COMP90043: Cryptography and security

Week 9: Workshop-9

Part1: Symmetric Key Key distribution

Q1 This is a variation of the protocol discussed in the class symmetric key description involving n users and a KDC. Here every user decides to generate random number themselves for the communication they seek to start.

The steps are as follows:

- 1. A generates a random number R and sends to the KDC his name A, destination B, and E(Ka,R).
- 2. KDC responds by sending E(Kb, R) to A.
- 3. A sends E(R, M) together with E(Kb, R) to B.
- 4. B knows Kb, thus decrypts E(Kb, R), to get R and will subsequently use R to decrypt E(R, M) to get M.

Is this secure?

PS: Assume all other assumptions made in the protocol. All users share a master key with KDC, all communications can be observed by the users.

Solution:

- **i)** sending to the server the source name A, the destination name Z (his own), and E(Ka, R), as if A wanted to send him the same message encrypted under the same key R as A did it with B
- **ii)** The server will respond by sending E(*Kz*, *R*) to A and Z will intercept that
- **iii)** because Z knows his key Kz, he can decrypt E(Kz, R), thus getting his hands on R that can be used to decrypt E(R, M) and obtain M.

Q2.

Consider the following protocol, designed to let A and B decide on a fresh, shared session key K=AB. We assume that they already share a long-term key K_{AB} .

- $1. \ A \qquad \rightarrow \qquad B: A, \ N_A.$
- 2. B \rightarrow A:E(K_{AB}, [N_A, K'_{AB}])
- 3. A \rightarrow B:E(K'_{AB}, N_A)
- a. We first try to understand the protocol designer's reasoning:
- Why would A and B believe after the protocol ran that they share K'AB with the

other party?

—Why would they believe that this shared key K'_{AB} is fresh?

In both cases, you should explain both the reasons of both A and B, so your answer should complete the sentences

A believes that she shares K'_{AB} with B since...

B believes that he shares K'AB with A since...

A believes that K'_{AB} is fresh since...

B believes that K'AB is fresh since...

b. Assume now that A starts a run of this protocol with B. However, the connection is intercepted by the adversary C. Show how C can start a new run of the protocol using reflection, causing A to believe that she has agreed on a fresh key with B (in spite of the fact that she has only been communicating with C). Thus, in particular, the belief in (a) is false.

c. Propose a modification of the protocol that prevents this attack.

Solution: a. A believes that she shares K'_{AB} with B since her nonce came back in message 2 encrypted with a key known only to B (and A). B believes that he shares K'_{AB} with A since NA was encrypted with K'_{AB} , which could only be retrieved from message 2 by someone who knows K'_{AB} (and this is known only by A and B). A believes that K'_{AB} is fresh since it is included in message 2 together with N_A (and hence message 2 must have been constructed after message 1 was sent). B believes (indeed, knows) that K'_{AB} is fresh since he chose it himself

b. B. We consider the following interleaved runs of the protocol:

- 1. A \rightarrow C(B): A, N_A
- 1\cdot. C(B) \rightarrow A: B, N_A
- 2'. A \rightarrow C(B) : E(K_{AB} , [N_A , K'_{AB}])
- 2. $C(B) \rightarrow A : E(K_{AB}, [N_A, K'_{AB}))$
- 3. A \rightarrow C(B) : E(K'_{AB} , N_A)

C cannot encrypt A's nonce, so he needs to get help with message 2. He therefore starts a new run with A, letting A do the encryption and reflecting the reply back. A will accept the unprimed protocol run and believe that B is present.

c. To prevent the attack, we need to be more explicit in the messages, e.g. by changing message 2 to include the sender and receiver (in this order), i.e. to be $E(K_{AB}, [A, B, N_A, K'_{AB}])$.