

Lecture 1: Development of Measures

Tianpei Xie

Jul. 13th., 2015

Contents

1	Elementary Measure and Jordan Measure	2
1.1	Measure and its motivations	2
1.2	Elementary Measure	2
1.3	Jordan Measure	3
2	Lebesgue Measure	5
2.1	Lebesgue outer measure	5
2.2	Lebesgue measure	10
3	The Development of Measure Theory	20
3.1	Definition Summary	20
3.2	Table Summary	23
4	Counterexamples	24

1 Elementary Measure and Jordan Measure

1.1 Measure and its motivations

- **Remark** The basic **motivation**: an extension of *measure* $m(E)$ in $\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3$ as *length, area and volume* of a geometric body E .

[Tao, 2011] A set of intuitive axioms for a measure function m defined on power set $2^{\mathbb{R}}$:

1. The **unit length** of interval: $E = (0, 1]$, then $m((0, 1]) = 1$;
2. If E is **congruent** to F : (There exists a proper translation, rotation or reflection from E to F), then $m(E) = m(F)$;
3. The **countably additive**: for a countable union of disjoint sets, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, the measure

$$m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(E_k)$$

Note that for **uncountable union**, the intuition falls. For example, $f : x \mapsto 2x$ is *one-to-one correspondence*, but when it applies to measure it means that a unit length interval can be dissembled and reassembled as a length of any $k \geq 1$.

It is seen that even with finite partition, the dissemble-reassemble procedure could generate bizarre results: see "Banach-Tarski paradox". [Tao, 2011] The idea is that the pieces used there is quite "uncommon" as the interval or cubes used in general work.

- **Remark** Unfortunately, **these three axioms are inconsistent: no proper definition of measure function m could satisfies all these three axioms for any subset in \mathbb{R} .** The measure theory should be built on a collection of "ordinary" subsets, which motivates the introduction of **σ -algebra**.
- The measure for elementary sets: just as $E = (a, b), [a, b], (a, b], [a, b)$, boxes E^n , or the set E is partitioned as the *finite union disjoint* boxes E_1, \dots, E_k . Here $m(E) = (b - a)^n$ for a box E^n , and $m(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i)$.

1.2 Elementary Measure

- **Definition** The **elementary measure** m is an **algebra** \mathcal{A}_0 on set \mathbb{R}^n (which is *closed* under **finite union** and **complements**). Here \mathcal{A}_0 is the **minimal algebra** generated by a collection of all **boxes** $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Define $m : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ should satisfy

1. **Non-negative**: $m(E) \geq 0$, for all $E \in \mathcal{A}_0$;
2. $m(\emptyset) = 0$;
3. $m((0, 1]^n) = 1$;
4. **Translation-invariant**: $m(x + E) = m(E)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

5. **Finitely additive:** For a finite collection of disjoint sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i)$$

• **Remark** From the property above, the following properties hold

1. **Monotonicity property:** If $E \subseteq F$, then

$$m(E) \leq m(F),$$

2. **Finitely sub-additive:** For a finite collection of sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

• **Remark** A **box** in \mathbb{R}^d is a Cartesian product $B := I_1 \times \dots \times I_d$ of d intervals I_1, \dots, I_d (not necessarily of the same length), thus for instance an **interval** is a one-dimensional box. The **volume** $|B|$ of such a box B is defined as $|B| := |I_1| \times \dots \times |I_d|$. An **elementary set** is any subset of \mathbb{R}^d which is the union of a finite number of boxes.

• **Remark** The collection of all elementary sets forms a **boolean algebra**. That is, if $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are elementary sets, then the union $E \cup F$, the intersection $E \cap F$, and the set theoretic difference $E \setminus F := \{x \in E : x \notin F\}$, and the symmetric difference $E \Delta F := (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)$ are also elementary.

• **Exercise 1.1 (Uniqueness of elementary measure).** [Tao, 2011]

Let $d \leq 1$. Let $\tilde{m} : E(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a map from the collection $E(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of elementary subsets of \mathbb{R}^d to the nonnegative reals that obeys the non-negativity, finite additivity, and translation invariance properties. Show that there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\tilde{m}(E) = c m(E)$ for all elementary sets E . In particular, if we impose the additional normalisation $\tilde{m}([0, 1]^d) = 1$, then $\tilde{m} \equiv m$. (Set $c := \tilde{m}([0, 1]^d)$, and then compute $\tilde{m}([0, \frac{1}{n}]^d)$ for any positive integer n .)

1.3 Jordan Measure

• **Definition** A generalized measure of set E can be induced by elementary measure of subset that **inscribed** F or **circumscribed** G of it: $F \subseteq E \subseteq G$.

– The **outer Jordan measure** is defined as

$$m^{*,J}(E) = \inf_{G \in \mathcal{A}_0, G \supseteq E} m(G)$$

– The **inner Jordan measure** is defined as

$$m_{*,J}(E) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{A}_0, F \subseteq E} m(F)$$

- If $m^{*,J}(E) = m_{*,J}(E)$, then E is **Jordan measurable** and denote $m(E) \equiv m^{*,J}(E) = m_{*,J}(E)$.

• **Remark** Jordan measurable sets are those sets which are “almost elementary” with respect to Jordan outer measure.

• The Jordan measure has following properties:

1. *Non-negative*: $m(E) \geq 0$, for all $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, E is Jordan measurable;
2. *Translation-invariant*: $m(\mathbf{x} + E) = m(E)$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
3. *Finitely additive*: For a finite collection of *disjoint* sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and Jordan measurable,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

4. *Finitely sub-additive*: For a finite collection of Jordan measurable sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

5. *Monotonicity*: If $E \subseteq F$, then $m(E) \leq m(F)$.

6. *Boolean closure*: if $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are Jordan measurable sets, then the *union* $E \cup F$, the *intersection* $E \cap F$, and the *set theoretic difference* $E \setminus F := \{x \in E : x \notin F\}$, and the *symmetric difference* $E \Delta F := (E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)$ are also Jordan measurable.

• **Proposition 1.2 (Characterisation of Jordan measurability)**. [Tao, 2011] Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be *bounded*. Show that the following are *equivalent*:

1. E is Jordan measurable.
2. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist elementary sets $A \subseteq E \subseteq B$ such that $m(B \Delta A) \leq \epsilon$.
3. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an elementary set A such that $m^{*,J}(A \Delta E) \leq \epsilon$.

