AGENT MODULE BASIC-EXP-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Exp := (Exp)$ [bracket]	
END MODULE VAL SYNTAX $Exp := Val$ SYNTAX $KResult := Val$ END MODULE	
MODULE BOOL-EXP-SYNTAX $SYNTAX Exp ::= Bool$ $END MODULE$ $MODULE BOOL-EXP$ $SYNTAX Val ::= Bool$	
END MODULE INT-EXP-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Exp := Int$ END MODULE MODULE INT-EXP	
SYNTAX $Val := Int$ END MODULE EXP-SYNTAX $SYNTAX Exp := Exp * Exp [mul, strict] \\ Exp / Exp [div, strict] \\ Exp + Exp [plus, strict] \\ Exp = Exp [eq, seqstrict] \\ Exp = Exp [eq, strict] \\ Exp = Exp [eq, strict] \\ exp = Exp [eq, strict] \\ exp = exp [eq, strict] \\ exp = exp [eq, strict] \\ exp = exp [eq, strict] $ END MODULE	
MODULE EXP RULE $\frac{I1:Int + I2:Int}{I1 + Int}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int * I2:Int}{I1 * Int}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int * I2:Int}{I1 * Int}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int}{I1 * Int}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int}{I1 * Int}$	
RULE $\frac{II:Int}{II} \neq \frac{II:Int}{II}$ requires $IZ = /=I_{Int}$ 0 RULE $\frac{II:Int \leq I2:Int}{I1 \leq I_{Int}}$ 1 RULE $\frac{V1:Val = V2:Val}{V1 = K}$ $V2$ RULE $\frac{V1:Bool}{II}$	
MODULE IF-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= if Exp then Exp else Exp [if, strict(1)] END MODULE MODULE IF RULE if true then E else —	
RULE if false then — else E END MODULE MODULE ID-EXP-SYNTAX	
SYNTAX $Exp := Id$ END MODULE MODULE LAMBDA-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Lambda := \lambda Id.Exp$ [lam, binder] SYNTAX $Exp := Exp$ Exp [app, strict] $Lambda$	
END MODULE LAMBDA SYNTAX $Val ::= Id$ $ Lambda $ RULE $(\lambda X: Id. E: K)$ $V: KResult$	
$E[V \mid X]$ END MODULE MODULE MU-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Exp := \mu Id.Exp$ [mu, binder] END MODULE	
$\begin{array}{ll} \text{MODULE MU} \\ & \frac{(\mu X: Id.E:K)}{E[(\mu X.E) \ / \ X]} \\ \\ \text{END MODULE} \end{array}$ END MODULE	
SYNTAX $Exp ::= callcc Exp [callCC, strict]$ END MODULE MODULE CALLCC SYNTAX $Val ::= cc(K)$	
RULE $\frac{\operatorname{callcc}(V:KResult) \curvearrowright K}{(V \ cc(K:K))}$ RULE $\frac{(cc(K) \ V) \curvearrowright -}{V \curvearrowright K}$ END MODULE	
MODULE HALT-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= halt Exp [strict] END MODULE MODULE HALT	
RULE $(\text{halt } V: Val) \curvearrowright -$ END MODULE MODULE SEQ-SYNTAX	
SYNTAX $Exp := skip$ $ Exp ; Exp [seq, strict(1)] $ END MODULE MODULE SEQ SYNTAX $Val := skip$ RULE $V: Val ; S: K$	[structural]
END MODULE MODULE IO-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= read [read]	
END MODULE IO CONFIGURATION:	
RULE $read$ I t	
END MODULE REF-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Exp ::= ref Exp [ref, strict] * Exp [dref, strict] Exp := Exp [assgn, strict(2)]$ END MODULE	
MODULE REF CONFIGURATION: $\$PGM:Exp$ \bullet_{Map} CONTEXT $* \square := -$	
RULE $\frac{\text{ref }V:Val}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet_{Map} \\ N \mapsto V \end{array} \right\}$ requires fresh $(N:Int)$ RULE $\frac{*N}{V} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} N \mapsto V \end{array} \right\}$	[transition]
RULE $\frac{k}{s \text{ kip}}$ $N \mapsto \frac{1}{V}$ END MODULE MODULE WHILE-SYNTAX	[transition]
SYNTAX $Exp := \text{while } Exp \text{ do } Exp \text{ [while]}$ END MODULE MODULE WHILE RULE $\frac{\text{while } E \text{ do } S}{\text{if } E \text{ then } (S \text{ ; while } E \text{ do } S) \text{ else skip}}$	
