Lecture 3: Information Inequalities

Tianpei Xie

Jan. 6th., 2023

Contents

1	Info	ormation Theory Basics	2
	1.1	Entropy, Relative Entropy, and Mutual Information	2
	1.2	Chain Rules for Entropy, Relative Entropy, and Mutual Information	4
	1.3	Log-Sum Inequalities and Convexity	5
	1.4	Data Processing Inequality	5
	1.5	Fano's Inequality	6
2	Info	ormation Inequalities	7
	2.1	Han's Inequality	7
	2.2	Applications of Han's Inequality	S
		2.2.1 Combinatorial Entropies	Ĉ
		2.2.2 Edge Isoperimetric Inequality on the Binary Hypercube	S
	2.3	Φ -Entropy	6
	2.4	Sub-Additivity of Φ-Entropy	10
	2.5	Duality and Variational Formulas	12
	2.6	Wasserstein Distance and Transportation Cost Inequality	15
	2.7	Pinsker's Inequality	17
	2.8	Birgé's Inequality and Multiple Testing Problem	18

1 Information Theory Basics

1.1 Entropy, Relative Entropy, and Mutual Information

• **Definition** (Shannon Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $X : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a random variable. Define p(x) as the probability density function of X with respect to a base measure μ on \mathcal{X} . The Shannon Entropy is defined as

$$H(X) := \mathbb{E}_p \left[-\log p(X) \right]$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} -\log p(X(\omega)) d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$$
$$= -\int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \log p(x) d\mu(x)$$

• **Definition** (*Conditional Entropy*) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] If a pair of random variables (X, Y) follows the joint probability density function p(x, y) with respect to a base product measure μ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. Then **the joint entropy** of (X, Y), denoted as H(X, Y), is defined as

$$H(X,Y) := \mathbb{E}_{X,Y} \left[-\log p(X,Y) \right] = -\int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{V}} p(x,y) \log p(x,y) d\mu(x,y)$$

Then the conditional entropy H(Y|X) is defined as

$$H(Y|X) := \mathbb{E}_{X,Y} \left[-\log p(Y|X) \right] = -\int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log p(y|x) d\mu(x,y)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\mathbb{E}_Y \left[-\log p(Y|X) \right] \right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \left(-\int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(y|x) \log p(y|x) d\mu(y) \right) d\mu(x)$$

- Proposition 1.1 (Properties of Shannon Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let X, Y, Z be random variables.
 - 1. (Non-negativity) $H(X) \geq 0$;
 - 2. (Chain Rule)

$$H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$

Furthermore,

$$H(X,Y|Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X,Z)$$

3. (Sub-Additivity)

$$H(X,Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$$

4. (Concavity) $H(p) := \mathbb{E}_p[-\log p(X)]$ is a concave function in terms of p.d.f. p, i.e.

$$H(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2) > \lambda H(p_1) + (1 - \lambda)H(p_2)$$

for any two p.d.fs p_1, p_2 on \mathcal{X} and any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

• **Definition** (*Relative Entropy / Kullback-Leibler Divergence*) [Cover and Thomas, 2006]

Suppose that P and Q are probability measures on a measurable space \mathcal{X} , and P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q, then the relative entropy or the Kullback-Leibler divergence is defined as

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) := \mathbb{E}_P \left[\log \left(\frac{dP}{dQ} \right) \right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \log \left(\frac{dP(x)}{dQ(x)} \right) dP(x)$$

where $\frac{dP}{dQ}$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of P with respect to Q. Equivalently, the KL-divergence can be written as

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left(\frac{dP(x)}{dQ(x)} \right) \log \left(\frac{dP(x)}{dQ(x)} \right) dQ(x)$$

which is the entropy of P relative to Q. Furthermore, if μ is a base measure on \mathcal{X} for which densities p and q with $dP = p(x)d\mu$ and $dQ = q(x)d\mu$ exist, then

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \log \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) d\mu(x)$$

• **Definition** (*Mutual Information*) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Consider two random variables X, Y on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ with joint probability distribution $P_{(X,Y)}$ and marginal distribution P_X and P_Y . The mutual information I(X;Y) is the relative entropy between the joint distribution $P_{(X,Y)}$ and the product distribution $P_X \otimes P_Y$:

$$I(X;Y) = \mathbb{KL}\left(P_{(X,Y)} \parallel P_X \otimes P_Y\right) = \mathbb{E}_{P_{(X,Y)}}\left[\log \frac{dP_{(X,Y)}}{dP_X \otimes dP_Y}\right]$$