• Example of Jordan measurable set:

- Every **elementary set** E is Jordan measurable.
- Every **compact convex polytope** in \mathbb{R}^d is Jordan measurable.
- All **open and closed Euclidean balls** $B(x; r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y - x\|_2 < r\}$, $\overline{B(x; r)} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y - x\|_2 \leq r\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d are Jordan measurable, with Jordan measure $c_d r^d$ for some constant $c_d > 0$ depending only on d .
- The **graph of continuous function** $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for B compact in \mathbb{R}^n , $G = \{(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})), \mathbf{x} \in B\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is Jordan measurable, with $m(G) = 0$.
- The **epigraph of continuous function** $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as defined above is the set $\{(\mathbf{x}, t) : 0 \leq t \leq f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in B\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is Jordan measurable.

• **Exercise 1.3 (Uniqueness of Jordan measure)**. [Tao, 2011]

Let $d \leq 1$. Let $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a map from the collection $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of elementary subsets of

\mathbb{R}^d to the nonnegative reals that obeys the non-negativity, finite additivity, and translation invariance properties. Show that there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\tilde{m}(E) = c m(E)$ for all elementary sets E . In particular, if we impose the additional normalisation $\tilde{m}(E)([0; 1]^d) = 1$, then $\tilde{m} \equiv m$.

- **Exercise 1.4** Show that **the bullet-riddled square** $[0, 1]^2 \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$, and set of **bullets** $[0, 1]^2 \setminus \mathbb{Q}^2$, both have Jordan inner measure zero and Jordan outer measure one. In particular, **both sets are not Jordan measurable**.
- **Remark** Informally, any set with a lot of “holes”, or a very “fractal” boundary, is unlikely to be Jordan measurable. In order to measure such sets we will need to develop *Lebesgue measure*.
- **Exercise 1.5 (Area interpretation of the Riemann integral).** [Tao, 2011]
Let $[a, b]$ be an interval, and let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a **bounded** function. Show that f is **Riemann integrable if and only if** the sets $E_+ := \{(x, t) : x \in [a, b]; 0 \leq t \leq f(x)\}$ and $E_- := \{(x, t) : x \in [a, b]; f(x) \leq t \leq 0\}$ are both **Jordan measurable** in \mathbb{R}^2 , in which case one has

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = m^2(E_+) - m^2(E_-)$$

where m^2 denotes two-dimensional **Jordan measure**.

2 Lebesgue Measure

2.1 Lebesgue outer measure

- **Remark** *The countable union* of disjoint Jordan measurable sets may not be Jordan measurable.

Exercise 2.1 Show that the countable union $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ or countable intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ of Jordan measurable sets $E_1, E_2, \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$ need not be Jordan measurable, even when bounded.

Also, for $E = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the Jordan outer measure $m^{*,J}$ could be very large. For example, $m^{*,J}(\mathbb{Q} \cap [-R, R]) = 2R$ as $[-R, R]$ is the closure of them.

- **Definition** Define the **Lebesgue outer measure** [Tao, 2011]

$$m^*(E) = \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k, \\ \forall G_k \in \mathcal{A}_0}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(G_k) \quad (1)$$

That is, if E has a **a countable covering of elementary sets** $\{G_k\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$, then the *Lebesgue outer measure* is the **infimum of the countable sum** of the elementary measures of these sets. Here **the countable sum** is defined as the supremum over $k \geq 1$ of the k -summation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{n=1}^k a_n$$

- **Remark** Compare to the Lebesgue outer measure with the Jordan outer measure below,

$$m^{*,J}(E) = \inf_{\substack{E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n G_k, \\ \forall G_k \in \mathcal{A}_0}} \sum_{k=1}^n m(G_k),$$

we see that the Jordan outer measure is the *infimal cost* required to cover E by a **finite union of boxes**, while the Lebesgue outer measure is that for a **countable infinite union of boxes**. When the countable sum is infinite, the Lebesgue outer measure is also infinite.

Moreover, we can show that $m^*(E) \leq m^{*,J}(E)$. This is because we can always **pad out** a finite union of boxes into an *infinite union* by adding an *infinite number of empty boxes*.

- **Remark** Note that the similar defined “**Lebesgue inner measure**” does not improve over the Jordan inner measure, due to the *subadditivity* of the measure.
- **Proposition 2.2** The Lebesgue outer measure $m^* : 2^{\mathbb{R}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the following three properties:

1. **Empty-set:** $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$;
2. **Monotonicity:** If $E \subset F$, then $m^*(E) \leq m^*(F)$;
3. **Countably subadditivity:** For any countable union of sets $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{A}

$$m^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(E_i).$$

Conversely, any set function $m^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} on X that satisfies the three axioms above is called an **outer measure**. [Rudin, 1987, Royden and Fitzpatrick, 1988, Folland, 2013]

- **Lemma 2.3 (Finite additivity for separated sets).**

Let $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be such that $\text{dist}(E; F) > 0$, where

$$\text{dist}(E; F) = \inf \{\|x - y\|_2 \mid x \in E, y \in F\}$$

is the distance between two sets E, F . Then $m^*(E \cup F) = m^*(E) + m^*(F)$.

Proof: It suffice to prove that $m^*(E \cup F) \geq m^*(E) + m^*(F)$ and the other direction is the subadditivity. Suppose $m^*(E \cup F) < \infty$. (It is trivial to have infinite outer measure.)

For any $\epsilon > 0$, we can cover the $E \cup F$ by countably infinite boxes B_1, \dots , such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n| \leq m^*(E \cup F) + \epsilon.$$

Suppose that each of these boxes intersects at most one of E and F . Note that for those boxes that intersect both E and F , we can partition them into smaller pieces with diameter $r < \text{dist}(E; F)$. This guarantee that each box only intersect one set.

We divide these boxes into two parts B'_1, \dots , and B''_1, \dots , which only intersects E and F , respectively. Clearly, the first subfamily covers E and the second covers F .

By definition of Lebesgue outer measure,

$$m^*(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B'_n|$$

and

$$m^*(F) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B''_n|$$

Summing up these two terms, we have

$$m^*(E) + m^*(F) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n| \leq m^*(E \cup F) + \epsilon$$

so

$$m^*(E) + m^*(F) \leq m^*(E \cup F),$$

which completes the proof. ■

• **Lemma 2.4 (Outer measure of elementary sets)**

Let E be an **elementary set**. Then the Lebesgue outer measure $m^*(E)$ of E is equal to the elementary measure $m(E)$ of E : $m^*(E) = m(E)$.

