

Group name: XTREX

Exercise Sheet 1

Exercise 1:

(a) with $P(\text{error}) = \int P(\text{error}|x) \cdot p(x) \cdot dx$

and we want to show that $\int P(\text{error}|x) \cdot p(x) \cdot dx \leq \int \frac{2}{\frac{1}{P(w_1|x)} + \frac{1}{P(w_2|x)}} \cdot p(x) \cdot dx$

i.e. $\min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \leq \frac{2}{\frac{1}{P(w_1|x)} + \frac{1}{P(w_2|x)}}$

must hold for $\forall x$.

as we know that $P(w_1|x) + P(w_2|x) = 1$, so $\min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \leq 0.5$ will always be true

and $\max[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \geq 0.5$ will also be true.

then $2 \cdot \max[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \geq 1$, with $\min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \geq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \leq 2 \cdot \max[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \cdot \min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Assume that } \max[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \cdot \min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] = P(w_1|x) \cdot P(w_2|x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \leq 2 \cdot P(w_1|x) \cdot P(w_2|x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \leq \frac{2 \cdot P(w_1|x) \cdot P(w_2|x)}{P(w_1|x) + P(w_2|x)} \quad \text{with } P(w_1|x) + P(w_2|x) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \min[P(w_1|x), P(w_2|x)] \leq \frac{2}{\frac{1}{P(w_1|x)} + \frac{1}{P(w_2|x)}}$$

$$\text{i.e. } P(\text{error}|x) \leq \frac{2}{\frac{1}{P(w_1|x)} + \frac{1}{P(w_2|x)}}$$

So we could proof that the full error $P(\text{error})$ has an upper-bound.

(b) with the result above, we have shown that:

$$P(\text{error}) \leq \int \frac{2}{\frac{1}{P(w_1|x)} + \frac{1}{P(w_2|x)}} \cdot p(x) \cdot dx, \text{ and with Bayes-theory.}$$

It could be simplified as:

$$P(\text{error}) \leq \int \frac{2}{\frac{p(x)}{P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2)} + \frac{p(x)}{P(w_2) \cdot P(w_1)}} \cdot p(x) \cdot dx \Rightarrow \int \frac{2}{\frac{1}{P(x|w_1)P(w_1)} + \frac{1}{P(x|w_2)P(w_2)}} \cdot dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{2 \cdot P(x|w_1) \cdot P(x|w_2) \cdot P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2)}{P(x|w_2) \cdot P(w_2) + P(x|w_1) \cdot P(w_1)} \cdot dx \Rightarrow 2 \cdot P(w_1) \cdot P(w_2) \cdot \int \frac{P(x|w_1) \cdot P(x|w_2)}{P(x|w_2) \cdot P(w_2) + P(x|w_1) \cdot P(w_1)} \cdot dx$$

and with the given probability distributions of $p(x|w_1)$ and $p(x|w_2)$.

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \frac{\frac{\pi^{-1}}{1+(x-m)^2} \times \frac{\pi^{-1}}{1+(x+n)^2}}{\frac{\pi^{-1}}{1+(x-m)^2} p(w_1) + \frac{\pi^{-1}}{1+(x+n)^2} p(w_2)} \cdot dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \frac{\pi^{-1}}{[1+(x+n)^2] p(w_1) + [1+(x-m)^2] p(w_2)} \cdot dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \frac{\pi^{-1}}{(x^2 + 2nx + n^2 + 1) p(w_1) + (x^2 - 2mx + m^2 + 1) p(w_2)} \cdot dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \pi^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2 (p(w_1) + p(w_2)) + 2nx (p(w_1) - p(w_2)) + (n^2 + 1) (p(w_1) + p(w_2))} \cdot dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \pi^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2 + 2nx (p(w_1) - p(w_2)) + n^2 + 1} \cdot dx \quad \text{with } p(w_1) + p(w_2) = 1$$

In this case, $b^2 = 4n^2 (p(w_1) - p(w_2))^2$, $4ac = 4n^2 + 4$

with $p(w_1) - p(w_2) < 1$, $b^2 < 4ac$ will always be true.

