

Part A

1.(a)

$$p = 35219018721046519018661$$

$$q = 12532072192921$$

Thus, we have $n = p \cdot q = 441367285175991202374244491191098781$

and $\Phi(n) = 441367285175955983355510912599887200$.

We use the magma to calc:

```
> phin := 441367285175955983355510912599887200;
```

```
> Factorization(phin);
```

```
[ <2, 5>, <3, 3>, <5, 2>, <7, 2>, <11, 1>, <13, 1>, <17, 1>, <19, 1>, <23,
```

```
1>,
```

```
<29, 1>, <31, 1>, <883, 1>, <2633, 1>, <187807381691899, 1>]
```

We find the smallest 'e' to satisfy the $\gcd(\Phi(n), e) = 1$ is 37.

Thus, RSA public key is 37.

$$\Phi(n) = q_1 \cdot e + r_1 = 11928845545296107658257051691888843 \cdot 37 + 9$$

$$e = q_2 \cdot r_1 + r_2 = 4 \cdot 9 + 1$$

$$r_1 = q_3 * r_2 + r_3 = 4 * 1 + 0$$

By substitution, we have,

$$1 = [e - q_2 r_1] \bmod \Phi(n) = (37 - 4 * 9) \bmod \Phi(n)$$

$$= [37 - 4 * (\Phi(n) - q_1 e)] \bmod \Phi(n)$$

$$= [37 + (-4) * (\Phi(n) - q_1 * 37)] \bmod \Phi(n)$$

$$= [37 + ((-4) * \Phi(n) + 4 * q_1 * 37)] \bmod \Phi(n)$$

$$= [(4 * q_1 + 1) * 37 - 4 * \Phi(n)] \bmod \Phi(n)$$

Thus, $d = 4 * q_1 + 1 = 47715382181184430633028206767555373$. The

corresponding private key for Alice is

47715382181184430633028206767555373.

(b)

I use the magma to help me calculate. I also refer some functions and operations from the magma documentation (Magma, 2017). The code is shown below:

```
// Two random primes
```

```
> p := RandomPrime(600);
```

```
> q := RandomPrime(600);
```

```
// Find n
```

```
> n := p * q;
```

```
// Find  $\Phi(n)$ 
```

```
> PHin := (p-1) * (q-1);
```

```
// Find the public key e (increase from 1)
```

```
> e := 1;
```

```
  repeat
```

```
    e := e + 1;
```

```
  until (GCD(PHin, e) eq 1);
```

```
// Find the private key d
```

```
> d := InverseMod(e, PHin);
```

```
// A random message m
```

```
> m := RandomBits(600);
```

```
// A blinded message mb
```

```
> r := RandomBits(600);
```

```
> Xe := Modexp(r, e, n);
```

```
> Mb := (Xe * m) mod n;
```

```
// Signature of m through blinded process
```

```
> ri := InverseMod(r, n);
```

```
> Mbd := Modexp(Mb, d, n);
```

```
> Sb := (Mbd * ri) mod n;
```

```
// Direct signature of m using the private key
```

```
> s := Modexp(m, d, n);
```

```
> print "p:",p;
```

```
> print "q:",q;
```

```
> print "Phin:",PHin;
```

```
> print "e:",e;
```

```
> print "d:",d;
```

```
> print "m:",m;  
  
> print "Mb:",Mb;  
  
> print "Sb:",Sb;  
  
> print "s:",s;
```

And the result is shown below, and the Sb and s of it is identical:

p:

```
27267165257151036087999796911971076700275091721365307241578  
90884342553947371\
```

```
10058130041672670056626299548918976914211729037167655330961  
48366431655434289821\
```

```
70631171014235988462538470551088832379013898375959704920958  
90320129459788964928\
```

```
59111401571020651268483194329646440354698221267239646344804  
21933766741851243623\
```

```
567539585618008764509482753917951570581221267733
```

q:

```
32723785626806040842279228674464662944704842574756602072683  
53735022279578626\
```

53002381637472196394924101075999394598076489299653785081520
31857829139626794083\

05370613402830679583318425713543910588989329381980222117653
04392877691712410000\

72993526841095254355565185551876256551436987729164047624855
02203455457792401554\

207211322665948008878528749671365839997243051263

Phin:

89228487052570411729793596733958524357767943031204526388045
65070088272193\

38692523665267498533221196277457155803760554692195659979691
24465985173532917282\

