

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sear Exact Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Phonetic

Evaluatio

Reference:

Genomic

## **Approximate String Matching**

### COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Jeremy Nicholson and Justin Zobel and Karin Verspoor

Semester 2, 2017





# Summary

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Phoneti

Evaluatio

Reference

Genomi

### Week 3:

- Approximate String Search and Matching
- Common Applications
- Methods:
  - Neighbourhood Search
  - Edit Distance
  - N-Gram Distance
  - [Phonetic methods]
- Evaluation
- [Genomics]



# **Exact String Search**

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sear Exact Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance
N-Gram Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

Reference

### Consider:

- Given a string, is some substring contained within it?
- Given a string (document), find all occurrences of some substring

### For example, find Exxon in:

In exes for foxes rex dux mixes a pox of waxed luxes. An axe, and an axon, to exo Exxon max oxen. Grexit or Brexit as quixotic haxxers with buxom rex taxation.

Not (really) a Knowledge Technology!



# Approximate String Search

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

Reference

### Find exon in:

In exes for foxes rex dux mixes a pox of waxed luxes. An axe, and an axon, to exo Exxon max oxen. Grexit or Brexit as quixotic haxxers with buxom rex taxation.

### Not present!

...But what is the "closest" or "best" match?

This is a Knowledge Technology!



# Important problems

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Searcl

Exact

Approximate

Application Methods

Neighbourhood
Edit Distance
N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluation

Reference

Genomi

Two main applications for Approximate String Search:

- Spelling correction
- Computational Genomics



# **Spelling Correction**

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sea

Approximate Application

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Dhamati

Frankrickie

Deference

Genomi





# **Spelling Correction**

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sear Exact Approximate Application

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance
N-Gram Distance

Phonetics

Reference

### Need the notion of a dictionary:

- Here, a list of entries that are "correct"
- We can break our input into substrings that we wish to match, and compare each of them against the entries in the dictionary
- An item in the input which doesn't appear in the dictionary is misspelled
- An item in the input which does appear in the dictionary might be correctly spelled or misspelled (probably slightly beyond the scope of this subject)



# **Spelling Correction**

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sea

Approximat Application

### Methods

Neighbourhood Edit Distance N-Gram Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

Reference

Therefore, the problem here:

Given some item of interest — which does not appear in our dictionary — which entry from the dictionary was truly intended?

Depends on the person who wrote the original string!



### Other Problems of Interest

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Searc

Approximate

### Application

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

it-Grain Dis

Phonetic

\_ .

Genomic

- Computational Genomics (later, if we have time)
- Name matching
- Query repair
- Phonetic matching (later, if we have time)
- Data cleaning
- · ...



### What's a "best" match?

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Search
Exact
Approximate

#### Methods

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

N-Gram Distan

. ......

Evaluation

Reference

Find approximate match(es) for exon in:

In exes for foxes rex dux mixes a pox of waxed luxes. An axe, and an axon, to exo Exxon max oxen. Grexit or Brexit as quixotic haxxers with buxom rex taxation.



# Neighbourhood Search

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Searc Exact Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

Evaluation

Reference

### For a given string w of interest:

- Generate all variants of w that utilise at most k changes (Insertions/Deletions/Replacements) — neighbours
- Check whether generated variants exist in dictionary
- All results found in dictionary are returned

Unix command-line utility agrep is an efficient mechanism for finding these.



# Neighbourhood Search

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Application

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

\_\_\_\_\_

Poforonco

Ganomi

### For example:

... proceed if you can see no **ther** option ...

Intended word: other

Requires 1 insertion (o) so intended word will be found using neighbourhood search (and some unintended words...)



# Neighbourhood Search Efficiency

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

=--

Reference

. .

Neighbourhood search is suprisingly fast!

Consider: alphabet size is  $\Sigma$ , length of string is |w|:

For k edits, roughly  $\mathcal{O}(\Sigma^k \cdot |w|^k)$  neighbours

...But  $\Sigma$  is a small constant, string of interest is usually short, and k is usually small

For each neighbour, need a dictionary read (dict has D entries): Binary search yields  $\mathcal{O}(|w|^k \log D)$  string comparisons



# Neighbourhood Search Effectiveness

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Search
Exact
Approximate

Methods

#### Neighbourhood

Edit Distance

N-Gram Distar

Phonet

Evaluation

Reference

Genomi

So, efficiency isn't our problem.