So the integral could be written as:

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \pi^{-1} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{4n^2 + 4 - 4n^2 (p(w_1) - p(w_2))^2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + n^2 (1 - (p(w_1) - p(w_2))^2)}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + n^2 (1 + (p(w_1) - p(w_2))) \cdot (1 - (p(w_1) - p(w_2)))}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int p(w_1) p(w_2) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + n^2 \cdot 2 p(w_1) \cdot 2 p(w_2)}} \quad \text{with } 1 = p(w_1) + p(w_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2 p(w_1) p(w_2)}{\sqrt{1 + 4n^2 p(w_1) p(w_2)}}$$

thus we could find an upper-bound of $P(\text{error})$ for the given distribution.

(c)

(1) For low-dimensional data, the numerical integration can be used to get an approximate solution as upper-bound of error.

(2) For high-dimensional data, numerical integration would take much more complicated calculation, in this case, Monte-Carlo Method is introduced for Integration of high dimension.

Exercise 2:

(a) According to Bayes decision, the optimal decision boundary is: $p(w_1|x) = p(w_2|x)$

with Bayes theory, it could be written as: $p(x|w_1) \cdot p(w_1) = p(x|w_2) \cdot p(w_2)$

take ^{logarithm} ~~for~~ both sides: $\ln p(x|w_1) + \ln p(w_1) = \ln p(x|w_2) + \ln p(w_2)$

with the given probability distributions:

$$\Rightarrow -\ln \delta - \frac{|x-m|}{\delta} + \ln p(w_1) = -\ln \delta - \frac{|x+m|}{\delta} + \ln p(w_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow |x-m| - |x+m| = \delta \cdot \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$$

so the boundary will be $x + b_0 = 0$, with $b_0 = -\frac{\delta}{2} \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$

(b) If the optimal decision always predicts the first class, then

$p(w_1|x) > p(w_2|x)$ must holds for every x .

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{|x-m|}{\delta} + \ln p(w_1) > -\frac{|x+m|}{\delta} + \ln p(w_2) \text{ must always be true}$$

$$\Rightarrow |x+m| - |x-m| > -\delta \cdot \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)} \text{ with } m, \delta > 0.$$

$$|x+m| - |x-m| \in [-2m, 2m]$$

$$\text{so let } -2m > -\delta \cdot \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)} \Rightarrow \frac{2m}{\delta} < \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$$

i.e. when $\frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)} > e^{\frac{2m}{\delta}}$, the decision will always predict class w_1 .

(c) The optimal decision boundary will still be $p(w_1|x) = p(w_2|x)$,

and with Bayes theory: $p(x|w_1) \cdot p(w_1) = p(x|w_2) \cdot p(w_2)$

$$\Rightarrow \ln p(x|w_1) + \ln p(w_1) = \ln p(x|w_2) + \ln p(w_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\ln \delta - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{(x-m)^2}{2\delta^2} + \ln p(w_1) = -\ln \delta - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{(x+m)^2}{2\delta^2} + \ln p(w_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow * (x-m)^2 - (x+m)^2 = 2\delta^2 \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x-m = -\frac{\delta^2}{2} \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$$

So the decision boundary will be: $w \cdot x + b = 0$, with $w = m$, $b = \frac{\delta^2}{2} \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$

And if the decision always predict w_1 , then $p(w_1|x) > p(w_2|x)$ must always holds.

$$\text{So } -\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\delta^2} + \ln p(w_1) > -\frac{(x+m)^2}{2\delta^2} + \ln p(w_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (x-m)^2 - (x+m)^2 < 2\delta^2 \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)} \text{ with } \delta > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow mx > -\frac{\delta^2}{2} \ln \frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)}$$

i.e. $\frac{p(w_1)}{p(w_2)} > e^{-\frac{2\delta^2}{m^2}x}$ must always be true if the decision always predict w_1 .