This is the \mathbb{K} definition of the static semantics of the typed SIMPLE language, or in other words, a type system for the typed SIMPLE language in \mathbb{K} . We do not re-discuss the various features of the SIMPLE language here. The reader is referred to the untyped version of the language for such discussions. We here only focus on the new and interesting problems raised by the
untyped version of the language for such discussions. We here only focus on the new and interesting problems raised by the addition of type declarations, and what it takes to devise a type system/checker for the language. When designing a type system for a language, no matter in what paradigm, we have to decide upon the intended typing policy. Note that we can have multiple type systems for the same language, one for each typing policy. For example, should we accept programs which don't have a main function? Or should we allow functions that do not return explicitly? Or should we allow functions whose type expects them to return a value (say an int) to use a plain "return;" statement, which returns no value, like in C? And so on and so forth. Typically, there are two opposite tensions when designing a type system. On the one hand, you want your type system to be as permissive as possible, that is, to accept as many programs that do not
get stuck when executed with the untyped semantics as possible; this will keep the programmers using your language happy. On the other hand, you want your type system to have a reasonable performance when implemented; this will keep both the programmers and the implementers of your language happy. For example, a type system for rejecting programs that could perform division-by-zero is not expected to be feasible in general. A simple guideline when designing typing policies is to imagine how the semantics of the untyped language may get stuck and try to prevent those situations from happening. Before we give the K type system of SIMPLE formally, we discuss, informally, the intended typing policy: • Each program should contain a main() function. Indeed, the untyped SIMPLE semantics will get stuck on any program which does not have a main function. • Each primitive value has its own type, which can be int bool, or string. There is also a type void for nonexistent values, for example for the result of a function meant to return no value (but only be used for its side effects, like a
 The syntax of untyped SIMPLE is extended to allow type declarations for all the variables, including array variables. This is done in a C/Java-style. For example, "int x;" or "int x=7, y=x+3;", or "int[][][] a[10,20];" (the latter defines a 10 × 20 matrix of arrays of integers). Recall from untyped SIMPLE that, unlike in C/Java, our multi-dimensional arrays use comma-separated arguments, although they have the array-of-array semantics. Functions are also typed in a C/Java style. However, since in SIMPLE we allow functions to be passed to and returned by other functions, we also need function types. We will use the conventional higher-order arrow-notation for function types, but will separate the argument types with commas. For example, a function returning an array of bool elements and taking as argument an array x of two-integer-argument functions returning an integer, is declared using a syntax of the form
 bool[] f(((int,int)->int)[] x) { } and has the type ((int,int)->int)[] -> bool[]. We allow any variable declarations at the top level. Functions can only be declared at the top level. Each function can only access the other functions and variables declared at the top level, or its own locally declared variables. SIMPLE has static scoping. The various expression and statement constructs take only elements of the expected types. Increment and assignment can operate both on variables and on array elements. For example, if f has type int->int[][] and function g has the type int->int, then the increment expression ++f(7)[g(2), g(3)] is valid.
 int->int[][] and function g has the type int->int, then the increment expression ++f(7)[g(2),g(3)] is valid. Functions should only return values of their declared result type. To give the programmers more flexibility, we allow functions to use "return;" statements to terminate without returning an actual value, or to not explicitly use any return statement, regardless of their declared return type. This flexibility can be handy when writing programs using certain functions only for their side effects. Nevertheless, as the dynamic semantics shows, a return value is automatically generated when an explicit return statement is not encountered. For simplicity, we here limit exceptions to only throw and catch integer values. We let it as an exercise to the reader to extend the semantics to allow throwing and catching arbitrary-type exceptions. Like in programming languages like Java, one can go even further and define a semantics where thrown exceptions are propagated through try-catch statements until one of the corresponding type is found. We will do this when we define the KOOL language, not here.
To keep the definition if SIMPLE simple, here we do not attempt to reject programs which throw uncaught exceptions. Like in untyped SIMPLE, some constructs can be desugared into a smaller set of basic constructs. In general, it should be clear why a program does not type by looking at the top of the textsfk cells in its stuck configuration. DDULE SIMPLE-TYPED-STATIC-SYNTAX Syntax
The syntax of typed SIMPLE extends that of untyped SIMPLE with support for declaring types to variables and functions. SYNTAX Id ::= Token{"main"} Types Primitive, array and function types, as well as lists (or tuples) of types. The lists of types are useful for function arguments.