If $P_{(X,Y)}$ has a probability density function p(x,y) with respect to a base measure μ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, then

$$I(X;Y) = \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log \left(\frac{p(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)} \right) d\mu(x,y)$$

• Proposition 1.2 (Properties of Relative Entropy and Mutual Information) [Cover and Thomas, 2006]

1. (Non-negativity) Let p(x), q(x) be probability density function of P, Q.

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) \ge 0$$

with equality if and only if p(x) = q(x) almost surely. Therefore, the mutual information is non-negative as well:

with equality if and only if X and Y are independent.

Let X, Y be random variables.

2. (Finite Cardinality Domain) Let $|\mathcal{X}|$ be the number of elements in domain \mathcal{X} and X is a discrete random variables in \mathcal{X} . Then the relative entropy of probability distribution p with respect to uniform distribution u on \mathcal{X} is

$$\mathbb{KL}(p \parallel u) = \log |\mathcal{X}| - H(X) \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow H(X) \le \log |\mathcal{X}|$$

- 3. (Symmetry) I(X;Y) = I(Y;X)
- 4. (Information Gain via Conditioning) The mutual information I(X;Y) is the reduction in the uncertainty of X due to the knowledge of Y (and vice versa)

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= H(Y) - H(Y|X)$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)$$
(1)

5. (Shannon Entropy as Self-Information) I(X;X) = H(X)

1.2 Chain Rules for Entropy, Relative Entropy, and Mutual Information

• Proposition 1.3 (Conditioning Reduces Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] From non-negativity of mutual information, we see that the entropy of X is non-increasing when conditioning on Y

$$H(X|Y) \le H(X) \tag{2}$$

where equality holds if and only if X and Y are independent.

• Proposition 1.4 (Chain Rule for Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be drawn according to $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. Then

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1)$$
(3)

• Proposition 1.5 (Sub-Additivity of Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be drawn according to $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. Then

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$$
 (4)

with equality if and only if the X_i are independent.

• Proposition 1.6 (Chain Rule for Mutual Information) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n, Y$ be drawn according to $p(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y)$. Then

$$I(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i; Y | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1)$$
 (5)

where the conditional mutual information is defined as

$$I(X;Y|Z) := H(X|Z) - H(X|Y,Z) = \mathbb{KL}\left(P_{(X,Y|Z)} \parallel P_{X|Z} \otimes P_{Y|Z}\right)$$

• Proposition 1.7 (Chain Rule for Relative Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $P_{(X,Y)}$ and $Q_{(X,Y)}$ be two probability measures on product space $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ and $P \ll Q$. Denote the marginal distributions P_X, Q_X and P_Y, Q_Y on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively. $P_{Y|X}$ and $Q_{Y|X}$ are conditional distributions (Note that $P_{Y|X} \ll Q_{Y|X}$). Define the conditional relative entropy as

$$\mathbb{E}_{X}\left[\mathbb{KL}\left(P_{Y|X} \parallel Q_{Y|X}\right)\right] := \mathbb{E}_{X}\left[\mathbb{E}_{P_{Y|X}}\left[\log\left(\frac{dP_{Y|X}}{dQ_{Y|X}}\right)\right]\right].$$

Then the relative entropy of joint distribution $P_{(X,Y)}$ with respect to $Q_{(X,Y)}$ is

$$\mathbb{KL}\left(P_{(X,Y)} \parallel Q_{(X,Y)}\right) = \mathbb{KL}\left(P_X \parallel Q_X\right) + \mathbb{E}_X\left[\mathbb{KL}\left(P_{Y|X} \parallel Q_{Y|X}\right)\right] \tag{6}$$

In addition, let P and Q denote two joint distributions for X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n , let $P_{1:i}$ and $Q_{1:i}$ denote the marginal distributions of X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_i under P and Q, respectively. Let $P_{X_i|1...i-1}$ and $Q_{X_i|1...i-1}$ denote the conditional distribution of X_i with respect to $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{i-1}$ under P and under Q.

