KOOL — Typed — Dynamic Grigore Roşu and Traian Florin Şerbănuţă ({grosu,tserban2}@illinois.edu) University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Abstract This is the K dynamic semantics of the typed KOOL language. It is very similar to the semantics of the untyped KOOL, the difference being that we now check the typing policy dynamically. Since we have to now declare the types of variables and methods, we adopt a syntax for those which is close to Java. Like in the semantics of untyped KOOL, where we borrowed almost all the semantics of untyped SIMPLE, we are going to also borrow much of the semantics of dynamically typed SIMPLE here. We will highlight the differences between the dynamically typed and the untyped KOOL as we proceed with	
SIMPLE here. We will highlight the differences between the dynamically typed and the untyped KOOL as we proceed with the semantics. In general, the type policy of the typed KOOL language is similar to that of Java. You may find it useful to also read the discussion in the preamble of the static semantics of typed KOOL before proceeding. MODULE KOOL-TYPED-DYNAMIC-SYNTAX Syntax Like for the untyped KOOL language, the syntax of typed KOOL extends that of typed SIMPLE with object-oriented constructs. The syntax below was produced by copying and modifying/extending the syntax of dynamically typed SIMPLE. In fact, the only change we made to the existing syntax of dynamically typed SIMPLE was to change the strictness of the application construct like in untyped KOOL, from strict to strict(2) (because application is not strict in the first argument	
anymore due to dynamic method dispatch). The KOOL-specific syntactic extensions are identical to those in untyped KOOL. SYNTAX Id ::= Object	
string Id Type] Types -> Type (Type) [bracket] SYNTAX Types ::= List{Type, ", "} Declarations SYNTAX Param ::= Type Id	
SYNTAX Params ::= List{Param, ","} SYNTAX Decl ::= Type Exps ; [avoid]	
Bool String Id Id this super (Exp) [bracket] ++ Exp Exp instanceOf Id [strict(1)] (Id)Exp [strict(2)] new Id(Exps) [strict(2)] Exp . Id Exp[Exps] [strict] Exp(Exps) [strict(2)] - Exp [strict] Exp(Exps) [strict(2)] - Exp [strict] - Exp [strict	
sizeOf (Exp) [strict] read () $Exp * Exp$ [strict] Exp / Exp [strict] $Exp * Exp$ [strict] $Exp * Exp$ [strict] $Exp * Exp$ [strict] $Exp - Exp$ [strict] $Exp - Exp$ [strict] $Exp < Exp$ [strict] $Exp < Exp$ [strict] $Exp > Exp$ [strict] $Exp > Exp$ [strict] $Exp > Exp$ [strict] $Exp = Exp$ [strict]	
{Stmts} SYNTAX	
join Exp ; [strict] acquire Exp ; [strict] release Exp ; [strict] rendezvous Exp ; [strict] SYNTAX Stmts ::= Stmt Stmts Stm	[macro]
	[macro] [macro]
Semantics We first discuss the new configuration, then we include the semantics of the constructs borrowed from SIMPLE which stay unchanged, then those whose semantics had to change, and finally the semantics of the KOOL-specific constructs. MODULE KOOL-TYPED-DYNAMIC Configuration The configuration of dynamically typed KOOL is almost identical to that of its untyped variant. The only difference is the	
cell return, inside the control cell, whose role is to hold the expected return type of the invoked method. That is because we want to dynamically check that the value that a method returns has the expected type. CONFIGURATION: Threads Threads (\$PGM:Stmts \cap execute)	
fstack void *List *List void crntClass envStack location? Object *List *K Object *Map o	