(agrep example)



### Global Edit Distance

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Search Exact Approximate

Methods

Neighbourhoo Edit Distance

N<sub>\*</sub>Gram Distance

N-Gram Distai

Evaluation

neierence.

Alternative methods:

Scan through each dictionary entry looking for the "best" match



### Global Edit Distance

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Phonetics

Evaluatio

References

### Global Edit Distance:

Transform the string of interest into each dictionary entry, using the operations Insert, Delete, Replace, and Match (character)

Each operation is associated with a score;
Best match is the dictionary entry with best aggregate **score** 



### Global Edit Distance

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Application Methods

Reighbourhood

Edit Distance

N-Gram Distai

Frankratia.

Reference

For example:

Item of interest: crat

Dictionary: cart, arts

Score: Match +1, Insert -1, Delete -1, Replace -1

 $\mathtt{crat} \to \mathtt{cart}$ :

Match c (+1), Delete r (-1), Match a (+1), Insert r (-1), Match t (+1) = +1

 $\mathtt{crat} o \mathtt{arts}$ :

Replace c with a (-1), Match r (+1), Delete a (-1), Match t (+1), Insert s (-1) = -1

cart is the better match



### Global Edit Distance Parameter

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact Approximate

Methods

Edit Distance

Phoneti

Evaluatio

Reference

Genomi

Confusingly, Global Edit Distance isn't a "distance"

...But depends on parameter

Match (0), Insert (+1), Delete (+1), Replace (+1)

This is the Levenshtein Distance (which is a "distance"): it counts the number of edits required to transform one string into the other



### Global Edit Distance Parameter

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Application

Methods

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

DI .....

Evaluation

Reference

Genomi

Hypothetically, any parameter is possible!

But some choices make no sense, e.g.:

Match (+4), Insert (-2), Delete (+8), Replace (0)

aba: Which corresponds to best match?

- foo: Insert, Delete, Insert, Delete, Insert, Delete = +18
- aba: Match, Match, Match = +12
- cbc: Replace, Match, Replace = +4



### Global Edit Distance Parameter

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact Approximate

Application

Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

Reference

Genomi

Often, "direction" doesn't matter: Insert = Delete ("Indel")

Sometimes, score of Replace depends on which character is being replaced:

Consider:

Is faxing more likely to be facing or faking?



# Global Edit Distance Algorithm

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate
Application

Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

Phonetic

Evaluation

Reference

From string f to string t, given array A of |f|+1 columns and |t|+1 rows, we can solve using the Needleman–Wunsch algorithm:

equal() returns *m* if characters match, *r* otherwise

Final score is at A[It][If]



### Global Edit Distance in Action

Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sear Exact Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Di .....

Evaluation

References

In action: from crat to arts, Match (+1), Insert/Delete/Replace (-1)

	$\varepsilon$	С	r	a	t
ε	0	-1	-2	-3	-4
a	-1	-1	-2	-1	-2
r	-2	-2	0	-1	-2
t	-3	-3	-1	-1	0
s	-4	-4	-2	-3 -1 -1 -1 -2	-1

Global Edit Distance: -1 (Replace, Match, Delete, Match, Insert)



# More parameter concerns

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

Lvaldatio

i i ci ci ci i ci

Algorithm actually depends on parameter!

```
A[j][k] = max3(
    A[j][k-1] + d, //Deletion
    A[j-1][k] + i, //Insertion
    A[j-1][k-1] + equal(f[k-1],t[j-1])); //Replace or match
```

→ Match score greater than Insert/Delete/Replace

```
e.g. Match (+1), Insert/Delete/Replace (-1)
```



# More parameter concerns

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximat

Application

Neighbourhood

Edit Distance N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluation

Reference

Algorithm actually depends on parameter!

```
A[j][k] = min3(
    A[j][k-1] + d, //Deletion
    A[j-1][k] + i, //Insertion
    A[j-1][k-1] + equal(f[k-1],t[j-1])); //Replace or match
```

→ Match score less than Insert/Delete/Replace

```
e.g. Match (0), Insert/Delete/Replace (+1)
```

(Levenshtein Distance)



### **Local Edit Distance**

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Neighbourhood

Edit Distance

Edit Distance N-Gram Distance

Honetics

Genomi

Local Edit Distance is like Global Edit Distance, but we are searching for the best substring match