MODULE THREADS-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Exp := \text{acquire } Exp [\text{acq, strict}]$ $ \text{release } Exp [\text{rel, strict}]$ $ \text{rendezvous } Exp [\text{rndv, strict}]$ $ \text{spawn } Exp [\text{spwn}]$	
CONFIGURATION: thread* •K •Map •Set	
RULE $\frac{spawn S}{skip}$ $\frac{\bullet_{Bag}}{thread}$	[transition]
RULE $V:Val$ $Holds:Map$ $Busy:Set$ $Busy - Set$ $keys$ $(Holds)$	[transition]
RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} $	[transition]
RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} & \text{release } V : Val \\ \hline & \text{skip} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \text{requires } N >_{Int} \text{ 0} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \end{array} $ RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} & \text{RULE} \\ \hline & \text{release } V : Val \\ \hline & \text{skip} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \text{holds} \\ \hline & & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & \text{holds} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} $	
$\begin{array}{c c} \text{RULE} & \frac{\text{rendezvous } V \colon Val}{\text{skip}} \end{array} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c c} \text{END MODULE} \\ \text{MODULE AGENTS-SYNTAX} \end{array}$	[transition]
SYNTAX Exp ::= newAgent Exp [newAg] me [me] parent [parent] receive [rcv] receiveFrom Exp [rcvFr, strict] send Exp to Exp [sndTo, strict] sendSynch Exp to Exp [sndSyn, strict] barrier [bar] broadcast Exp [best, strict] haltAgent [haltAg]	
END MODULE AGENTS CONFIGURATION: agent* messages messages	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
RULE Page N:Int N	
*Bag *Set *Bag RULE *AltAgent	[transition]
RULE Me N Page N	
RULE $N1$ RULE $N1$ Send V to $N2$ skip Message from to body	
RULE N receive $V:Val$ V	[transition]
RULE $N2$ $N1$ V $N1$ V $N1$ V	[transition]
RULE N broadcast V skip	
RULE \bullet _Set \bullet _Bag agent \bullet _Bag \bullet	[structural]
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & &$	[transition]
RULE N barrier true W \bullet_{Set} requires $\neg_{Bool}(N \text{ in } W)$ RULE V true V waiting V requires V requires V =/=Set \bullet_{Set}	[transition]
RULE M barrier waiting Barrier waiting False Set	
END MODULE Generic Visitors K allows users to define generic visitors, that is, visitors that take any K term and transform it according to given parameters. The particular user-defined syntax of the target language is irrelevant for the visitor, because that is all eventually transformed into K terms, and the visitors work with the latter. This is in sharp contrast with conventional visitor-like semantic approaches,	
such as those encountered in conventional semantics of quote/unquote constructs in languages with support for code generation, which are language-specific, that is, which have a case (semantic rule) for each language construct. Our generic visitor approach is possible thanks to K's meta-representation of syntax as KLabels and applications of them to KLists. Our current visitor has an API consisting of three KLabels: • #visit, which is expected to take two arguments, the K term to visit and the actual visitor. • #visitor, which is a KItem stating what to do during the visit. Currently, #visitor expects four arguments: the first two describe the action to take, and the later two describe the condition under which to take the action. We need two arguments for each because both the action and the condition consist of a KLabel and a partial list of arguments for it.	
