$ RULE $\frac{Str1:String+Str2:String}{Str1+String}$	[incremen
RULE $\frac{I1:Int - I2:Int}{I1 - I_{Int} I2}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int * I2:Int}{I1 * I_{Int} I2}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int / I2:Int}{I1 \div I_{Int} I2}$ requires $I2 \neq_K 0$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int & I2:Int}{I1 \%_{Int} I2}$ requires $I2 \neq_K 0$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int & I2:Int}{I1 \%_{Int} I2}$ requires $I2 \neq_K 0$	
$\overline{0-I_{nt} I}$ $\overline{0-I_{nt} I}$ $\overline{11:Int < 12:Int}$ $\overline{11 < I_{nt} I2}$ $\overline{11:Int < 12:Int}$ $\overline{11 \le I_{nt} I2}$ $\overline{11:Int > 12:Int}$ $\overline{11 > I_{nt} I2}$ $\overline{11:Int > 12:Int}$ $\overline{11 > I_{nt} I2}$ $\overline{11:Int > 12:Int}$	
RULE $\frac{V1 \cdot Val = V2 \cdot Val}{V1 =_{K} V2}$ RULE $\frac{V1 \cdot Val != V2 \cdot Val}{V1 \neq_{K} V2}$ RULE $\frac{! T \cdot Bool}{\neg_{Bool}(T)}$ RULE $\frac{true \& E}{E}$	
RULE false & — false RULE true — true E True E Array lookup. Check array bounds, as part of the dynamic typing policy.	
RULE $\frac{V: Val[N1:Int, N2:Int, Vs: Vals]}{V[N1][N2, Vs]}$ RULE $\frac{\text{lvalue}\left(V: Val[N1:Int, N2:Int, Vs: Vals]\right)}{\text{lvalue}\left(V[N1][N2, Vs]\right)}$ RULE $\frac{\text{array}\left(\text{Type}, L:Int, M:Int\right)[N:Int]}{\text{lookup}\left(L + _{Int} N\right)} \text{requires } N \geq_{Int} 0 \wedge_{Bool} N <_{Int} M$ RULE $\frac{\text{lvalue}\left(\text{array}\left(\text{Type}, L:Int, M:Int\right)[N:Int]\right)}{\text{lvalue}\left(\text{lookup}\left(L + _{Int} N\right)\right)} \text{requires } N \geq_{Int} 0 \wedge_{Bool} N <_{Int} M$ Size of an array.	[structura [structura [structura
Function call. Define function call and return together, to see their relationship. Note that the operation mkDecls now declares properly typed instantiated variables, and that the semantics of return also checks that that type of the returned value is expected one. SYNTAX $KItem ::= (Type, Map, K, Bag)$	
RULE $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Like the undefined above, nothing also gets tagged with a type now. The empty return statement is completed to return the nothing value tagged as expected. SYNTAX $Val ::= $ nothing $(Type)$ RULE	[structura
RULE read () I:Int List Assignment. The assignment now checks that the type of the assigned location is preserved:	[read
V = V = V = V = V = V = V = V requires typeOf $V V = V $	[assignmen
Blocks. RULE $\{S\}$ $S \sim \text{env}(Env)$ Env	[structura
Sequential composition. RULE $S1:Stmts$ $S2:Stmts$ $S1 \sim S2$ Expression statements. RULE $-:$ Val; $-:$ K	[structura
Conditional. RULE $\frac{\text{if } (\text{true})S \text{ else}}{\tilde{S}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{if } (\text{false}) - \text{else } S}{\tilde{S}}$ While loop.	
