```
Declarative Programming
```

Workshop exercises set 11.

OUESTION 1

Write a function fibs :: Int -> [Integer] which returns a list containing the first n numbers in the Fibonacci sequence: [0,1,1,2,3,5,8,...], where the third and subsequent numbers are the sum of the two preceding numbers (0+1=1, 1+1=2, 1+2=3, 2+3=5, etc). We use Integer rather than Int because the numbers grow exponentially and therefore overflow native Ints quite quickly. Is the algorithmic complexity of your solution acceptable?

QUESTION 2

If we do pairwise addition of the elements of the Fibonacci sequence and its tail, we get the tail of the tail of the sequence:

```
0 1 1 2 3 5 8 ... fibs
+ 1 1 2 3 5 8 ... tail fibs
= 1 2 3 5 8 ... tail (tail fibs)
```

Use this property to write a definition of allfibs :: [Integer] which is the (infinite) Fibonacci sequence (Hint: the zipWith Prelude function is useful). Define fibs in terms of allfibs. How efficient is this definition of fibs compared to your previous one?

QUESTION 3

Consider the bottom-up merge sort implementation from workshop 2.

With list xs of length n, what is the maximum additional space that is needed at any one time, assuming strict evaluation, for evaluating merge_consec (to_single_els xs)? What if lazy evaluation is used instead?

What is the maximum additional space is needed at any one time, assuming strict evaluation, for evaluating mergesort xs? Can we do significantly better than this?

OUESTION 4

Consider an interpreter for a language which produces a pair containing the result of the computation plus some debugging information, which is a string containing information about all assignment statements and function calls. Compare the efficiency of the following:

- a) Execution of the interpreter using strict evaluation and printing the debugging string.
- b) Execution of the interpreter using lazy evaluation and printing the debugging string.
- c) Execution of the interpreter using strict evaluation but not printing

```
the debugging string.
d) Execution of the interpreter using lazy evaluation but not printing
   the debugging string.
e) Execution of a similar interpreter which doesn't produce the debugging
   string at all.
QUESTION 5
Here are some students' answers to one of the questions on a sample
mid-semester test, which were posted on the LMS (thanks to the authors).
The question asked for a Haskell function to print out Mtrees
with indentation showing the structure. Compare and contrast these
solutions. Can you come up with something better than all three?
>data Mtree a = Mnode a [Mtree a]
>print_mtree :: Show a => Mtree a -> IO()
>print mtree tree = indent mtree 0 tree
     where
         indent_mtree :: Show a => Int -> Mtree a -> IO()
         indent_mtree i (Mnode val children) = do
             putStrLn $ (replicate i ' ') ++ (show val)
             fold1 (>>) (return ()) (map (indent mtree (i+1)) children)
>type Line = String
>print mtree' :: Show a => Mtree a -> IO ()
>print mtree' t =
     let
         toLines :: Show a => Mtree a -> [Line]
         toLines (Mnode val cs) = show val : map (' ':) (concatMap (toLines) cs)
>
     in foldl (\acc str -> acc >> (putStrLn str)) (return ()) (toLines t)
>-- A clearer version
>print_mtree2 :: Show a => Mtree a -> IO ()
>print_mtree2 t =
     let
         toLines :: Show a => Mtree a -> [IO ()]
         toLines (Mnode val cs) =
>
             print val : map (putChar ' ' >>) (concatMap (toLines) cs)
>
     in foldl1 (>>) (toLines t)
```