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{P_{1:i-1}} \left[\mathbb{KL} \left(P_{X_i \mid 1...i-1} \parallel Q_{X_i \mid 1...i-1} \right) \right]$$
 (7)

1.3 Log-Sum Inequalities and Convexity

• Proposition 1.8 (Log-Sum Inequalities) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] For non-negative numbers a_1, \ldots, a_n and b_1, \ldots, b_n ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \log \frac{a_i}{b_i} \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right) \log \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i}$$
 (8)

with equality if and only if $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ is constant.

• Proposition 1.9 (Joint Convexity of Relative Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] $\mathbb{KL}(p \parallel q)$ is convex in the pair (p,q); that is, if (p_1,q_1) and (p_2,q_2) are two pairs of probability density functions, then for $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$\mathbb{KL}\left(\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2 \parallel \lambda q_1 + (1 - \lambda)q_2\right) \le \lambda \mathbb{KL}\left(p_1 \parallel q_1\right) + (1 - \lambda)\mathbb{KL}\left(p_2 \parallel q_2\right) \tag{9}$$

• Proposition 1.10 [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $(X,Y) \sim p(x,y) = p(x)p(y|x)$. The mutual information I(X;Y) is a **concave** function of p(x) for fixed p(y|x) and a **convex** function of p(y|x) for fixed p(x).

1.4 Data Processing Inequality

Definition (Data Processing Markov Chain)
Random variables X, Y, Z are said to form a Markov chain in that order (denoted by X → Y → Z) if the conditional distribution of Z depends only on Y and is conditionally independent of X. Specifically, X, Y, and Z form a Markov chain X → Y → Z if the joint probability mass function can be written as

$$p(x, y, z) = p(x)p(y|x)p(z|y)$$

• Proposition 1.11 (Data Processing Inequality) [Cover and Thomas, 2006] If $X \to Y \to Z$, then

$$I(X;Z) \le I(X;Y)$$

• Corollary 1.12 [Cover and Thomas, 2006] In particular, if Z = g(Y), we have

$$I(X; g(Y)) \le I(X; Y)$$

• Corollary 1.13 [Cover and Thomas, 2006] If $X \to Y \to Z$, then

$$I(X;Y|Z) \le I(X;Y)$$

Thus, the dependence of X and Y is **decreased** (or remains unchanged) by the observation of a "downstream" random variable Z.

1.5 Fano's Inequality

- Remark Suppose that we know a random variable Y and we wish to guess the value of a correlated random variable X. Fano's inequality relates the probability of error in guessing the random variable X to its conditional entropy H(X|Y). It will be crucial in proving the converse to Shannon's channel capacity theorem.
- Proposition 1.14 (Fano's Inequality)[Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let X, Y be random variables on domain \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} and $\widehat{X} = g(Y)$ is an estimate of X where $g: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is measurable function. The probability of error is defined as

$$P_e = \mathbb{P}\left\{\widehat{X} \neq X\right\}.$$

Then we have

$$H(P_e) + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \ge H(X|\widehat{X}) \ge H(X|Y) \tag{10}$$

This inequality can be weakened to

$$1 + P_e \log |\mathcal{X}| \ge H(X|Y) \tag{11}$$

$$P_e \ge \frac{H(X|Y) - 1}{\log |\mathcal{X}|}. (12)$$

• Corollary 1.15 [Cover and Thomas, 2006] For any two random variables X, Y, let $p = \mathbb{P} \{X \neq Y\}$.

$$H(p) + p\log|\mathcal{X}| \ge H(X|Y) \tag{13}$$

• Corollary 1.16 [Cover and Thomas, 2006] Let $P_e = \mathbb{P}\left\{\widehat{X} \neq X\right\}$, and let $\widehat{X}: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$; then

$$H(P_e) + P_e(\log |\mathcal{X}| - 1) > H(X|Y) \tag{14}$$

• Lemma 1.17 (Bound of Error Probability via Shannon Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006]

If X, X' are independent identically distributed random variables with entropy H(X),

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X \neq X'\right\} \le 1 - e^{-H(X)} \tag{15}$$

with equality if and only if X has a uniform distribution.