Particularly suitable when comparing two strings of very different lengths, e.g. a word and a sentence



# Local Edit Distance Algorithm

lf = strlen(f); lt = strlen(t);

```
Approximate
String Matching
```

Knowledge **Technologies** 

**Edit Distance** 

From string f to string t, given array A of |f| + 1 columns and |t| + 1rows, we can solve using the Smith-Waterman algorithm:

```
A[0][0]=0:
for (j=1; j<=1t; j++) A[j][0] = 0;
for (k=1; k<=1f; k++) A[0][k] = 0;
for (j=1; j<=lt; j++)
   for (k=1: k <= lf: k++)
      A[j][k] = max4( //Or min4 if m<i,d,r
         0,
         A[j][k-1] + d, //Deletion
         A[j-1][k] + i, //Insertion
         A[j-1][k-1] + equal(f[k-1],t[j-1])); //Replace or match
```

equal() returns m if characters match, r otherwise

Final score is greatest value in the entire table (or least value, if





### Local Edit Distance in Action

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sear Exact Approximate

Methods

Neighbourhood

Edit Distance

N-Gram Distar

\_ . . .

References

Genomi

In action: from cart to arts, Match (+1), Insert/Delete/Replace (-1)

	$\varepsilon$	С	a	r	t
$\varepsilon$	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	1	0	0
r	0	0	0	2	1
t	0	0	0	1	3
s	0	0	0	0 0 2 1 0	2

Best match: art with art (+3); ties are possible.



# **Edit Distance Efficiency**

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods Neighbourho

Edit Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

\_\_\_\_

Reference

For strings f and t, Both algorithms above are  $\mathcal{O}(|f||t|)$  in both space and time. (Space can be improved, but time (probably) cannot.)

When approximate matching, we have a constant string f which we want to compare to each string t in the dictionary D:

$$\mathcal{O}(|f|\sum_{t\in D}|t|)$$

Hence, integer comparisons are roughly the number of characters in the dictionary. Whether this is feasible depends on the size of the dictionary.



### N-Gram Distance

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhoo

N-Gram Distance

Dhonotio

Evaluation

Reference

Genomi

N-Gram Distance has same goal as Edit Distance: compare two strings to determine "best" match

(character) *n*-gram: substring of length *n* 

2-grams of crat: #c, cr, ra, at, t#

2-grams of cart: #c, ca, ar, rt, t#

2-grams of arts: #a, ar, rt, ts, s#

N-Gram Distance between *n*-grams of string  $s\left(G_{n}(s)\right)$  and  $t\left(G_{n}(t)\right)$ :

$$|G_n(s)|+|G_n(t)|-2\times |G_n(s)\cap G_n(t)|$$

### N-Gram Distance

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Approximate Application

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluatio

Reference

*n*-gram: substring of length *n* 

2-grams of crat: #c, cr, ra, at, t#

2-grams of cart: #c, ca, ar, rt, t#

2-grams of arts: #a, ar, rt, ts, s#

2-Gram Distance between crat and cart:

$$|\textit{G}_{2}(\texttt{crat})| + |\textit{G}_{2}(\texttt{cart})| - 2 \times |\textit{G}_{2}(\texttt{crat}) \cap \textit{G}_{2}(\texttt{cart})|$$

 $= 5 + 5 - 2 \times 2 = 6$  (better)

2-Gram Distance between crat and arts:

$$|G_2(\text{crat})| + |G_2(\text{arts})| - 2 \times |G_2(\text{crat}) \cap G_2(\text{arts})|$$
  
= 5 + 5 - 2 × 0 = 10



# N-Gram Distance Efficiency

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Sear Exact Approximate

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

1 Honotice

Evaluation

Reference

Occasionally useful as a simpler variant of Edit Distance

More sensitive to long substring matches, less sensitive to relative ordering of strings (matches can be anywhere!)

Despite its simplicity, takes roughly the same time to compare entire dictionary

Quite useless for very long strings and/or very small alphabets (Why?)



# Orthography

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

### Phonetics

Evaluation

References

In English (and some other languages), **orthography** (spelling) isn't a good predictor of **phonetics** (sounds)

Salient concern in speech—to—text systems, e.g.: Georgia Conal George O'Connell

Also relevant in spelling correction (English can be very difficult to spell correctly!)