09075015419193389773544448080948024714511069394353095210143
91064804785099104498\

84573141008820276972466021466812996058824748339643389238401
60230439010211598154\

36882180355862343722289108926360952350235698108377628804218
56901942598144764829\

47682796889233610934971910666958390265848459439513283772679
47855698453844597157\

74276890550452951833602160614186148940287598420791431230438
85465764932956106336\

80527036625299020682842398013599612276689612751933871632080
71190658773686694285\

87019744302847138953524745564503875342324847088848673938917
09068392207076670005\

8979427502477784

e: 5

d:

17845697410514082345958719346791704871553588606240905277609
13014017654438677\

38504733053499706644239255491431160752110938439131995938248
93197034706583456418\

15003083838677954708889616189604942902213878870619042028782
12960957019820899769\

14628201764055394493204293362599211764949667928677847680320
46087802042319630873\

76436071172468744457821785272190470047139621675525760843713
80388519628952965895\

36559377846722186994382133391678053169691887902656754535895
71139690768919431548\

55378110090590366720432122837229788057519684158286246087770
93152986591221267361\

05407325059804136568479602719922455337922550386774326416142
38131754737338857174\

03948860569427790704949112900775068464969417769734787783418
13678441415334001179\

5885500495557

m:

52842015308239466552856772121720317841584416252185009230139
93881257530986146\

64275852082018553151279408135225215703090912501720158317499
02083990162136584690\

54795199647618545307373385183483880805834616815212897325615
51296715409726293745\

03663274345645514422281936234900422035292885069044785508258
72507112948957334096\

04194813363295851258613582205254303612800707537844433708683
95910369323303508969\

06495

Mb:

66243446879797352383673475954433815990174843436322299028613
9588916665222704\

81008392556679117911227873598173745273902179277392852614718
66795839244714929352\

88243664090099635944833283547328888391307991705723808737571
02407228564940410497\

34989285603636417293057273375550219437762786175179408338058
60252498390025773384\

59592567620171885730923288229460389858158511701115423429290
75555708865769947874\

07465376785790598794141261694423279800455131961989017049712
66650886536395632465\

16787994405756740576859009233694261140131013690414734995990
21285040720644186628\

41989297522094174758114000316297477945731457091159917608384
37169654946664200869\

98417945412859121634945633507260397472539627725956485281826
08356612864844473946\

26905581193762

Sb:

39754677451998254205505309988649386844812836450681711684800

02480620114700386\

71743295268031751867828967268387982833299831446078325217287

99870784992155320599\

68569843713220424954707871514668352388475869277475818480183

28290323225226690684\

78027520694809039876322992358339722700586877281714150409353

15884262381026589515\

09014189112362976293461008913765237610321648241227389716727

65762285920468525711\

82948046530702944111885068879495258347868329330643291625137

29305411842563117102\

28051076429272806244566015086406873017213097156747467955173

16846921083534959143\

21476869000164963847044606474364126961941922058599307451563

54854921739561434648\

76008951497884561546440271023659898380036051988842358033775

75229883657728872195\

6219913329076

s:

39754677451998254205505309988649386844812836450681711684800

02480620114700386\

71743295268031751867828967268387982833299831446078325217287

99870784992155320599\

68569843713220424954707871514668352388475869277475818480183

28290323225226690684\

78027520694809039876322992358339722700586877281714150409353

15884262381026589515\

09014189112362976293461008913765237610321648241227389716727

65762285920468525711\

82948046530702944111885068879495258347868329330643291625137

29305411842563117102\

28051076429272806244566015086406873017213097156747467955173

16846921083534959143\

21476869000164963847044606474364126961941922058599307451563

54854921739561434648\

76008951497884561546440271023659898380036051988842358033775

75229883657728872195\

6219913329076

(c)

This is not a secure method.

The known plaintext attack will be against this encryption method. The attacker could try to calculate all the represents of 26 alphabets by the RSA encryption algorithm $C_m = (m)^e \bmod n$ ($0 \leq m < 26$). Then decrypt the ciphertext $C = \{c_1, c_2, c_3 \dots c_n\}$ by calculating the decryption algorithm $D(c_k) = i$ for all $0 \leq i < 26$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ and c_k could be obtained from the last step.