<pre>SYNTAX</pre>
Declarations Variable and function declarations have the expected syntax. For variables, we basically just replaced the var keyword of untyped SIMPLE with a type. For functions, besides replacing the function keyword with a type, we also introduce a new syntactic category for typed variables, <i>Param</i> , and lists over it. SYNTAX Params ::= Type Id SYNTAX Params ::= List{Param, ", "}
SYNTAX Decl ::= Type Exps; Type Id(Params)Block Expressions The syntax of expressions is identical to that in untyped SIMPLE, except for the logical conjunction and disjunction which have different strictness attributes, because they now have different evaluation strategies. SYNTAX Exp ::= Int
Bool String Id (Exp) [bracket] ++ Exp Exp[Exps] [strict, klabel('_:Exp[_:Exps])] Exp(Exps) [strict] - Exp [strict] sizeOf (Exp) [strict] read () Exp * Exp [strict]
Exp * Exp Strict $ Exp * Exp Strict $ $ Exp * Exp Strict $ $ Exp + Exp Strict $ $ Exp - Exp Strict $ $ Exp < Exp Strict $ $ Exp < Exp Strict $ $ Exp > Exp Strict $ $ Exp > Exp Strict $ $ Exp = Exp Strict $ $ Exp = Exp Strict $ $ Exp = Exp Strict $ $ Exp ! = Exp Strict $
! Exp [strict] Exp && Exp [strict] Exp && Exp [strict] Exp Exp [strict] spawn Block Exp = Exp [strict(2)] Note that spawn has not been declared strict. This may seem unexpected, because the child thread shares the same environment with the parent thread, so from a typing perspective the spawned statement makes the same sense in a child thread as it makes in the parent thread. The reason for not declaring it strict is because we want to disallow programs where the spawned thread calls the return statement, because those programs would get stuck in the dynamic semantics. The type semantics of
spawn below will reject such programs. We still need lists of expressions, defined below, but note that we do not need lists of identifiers anymore. They have been replaced by the lists of parameters. SYNTAX $Exps ::= List\{Exp, ", "\}$ [strict]
The statements have the same syntax as in untyped SIMPLE, except for the exceptions, which now type their parameter. Note that, unlike in untyped SIMPLE, all statement constructs which have arguments and are not desugared are strict, including the conditional and the while. Indeed, from a typing perspective, they are all strict: first type their arguments and then type the actual construct. SYNTAX Block ::= {} {Stmts}
SYNTAX Stmt ::= Decl Block Exp; [strict] if (Exp)Block else Block [avoid, strict] if (Exp)Block while (Exp)Block [strict] for (Stmts Exp; Exp)Block return Exp; [strict] return; nrint (Exps) : [strict]
print (Exps); [strict] try Block catch (Param)Block [strict(1)] throw Exp; [strict] join Exp; [strict] acquire Exp; [strict] release Exp; [strict] rendezvous Exp; [strict] Note that the sequential composition is now sequentially strict, because, unlike in the dynamic semantics where statements dissolved, they now reduce to the stmt type, which is a result.
SYNTAX Stmts ::= Stmt Stmts Stmts
RULE $\frac{\text{if }(E)S}{\text{if }(E)S\text{ else }\{\}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{for }(Start\ Cond\ ;\ Step)\{S:Stmts\}}{\{Start\ \text{while }(Cond)\{S\ Step\ ;\}\}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{for }(Start\ Cond\ ;\ Step)\{\}}{\{Start\ \text{while }(Cond)\{Step\ ;\}\}}$
RULE $\frac{T:Type\ E1:Exp\ E2:Exp\ Exp\ Exps\ ;}{T\ E1\ ;\ T\ E2\ Exp\ Exps\ ;}$ RULE $\frac{T:Type\ X:Id=E\ ;}{T\ X\ ;\ X=E\ ;}$ RDULE SIMPLE-TYPED-STATIC
Static semantics Here we define the type system of SIMPLE. Like concrete semantics, type systems defined in K are also executable. However, K type systems turn into type checkers instead of interpreters when executed. The typing process is done in two (overlapping) phases. In the first phase the global environment is built, which contains type bindings for all the globally declared variables and functions. For functions, the declared types will be "trusted" during the first phase and simply bound to their corresponding function names and placed in the global type environment. At the same time, type-checking tasks that the function bodies indeed respect their claimed types are generated. All these tasks are
(concurrently) verified during the second phase. This way, all the global variable and function declarations are available in the global type environment and can be used in order to type-check each function code. This is consistent with the semantics of untyped SIMPLE, where functions can access all the global variables and can call any other function declared in the same program. The two phases may overlap because of the $\mathbb K$ concurrent semantics. For example, a function task can be started while the first phase is still running; moreover, it may even complete before the first phase does, namely when all the global variables and functions that it needs have already been processed and made available in the global environment by the first phase task.