Store Map Set Set List O Classes ClassName Extends Main Object K Unchanged semantics from dynamically typed SIMPLE	
The semantics below is taken over from dynamically typed SIMPLE unchanged. Like for untyped KOOL, the semantics of function/method declaration and invocation, and of program initialization needs to change. Moreover, due to subtyping, the semantics of several imported SIMPLE constructs can be made more general, such as that of the return statement, that of the assignment, and that of the exceptions. We removed all these from the imported semantics of SIMPLE below and gave their modified semantics right after, together with the extended semantics of thread spawning (which is identical to that of untyped KOOL). SYNTAX Val ::= Int Bool	
$\begin{aligned} & \text{SYNTAX} \textit{Exp} ::= \textit{Val} \\ & \text{SYNTAX} \textit{KResult} ::= \textit{Val} \\ & \text{SYNTAX} \textit{K} ::= \bot_{\textit{Type}} \\ & \text{RULE} & \underbrace{\begin{matrix} \text{Env} \\ \textbf{T}: \textit{Type} \; X: \textit{Id} \;;} \end{matrix} \qquad \underbrace{\begin{matrix} \text{Env} \\ \textbf{Env} \middle[\dot{\textbf{L}} \; / \; X \end{matrix}]} \qquad \underbrace{\begin{matrix} \textbf{L}: \textit{Int} \\ \textbf{L} \mapsto \bot_{\textit{T}} \end{matrix}} \qquad \underbrace{\begin{matrix} \textbf{L}: \textit{Int} \\ \textbf{L} \mapsto \bot_{\textit{T}} \end{matrix}} \end{aligned}$	
RULE $\frac{T:Type \ X:Id[N:Int];}{\bullet_{K}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} Env \\ \hline Env[L \ / \ X] \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L:Int \\ \hline L \mapsto array \ (T,L+_{Int} \ 1,N) \ (L+_{Int} \ 1) \dots (L+_{Int} \ N) \mapsto \bot_{T} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L:Int \\ \hline L +_{Int} \ 1 +_{Int} \ N \end{array} \right\} $ requires $N \ge_{Int} \ 0$ CONTEXT —:Type —:Exp[\square]; SYNTAX $Id := \$1$ $ \2 RULE $\frac{T:Type \ X:Id[N1:Int, \ N2:Int, \ Vs: Vals];}{T[] < Vs > X[NI]; \ \{T[]] < Vs > \$1 = X; \ for \ (int \ \$2 = 0; \ \$2 <= NI \cdot 1; \ ++ \$2)\{T \ X[N2, \ Vs]; \ \$1[\$2] = X;\}\}$ RULE $\frac{X:Id}{V} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} X:Id \\ X \mapsto L \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L:Int \\ L \mapsto I \ 1 +_{Int} \ N \end{array} \right\}$	[structural]
CONTEXT ++ \Box $\boxed{\text{lvalue}(\Box)}$ RULE $\underbrace{\frac{H + \text{loc}(L)}{I + l_{Int} 1}}_{\text{H} + l_{Int} 12}$ RULE $\underbrace{\frac{I1:Int + 12:Int}{I1 + l_{Int} 12}}_{\text{RULE} 12:String}$ RULE $\underbrace{Str1:String + Str2:String}_{\text{L} + l_{Int} 12}$	[increment]
$Str1 +_{String} Str2$ $RULE \frac{I1:Int - I2:Int}{II{Int} I2}$ $RULE \frac{I1:Int * I2:Int}{II *_{Int} I2}$ $RULE \frac{I1:Int / I2:Int}{II +_{Int} I2} \qquad \text{requires } I2 \neq_K 0$ $RULE \frac{I1:Int % I2:Int}{II %_{Int} I2} \qquad \text{requires } I2 \neq_K 0$ $RULE - I:Int$	
RULE $\frac{V1:Val = V2:Val}{V1 =_{K} V2}$ RULE $\frac{V1:Val != V2:Val}{V1 \neq_{K} V2}$ RULE $\frac{! \ T:Bool}{\neg_{Bool}(T)}$ RULE $\frac{true \ \&\& E}{E}$ RULE $\frac{false \ \&\&}{false}$	
RULE $\frac{\text{true } \mid \mid -}{\text{true}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{false } \mid \mid E}{E}$ RULE $\frac{V: Val[N1:Int, N2:Int, Vs: Vals]}{V[N1][N2, Vs]}$ RULE $\frac{\text{array } (-:\text{Type, } L:Int, M:Int)[N:Int]}{\text{lookup } (L + Int N)} \text{requires } N \geq_{Int} 0 \land_{Bool} N <_{Int} M$ RULE $\frac{\text{sizeOf } (\text{ array } (-, -, N))}{N}$	[structural, anywhere]
