

Jinyang Ruan

011696096

CPT\_S 515 Advanced Algorithms HW#4

1. Step 1: For each walk  $\alpha$  from initial to final. We use  $\#_p(\alpha)$  to denote the number of pump instruction  $p$  on the  $\alpha$ .

Step 2: For each  $\#_p(\alpha)$  on the  $\alpha$ , we can obtain a formula:

$$W_p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \#_p(\alpha))$$

Step 3: After running the entire  $\alpha$ , the water levels (from 0's) satisfy:

$$\exists x_{1,p}, \dots, x_{k,p}, p \in \text{pump instructions on } M$$

$$x_1 = \sum_p x_{1,p} \wedge$$

$$x_2 = \sum_p x_{2,p} \wedge$$

...

$$x_k = \sum_p x_{k,p} \wedge$$

$$\forall p: W_p(x_{1,p}, x_{2,p}, \dots, x_{k,p}, \#_p(\alpha))$$

Step 4: Denote the formula above as:

$$W_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

For each fixed  $\alpha$ ,  $W_\alpha$  is a Boolean combination of linear constraints over real variables.

Step 5: Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all  $\alpha$  from initial to final. For each  $\alpha$ , we have a formula for water levels:

$$W_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$$

Step 6: I use vector  $\#(\alpha)$  to denote the number of different pump instructions on the  $\alpha$ .

For all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we obtain a set of vectors  $V = \{\#(\alpha): \alpha \in \Gamma\}$

Step 7: There is an integer linear constraints system  $Q(v)$ , s.t.

$$\forall v, v \in V \text{ iff } Q(v) \text{ holds}$$

Now, for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we have  $W_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ .

For all  $\alpha$ , we have  $V = \{\#(\alpha): \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ , that is characterized by  $Q$ .

Step 8: Combine  $Q$  and  $W_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ , we get an integer linear constraints system:

$$W_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \#(\alpha)).$$

Step 9: If  $\exists \alpha, s. t. W_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \#(\alpha))$  and  $Bad(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  are satisfied, we can say  $M$  has a bad walk.

2. Step 1: If it is a fair dice, we expect the frequency of each number to be 1 / 6.

Step 2: Build a table to record all results of tossing as shown below:

Outcomes (number)	Occurrences ( $A_i$ )	Expectations ( $E_i$ )
1	$x_1$	1000 / 6
2	$x_2$	1000 / 6
3	$x_3$	1000 / 6
4	$x_4$	1000 / 6
5	$x_5$	1000 / 6
6	$x_6$	1000 / 6

Step 3: Use Chi-Square test

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^6 \frac{(A_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

Step 4: Search the table of Chi-Square distribution. We look up the value of

$$df = k - 1 = 6 - 1 = 5$$

Degrees of freedom (df)	$\chi^2$ value <sup>[19]</sup>										
1	0.004	0.02	0.06	0.15	0.46	1.07	1.64	2.71	3.84	6.63	10.83
2	0.10	0.21	0.45	0.71	1.39	2.41	3.22	4.61	5.99	9.21	13.82
3	0.35	0.58	1.01	1.42	2.37	3.66	4.64	6.25	7.81	11.34	16.27
4	0.71	1.06	1.65	2.20	3.36	4.88	5.99	7.78	9.49	13.28	18.47
5	1.14	1.61	2.34	3.00	4.35	6.06	7.29	9.24	11.07	15.09	20.52
6	1.63	2.20	3.07	3.83	5.35	7.23	8.56	10.64	12.59	16.81	22.46
7	2.17	2.83	3.82	4.67	6.35	8.38	9.80	12.02	14.07	18.48	24.32
8	2.73	3.49	4.59	5.53	7.34	9.52	11.03	13.36	15.51	20.09	26.12
9	3.32	4.17	5.38	6.39	8.34	10.66	12.24	14.68	16.92	21.67	27.88
10	3.94	4.87	6.18	7.27	9.34	11.78	13.44	15.99	18.31	23.21	29.59
P value (Probability)	0.95	0.90	0.80	0.70	0.50	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.001

Step 5: Usually we choose P value is 0.05, i.e. 95% confidence level.

In this case, if  $\chi^2 \leq 11.07$ , we consider the dice is fair. If  $\chi^2 > 11.07$ , the dice is unfair, and when the value of  $\chi^2$  is bigger, we consider the dice is more “unfair”.

- Step 1: Encode each symbol from alphabet as a unique non-binary number. Assume there are  $m$  sequences in set  $S$ . Combine sequences in pairs, then we have  $\frac{m^2}{2}$  pairs. Denote a variable  $P = 0$  to store the number of i.i.d. pairs.

Step 2: We have a new set  $S'$ , all sequences in set  $S'$  now are represented as number sequences. Calculate the average values for each sequence:

$$\bar{x}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i}}{n}$$

...

$$\bar{x}_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{m,n}}{n}$$

Pick one pair in  $S'$ . Hypothesize those two sequences are identically distributed. Apply permutation test. Choose the threshold value  $p = 0.05$ . If the hypothesis is not true, repeat step 2, choose other sequences pairs. If the hypothesis in step 2 is true, hypothesize they are independent.

Step 3: Apply Chi-square test. If p-value is less than 0.05, hypothesis is not true, repeat step 2, choose other sequences pairs. If p-value is larger than 0.05, hypothesis is true.  $P = P + 1$ .

Step 4: After going through all pairs in  $S'$ , the likelihood on the process being i.i.d. can be computed by:

$$likelihood = 2P/m^2$$

4. Step 1: Assume  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are SCC's, respectively. Let  $M_1$  be the adjacent matrix of  $G_1$  and  $M_2$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G_2$ .

Step 2: We denote the largest eigenvalues of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , also known as the Perron number.

Step 3:  $M_1^n$  represents the total number of walks with length  $n$  in  $M_1$ , which can be approximated by

$$M_1^n = \lambda_1^n * v_{\lambda_1} * u_{\lambda_1}^T$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the Perron number of  $M_1$ ,  $v_{\lambda_1}$  is the left eigenvector of  $\lambda_1$ ,  $u_{\lambda_1}$  is the right eigenvector of  $\lambda_1$ .

Step 4: In  $G_1$ , the total number of walks from node  $i$  to node  $j$  (in original question, they are  $v_1$  and  $u_1$ ) with length  $n$ , can be approximated by

$$M_1^n[i, j] = \frac{v_i * u_j}{||u||} * \lambda_1^n * v_{\lambda_1} * u_{\lambda_1}^T$$

where  $||u|| = \sum_k u_k$ , and  $v_i, u_j$  are the components in vectors  $v, u$ .

Step 5: Sum up all  $M_1^n[i, j]$  for every length  $n$ ,  $i$  to node  $j$  with the length less equal to  $n$  is  $S_1$ ,

$$S_1 = \sum_{n=1}^n M_1^n[i, j]$$

Step 6: In the same way, we can get  $S_2$  for the total number of walks from node  $a$  to node  $b$  with the length less equal to  $n$  in the  $G_2$ .

Step 7: If  $S_1 - S_2 > 0$ , we can decide the number of paths from  $i$  to  $j$  in  $G_1$  is “more than” the number of paths from node  $a$  to node  $b$  in  $G_2$  with the length less equal to  $n$ .