Proof: Note that $m^*(E) \leq m^{*,J}(E) = m(E)$, so it suffice to prove $m(E) \leq m^*(E)$.

1. If E is closed, since elementary set E is bounded, then by Heine-Borel theorem, E is compact. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, a countable family of boxes B_1, \dots , will cover E and

$$E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

This family of boxes need not to be open, while we can add one more $\epsilon > 0$ so that the above inequality holds for the family of open boxes B'_1, \dots , where $B_k \subset B'_1$ and $|B'_k| \leq |B_k| + \epsilon/2^n$, so that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B'_k$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B'_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} \leq m^*(E) + 2\epsilon.$$

Then by compactness, there are finite subcover $\{B'_1, \dots, B'_n\}$ of E ; i.e.

$$E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n B'_k$$

$$m(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |B'_k|,$$

where the last inequality holds due to the subadditivity elementary measure. Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |B'_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B'_k| \leq m^*(E) + 2\epsilon,$$

so

$$m(E) \leq m^*(E) + 2\epsilon,$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. It suffice to show that $m(E) \leq m^*(E)$.

2. If E is not closed, we can partition E as a finite collection of disjoint boxes $Q_1 \cup \cdots \cup Q_m$, which need not to be closed. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, for any $1 \leq j \leq m$, so that there exists closed box $Q'_j \subset Q_j$ such that $|Q'_j| \geq |Q_j| - \epsilon/m$.

Then E contains a finite collection of closed boxes Q'_1, \dots, Q'_m , so

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m Q'_j\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^m |Q'_j| \geq \sum_{j=1}^m |Q_j| - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\epsilon}{m} \\ &= m(E) - \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $\forall \epsilon > 0$.

By monotonicity of outer measure, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E) &\geq m^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m Q'_j\right) \\ &\geq m\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m Q'_j\right) \\ &\geq m(E) - \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $m^*(E) \geq m(E)$.

This completes the whole proof. \blacksquare .

• **Proposition 2.5** (*Lebesgue outer measure vs. Jordan outer / inner measure*)

For any subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we have the following relation between the Lebesgue outer measure and the Jordan outer and inner measure.

$$m_{*,J}(E) \leq m^*(E) \leq m^{*,J}(E)$$

Proof: Note that we have already shown the upper bound before. Suppose that for some elementary set $F \subseteq E$, $m(F)$ attained the Jordan inner measure of E , i.e. $m_{*,J}(E) = m(F)$. Lemma 2.4 shows that the outer measure of all elementary sets are the elementary measures. So $m(F) = m^*(F) = m_{*,J}(E)$. By monotonicity, $m^*(F) \leq m^*(E)$ thus proved the lower bound. \blacksquare

- **Lemma 2.6** A collection of sets are **almost disjoint**, if their **interiors** are disjoint. Show that for $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$, where B_1, \dots are countable collection of almost disjoint boxes, then

$$m^*(E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|$$

Proof: Due to the subadditivity, $m^*(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|$. We need to show that $m^*(E) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|$.

Note that since B_1, \dots are almost disjoint, their volumes does not change by taking the interior, so $m(\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n |B_k|$ for any n .

It is seen that finite union of subcollections $\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k \subset E$ for any $n \geq 1$, it follows by monotonicity that

$$m^*(E) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n |B_k|.$$

Take both size for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have the desired result. \blacksquare

- **Lemma 2.7** *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an **open set**. Then E can be expressed as **the countable union of almost disjoint boxes** (and, in fact, as the countable union of almost disjoint closed cubes).*

Proof: we use the *dyadic mesh*, which is a discretized structure in \mathbb{R}^d . Define a *closed dyadic cube* to be a cube Q of the form

$$Q_n = \left[\frac{i_1}{2^n}, \frac{i_1 + 1}{2^n} \right] \times \cdots \times \left[\frac{i_d}{2^n}, \frac{i_d + 1}{2^n} \right],$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, i_1, \dots, i_d \in \mathbb{Z}$. It has side length $\frac{1}{2^n}$. These cubes for $i_1, \dots, i_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ are almost disjoint and covers \mathbb{R}^d .

Also given $Q_n, \exists Q_{n-1}$, such that $Q_n \subset Q_{n-1}$ and Q_{n-1} can be partitioned into 2^d Q_n 's. As a consequence of these facts, we also obtain the important *dyadic nesting property*: given any two closed dyadic cubes (possibly of different side-length), either they are *almost disjoint*, or one of them is *contained in the other* (, since the grid points are integers.).

For any E open, $\mathbf{x} \in E$, then there exists an open neighborhood $U \ni \mathbf{x}$ and $U \subset E$. Note that there also exists a closed dyadic cube Q_n such that $\mathbf{x} \in Q_n \subset U \subset E$ for some n . Let \mathcal{Q} be the collection of all closed dyadic cubes that are contained in E , so $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q \subseteq E$. It is also clear that $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q \supseteq E$, since \mathcal{Q} is a closed cover of E , thus $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} Q = E$.

Note that \mathcal{Q} should be countable as the collection of all Q is countable. To make sure they are almost disjoint, we use the nested property. Note that \mathcal{Q} is endowed with the partial order relation as proper inclusion. Since any simply-ordered subcollection of \mathcal{Q} has an upper bound, then \mathcal{Q} has maximal elements. Let \mathcal{Q}' denote those cubes in \mathcal{Q} that are maximal. By definition of maximal and the dyadic nested property, the elements in \mathcal{Q}' are almost disjoint and $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}'} Q = E$. As \mathcal{Q}' is at most countable, we have proved the claim. \blacksquare

- **Lemma 2.8 (Outer regularity)** [Tao, 2011]
Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be arbitrary set. Then one has

$$m^*(E) = \inf_{E \subset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U).$$

Proof: For monotonicity, $m^*(E) \leq \inf_{E \subset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U)$, so we only need to show $m^*(E) \geq \inf_{E \subset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U)$. Assume that $m^*(E) < \infty$.