# Soundex

### **Approximate String Matching**

Knowledge **Technologies** 

#### **Phonetics**

One mechanism: Soundex

aehiouwy  $\rightarrow$  0 (vowels)  $bpfv \rightarrow 1$  (labials)

cgjkqsxz  $\rightarrow$  2 (misc: fricatives, velars, etc.)

Translation table: 3 (dentals)  $\mathtt{dt} \quad o$ 

> 4 (lateral)  $\rightarrow$

 $\rightarrow$  5 (nasals) mn

6 (rhotic) r  $\rightarrow$ 

Four step process:

- Except for initial character, translate string characters according to table
- **2** Remove duplicates (e.g.  $4444 \rightarrow 4$ )
- Remove 0s
- Truncate to four symbols



# Soundex

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Application

Neighbourhood Edit Distance

#### **Phonetics**

Evaluation

References

Genomic

One mechanism: Soundex

aehiouwy  $\rightarrow$  0 (vowels) bpfv  $\rightarrow$  1 (labials)

 $\texttt{cgjkqsxz} \quad \rightarrow \quad \textbf{2 (misc: fricatives, velars, etc.)}$ 

Translation table: dt  $\rightarrow$  3 (dentals)

 $\textbf{1} \quad \rightarrow \quad \textbf{4 (lateral)}$ 

 $mn \rightarrow 5 \text{ (nasals)}$  $r \rightarrow 6 \text{ (rhotic)}$ 

Four step process:

king kyngge k052 k05220 k052 k0520 k52 k52



### Other Phonetic Methods

#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Searc Exact Approximate

Methods

Neighbourhood

Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

#### **Phonetics**

Evaluation

Reference

Better phonetic methods make use of the fact that some letters sounds alike in certain contexts, and different in other contexts

**Editex** uses the Edit Distance to compare strings based on a similar translation table to Soundex

**Ipadist** uses a text–to–sound algorithm to represent tokens according to the International Phonetic Alphabet (but context matters a lot)

There are also worse variants, like Phonix.



# Evaluating an Approximate Matching System

Evaluation: consider whether the system is effective at solving the user's

In this case: for a misspelled word, does the system identify the correct

### **Approximate String Matching**

Knowledge **Technologies** 

Evaluation

word?

problem

### To evaluate, we need:

- A number of cases of misspelled words
- The intended (correct) word for each case
- An evaluation metric



## **Evaluation Metrics for Spelling Correction**

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance

Phonetic

**Evaluation** 

Reference

We have some cases:

Misspelled Word	Correct Word	Predicted Word	Right/Wrong?
ther	other	there	×
corridr	corridor	corridor	✓
cracheyt	crotchety	cachet	×

**Accuracy**: fraction of correct responses  $(\frac{1}{3})$ 



## **Evaluation Metrics for Spelling Correction**

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Searce Exact Approximate Application

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance
N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluation

Reference

More realistic situation:

Misspelled Word	Correct Word	Predicted Word	Right/Wrong?
ther	other	there	×
		other	✓
		their	×
corridr	corridor	corridor	✓
COITIGI		carrier	×
cracheyt	crotchety	???	_

**Precision**: fraction of correct responses among attempted responses  $(\frac{2}{5})$ 

**Recall**: proportion of words with a correct response (somewhere)  $(\frac{2}{3})$ 



## **Comparing Systems**

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Search
Exact
Approximate
Application

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance N-Gram Distance

**Phonetic** 

**Evaluation** 

Reference

Typically, the value of the evaluation metric has little intrinsic meaning

"This system gets 81% accuracy" — useful for users, or not?

"The system based on the Global Edit Distance gets 81% accuracy, whereas the system based on the N-Gram Distance gets 84% accuracy"

"The basic system gets 81% accuracy, but after making some changes, the accuracy becomes 74%"

"System A gets 45% precision and 80% recall; System B gets 95% precision and 10% recall" — Which one should we use? (Also: why?)

The answer depends on the problem (and the user)!



# Summary

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance
N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

**Evaluation** 

Reference

- What is approximate string search?
- What are some common applications of approximate string search; why are they hard?
- What are some methods for finding an approximate match to a string? What do we need to generate them?
- How can we evaluate a typical approximate matching system?