The complete list of arguments is obtained by appending the actual node being visited to the partial list of arguments. • #visited, which is a wrapper for the visited K term. One important aspect of K visitors is that they need to allow for code (i.e., K terms) to be executed during the visiting process. For example, in an implementation of quote/unquote using visitors, code which is unquoted the right number of times (as many times as it has been quoted) has to be executed in exactly that context. This is achieved by simply allowing the action embedded in the visitor to do anything, including replacing the visited node with code to be executed, in particular with itself. In order for this to work, the temporary constructs used during the top-down traversal saying that the term is being visited	
need to be made strict. Once the argument subterms of these strict operators are visited, the larger term is also marked visited and the process continues until the entire term is marked as visited. The #visited label needs to yield KResults in order for this to work, although in practice probably users of the visitor will subsort #visited-wrapped terms to their definition's particular KResults. Code Generation Here we show the semantics of the code generation constructs, namely of quote, unquote, lift, eval. The interesting	
aspect of our K definition of these constructs below is that it is <i>language independent</i> . That is, nothing needs to change in the semantics below if new syntactic constructs are added to or removed from Agent. MODULE QUOTE-UNQUOTE-SYNTAX Syntax. lift and eval are strict, where the former takes the resulting value and lifts it into a code value, and the later expects its argument to evaluate to a code value and turns it into its corresponding code, which is consequently evaluated in the current context. quote and unquote are not strict. The former freezes its argument code into a code value, without	
evaluating it, except for code appearing as arguments of unquote. In fact, quote and unquote can be nested; a counter keeps track of how many times quote appears nested, and only the code which is unquoted the same number of times gets evaluated in the current context. Please refer to languages like Scheme for more details on how these constructs work. SYNTAX Exp ::= quote Exp [quote]	
END MODULE QUOTE-UNQUOTE Semantics. We here chose to use the generic visitor pre-defined in K. A direct definition would be clearer, but although still language-independent it would involve more rules. Additionally, this offers an opportunity to illustrate the power of K's generic visitors.	
Define a visitor parametric in a natural number N that applies quoteit (defined below) with first argument N to quote and unquote nodes; these nodes are recognized with the predicate isQuote (also defined below). We define this visitor as a macro: SYNTAX KItem ::= qVisitor (Int) RULE qVisitor (N:Int)	[macro]
$\frac{\text{qvisitor}(\text{Habel }('\text{quoteit}), \#\text{klist}(N), \#\text{label }('\text{isQuoted}), \#\text{klist}(N))}{\#\text{visitor}(\#\text{label }('\text{quoteit}), \#\text{klist}(N), \#\text{label }('\text{isQuoted}), \#\text{klist}(N))}$ The mQuote macro defined below simply applies the visitor to a given K term. In this particular definition of Agent the K term will always be an expression, but we want our semantics to be as general as possible, so we want it to work also if we add other syntactic categories to our language (e.g., statements): SYNTAX $Exp ::= mQuote(K, Int)$ RULE $mQuote(E, N)$	[macro]
The semantics of quote E is defined as follows: visit E, starting with counter 0; whenever a nested quote construct is encountered, increment the counter and continue; whenever a nested unquote construct is encountered, increment the unquoted code, otherwise decrement the counter and continue. The unquote construct is expected to produce a code value, otherwise a runtime error will occur if executed (because unquote can only occur inside the argument expression of a quote). Since the result of applying a visitor to a K term is the visited K term wrapped with label #visited, the semantics of the constructs lift and eval are defined using the #visited wrapper: SYNTAX $Kltem ::=$ quoteit (Int, K)	[шасто]
$ \begin{array}{ll} \hline mQuote\left(E,0\right) \\ \\ RULE & quoteit\left(N,quoteE\right) \\ \hline \#\mathit{visiting.kapp}\left(\#label\left('\mathit{quote}_{-}\right),mQuote\left(E,N+_{\mathit{Int}}1\right)\right) \\ \\ RULE & \underline{quoteit\left(0,unquoteE\right)} \\ \hline E \\ \\ RULE & \underline{quoteit\left(N,unquoteE\right)} & \mathrm{requires}\left(N>_{\mathit{Int}}0\right) \\ \end{array} $	
RULE $isVal(\#visited(_))$ true Finally, we define the auxiliary predicate testing if a code fragment is a quote or unquote: SYNTAX $Bool := isQuoted(Exp)$ [function]	
RULE $\frac{\text{isQuoted (quote }E)}{\text{true}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{isQuoted (unquote }K)}{\text{true}}$ Conceptually, the above is the conventional definition of quote/unquote. However, the definitions that we encountered so far were all language specific; that is, rules propagating the transformations above through each particular language construct	
were given, ending up with a semantics of quote/unquote as large as the size of the language syntax. Note that our semantics is fixed and applies to any language. END MODULE MODULE AGENT-SYNTAX END MODULE	
MODULE AGENT CONFIGURATION: T agent* control	
thread* k	