By definition of outer measure of E , there exists a countable collection of boxes B_1, \dots that covers E and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k| \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Note that we can enlarge these boxes by open boxes B'_1, \dots such that $B_k \subseteq B'_k$ and $|B'_k| \leq |B_k| + \epsilon/2^k$. Note that $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B'_k \supset E$ and it is open cover, but

$$\begin{aligned} m^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B'_k\right) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B'_k| \\ &\leq m^*(E) + 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\inf_{E \subset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U) \leq m^*(E) + 2\epsilon$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, which proves the claim. ■

- **Remark** Lemma 2.8 shows that under *the Euclidean topology* of \mathbb{R}^d , the Lebesgue outer measure is **regular**; i.e., let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be arbitrary set. Then one has

1. **outer regular**

$$m^*(E) = \inf_{E \subset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U). \quad (2)$$

and

2. **inner regular**

$$m^*(E) = \sup_{E \supset C, C \text{ compact}} m^*(C). \quad (3)$$

- **Exercise 2.9** Give an example to show that the reverse statement

$$m^*(E) = \sup_{E \supset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U)$$

is **false**.

Note see the *example* section.

2.2 Lebesgue measure

- **Definition** A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is **Lebesgue measurable** if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists open set U that contains E such that $m^*(U \setminus E) < \epsilon$.

We refer $m(E) = m^*(E)$ as the **Lebesgue measure** of E , for E is **Lebesgue measurable**.

- **Lemma 2.10** (*Existence of Lebesgue measurable sets*).
The following sets are **Lebesgue measurable sets**

1. Any **open sets** or **closed set** in \mathbb{R}^d ; The empty set \emptyset is both open and closed, so it is Lebesgue measurable.
2. Any sets with **Lebesgue outer measure zero**; (called **null set**)
3. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lebesgue measurable, then the **complement** E^c is also Lebesgue measurable.
4. Any **countable union** of Lebesgue measurable sets, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is Lebesgue measurable.
5. Any **countable intersection** of Lebesgue measurable sets, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is Lebesgue measurable.

Proof: – The proof of 1. the open set being Lebesgue measurable follows the definition where $U = E$. 2. The null set is also Lebesgue measurable by definition.

- We prove that *any closed set is Lebesgue measurable*. For any closed set E , we need to show that, for any ϵ , there exists open $U \supset E$ such that

$$m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon.$$

By outer regularity, for any ϵ , there exists open $U \supset E$ such that

$$m^*(U) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon.$$

Note that $U \setminus E$ is open, so it can be decomposed into a countable collection of closed dyadic cubes Q_1, \dots , that are *almost disjoint*. Thus

$$U = E \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k \right);$$

$$\text{and } m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q_k|$$

Since E and Q_k 's are almost disjoint,

$$m^*(U) = m^*(E) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q_k|$$

$$\leq m^*(E) + \epsilon \quad (\text{by construction of } U),$$

and $m^*(E) \leq \infty$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q_k| \leq \epsilon \Rightarrow m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$, which complete our proof.

- We prove 4. *any countable union of Lebesgue measurable sets is Lebesgue measurable*. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. By hypothesis, each E_n is contained in an open set U_n whose difference $U_n \setminus E_n$ has Lebesgue outer measure at most $\epsilon/2^n$. By *countable subadditivity*, this implies that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is contained in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$, and the difference $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n) \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$ has Lebesgue outer measure at most ϵ . The set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$, being a *union of open sets*, is itself *open*, and the claim follows.
- Now we prove 3. *the complement of a Lebesgue measurable set is Lebesgue measurable*. If E is Lebesgue measurable, then for every n we can find an open set U_n containing E such that

$$m^*(U_n \setminus E) \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Letting F_n be the *complement* of U_n , we conclude that the complement $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$ of E contains all of the F_n , and that $m^*((\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E) \setminus F_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. If we let $F := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, then $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$ contains F , and from *monotonicity* $m^*((\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E) \setminus F) = 0$, thus $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E$ is the union of F and a set of *Lebesgue outer measure zero*. But F is in turn *the union of countably many closed sets* F_n . The claim now follows from statement 1., 2., 4.

– The statement 5. is the result of statement 3, 4. and *de Morgans laws*. ■

- **Remark** Based on above Lemma, the *collection of all Lebesgue measurable set* in \mathbb{R}^d form a σ -*algebra*, called **Borel σ -algebra** \mathcal{B}^d .

- **Remark (*Lebesgue Measure vs. Jordan Measureable*)**

Now we look at the Lebesgue measure $m(E)$ of a *Lebesgue measurable set* E , which is defined to equal its *Lebesgue outer measure* $m^*(E)$. If E is *Jordan measurable*, we see from last section that *the Lebesgue measure and the Jordan measure of E coincide*, thus ***Lebesgue measure extends Jordan measure***. This justifies the use of the notation $m(E)$ to denote both *Lebesgue measure* of a *Lebesgue measurable set*, and *Jordan measure* of a *Jordan measurable set* (as well as *elementary measure* of an *elementary set*)

- **Remark** Note that by outer regularity 2, there always exists U open containing E such that $m^*(U) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon$. However, ***outer measure does not preserve the set difference***, i.e., $m^*(U \setminus E) \geq m^*(U) - m^*(E)$.
- **Lemma 2.11** *The **Lebesgue measure** $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, where \mathcal{A} is σ -algebra **containing Borel sets**, satisfies the following properties:*

1. $m(\emptyset) = 0$;

2. **Countably additivity**: For any countable union of disjoint sets $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{A}

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(E_i).$$

*This also infers the **Finitely-additivity**.*

Proof: We just need to show number 2.

- Case 1: *all of E_i are **compact***: Note that for two sets are disjoint, one is closed and one is compact, then *their distance* must above zero. Thus the outer measure is finitely additive. $m(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i)$.

By monotonicity, $m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \geq m(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i)$. Then we take $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides, $m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(E_i)$.

And by countable subadditivity, $m(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(E_i)$. Then it completes the proof.

- Case 2: *E_i are **bounded***: Since E_i are measurable set, by inner regularity, we see that for every E_n , any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a closed (bounded as a subset, thus **compact**) set $K_n \subset E_n$ and

$$m(E_n) \leq m(K_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}.$$

Hence

$$m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(K_n) + \epsilon$$

and for compact K_n , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(K_n) = m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right).$$

By monotonicity,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) \leq m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right),$$

so

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n) \leq m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right),$$

which complete our proof.

- Case 3: *general measureable E_i* : The basic idea is to **decompose** E_i as **countable disjoint union** of **bounded** measureable sets.