• Corollary 1.18 (Bound of Error Probability via Relative Entropy) [Cover and Thomas, 2006]

If X, X' are independent random variables in \mathcal{X} with distribution P and Q, respectively, and $P \ll Q$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X \neq X'\right\} \le 1 - e^{-H(P) - \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q)}.\tag{16}$$

Similarly, if $Q \ll P$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X' \neq X\right\} \le 1 - e^{-H(Q) - \mathbb{KL}(Q \parallel P)}.$$

• Remark The error probability bound (15) states that the **higher** the uncertainty is (i.e. H(X) increases), the **lower** the probability that X = X'. Or, equivalently, the **lower** (the Shannon and relative) **entropy** is, the **lower** the **probability of error** for an estimate X' of X.

From Fano's inequality (10), we see that **the probability of error** for estimator \widehat{X} based on observation Y is **bounded below** by the conditional entropy H(X|Y) of state X given observation Y. That is, we cannot achieve lower error of the estimation if uncertainty of state given observation (H(X|Y)) is high.

2 Information Inequalities

2.1 Han's Inequality

• Proposition 2.1 (Han's Inequality) [Cover and Thomas, 2006, Boucheron et al., 2013] Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be random variables. Then

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H(X) \le \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_{(-i)})$$
(17)

Proof: For any i = 1, ..., n, by the definition of the conditional entropy and the fact that conditioning reduces entropy,

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = H(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) + H(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\leq H(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) + H(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}).$$

Summing these n inequalities and using the chain rule for entropy, we get

$$nH(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) + H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

• Proposition 2.2 (Han's Inequality for Relative Entropy) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable space, and P and Q be probability measures on \mathcal{X}^n such that $P = P_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes P_n$ is a **product measure**. We denote the element of \mathcal{X}^n by $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and write $x_{(-i)} := (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ for the (n-1)-vector obtained by **leaving out** the i-th component of x (i.e. the i-th Jackknife sample of x). Denote $Q_{(-i)}$ and $P_{(-i)}$ the marginal distributions of Q and P. Let $P_{(-i)}$ and $P_{(-i)}$ denote the corresponding probability density function with respect to base measure μ on \mathcal{X} .

$$q_{(-i)}(x_{(-i)}) = \int_{y \in \mathcal{X}} q(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) d\mu(y)$$

$$p_{(-i)}(x_{(-i)}) = \int_{y \in \mathcal{X}} p(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) d\mu(y)$$

$$= \prod_{j \neq i} p_j(x_j).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{KL}(Q \parallel P) \ge \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{KL}(Q_{(-i)} \parallel P_{(-i)})$$
(18)

or equivalently,

$$\mathbb{KL}(Q \parallel P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbb{KL}(Q \parallel P) - \mathbb{KL}\left(Q_{(-i)} \parallel P_{(-i)}\right) \right)$$
(19)

Proof: From Han's inequality, we have

$$-H(Q) \ge -\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(Q_{(-i)}).$$

Since

$$\mathbb{KL}(Q \parallel P) = -H(Q) + \mathbb{E}_Q \left[-\log P(X) \right]$$

and

$$\mathbb{KL}\left(Q_{(-i)} \parallel P_{(-i)}\right) = -H(Q_{(-i)}) + \mathbb{E}_{Q_{(-i)}}\left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)})\right],$$

it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[-\log P(X)\right] = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q_{(-i)}}\left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)})\right].$$

This may be seen easily by noting that by the product property of P, we have $p(x) = p_{(-i)}(x_{(-i)})p_i(x_i)$ for all i, and also $p(x) = \prod_i p_i(x_i)$, and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[-\log P(X) \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)}) - \log P_{i}(X_{i}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)}) \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[-\log P_{i}(X_{i}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)}) \right] + \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[-\log P(X) \right].$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[-\log P(X)\right] = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)})\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{Q_{(-i)}}\left[-\log P_{(-i)}(X_{(-i)})\right].$$

- 2.2 Applications of Han's Inequality
- 2.2.1 Combinatorial Entropies
- 2.2.2 Edge Isoperimetric Inequality on the Binary Hypercube
- 2.3 Φ-Entropy
 - **Definition** $(\Phi$ -*Entropy*)[Boucheron et al., 2013] Let $\Phi: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a *convex* function, and assign, to every *non-negative integrable* random variable X, the Φ -entropy of X is defined as

$$H_{\Phi}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]). \tag{20}$$

- Remark The Φ -entropy is a functional of distribution P_X instead of a function of X.
- Remark By Jenson's inequality, the Φ -entropy is non-negative

$$\begin{split} & \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] \\ \Rightarrow & H_{\Phi}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]) \geq 0. \end{split}$$

