The University of Melbourne, School of Computing & Information Systems

COMP90049 Knowledge Technologies Final exam, Semester 2, 2017

Date: 15 November, 2017

Time: 12:15pm

Reading Time allowed: 15 minutes

Writing Time allowed: 2 hours

Number of pages: 7 including this page

Instructions to candidates:

This paper counts for 50% of your final grade.

Answer all questions on the <u>ruled</u> pages in the script book(s) provided, unless otherwise indicated.

There are 76 marks in total, or 1 mark per 1.6 minutes. Note that questions are not of equal value. All questions should be interpretted as referring to concepts given in this subject, whether or not it is explicitly stated.

No external materials may be used for this exam, but calculators are permitted (although not necessary). You may leave square roots and logarithms without integer solutions (like $\sqrt{2}$) unsimplified.

Unless otherwise indicated, you must show your working for each problem. Please indicate your final answers clearly for problems where you show intermediate steps.

Instructions to invigilators:

The students require script books.

Calculators are permitted; other materials are not authorised.

The examination paper should not leave the examination hall; this exam is to be held on record in the Baillieu Library.

Examiner's use only:

Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11
2	4	13	6	9	4	3	3	5	5	22

Part I: Text Processing

[25 marks in total]

- 1. Describe two (or more) steps that we would typically perform in the Tokenisation process for an Information Retrieval collection, according to the discussion in this subject. [4 marks]
 - Folding case making everything lowercase
 - Stripping punctuation removing some non–alphabetic characters like ","
 - Stemming removing suffixes (in English) to change a word to a base form
 - Splitting into tokens splitting the document based on whitespace (in English) and maybe some punctuation
 - And other possible answers
- 2. It has been claimed that there are three primary types of "information need" in a web search context: "informational", "navigational", and "transactional". Briefly describe each, optionally with the aid of an example.

 [4 marks]
 - Informational: tell me more about this topic, e.g. population of Australia
 - Navigational: take me to the URL corresponding to this topic, e.g. Unimelb homepage
 - Transactional: interface with a database, so that I can perform some service (like buying a product), e.g. iphone ebay
- 3. In the context of Information Retrieval:
 - (a) Explain how Data retrieval is different to Information Retrieval. [2 marks]
 - Data retrieval: getting some variable value out of memory, or a record out of a database, etc.
 - Information retrieval: trying to find some document(s) which meet the user's information need expressed by the query
 - Information retrieval doesn't have an exact answer; whether the results are useful depends on the user issuing the query
 - (b) Give an example of a method or source of information that we might incorporate in our engine, that is specific to Web–scale Information Retrieval. [1 marks]

- Link analysis
- Click-through data
- And other possible answers
- 4. ... And more questions to add up to the marks stated above. :-)

Part II: Data Mining/Machine Learning [51 marks in total]

For these question, we have a training dataset comprised of the following 6 instances, 3 attributes, and two classes F and T, and a single test instance labelled with ?:

ele	fed	aust	CLASS
1	1	1	F
1	0	0	F
1	1	0	${ m T}$
1	1	0	${ m T}$
1	1	1	${ m T}$
1	1	1	T
0	0	0	?

- 5. Classify the given test instance using the method of Naive Bayes, as described in this subject. [4 marks]
 - We need to pre-calculate a bunch of probabilities: $P(f) = \frac{2}{6}, P(t) = \frac{4}{6};$ $P(l=0|f)=0, P(l=0|t)=0, P(e=0|f)=\frac{1}{2}, P(e=0|t)=0,$ $P(u=0|f)=\frac{1}{2}, P(u=0|t)=\frac{1}{2},$
 - When we substitute in, we need to replace 0 values with ϵ , a small positive constant value.
 - We calcualte the scores for the two classes F and T:

$$\begin{array}{lll} {\rm F} & : & P(f)P(l=0|f)P(e=0|f)P(u=0|f) \\ & = & \frac{1}{3}(\epsilon)(\frac{1}{2})(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\epsilon}{12} \\ {\rm T} & : & P(t)P(l=0|t)P(e=0|t)P(u=0|t) \\ & = & \frac{2}{3}(\epsilon)(\epsilon)(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{3} \end{array}$$

- ϵ is less than $\frac{1}{4}$, so F has the larger value so that is the class we choose.
- 6. Explain why 1-Nearest Neighbour will give a different prediction to 3-Nearest Neighbour on this test instance. [2 marks]
 - Regardless of the distance metric we're using, clearly the second instance (1,0,0:F) has the smallest distance; so, 1-NN will say F.