SYNTAX $Val ::= nothing (Type)$ RULE	[structural]
	[structural] [structural]
RULE $\frac{\text{if (true)}S \text{ else}}{S}$ RULE $\frac{\text{if (false)} - \text{ else }S}{S}$ RULE $\frac{\text{while }(E)S}{\text{if }(E)\{S \text{ while }(E)S\}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{print }(\underline{V:Val, Es})}{Es};$ requires typeOf $(V) =_K$ string	[structural]
RULE $\frac{\text{print (}\cdot_{Vals) ;}}{\cdot_{K}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{busy}}{\text{busy}}$ $\frac{\text{busy}}{Busy - Set}$ keys (H) RULE $\frac{\text{busy}}{\text{join } T:Int ;}$	[structural]
RULE $N = N + N + N + N + N + N + N + N + N + $	[acquire]
RULE $V:Val;$ $V\mapsto N$ requires $N>_{Int} 0$ RULE $V:Val\mapsto 0$ $V:Va$	[rendezvous]
Unchanged auxiliary operations from dynamically typed SIMPLE. SYNTAX $Decl ::= mkDecls (Params, Vals) [function]$ RULE $\frac{mkDecls ((T:Type \ X:Id, Ps:Params), (V:Val, Vs:Vals))}{T \ X = V ; mkDecls (Ps, Vs)}$ RULE $\frac{mkDecls (\bullet_{Params}, \bullet_{Vals})}{\{\}}$ SYNTAX $K ::= lookup (Int)$	
RULE $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{lookup}(L) \\ V \end{array}}_{V} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} L \mapsto V : Val \end{array}}_{V}$ SYNTAX $K := \operatorname{env}(Map)$ RULE $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{env}(Env) \\ \bullet_{K} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{K}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{env}(-) \\ \hline \bullet_{K} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{K}}$ RULE $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{env}(-) \\ \bullet_{K} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{K}} \circ \operatorname{env}(-)$	[lookup] [structural]
SYNTAX $Exp := loc(Int)$ RULE $Value(X:Id)$ CONTEXT $lvalue(-:Exp[\Box])$ CONTEXT $lvalue(\Box[-:Exps])$ RULE $lvalue(lookup(L:Int))$	[structural]
SYNTAX $Type ::= Type < Vals > [function]$ RULE $T: Type < -$, $Vs: Vals >$ $T < Vs >$ RULE $T: Type < \bullet_{Vals} > \frac{T: Type}{T}$ SYNTAX $Map ::= Int \dots Int \mapsto K [function]$ RULE $N \dots M \mapsto -$ requires $N >_{Int} M$	
RULE $\frac{N \dots M \mapsto K}{N \mapsto K \ (N +_{Int} 1) \dots M \mapsto K}$ requires $N \leq_{Int} M$ SYNTAX $Type ::= type0f (K)$ [function] RULE $\frac{type0f (-:Int)}{int}$ RULE $\frac{type0f (-:Bool)}{bool}$ RULE $\frac{type0f (-:String)}{string}$	
RULE $\frac{\operatorname{type0f}\left(\operatorname{array}\left(T,-,-\right)\right)}{(T[])}$ RULE $\frac{\operatorname{type0f}\left(\operatorname{bt}_{T}\right)}{T}$ RULE $\frac{\operatorname{type0f}\left(\operatorname{nothing}\left(T\right)\right)}{T}$ SYNTAX $Types ::= \operatorname{getTypes}\left(Params\right) [\operatorname{function}]$ RULE $\frac{\operatorname{getTypes}\left(T:Type -: \operatorname{ld}\right)}{T, \bullet_{Types}}$ RULE $\operatorname{getTypes}\left(T:Type -: \operatorname{ld}, P, Ps\right)$	
T, getTypes (P, Ps) RULE getTypes (P, Ps) Void, *Types Changes to the existing dynamically typed SIMPLE semantics We extend/change the semantics of several SIMPLE constructs in order to take advantage of the richer KOOL semantic infrastructure and thus get more from the existing SIMPLE constructs. Program initialization. Like in untyped KOOL.	