- Example (Special Examples for Φ -Entropy)
 - 1. For $\Phi(x) = x^2$, the Φ -entropy of X is the **variance** of X:

$$H_{\Phi}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] - (\mathbb{E}\left[X\right])^2 = \operatorname{Var}(X).$$

2. For $\Phi(x) = -\log(x)$, the Φ -entropy of $Y = e^{\lambda X}$ is the **logarithm of moment generating function** of $X - \mathbb{E}[X]$:

$$H_{\Phi}(e^{\lambda X}) = -\lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] + \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]\right) = \log\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}[X])}\right] := \psi_{X - \mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda). \tag{21}$$

3. For $\Phi(x) = x \log x$, the Φ -entropy of X is defined as the **entropy** of X

$$H_{\Phi}(X) = \operatorname{Ent}(X) := \mathbb{E}\left[X \log X\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \log \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right). \tag{22}$$

Let (Ω, \mathcal{B}) be measurable space, and P and Q are probability measures on Ω with $P \ll Q$. Define a random variable X by the Radon-Nikodym derivative of P with respect to Q; that is,

$$X(\omega) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{dP}{dQ}(\omega) & Q(\omega) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{array} \right.$$

We see that X is Q-measurable and dP = X dQ with $\mathbb{E}_Q[X] = 1$. Then the entropy of X is the relative entropy of P with respect to Q.

$$\operatorname{Ent}(X) = \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) \tag{23}$$

2.4 Sub-Additivity of Φ -Entropy

• Remark (Sub-Additivity of Shannon Entropy) Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be drawn according to $p(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$. Then

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$$

with equality if and only if the X_i are independent.

• Proposition 2.3 (Sub-Additivity of The Entropy) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let $\Phi(x) = x \log x$, for x > 0 and $\Phi(0) = 0$. Let Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n be independent random variables taking values in \mathcal{X} , and let $f: \mathcal{X}^n \to [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function. Letting $X = f(Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n)$ such that $\mathbb{E}[X \log X] < \infty$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[X\right])\right],\tag{24}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}[\cdot]$ is the conditional expectation operator conditioning on $Z_{(-i)}$. Introducing the notation $Ent_{(-i)}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{(-i)}[\Phi(X)] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}[X])$, this can be re-written as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]) \le \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Ent_{(-i)}(X)\right]. \tag{25}$$

Proof: The proposition is a direct consequence of Han's inequality for relative entropies. First note that if the inequality is true for a random variable X, then it is also true for cX where c is a positive constant. Hence, we may assume that $\mathbb{E}[X] = 1$. Now define the probability measure P on \mathcal{X}^n by its probability density function p given by

$$p(z) = f(z)q(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{X}^n$$

where q denote the probability density of $Z := (Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n)$ and Q the corresponding probability measure. Then

$$\operatorname{Ent}(X) := \mathbb{E}\left[X \log X\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \log \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right) = \mathbb{KL}\left(P \parallel Q\right)$$

which, by Han's inequality for relative entropy

$$\operatorname{Ent}(X) = \operatorname{KL}(P \parallel Q) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\operatorname{KL}(P \parallel Q) - \operatorname{KL}\left(P_{(-i)} \parallel Q_{(-i)}\right) \right)$$

However, straightforward calculation shows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mathbb{KL}\left(P \parallel Q\right) - \mathbb{KL}\left(P_{(-i)} \parallel Q_{(-i)}\right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[X\right]\right)\right]$$

and the statement follows.