And the countermeasure is, modifying the encryption algorithm to $C_m = (m)^{me} \bmod n$ ($0 \leq m < 26$) for each alphabet.

2.(a)

One-way property: The hash function does not satisfy the requirement.

Supposed we have the $h(M) = x$ where $0 \leq x < n$. We could easily find the message $M = \{x, 0, 0, 0 \dots 0\}$ where $0 \leq x < n$ is a valid preimage.

Second image resistance: The hash function does not satisfy the requirement. Supposed we have the message $M1 = \{x, 0, 0, 0 \dots 0\}$ where $0 \leq x < n$. Thus, we get the $h(M1) = x$. However, if we have the message

$M2 = \{0,0,x,0\dots0\}$ or $\{0,0,0\dots0,x\}$, we also get the $h(M2) = x$, but $M1 \neq M2$.

Collision resistance: Since the second image resistance is a kind of collision resistance and the second image resistance does not satisfy the requirement, this hash function does not satisfy this requirement.

(b)

One-way property: The hash function satisfies the requirement as it is difficult to calculate the m when we have $h(m)=x$ because the hash function consists of the square root and modulo.

Second image resistance: The hash function does not satisfy the requirement. Supposed we have the message $M1 = \{x_1, x_2 \dots x_n\}$ and we get the $h(M1) = y$. However, if we have the message $M2 = \{n-x_1, n-x_2 \dots n-x_n\}$, we also get the $h(M2) = y$, but $M1 \neq M2$.

Collision resistance: Since the second image resistance is a kind of collision resistance and the second image resistance does not satisfy the requirement, this hash function does not satisfy this requirement.

(c)

i. Message authentication codes

We can prevent the man-in-the-middle attack as MAC is a hash function. Both of Alice and Bob could authentic the opposite identity by using the promissory secret key to encrypt the message. Meanwhile, because of the confidentiality of the secret key, it is impossible for the attacker in the middle to intercept the message.

ii. Public key digital signature

Digital signature could also prevent the man-in-the-middle attack. Both of Alice and Bob could sign the public keys through their private keys. Then sending the message mutually. However, the attacker in the middle does not have the access to private keys. Therefore, he cannot intercept the message.

iii. Hash functions

Hash function does not provide the authentication function. Thus, it cannot secure DH protocol from man-in-the-middle attack.

3.(a)

In the First step, A sends message, identity ID_A , master key K_a and encrypted identifier N_a .

In the second step, B sends message, identify ID_A and ID_B , master key K_a and K_b and identifier N_a and N_b to KDC to request a session key for protecting the connection with A.

After receiving the request from B, in the third step, KDC replies to B with two messages encrypted by K_a and K_b respectively. This two messages contains one-time session key K_s , identify ID_A and ID_B and identifier N_a and N_b .

And in the last step, A receives the message encrypted by the master key which KDC shares with A and K_a , including with the identity of B ID_B , identifier N_a , and one-time session key K_s .

After these four steps, A and B begin to know each other through the identifiers and the message is originated from KDC, and are able to start their protected connection.

(b)

① The security level of these two scheme are high.

②The steps of the scheme given above in the figure 1 is 4, which means it has better efficiency.

③Their orders of the detection of replay attack are different. The attack in the scheme in figure 1 will be detected in the end. Correspondingly, the attack will be detected at the beginning.

(c)

The scheme is secured. ①Identifier N_a and N_b are encrypted by the master key K_a and K_b , which ensures that the request is not modified by others before receiving by KDC. ②Through the scheme, A knows that it connects with B, and message is originated from KDC.

(d)

pros:

①High security level and efficiency.

cons:

①Once the KDC is threatened, the connection will be risky.

②It is possible that the KDC simulates as the sender or receiver.

(e)

Supposed there are n senders and responders who want to communicate with each other (n users and $n*(n-1)/2$ communications). Since one pair of users need a session key, the number of session keys stored in the KDC is $n*(n-1)/2$ and the number of master key is n . Thus, the memory requirement of KDC is $n + n*(n-1)/2$.

And for each user, it is required to store one master key and $(n-1)$ session keys. Hence, the memory requirement for each user is n .

Reference:

1. Magma, (2017). [online] Available at:

<https://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/handbook/text/167>

[Accessed 19 Sep. 2017].

2. Magma, (2017). [online] Available at:

<https://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/handbook/text/32> [Accessed

19 Sep. 2017].