- The next best instance(s) are (1,1,0:T), of which there are two.
- So, for 3-NN, we will observe 2 T instances and 1 F instance among the 3 nearest neighbours; there are more T than F, so we classify it as T
- (Since the question doesn't ask for working, it is possible to explain this more compactly.)

7. Consider the method of Random Forests:

- (a) Briefly explain how a Random Forest would be constructed on the training data above. [4 marks]
 - For a Random Forest, we will construct a bunch of "Random Trees", in this case, let's say 10 of them.
 - For each tree, we will use Bagging to come up with a different training dataset: we will re-sample the instances with replacement, until we have 6 (possibly repeated) training instances.
 - When building our tree, at each node, we only consider a proportion of the attributes. Because we have so few attributes, let's say: we randomly choose 2 of the 3 attributes for consideration at the root node; we consider both of the remaining attributes at the second layer; we consider the final attribute at the third layer.
- (b) Is there any evidence that a Random Forest would label the given test distance differently to a regular Decision Tree? [3 marks]
 - (Aside: there will be some more difficult questions like this one. If you need to think about the problem, the harder questions might take longer to answer than the marks suggest!)
 - Probably yes:
 - The regular decision tree will have e at the root it is clearly the most useful attribute and therefore classify the test instance as F.
 - When bagging, the chance of any individual instance being present in the training data is about 63%. (The lectures say $\frac{2}{3}$.) If the second instance isn't present, we are going to say T pretty much no matter what.
 - Even if the second instance is present, $\frac{1}{3}$ of the time, e won't be in the options for the root therefore u will be placed at the root (1 is useless). If we've bagged more of the 1,0,0:F instances than 1,1,0:T instances, we'll say F, but this will be very uncommon, given that there are twice as many T instances with u=0.

- To a rough approximation: $37\% + 63\% \frac{1}{3} = 58\%$ of the trees will choose T; this is more than half, so probably the Random Forest will choose T.
- 8. Exclude the CLASS labels from the dataset, and cluster all 7 instances using the method of k-means. Apply the Manhattan Distance as a similarity measure; use the second (1,0,0) and third (1,1,0) instances as seeds. [4 marks]
 - Let's say Cluster 1 C_1 begins at 1,0,0 and Cluster 2 C_2 begins at 1,1,0.
 - For each instance, we calculate the Manhattan distance to the two clusters. I will show the workings for one instance; it is obviously crazy to try to write the whole formula 14 times in 5.6 minutes.
 - First instance to C_1 : |1-1|+|1-0|+|1-0|=2; to C_2 : |1-1|+|1-1|+|1-0|=1.
 - Second instance to C_1 : 0; to C_2 : 1.
 - Third instance to C_1 : 1; to C_2 : 0.
 - Fourth instance is the same as third instance; fifth and sixth instances are the same as first instance.
 - Seventh instance to C_1 : 1; to C_2 : 2.
 - So, the first, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth instances are closer to C_2 ; the second and seventh are closer to C_1 . We now update our centroids:

$$C_1 : \frac{1}{2}[(1,0,0) + (0,0,0)] = (0.5,0,0)$$

$$C_2 : \frac{1}{5}[(1,1,1) + (1,1,0) + (1,1,0) + (1,1,1) + (1,1,1)] = (1,1,0.6)$$

- Now, we re-calculate the Manhattan distances:
 - First instance to C_1 : |1 0.5| + |1 0| + |1 0| = 2.5; to C_2 : |1 1| + |1 1| + |1 0.6| = 0.4.
 - Second instance to C_1 : 0.5; to C_2 : 1.6.
 - Third instance to C_1 : 1.5; to C_2 : 0.6.
 - Fourth instance is the same as third instance; fifth and sixth instances are the same as first instance.
 - Seventh instance to C_1 : 0.5; to C_2 : 2.6.
- So, the first, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth instances are closer to C_2 ; the second and seventh are closer to C_1 . This is the same as the previous iteration, so this is the clustering.
- 9. ...And more questions to add up to the marks stated above. :-)