Proof: (Alternative Proof via Duality Formulation of Entropy)

Denote the conditional expectation operator $\mathbb{E}_{1:i}[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}[\cdot|Z_1,\ldots,Z_i]$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$ and the convention $\mathbb{E}_0[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}[\cdot]$. Noting that the operator $\mathbb{E}_{1:n}[\cdot]$ is just identity when restricted

to the set of (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) -measurable and integrable random variables, we have the decomposition

$$X (\log X - \log (\mathbb{E}[X])) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X (\log (\mathbb{E}_{1:i}[X]) - \log (\mathbb{E}_{1:i-1}[X])).$$

Note that since Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n are independent, we have $\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}[\mathbb{E}_{1:i}[X]] = \mathbb{E}_{1:i-1}[X]$. Now the duality formula given in Theorem 2.7 yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\left(\log(T) - \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[T\right]\right)\right)\right] \le \operatorname{Ent}(X)$$

Setting $T := \mathbb{E}_{1:i}[X]$, and replacing expectation $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ by conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}[\cdot]$

$$\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[X\left(\log\left(\mathbb{E}_{1:i}\left[X\right]\right) - \log\left(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[\mathbb{E}_{1:i}\left[X\right]\right]\right)\right)\right] \le \operatorname{Ent}_{(-i)}(X).$$

Finally, taking expectations on both sides of the decomposition above yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X\left(\log X - \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right)\right)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[X\left(\log\left(\mathbb{E}_{1:i}\left[X\right]\right) - \log\left(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[\mathbb{E}_{1:i}\left[X\right]\right]\right)\right)\right]\right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Ent}_{(-i)}(X)\right] \quad \blacksquare$$

• Remark The Efron-Stein inequality is the special case of the inequality when $\Phi(x) = x^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[X\right])\right].$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Var}(X) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{Var}_{(-i)}(X)\right]$$

- Remark (*Han's inequality from Sub-additivity of Entropy*) [Boucheron et al., 2013] It is interesting to notice that *Han's inequality* itself can be derived from *the sub-additivity of entropy*. In other words, for *discrete probability distributions*, the sub-additivity of entropy and Han's inequality are *equivalent*.
- Remark (*Tensorization Property of Entropy*) [Wainwright, 2019] The inequality in (24) or (25) is also called *the tensorization property of entropy*.

Let $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_n$ where μ_i be the probability distribution of Z_i . Thus μ is the probability distribution of $Z = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ when Z_i are independent. The sub-additivity of entropy states that

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{\mu_1 \otimes ... \otimes \mu_n}(f) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes ... \otimes \mu_n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Ent}_{\mu_i}(f) \right]$$

where the subscript μ_i indicates that the integration concerns the *i*-th variable only.

• Proposition 2.4 (Sub-Additivity of Φ -Entropy) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let C denote the class of functions $\Phi: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous and convex on $[0,\infty)$, twice differentiable on $(0,\infty)$, and such that either Φ is affine or Φ'' is strictly positive and $1/\Phi''$ is concave. For all $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}$, the entropy functional H_{Φ} is sub-additive. That is,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[\Phi(X)\right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)}\left[X\right])\right], \tag{26}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H_{\Phi}(X) \le \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{\Phi}^{(-i)}(X)\right]$$

where $H_{\Phi}^{(-i)}(X) := \mathbb{E}_{(-i)} [\Phi(X)] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}_{(-i)} [X])$ is the conditional entropy and, $\mathbb{E}_{(-i)} [\cdot]$ denotes conditional expectation conditioned on the (n-1)-vector $Z_{(-i)} := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_{i-1}, Z_{i+1}, \ldots, Z_n)$.

• Remark The sub-additivity property of H_{Φ} is equivalent to what we could call the Jensen property

$$H_{\Phi}\left(\int f(z, Z_2) d\mu_1(z)\right) \le \int H_{\Phi}(f(z, Z_2)) d\mu_1(z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H_{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{E}_{Z_1}\left[f(Z_1, Z_2)\right]\right) \le \mathbb{E}_{Z_1}\left[H_{\Phi}\left(f(Z_1, Z_2)\right)\right] \tag{27}$$

The proof of this property can be done by using the duality formulation of Φ -entropy in Theorem 2.12.

2.5 Duality and Variational Formulas

• Lemma 2.5 The Legendre transform (or convex conjugate) of $\Phi(x) = x \log(x)$ is e^{u-1} . That is,

$$\sup_{x>0} \{u \, x - x \log(x)\} = e^{u-1}$$

Proof: Solve the supremum on the left-hand side by taking derivative of the objective function and setting it as zero:

$$\nabla g(x) = u - \log(x) - 1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x^* = e^{u-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{x} \{ux - x \log(x)\} = g(x^*) = u e^{u-1} - e^{u-1}(u-1) = e^{u-1}$$

• Remark If $\Phi(X) = X \log(X)$ is integrable, and $\mathbb{E}[e^U] = 1$, we have

$$UX \le X \log(X) + \frac{1}{e}e^U.$$

Therefore, U_+X is integrable, and one can always define $\mathbb{E}[UX] = \mathbb{E}[U_+X] - \mathbb{E}[U_-X]$ for positive and negative part of U. Thus the $\mathbb{E}[UX]$ is well-defined.