SYNTAX $Val ::= \text{objectClosure} (Bag)$	[structural]
The type held by a method clossure will be the entire type of the method, not only its result type like the lambda-closure of typed SIMPLE. The reason for this change comes from the the need to dynamically upcast values when passed to contexts where values of superclass types are expected; since we want method closures to be first-class-citizen values in our language, we have to be able to dynamically upcast them, and in order to do that elegantly it is convenient to store the entire "current type" of the method closure instead of just its result type. Note that this was unnecessary in the semantics of the dynamically typed SIMPLE language. Method closure application needs to also set a new return type in the return cell, like in dynamically typed SIMPLE, in order for the values returned by its body to be checked against the return type of the method. To do this correctly, we also need to stack the current status of the return cell and then pop it when the method returns. We have to do the same with the current object environment, so we group them together in the stack frame.	
$K ::= (Map, K, Bag)$ $methodClosure (> T, Class, OL, Ps, S)(Vs: Vals) \curvearrowright K$ $mkDecls (Ps, Vs) S return ;$ $C total $	
At method return, we have to check that the type of the returned value is a subtype of the expected return type. Moreover, if that is the case, then we also upcast the returned value to one of the expected type. The computation item unsafeCast (V, T) changes the type of V to T without any additional checks; however, it only does it when V is an object or a method, otherwise it returns V unchanged.	
Assignment. Typed KOOL allows to assign subtype instance values to supertype Ivalues. The semantics of assignment below is similar in spirit to dynamically typed SIMPLE's, but a check is performed that the assigned value's type is a subtype of the location's type. If that is the case, then the assigned value is returned as a result and stored, but it is upcast appropriately first, so the context will continue to see a value of the expected type of the location. Note that the type of a location is implicit in the type of its contents and it never changes during the execution of a program; its type is assigned when the location is allocated and initialized, and then only type-preserving values are allowed to be stored in each location.	
	[assignment]
RULE $\frac{\operatorname{try} St \operatorname{catch}(P)S2 \cap K}{S1 \cap \operatorname{popx}} \stackrel{\bullet}{\underbrace{\operatorname{List}}} C$ RULE $\frac{\operatorname{popx}}{\operatorname{List}} \stackrel{\bullet}{\underbrace{\operatorname{List}}} C$ RULE $\frac{\operatorname{throw} V: Val: \cap -}{\operatorname{if}(\operatorname{subtype}(\operatorname{type0f}(V), T)) \{T: X = V; S2\} \operatorname{else}\{\operatorname{throw} V: \} \cap K} C$ $\frac{\operatorname{Env}}{(T: Type: X: Id, S2, K, Env, C)} - C$ $\frac{\operatorname{Env}}{\operatorname{Env}}$	
Spawn. Like in untyped KOOL. RULE $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Semantics of the new KOOL constructs Class declaration. Like in untyped KOOL. Class Class Class1 extends Class2 {S}	[structural]
Method declaration. Methods are now typed and we need to store their types in their closures, so that their type contract can be checked at invocation time. The rule below is conceptually similar to that of untyped KOOL; the only difference is the addition of the types.	