First, decompose \mathbb{R}^d as the countable disjoint union $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$ of bounded measurable sets A_m . This is due to the separable property of \mathbb{R}^d . Therefore each E_n can be decomposed as a countable disjoint union of bounded measureable sets $E_n \cap A_m, m = 1, \dots$, and

$$m(E_n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m(E_n \cap A_m).$$

and also $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} E_n \cap A_m$ with countable disjoint union of bounded measureable sets. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m(E_n \cap A_m) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n), \end{aligned}$$

which completes our proof. ■

- **Remark** Due to the *countably additivity property*, **Lebesgue measure** obeys significantly **better** properties than **Lebesgue outer measure**.
- **Proposition 2.12 (Criteria for measurability)** [Tao, 2011]
The followings are equivalent:

1. E is Lebesgue measurable.
2. (**Outer approximation by open**) For every $\epsilon > 0$, one can contain E in an open set U with $m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$.

3. (**Almost open**) For every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find an open set U such that $m^*(U \Delta E) \leq \epsilon$, where $U \Delta E = (U \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus U) = (U \cup E) \setminus (U \cap E)$ is the symmetric difference. (In other words, E differs from an open set by a set of outer measure at most ϵ .)
4. (**Inner approximation by closed**) For every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find a closed set F contained in E with $m^*(E \setminus F) \leq \epsilon$.
5. (**Almost closed**) For every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find a closed set F such that $m^*(E \Delta F) \leq \epsilon$. (In other words, E differs from a closed set by a set of outer measure at most ϵ .)
6. (**Almost measurable**) For every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find a Lebesgue measurable set E_ϵ such that $m^*(E \Delta E_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon$. (In other words, E differs from a measurable set E_ϵ by a set of outer measure at most ϵ .)

Proof: – (1) \Rightarrow (2) is the definition;

- (2) \Rightarrow (3): given that every $\epsilon > 0$, one can contain E in an open set U with $m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon/2$, we want to show that E is almost open as cited above.

Note that $U \supset E$, so $E \setminus U = \emptyset$ and since $E \setminus U$ and $U \setminus E$ are disjoint, $m^*(U \Delta E) = m^*((U \setminus E) \cup (U \setminus V)) = m^*(E \setminus U) + m^*(U \setminus E) = m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$, which completes the proof.

- (3) \Rightarrow (4): For every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find an open set U such that $m^*(U \Delta E) \leq \epsilon/2$. We need to show that for every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find a closed set F contained in E with $m^*(E \setminus F) \leq \epsilon$.

Note that $m^*(U \Delta E) = m^*(E \setminus U) + m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$, where U is open. Decompose $E = (E \cap U) \cup (E \setminus U)$ and $m^*(E) = m^*(E \cap U) + m^*(E \setminus U)$. If $m^*(E \cap U) = 0$, then $m^*(E) = m^*(E \setminus U) \leq \epsilon$, then $F = \emptyset$ and $m^*(E \setminus F) = m^*(E) \leq \epsilon$.

Suppose $m^*(E \cap U) > 0$ and $m^*(E \setminus U) \leq \epsilon/2$ with $\epsilon/2 \leq m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$. The open set U can be decomposed by a countable collection of almost disjoint closed dyadic cubes Q_1, \dots , as $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k$. Choose a subcollection of Q'_1, \dots that intersects E and Q''_1, \dots are the rest of cubes that included in $U - E$, which result in

$$U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q'_k + \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q''_k$$

where $E \cap U \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q'_k$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q''_k \subset U - E$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E \cap U) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q'_k| \\ \epsilon/2 &\geq m^*(E \setminus U) \geq m^*(E) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q'_k| \\ m^*(E) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q'_k| \geq m^*(E) - \epsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

For $m^*(E) < \infty$, we see that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q'_k| < \infty$, so for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$

such that for all $m \geq N$

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |Q'_k| < \epsilon/2;$$

So we can choose a collection of Q'_1, \dots, Q'_m , $m \geq N$ such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k \subset E \cap U$. Note that it is possible since $m^*(E) \leq m^*(E \cap U) + \epsilon$ and $m^*(E) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q'_k| \geq m^*(E) - \epsilon/2$, thus $m^*(E \cap U) \geq m^*(\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k)$ for large m .

We define $F \equiv \bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k$, and it is a closed set. Also $\bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k = F \subset E \cap U \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q'_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E - F &\subseteq E - \bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k \\ &= (E \cap U) \cup (E \setminus U) - \bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k \\ &= \left(E \cap U - \bigcup_{k=1}^m Q'_k \right) \cup (E \setminus U) \\ &\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty} Q'_k \right) \cup (E \setminus U) \\ m^*(E - F) &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |Q'_k| + m^*(E \setminus U) \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

- (4) \Rightarrow (5) is similar to (2) \Rightarrow (3): We just see that $F \subset E$, so $F \setminus E = \emptyset$. So $m^*(E \Delta F) = m^*((E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E)) = m^*(E \setminus F) + m^*(F \setminus E) = m^*(E \setminus F) \leq \epsilon$, which completes the proof.
- (5) \Rightarrow (6). It is trivial since any closed set $F = E_\epsilon$ is measurable as required.
- (6) \Rightarrow (1): Given every $\epsilon > 0$, one can find a Lebesgue measurable set E_ϵ such that $m^*(E \Delta E_\epsilon) = m^*(E - E_\epsilon) + m^*(E_\epsilon \setminus E) \leq \epsilon/3$. First, since E_ϵ is Lebesgue measurable set, there exists open set $U_\epsilon \supset E_\epsilon$ such that $m^*(U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon/3$. We need to modify U_ϵ to be $U \supset E$ and $m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$.

Second, consider for any open set $U \supset E$, we decompose $U \setminus E$ as

$$\begin{aligned} U \setminus E &= ((U \setminus E) \cap U_\epsilon) \cup ((U \setminus E) \cap (U \setminus U_\epsilon)) \\ &= ((U \setminus E) \cap (U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon)) \cup ((U \setminus E) \cap E_\epsilon) \cup ((U \setminus E) \cap (U \setminus U_\epsilon)) \\ m^*(U \setminus E) &\leq m^*((U \setminus E) \cap (U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon)) + m^*((U \setminus E) \cap E_\epsilon) + m^*((U \setminus E) \cap (U \setminus U_\epsilon)) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} m^*((U \setminus E) \cap (U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon)) &\leq m^*(U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon/3 \\ m^*((U \setminus E) \cap (U \setminus U_\epsilon)) &\leq m^*(U \setminus U_\epsilon) \\ m^*((U \setminus E) \cap E_\epsilon) &\leq m^*(E_\epsilon \setminus E) \leq \epsilon/3 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then our goal is to find $U \supset E$ such that $m^*(U \setminus U_\epsilon) < \epsilon/3$.