Extended syntax and results The idea is to start with a configuration holding the program to type in one of its cells, then apply rewrite rules on it mixing types and language syntax, and eventually obtain a type instead of the original program. In other words, the program reduces to its type using the \mathbb{K} rules giving the type system of the language. In doing so, additional typing tasks for function bodies are generated and solved the same way. If this rewriting process gets stuck, then we say that the program is not well-typed. Otherwise the program is well-typed (by definition). We did not need types for statements and for blocks as part of the typed SIMPLE syntax, because programmers are not allowed to use such types explicitly. However, we are going to need them in the type system, because blocks and statements reduce to them.
We start by allowing types to be used inside expressions and statements in our language. This way, types can be used together with language syntax in subsequent \mathbb{K} rules without any parsing errors. Like in the type system of IMP++ in the \mathbb{K} tutorial, we prefer to group the block and statement types under one syntactic sub-category of types, because this allows us to more compactly state that certain terms can be either blocks or statements. Also, since programs and fragments of program will reduce to their types, in order for the strictness and context declarations to be executable we state that types are results (same like we did in the IMP++ tutorial).
SYNTAX BlockOrStmtType ::= block stmt SYNTAX Type ::= BlockOrStmtType SYNTAX Block ::= BlockOrStmtType SYNTAX KResult ::= Type
Configuration The configuration of our type system consists of a tasks cell holding various typing task cells, and a global type environment. Each task includes a k cell holding the code to type, a tenv cell holding the local type environment, and a return cell holding the return type of the currently checked function. The latter is needed in order to check whether return statements return values of the expected type. Initially, the program is placed in a k cell inside a task cell. Since the cells with multiplicity "?" are not included in the initial configuration, the task cell holding the original program in its k cell will contain no other subcells.
CONFIGURATION: T tasks k tenv? void void
Variable declarations Variable declarations type as statements, that is, they reduce to the type stmt. There are only two cases that need to be considered: when a simple variable is declared and when an array variable is declared. The macros at the end of the syntax
module above take care of reducing other variable declarations, including ones where the declared variables are initialized, to only these two cases. The first case has two subcases: when the variable declaration is global (i.e., the task cell contains only the k cell), in which case it is added to the global type environment checking at the same time that the variable has not been already declared; and when the variable declaration is local (i.e., a tenv cell is available), in which case it is simply added to the local type environment, possibly shadowing previous homonymous variables. The third case reduces to the second, incrementally moving the array dimension into the type until the array becomes a simple variable.
RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ \hline T:Type & X:Id \ ; \\ \hline stmt & & \\ \hline \end{array} $ requires $\neg_{Bool}(X \text{ in keys }(\rho))$
CONTEXT —: Type —: $Exp[\Box]$; RULE $T:Type \ E:Exp[\ int, \ Ts:Types]$; $T[] \ E[Ts]$; RULE $T:Type \ E:Exp[\bullet_{Types}]$; $T \ E$;
Function declarations Functions are allowed to be declared only at the top level (the task cell holds only its k subcell). Each function declaration reduces to a variable declaration (a binding of its name to its declared function type), but also adds a task into the tasks cell. The task consists of a typing of the statement declaring all the function parameters followed by the function body, together with the expected return type of the function. The types and mkDecls functions, defined at the end of the file in the section on auxiliary operations, extracts the list of types and makes a sequence of variable declarations from a list of function parameters, respectively. Note that, although in the dynamic semantics we include a terminating return statement at the end
of the function body to eliminate from the analysis the case when the function does not provide an explicit return, we do not need to include such a similar return statement here. That's because the return statements type to stmt anyway, and the entire code of the function body needs to type anyway. $ \frac{T:Type\ F:Id(Ps:Params)S}{\text{getTypes}\ (Ps)} \rightarrow T\ F; $
Checking if main() exists Once the entire program is processed (generating appropriate tasks to type check its function bodies), we can dissolve the
main task cell (the one holding only a k subcell). Since we want to enforce that programs include a main function, we also generate a function task executing main() to ensure that it types (remove this task creation if you do not want your type system to reject programs without a main function). *Bag* *Bag* *Bag*
Collecting the terminated tasks Similarly, once a non-main task (i.e., one which contains a tenv subcells) is completed using the subsequent rules (i.e., its k cell holds only the block or stmt type), we can dissolve its corresponding cell. Note that it is important to ensure that we
only dissolve tasks containing a tenv cell with the rule below, because the main task should <i>not</i> dissolve this way! It should do what the above rule says. In the end, there should be no task cell left in the configuration when the program correctly type checks. RULE Task
Basic values The first three rewrite rules below reduce the primitive values to their types, as we typically do when we define type systems in \mathbb{K} .