• Theorem 2.6 (Duality Formula of Entropy) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let X be a non-negative random variable defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathscr{A}, P) such that $\mathbb{E} [\Phi(X)] < \infty$. Then we have the duality formula

$$Ent(X) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E}\left[U X\right] \tag{28}$$

where the supremum is taken over the set \mathcal{U} of all random variables $U: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{U}\right] = 1$. Moreover, if U is such that $\mathbb{E}\left[UX\right] \leq Ent(X)$ for all non-negative random variable X such that $\Phi(X)$ is integrable and $\mathbb{E}\left[X\right] = 1$, then $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{U}\right] \leq 1$.

Proof: Note that for any random variable U such that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{U}\right]=1$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ent}(X) - \mathbb{E}_{P} [UX] = \mathbb{E}_{P} [X \log(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{P} [X] \log(\mathbb{E}_{P} [X]) - \mathbb{E}_{P} [UX]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{P} [X (\log(X) - U)] - \mathbb{E}_{P} [X] \log(\mathbb{E}_{P} [X])$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{P} [X \log(Xe^{-U})] - \mathbb{E}_{P} [X] \log(\mathbb{E}_{P} [X])$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{e^{U}P} [Xe^{-U} \log(Xe^{-U})] - \mathbb{E}_{e^{U}P} [Xe^{-U}] \log(\mathbb{E}_{e^{U}P} [Xe^{-U}])$$

$$= \operatorname{Ent}_{e^{U}P} (Xe^{-U})$$

Note that due to $\mathbb{E}\left[e^U\right]=1,\ \int e^UdP=1,$ thus e^UP is a proper probability measure. This shows that

$$\operatorname{Ent}_{e^U P}(Xe^{-U}) \ge 0$$

 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Ent}(X) \ge \mathbb{E}_P[UX]$

with equality whenever $e^U = X/\mathbb{E}_P[X]$. This proves the duality formula.

Conversely, let U be such that $\mathbb{E}_P[UX] \leq \operatorname{Ent}(X)$ for all non-negative random variables such that $\Phi(X)$ is integrable. If $\mathbb{E}\left[e^U\right] = 0$, then there is nothing to prove Otherwise, given a positive integer n large enough to ensure that $x_n = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\min\{U,n\}}\right] > 0$, one may define $X_n = e^{\min\{U,n\}}/x_n$, so that $\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right] = 1$, which leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[UX_n\right] \le \operatorname{Ent}(X_n),$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{x_n} \mathbb{E}\left[Ue^{\min\{U,n\}}\right] &\leq \mathrm{Ent}(e^{\min\{U,n\}}/x_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_n} \left[\mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{U,n\right\}e^{\min\{U,n\}}\right] - \log(x_n) \right] \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\log(x_n) \le 0$$

and taking the limit when $n \to \infty$, we show by monotonicity that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{U}\right] \leq 1$.

• Theorem 2.7 (Alternative Duality Formula of Entropy) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

$$Ent(X) = \sup_{T} \mathbb{E}\left[X\left(\log(T) - \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[T\right]\right)\right)\right]$$
 (29)

where the supremum is taken over all non-negative and integrable random variables.

Proof: From (28), taking $U = \log \frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]}$, so that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{U}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]}\right] = 1$. This gives us (29).

• Corollary 2.8 (Duality Formula of Log Moment Generating Function) [Cover and Thomas, 2006, Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let X be a real-valued integrable random variable. Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\log \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[e^{\lambda (X - \mathbb{E}[X])} \right] = \sup_{P \ll Q} \left\{ \lambda \left(\mathbb{E}_{P} \left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[X \right] \right) - \mathbb{KL} \left(P \parallel Q \right) \right\}, \tag{30}$$

where the supremum is taken over all probability measures P absolutely continuous with respect to Q, and $\mathbb{E}_P[\cdot]$ denotes integration with respect to the measure P (recall that $\mathbb{E}_Q[\cdot]$ is integration with respect to Q).