We can decompose U_ϵ into countable union of almost disjoint cubes Q_1, \dots , as $U_\epsilon = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q_k$ and let Q'_1, \dots , are those cubes that meet E , so $E_\epsilon \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k$. We can enlarge each Q'_k as open set B_k so that $m^*(B_k \setminus Q'_k) \leq \frac{1}{6}\epsilon/2^k$. Then $E_\epsilon \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k$ and $E \subset (\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k) \cup (E \setminus E_\epsilon) \subset (\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k) \cup V$, where open set $V \supset (E \setminus E_\epsilon)$ with $m^*(V) \leq \epsilon$.

Finally, let $U = (\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k) \cup V \supset E$ be the open set we need. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
U \setminus U_\epsilon &= \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k \right) \cup V \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k \right) \cup \left(U_\epsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k \right) \\
&\subset \left[\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k \right) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k \right) \right] \cup V^* \\
&\subset \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty [B_k \setminus Q'_k] \right) \cup V^*; \\
m^*(U \setminus U_\epsilon) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty m^*(B_k \setminus Q'_k) + m^*(V^*) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{6} \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon \\
&= \frac{1}{3}\epsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $V^* = V \cup (U_\epsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k)$ is a null set with outer measure

$$\begin{aligned}
m^*(V^*) &\leq m^*(V) + m^*(U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12}\epsilon + \frac{1}{12}\epsilon = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(U_\epsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k) \subset U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon$ and $m^*(U_\epsilon \setminus E_\epsilon) \leq \epsilon/12$, so $(U_\epsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty Q'_k)$ is a null set.

Substituting (6), and (5) into (4), we have $m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$, which completes our proof. \blacksquare

• **Proposition 2.13** *The Lebesgue measure satisfying the following property*

1. (**Upward monotone convergence**) Let $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \cdots$ be countable **non-decreasing** nested sets, we have $m(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(E_k)$.
2. (**Downward monotone convergence**) Let $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \cdots$ be countable **non-increasing** nested sets, and **if at least one E_k has finite measure** $m(E_k) < \infty$, we have $m(\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty E_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(E_k)$.

• **Proposition 2.14 (Carathéodory criterion):** [Tao, 2011]

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the followings are equivalent:

1. E is **Lebesgue measurable**;

2. For every **elementary set** $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, one has $m(A) = m^*(A \setminus E) + m^*(A \cap E)$.

3. For every **box** B , one has $|B| = m^*(B \setminus E) + m^*(B \cap E)$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2). We see that both A and E are Lebesgue measurable, so does $A \setminus E$ and $A \cap E$. Then since $A = (A \setminus E) \cup (A \cap E)$ for two disjoint set, then by countable additivity,

$$m(A) = m((A \setminus E) \cup (A \cap E)) = m(A \setminus E) + m(A \cap E) = m^*(A \setminus E) + m^*(A \cap E).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Trivial, as the box B is an elementary set.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). To show E is measurable, we see to show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open subset $U \supset E$ such that $m^*(U \setminus E) \leq \epsilon$. Suppose $m^*(E) < \infty$. By definition of outer measure, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a countable collection of elementary sets A_1, \dots so that $E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(A_k) \leq m^*(E) + \epsilon/2$. Then since elementary set are measurable, there exists a countable collection of open sets U_1, \dots so that $A_k \subset U_k$, $m^*(U_k \setminus A_k) \leq \epsilon/2^{k+1}$.

Let $U = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k$ open and $E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \subset U$. Consider $U \setminus E \supset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \setminus E$, as

$$\begin{aligned} U \setminus E &= \left(U \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \setminus E \right) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^c \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_k \cap E^c) \right) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (U_k \cap A_k^c) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_k \cap E^c) \right) \\ m^*(U \setminus E) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(U_k \setminus A_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k \setminus E) \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k \setminus E) \\ &= \epsilon/2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k \setminus E) \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality comes from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k \setminus E) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(A_k) \setminus \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k \cap E) \quad (\text{by additivity assumption}) \\ &\leq m^*(E) + \epsilon/2 \setminus \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k \cap E) \\ &\leq m^*(E) + \epsilon/2 \setminus m^*\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \cap E\right) \\ &= m^*(E) + \epsilon/2 \setminus m^*(E) = \epsilon/2 \quad (\text{since } E \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Trivial, as the box B is an elementary set. ■

- **Proposition 2.15 (Inner regularity).**

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be Lebesgue measurable, then

$$m(E) = \sup_{K \subset E, K \text{ is compact}} m(K)$$

Proof: For E is Lebesgue measurable, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a **closed** subset $K \subset E$, such that $m^*(E \setminus K) \leq \epsilon$. If $m(E) = \infty$, it is then clear that $m(K) = \infty$.

Suppose $m(E) < \infty$, we only need to show that K is bounded, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists compact (i.e. closed and bounded set) K such that $m^*(E \setminus K) \leq \epsilon$, so $m(E) \leq m^*(K) + \epsilon$. Then $m(E) = \sup_{K \subset E, K \text{ is compact}} m(K)$.

Clearly if E is bounded, K is bounded. If else, $E = E' \cup S$, where E' is bounded with S unbounded but $m(S) = 0$. Then choose $K \subset E'$, then K is bounded. That is, if E is finite measureable, then K is as required. This completes our proof. ■

- **Remark** The *inner* and *outer regularity* properties of measure can be used to define the concept of a **Radon measure**.

- **Proposition 2.16 [Tao, 2011]**

Any Lebesgue measurable set E can be seen as

1. $G \setminus N$, where G is a G_δ set (i.e. $\cap_{n=1}^\infty U_n$ for **open sets** U_n) and N is a null set; or
2. $F \cup N$ where F is a F_σ set (i.e. $\cup_{n=1}^\infty F_n$ for **closed sets** F_n) and N is a null set.

- **Proposition 2.17 (Translation invariance).**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lebesgue measurable, show that $E + x$ is Lebesgue measurable for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and that $m(E + x) = m(E)$.