RULE —: Int int RULE —: Bool bool RULE —: String string
Variable lookup There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too.
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too.
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too. RULE $X:Id$
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too. RULE $X:Id$
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too. RULE X:Id
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too. RULE Variable V
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment, it is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment, then look its variable's type up in the global environment, too. **RULE** ***Id** **Int** **
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment crists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment. To be local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too. RILE RILE
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment, more including the present of the variable's type up in the global environment, more manning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, inc. **ROLE** **The state of the present operation to upply to any leads to be increment operation only if that argument is an leads we define a special context extracting the type of the argument of the increment operation to upply to any leads to be increment operation only if that argument is an leads we define a special context extracting the type of the argument of the increment operation only if that argument is an leads to define the local to the increment operation only if that argument is an leads to define the local to the contribution operation becomes section. It executed the pass of the argument of the increment operation only if that argument is an leads to define the local operation of the argument of the increment operation only if that argument is an leads to define the local operation of the argument of the increment operation only if that argument is an leads to define the local operation of the local operation of the argument of the increment operation only if that argument is an leads to define the local operation of
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then lock its eye up to these. (2) if a local environment extraorment, remaining that we are executing the up fixed pass, then look diversible keye up in the global environment, too. **RELIC** **The string** **The rates are three cases to distinguish for variable and the string that we are executing the up fixed pass, then look diversible keye up in the global continuous too. **The string of the string of the string that the string that we are executing the up the look diversible keys up in the global continuous too. **The string of the string of the string that the string that is used to the string that the string that is used to the string that the string that is used to the string process gets stock. The operation layer is defined as the end of this fit, in the auxiliary operation section. It resembling that is an a filling range stack if its against its and an Industry is the control of the local case in the rate. **CONTEXT** ** **Lips** **Common expression constructs** **The rates theirs are stringbillow and and self-explanatory: **RELIC** **Int** **
There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type error in the layer provided in the year place. (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is bound in the local type up in the global environment, to another than the local type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is type up in the global environment, to exist the variable is the variable increment contains to apply to any trabae, including array elements, not only to variables. For that reason, we define a special context extracting the type of the agreement of the increment operation only if that argument is an abulan. Otherwise the rewriting process gests stark. The operation I type is defined at the end of this tip, in the auxiliary operation extraction. Leve-entiring that is an a filter grange stark it is argument is an abulan extraction. The variable site is expected to be an integer in order to be allowed to be incremented, as seen in the rule "4+ int wint int int int int int int int int int
The control of the control of controls to the control of the contr
Theorem of these control of changed in the stable bedoesn't 171 the variable in board in the level type or planes (25 in held preformers exists and the variable to the board in its, then both to the open pain in the global environment. **The stable is required by the point of the point of the point of the plane of the planes of t
There are able cases to diverge the for straight backage, if if the weakles in head the beat dispersed plant of its development of the person
There are there can be distinguish for a widely belowy. It if the veight is bound in the body one page in the public recycle per group and a set of a section are story as given as the public of the case is a best of a widely and the public of the case is a best of a widely and the public of the case is a best of a widely of the case in a best of a widely of the case in a best of a widely of the case in a best of the public of the case in the case in the public of the case in the ca
The control care in the distinguish of its controls to should be the control of the total of the control of the
These restricts are the foliage of the restrict belong of 3 files and 1 file through the consument of the high per part year. (27 files the consument of the stable is stable to the restrict.) It is also in the stable of the stable is the stable is the stable in the stable is the stable in the stable is the stable in the stable in the stable is the stable in the stable in the stable is the stable in the stable is the stable in the st
The content can be to to depend on the content of t
The content consequent relationship for controls that yet (1) files were the content on the cont
The control control of supplies of the control of the control of the book in the control of the
There are the even as distinguish to work and he happy (it) after a shall be looked in the same processor and the shall be represented as a construction of the same processor and the shall be a supposed processor and the shall be represented as a construction of the same processor and the shall be represented as a construction of the same processor and the same pro
The control of the co
The process of control of control of the control of
The control can be about and a base of the control can be able of the contr
The content of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
Property
The content of the plant of the content of the cont
Process Proc
The international control of the con