RULE $\left(\frac{T:Type\ F:Id(Ps:Params)S}{\bullet_K}\right)$ C OL $\left(\frac{Env}{Env[L/F]}\right)$ $\left(\frac{Env}{L}\right)$ $L\mapsto$ methodClosure (getTypes $(Ps)\to T,C,OL,Ps,S$) $\left(\frac{L}{L+Int}\ 1\right)$ New. The semantics of new in dynamically typed KOOL is also similar to that in untyped KOOL, the main difference being the management of the return types. Indeed, when a new object is created we also have to stack the current type in the return cell in order to be recovered after the creation of the new object. Only the first rule below needs to be changed; the others are identical to those in untyped KOOL. SYNTAX $K ::= (Id, Bag)$ $R := (Id, Bag)$ $R := (Id, Bag)$	
RULE	[structural]
RULE $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{SetCrntClass} \\ \bullet_{K} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{K}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ \bullet_{C} \end{array}}_{\text{C}}$ SYNTAX $K ::= \text{addEnvLayer}$ RULE $\underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{Env} \\ \bullet_{K} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{List}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \text{env} \\ \bullet_{List} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{Class}:Id} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \bullet_{List} \\ \bullet_{Rlower} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{Class}:Id} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \bullet_{List} \\ \bullet_{Rlower} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{Class}:Id} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \bullet_{List} \\ \bullet_{Rlower} \end{array}}_{\bullet_{Rlower}}$	[structural]
SYNTAX $K := store0bj$ RULE $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & $	
This objectClosure (Obj) Object member access. Like in untyped KOOL. RULE $X:Id$ Env:Map requires $\neg_{Bool}(X \text{ in keys } (Env))$ CONTEXT \square . — requires $(\square \neq_K \text{ super})$	[structural]
RULE objectClosure (Class: Id (Class, EnvC: Bag) EStack) . $X:Id$ lookupMember (Class, EnvC) EStack , X) RULE super . X	[structural]
Method invocation. The method lookup is the same as in untyped KOOL. $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[lookup]
CONTEXT \square . —:Id(—) requires $\square \neq_K$ super RULE objectClosure $(Obj:Bag \ EStack)$. $X(\longrightarrow Exps)$ lookupMember $(EStack)$. $X:Id$ RULE $Super \cdot X$ (—:Exps) $CmtClass$ $Class$ $Class$ $Class$ $Class$	[structural]
	[lookup]
RULE objectClosure $(C, -)$ —) instanceOf C true	[structural]
Cast. Unlike in untyped KOOL, in typed KOOL we actually check that the object can indeed be cast to the claimed type. RULE $(C:Id)$ objectClosure $(C:Id)$ obj	
Objects as Ivalues. Like in untyped KOOL. RULE $X:Id$ requires $\neg_{Bool}(X \text{ in keys } (Env))$ CONTEXT $Value (\Box)$	[structural]
RULE lookupMember C	[structural]
RULE lookupMember $(-, X \mapsto L)$, X) RULE lookupMember $(-, Env)$, X) requires $\neg_{Bool}(X \text{ in keys } (Env))$ typeOf for the additional values.	
RULE typeOf (objectClosure (— C))) C RULE typeOf (methodClosure $(T:Type, -, -, Ps:Params, -)$) T Subtype checking. The subclass relation induces a subtyping relation.	
$ \begin{array}{lll} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & $	[structural] [structural] [structural]
subtype $(((T2), Ts1'), ((T2'), Ts1))$ RULE $\underbrace{\text{subtype}((T:Type, Ts), (T':Type, Ts'))}_{\text{subtype}(T, T') \&\& \text{ subtype}(Ts, Ts')}$ requires $Ts \neq_K \bullet_{Types}$ RULE $\underbrace{\text{subtype}(\bullet_{Types}, \bullet_{Types})}_{\text{true}}$ Unsafe Casting. Performs unsafe casting. One should only use it in combination with the subtype relation above. SYNTAX $Val ::= \text{unsafeCast}(Val, Type)$ [function]	[structural] [structural]
RULE unsafeCast (objectClosure $(C - Obj), C:Id)$ objectClosure $(C - Obj)$ RULE unsafeCast (methodClosure $(T', C, OL, Ps, S), T)$ methodClosure (T, C, OL, Ps, S) RULE unsafeCast $(V:Val, T:Type)$ requires typeOf $(V) =_K T$ Generic guard. A generic computational guard: it allows the computation to continue only if a prefix guard evaluates to	
Generic guard. A generic computational guard: it allows the computation to continue only if a prefix guard evaluates to true. SYNTAX $K := \text{true?}$ RULE $\frac{\text{true} \sim \text{true?}}{\bullet_K}$ END MODULE	[structural]