- **Remark (Change of Variables).**

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lebesgue measurable, and $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a *linear transformation*, $T(E)$ is Lebesgue measurable, and that $m(T(E)) = |\det T| m(E)$. We caution that if $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ is a linear map to a space $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ of strictly **smaller dimension** than \mathbb{R}^d , then $T(E)$ need not be Lebesgue measurable;

- **Proposition 2.18 (Uniqueness of Lebesgue measure).**

Lebesgue measure $E \mapsto m(E)$ is **the only map** from Lebesgue measurable sets to $[0, +\infty]$ that obeys the following **axioms**:

1. (**Empty set**) $m(\emptyset) = 0$.
2. **Countably additivity:** For any countable union of disjoint Lebesgue measurable sets $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{A}

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty m(E_i).$$

3. (**Translation invariance**) If E is Lebesgue measurable and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $m(E + x) = m(E)$.
4. (**Normalisation**) $m([0, 1]^d) = 1$.

- **Exercise 2.19** Lebesgue measure can be viewed as a metric completion of elementary measure. [Tao, 2011];

1. Let $2^A := \{E : E \subseteq A\}$ be the power set of A . We say that two sets $E, F \in 2^A$ are equivalent if $E \Delta F$ is a null set. Show that this is a **equivalence relation**.
2. Let $2^A / \sim$ be the set of equivalence classes $[E] := \{F \in 2^A : E \sim F\}$ of 2^A with respect to the above equivalence relation. Define a **distance** $d : 2^A / \sim \times 2^A / \sim \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ between two equivalence classes $[E], [E']$ by defining $d([E], [E']) := m^*(E \Delta E')$.

Show that this distance is well-defined (in the sense that $m(E \Delta E') = m(F \Delta F')$ whenever $[E] = [F]$ and $[E'] = [F']$) and gives $2^A / \sim$ the structure of a **complete metric space**.

3. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset 2^A$ be the **elementary subsets** of A , and let $\mathcal{L} \subset 2^A$ be the **Lebesgue measurable subsets** of A . Show that \mathcal{L} / \sim is the **closure** of \mathcal{E} / \sim with respect to the metric defined above.

In particular, \mathcal{L} / \sim is a **complete metric space** that contains \mathcal{E} / \sim as a **dense** subset; in other words, \mathcal{L} / \sim is a **metric completion** of \mathcal{E} / \sim .

- **Remark (Lebesgue Measurable Sets Do Not Cover All Subsets)**

There exists a subset $E \subset [0, 1]$ which is not Lebesgue measurable. (by axiom of choice). Thus the Lebesgue measure is not defined on the whole power set of $[0, 1]$.

Consider **the quotient group** $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} = \{x + \mathbb{Q} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and let $E := \{x_C \in C \cap [0, 1] : C \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}\}$ be the collection of all the coset representatives $x_C \in C \cap [0, 1]$. Note that each coset C of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} is **dense** in \mathbb{R} so $C \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$. We can show that E **is not Lebesgue measurable**.

On the other hand, one can construct **finitely additive translation invariant extensions** of Lebesgue measure to the power set of \mathbb{R} by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

- **Example Projections of measurable sets need not be measurable:**

Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the coordinate projection $\pi(x; y) := x$. Then there exists a measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^2 such that $m(E)$ is not measurable.

- **Remark** Recall from the beginning that there is no hope to have *countably additivity*, *translation invariance* and *normalisation* for all subsets in \mathbb{R}^d . But we can see that there is a large collection of Lebesgue measurable sets that fit all three desirable properties. We will see that the rest are all with **zero measures**.

3 The Development of Measure Theory

3.1 Definition Summary

1. Begin with the **minimal algebra** \mathcal{A}_0 generated by a collection of all boxes $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the elementary measure is a generalization of volumes in \mathbb{R}^n :

- (a) **Non-negative**: $m(E) \geq 0$, for all $E \in \mathcal{A}_0$;
- (b) $m(\emptyset) = 0$;
- (c) $m((0, 1]^n) = 1$;
- (d) **Translation-invariant**: $m(\mathbf{x} + E) = m(E)$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (e) **Finitely additive**: For a finite collection of *disjoint* sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

From the property above, the following properties hold

- (a) **Monotonicity property**: If $E \subseteq F$, then

$$m(E) \leq m(F),$$

- (b) **Finitely sub-additive**: For a finite collection of sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

Remark The elementary set has a lot of desirable properties but it is **very limited**. For instance, open balls, and triangles are not counted as elementary set. Similar for many convex polytopes etc.

2. For **arbitrary bounded subset** $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, it is possible that $E \notin \mathcal{A}_0$. The Jordan measure is proposed to *generalize* the elementary measure m on E ,

- The outer Jordan measure is defined as

$$m^{*,J}(E) = \inf_{G \in \mathcal{A}_0, G \supset E} m(G)$$

- The inner Jordan measure is defined as

$$m_{*,J}(E) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{A}_0, F \subset E} m(F)$$

- If $m^{*,J}(E) = m_{*,J}(E)$, then E is **Jordan measurable** and denote $m(E) \equiv m^{*,J}(E) = m_{*,J}(E)$.

The collection of Jordan measurable sets form an **algebra** $\mathcal{A}_1 \supset \mathcal{A}_0$ on \mathbb{R}^n and **the measure function** extended on \mathcal{A}_1 preserve the property as above:

- (a) *Non-negative*: $m(E) \geq 0$, for all $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, E is Jordan measurable;
- (b) *Translation-invariant*: $m(\mathbf{x} + E) = m(E)$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (c) *Finitely additive*: For a finite collection of *disjoint* sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and Jordan measurable,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

- (d) *Finitely sub-additive*: For a finite collection of Jordan measurable sets $\{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$,

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

- (e) *Monotonicity*: If $E \subseteq F$, then $m(E) \leq m(F)$.

Remark The algebra \mathcal{A}_1 formed by all Jordan measurable sets are much larger than that of elementary set \mathcal{A}_0 . It can be shown that the Jordan measures are closely related to **the Riemann integral**. However, like the Riemann integral, the definition of Jordan measure sets still has a lot of **limitations**, esp. when dealing with sets that are **countable infinite union** of Jordan measurable sets. In general, when the set has a lot of “holes” or very “fractal”, the set is not likely Jordan measurable. Thus, we need to generalize the definition of Jordan measure to cover the limit of sets.