# Background Readings

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate
Application

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance N-Gram Distanc

F.--I

References

Needleman, Saul B. and Wunsch, Christian D. (1970). "A general method applicable to the search for similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins". Journal of Molecular Biology 48 (3): 44353. doi:10.1016/0022-2836(70)90057-4

(Originally in Russian, published in English as:) Levenshtein, Vladimir I. (1966). "Binary codes capable of correcting deletions, insertions, and reversals". Soviet Physics Doklady 10 (8): 707710.

Smith, Temple F. and Waterman, Michael S. (1981). "Identification of Common Molecular Subsequences". Journal of Molecular Biology 147: 195197. doi:10.1016/0022-2836(81)90087-5

Kondrak, Grzegorz (2005). "N-Gram Similarity and Distance". In Proceedings of the 12th international conference on String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE'05), pp. 115-126, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Zobel, Justin and Dart, Philip (1996). "Phonetic String Matching: Lessons from Information Retrieval". In Proceedings of the 19th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval (SIGIR'96), pp. 166-172, New York, USA.



## Extension Readings

### Approximate String Matching

Knowledge **Technologies** 

References

Whitelaw, Casey and Hutchison, Ben and Chung, Grace Y and Ellis, Gerard (2009). "Using the Web for Language Independent Spellchecking and Autocorrection". In Proceedings of the 2009 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP 2009), pp. 890-899, Singapore, Singapore.

Ahmad, Faroog and Kondrak, Grzegorz (2005). "Learning a Spelling Error Model from Search Query Logs". In Proceedings of the Human Technology Conference and Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (HLT/EMNLP 2005), pp. 955-962. Vancouver, Canada.



## Computational Genomics

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods

Neighbourhood

Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluation

Reference

Genomics

## Typical Genomics problem:

- Given a nucleotide/amino acid sequence (substring)
- Find whether the sequence occurs within a larger sequence (string)
- Possibly with "errors" (nucleotide/amino acid changes)



## Computational Genomics

### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods
Neighbourhood
Edit Distance
N-Gram Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

Genomics

## Typical Genomics problem:

- Given a substring, find whether the sequence occurs within a larger string, possibly with "errors"
- Almost the same as spelling correction
- But much larger strings: a small genomics problem might involve comparing perhaps 1K character sequence against several 100K character sequences; alphabet is smaller



#### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Searce Exact Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance N-Gram Distanc

Phonetic

Evaluation

References

Recall: we have a "short" ( $\sim$ 1K character) nucleotide/amino acid sequence to compare against many long ( $\sim$ 100K character) chromosomes/genes/proteins/etc.

For example, if some member of the population has 99% of the sequence of interest, they might be susceptible to some medical condition

We're allowed  $\sim$ 10 errors; alphabet is  $\sim$ 4 or  $\sim$ 20 characters



### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Search
Exact
Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood

Edit Distance N-Gram Distanc

Phonetic

Evaluatio

Genomics

Neighbourhood search:

Roughly  $4^{10} \times 1000^{10}$  possible neighbours.

... Forget it.



### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact
Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Phonetics

Evaluatio

References

Global Edit Distance:

One string is  $\sim 1 \text{K}$  characters, other is  $\sim 100 \text{K}$  characters.

- ... Every string comparison involves ~99K insertions.
- → Prefers shorter chromosomes (not intended behaviour)



### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

String Search
Exact
Approximate

Methods

Neighbourhood

Edit Distance

N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluation

Reference

Genomics

### Local Edit Distance:

One string is  $\sim$ 1K characters, other is  $\sim$ 100K characters.

... Seems like the right idea.



### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact Approximat

Methods Neighbourhood

Edit Distance N-Gram Distance

Phonetic

Evaluatio

Reference

Genomics

### Local Edit Distance:

One string is  $\sim \! 10 K$  characters, other is  $\sim \! 1G$  characters.

- ... Can't fit table into memory.
- ... Requires approximate solutions with heuristics, e.g. BLAST, FASTA



### Approximate String Matching

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies

Exact Approximate

Methods Neighbourhood Edit Distance

Phonetics

Evaluation

References

N-Gram Distance:

With huge *n* (e.g. 80% of length of shorter string) can (almost) work!

Tends to prefer shorter chromosomes like Global Edit Distance

But better methods for using *n*-gram information, e.g. de Bruijn graphs