RULE $\frac{\text{while } (E)S}{\text{if } (E)\{S \text{ while } (E)S\}}$ Print. We only allow printing integers and strings: $\frac{\text{print } (\underline{V}: Val, Es);}{\text{Es}}$ requires typeOf $(V) =_K \text{ int } \vee_{Bool} \text{ typeOf } (V) =_K \text{ string}$	[structura
Exceptions. Exception parameters are now typed, but note that the semantics below works correctly only when the thrown exception has the same type as the innermost try-catch paramete. To keep things simple, for the time being we can assume that SIMPLE only throws and catches integer values, in which case our semantics below works fine: SYNTAX KItem ::= (Param, Stmt, K, Map, Bag) SYNTAX KItem ::= popx	[structura
RULE $try S1 \operatorname{catch}(P)S2 \curvearrowright K$ $S1 \curvearrowright popx$ $try S1 \operatorname{catch}(P)S2 \curvearrowright K$	
Threads. Thread creation Threads. $(T:Type \ X:Id, S2, K, Env, C)$ $Threads.$	
Thread termination requires $fresh(T:Int)$	
Thread joining thread \bullet_{K} holds \bullet_{Bag} \bullet_{Bag} \bullet_{Bag} \bullet_{Bag} \bullet_{Bag} \bullet_{Bag} Thread joining	
RULE $\int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}$	[acquire
RULE $N: V: Val \mapsto N: Int \ N + Int \ 1$ Release lock RULE $N: Val \mapsto N: Int \ N + Int \ 1$ Release $V: Val \Rightarrow N: Int \ N \mapsto Int \ N: Int \ N: Int \ Int \ 1$ requires $N >_{Int} \ 0$	
Rule $V: Val \mapsto 0$ Rendezvous synchronization Rendezvous $V: Val ;$ $V: Val \mapsto 0$ $V \cdot Set$ Rendezvous $V: Val ;$ $V: Val \mapsto 0$ $V \cdot Set$ $V: Val \mapsto 0$ $V \cdot Set$ $V: Val \mapsto 0$ $V \cdot Set$	[rendezvou
Auxiliary declarations and operations Turns a list of parameters and a list of instance values for them into a list of variable declarations. SYNTAX Decl ::= mkDecls (Params, Vals) [function] RULE mkDecls ((T:Type X:Id, Ps:Params), (V:Val, Vs:Vals))	
$T X = V \; ; mkDecls \; (Ps, Vs)$ RULE $ \underline{mkDecls \; (\bullet_{Params}, \bullet_{Vals})} $	[looku
	, saw
SYNTAX $Kltem := lookup (Int)$ RULE $lookup (I)$ $L \mapsto V : Val$ Environment recovery. SYNTAX $Kltem := env (Map)$ RULE $env (Env)$ env $Environment (Env)$ env	
Tocation lookup. SYNTAX $Kltem := \text{Lookup }(Im)$ RULE $\begin{array}{c c} \text{Lookup }(L) \\ \hline V \\ \hline \end{array}$ SYNTAX $Kltem := \text{env }(Map)$ RULE $\begin{array}{c c} \text{env }(Env) \\ \hline \end{array}$ RULE $\begin{array}{c c} \text{env }(Env) \\ \hline \end{array}$ RULE $\begin{array}{c c} \text{env }(L) \\ \hline \end{array}$	[structura
Environment recovery. Pullus env (-) r. env (-) Pullus and loc SYNTAX Fig: = Ivalue (X) SYNTAX Fig: = Ivalue (X) SYNTAX Fig: = Ivalue (X) SYNTAX Fig: = Ivalue (E-Exp[I]) CONSTEXT Ivalue (-Exp[I]) CONSTEXT Ivalue (-Exp[I]) CONSTEXT Ivalue (-Exp[I]) Adds the corresponding depth to an array type	[structura
Location bothup: SYSTEM KHom::= tooloop (Int) BULE FORDING (Exery V. Exery V. Ex	[structura] [structura] [structura]
Location bodop. SYNTAX Rhom ::= Lockup (for) Burkenmant recovery. SYNTAX Rhom ::= enr (flage) RELE	[structura
Frontier is susquest STATES Richer Locking (Int) Extraction Locking (Int) Extractio	[structura