3. **Lebesgue outer measure** is defined as

$$m^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(B_i) \mid E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i, B_i \in \mathcal{A}_0 \right\}.$$

The **Lebesgue outer measure** $m^* : 2^X = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the following three properties:

- (a) $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$;
- (b) *Monotonicity*: If $E \subset F$, then $m^*(E) \leq m^*(F)$;
- (c) **Countably subadditivity**: For any *countable union of subsets* $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ in \mathbb{R}^n

$$m^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(E_i).$$

Note that outer measure does not need to be defined on σ -algebra.

- 4. A set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is **Lebesgue measurable** if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists **open set** U that contains E such that $m^*(U - E) < \epsilon$. If E is *Lebesgue measurable*, the outer measure of E is called **Lebesgue measure**, $m(E) = m^*(E)$.

In other word, **the Lebesgue measure** $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, where \mathcal{A} is **σ -algebra** containing *Borel sets*, satisfies the following properties:

- (a) $m(\emptyset) = 0$;

- (b) **Countably subadditivity**: For any countable union of disjoint sets $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{A}

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(E_i).$$

- (c) **Finitely-additivity** (derived from above): For any finite union of disjoint sets $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ in \mathcal{A}

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k m(E_i).$$

The collection of **all Lebesgue measurable set** form a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{A}_1$ (Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^d).

5. Finally, we see that *the collection of all Lebesgue measurable sets* is **the only one** that contains all desired properties of *measure*:

- (a) (**Empty set**) $m(\emptyset) = 0$.

- (b) **Countably additivity**: For any countable union of disjoint Lebesgue measurable sets $\{E_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{A}

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(E_i).$$

- (c) **Translation invariance** If E is Lebesgue measurable and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then $m(E + x) = m(E)$.

- (d) **Normalisation** $m([0, 1]^d) = 1$.

3.2 Table Summary

Table 1: Comparison between different measures in measure theory

	<i>Elementary measure</i>	<i>Jordan measure</i>	<i>Lebesgue outer measure</i>	<i>Lebesgue measure</i>
<i>compatibility</i>		$\Leftarrow \checkmark$	$\Leftarrow \checkmark$	$\Leftarrow \checkmark$
<i>non-negative</i>	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$m(\emptyset) = 0$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$m([0, 1]^d) = 1$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>translation- invariant</i>	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>finitely additive</i>	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>monotonicity</i>	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>finitely subadditive</i>	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>outer regularity</i>			\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>inner regularity</i>			\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>countably subadditivity</i>			\checkmark	\checkmark
<i>countably additivity</i>				\checkmark
<i>measurable set</i>	box $I_1 \times \dots \times I_d$	All <i>elementary sets</i> ; any compact convex polytope ; any open sets and closed sets ; finite union of measurable sets; graph/epigraph of continuous function ;	All <i>Jordan measurable sets</i> ; countable union of measurable sets, e.g. G_δ and F_σ	forms a σ - algebra that includes all Borel sets ; sets with Lebesgue outer measure zero (null sets).
<i>non-measurable set</i>	any subsets other than box	countable union of Jordan measurable sets; bullet-riddled square and sets of bullets ; subsets with a lot of “holes” or “fractal”	same as right	$E = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$
<i>algebra for collection of measurable sets</i>	boolean algebra \mathcal{A}_0	boolean algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 \supsetneq \mathcal{A}_0$		σ - algebra $\mathcal{A}_2 \supsetneq \mathcal{A}_1$
<i>relation to integration</i>		Riemann integration		Lebesgue integration

4 Counterexamples

- **Example** For the countable set $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, it has countable open covers

$$U \equiv \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (q_k - \epsilon/2^{n+1}, q_k + \epsilon/2^{n+1}), \quad q_k \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1],$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$.

The by countable subadditivity,

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(U) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m((q_k - \epsilon/2^{n+1}, q_k + \epsilon/2^{n+1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Also it is seen that since U is dense in $[0, 1]$, i.e., $\overline{U} \supseteq [0, 1]$, therefore

$$m^{*,J}(U) = m^{*,J}(\overline{U}) \geq m^{*,J}([0, 1]) = 1.$$

It shows that *the Jordan outer measure is different from the Lebesgue outer measure*.

Also, it is seen that *bounded open set* U is *not Jordan measurable*, but it is Lebesgue measurable. ■

- **Example** Give an example that satisfies the following

$$m^*(E) > \sup_{E \supset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U).$$

There are *Cantor sets* C that is *nowhere dense* with positive measure. That is $m^*(C) > 0$ but C contains *no interval* so it is $\sup_{E \supset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U) = 0$.

The set of irrational numbers $[0, 1] - \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ has outer measures 1 but contains no interval, so $\sup_{E \supset U, U \text{ open}} m^*(U) = 0$. ■

- **Example (Non-Lebesgue-Measurable Set in $[0, 1]$)**

Consider *the quotient group* $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} = \{x + \mathbb{Q} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and let $E := \{x_C \in C \cap [0, 1] : C \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}\}$ be *the collection of all the coset representatives* $x_C \in C \cap [0, 1]$. Note that each coset C of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} is *dense* in \mathbb{R} so $C \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$. We can show that E *is not Lebesgue measurable*.

Let y be any element of $[0, 1]$. Then it must lie in some coset C of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} , and thus differs from x_C by some *rational number* in $[-1, 1]$. In other words, we have

$$[0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} (E + q). \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, we clearly have

$$\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} (E + q) \subseteq [-1, 2]. \quad (8)$$

Also, the different translates $E + q$ are **disjoint**, because E contains only one element from each *coset* of \mathbb{Q} .

To see why E is not Lebesgue measurable, suppose *for contradiction* that E was Lebesgue measurable. Then the translates $E + q$ would also be Lebesgue measurable. By countable additivity, we thus have

$$m\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} (E + q)\right) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} m(E + q)$$

and thus by translation invariance and (7), (8) we have

$$1 = m([0, 1]) \leq m\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} (E)\right) \leq m([-1, 2]) = 2 - (-1) = 3$$

On the other hand, the sum $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]} m(E)$ is either **zero** (if $m(E) = 0$) or **infinite** (if $m(E) > 0$), leading to the desired **contradiction**. ■

References

- Gerald B Folland. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- Halsey Lawrence Royden and Patrick Fitzpatrick. *Real analysis*, volume 198. Prentice Hall, Macmillan New York, 1988.
- Walter Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 1987.
- Terence Tao. *An introduction to measure theory*, volume 126. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.