Proof: Let $P \ll Q$. Taking $Y := \frac{dP}{dQ}$ and $U := \lambda(X - \mathbb{E}_Q[X]) - \psi_{X - \mathbb{E}_Q[X]}(\lambda)$ where $\psi_X(\lambda) := \log \mathbb{E}_Q\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]$. Note that $\mathbb{E}_Q[Y] = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[e^U\right] = 1$. It follows from the duality formula that

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \text{Ent}(Y) \ge \mathbb{E}\left[U Y\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}_Q\left[X\right])Y\right] - \psi_{X - \mathbb{E}_Q\left[X\right]}(\lambda)$$
$$= \lambda(\mathbb{E}_P\left[X\right] - \mathbb{E}_Q\left[X\right]) - \psi_{X - \mathbb{E}_Q\left[X\right]}(\lambda)$$

or equivalently

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}_{Q}[X]}(\lambda) \ge \lambda(\mathbb{E}_{P}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X]) - \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q),$$

therefore

$$\log \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[e^{\lambda (X - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X])} \right] \ge \sup_{P \ll Q} \left\{ \lambda (\mathbb{E}_{P} \left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[X \right]) - \mathbb{KL} \left(P \parallel Q \right) \right\}.$$

Conversely, setting

$$U = \lambda \left(X - \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[X \right] \right) - \sup_{P \ll Q} \left\{ \lambda \left(\mathbb{E}_{P} \left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[X \right] \right) - \mathbb{KL} \left(P \parallel Q \right) \right\}$$

for every non-negative random variable Y such that $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[UY\right] \leq \mathrm{Ent}(Y).$$

Hence, $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{U}\right] \leq 1$ by duality theorem, which means that

$$\log \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[e^{\lambda \left(X - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X] \right)} \right] \leq \sup_{P \ll Q} \left\{ \lambda \left(\mathbb{E}_{P}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X] \right) - \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) \right\}.$$

• Corollary 2.9 (Duality Formula of Kullback-Leibler Divergence) [Cover and Thomas, 2006, Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let P and Q be two probability distributions on the same space. Then

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \sup_{X} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{P}[X] - \log \mathbb{E}_{Q}[e^{X}] \right\}, \tag{31}$$

where the supremum is taken over all random variables such that $\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[\exp\left(X\right)\right]<\infty$.

Proof: If $P \ll Q$, $\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \text{Ent}(dP/dQ)$ and the corollary follows from the alternative formulation of the duality formula. Let Y = dP/dQ and $X = \log(T)$ so that

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \text{Ent}(Y) = \sup_{T} \mathbb{E}\left[dP/dQ\left(\log(T) - \log\left(\mathbb{E}\left[T\right]\right)\right)\right]$$
$$= \sup_{X} \left\{\mathbb{E}_{P}\left[X\right] - \log\mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[e^{X}\right]\right\}.$$

If $P \not\ll Q$, then there exists an event A such that P(A) > 0 = Q(A), $\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) = \infty$, and choosing $X_n = n\mathbb{1}\{A\}$ and letting n tend to infinity, we observe that the supremum on the right-hand side is infinite.

- Remark This corollary asserts that if Q remains fixed, $\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q)$ is the convex dual of the functional $X \to \log \mathbb{E}_Q[e^X]$.
- Theorem 2.10 (The Expected Value Minimizes Expected Bregman Divergence)
 [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ be **convex** and **differentiable**. For any $x, y \in I$, **the Bregman divergence** of f from x to y is f(y) - f(x) - f'(x)(y - x). Let X be an I-valued random variable. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X) - f(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right])\right] = \inf_{a \in I} \mathbb{E}\left[f(X) - f(a) - f'(a)(X - a)\right]$$
(32)

• Corollary 2.11 (Duality Formula of Entropy via Bregman Divergence) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let X be a non-negative random variable such that $\mathbb{E} [\Phi(X)] < \infty$. Then

$$Ent(X) = \inf_{u>0} \mathbb{E}\left[X\left(\log(X) - \log(u)\right) - (X - u)\right] \tag{33}$$

• Theorem 2.12 (Duality Formula of General Φ -Entropy) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let \mathcal{C} denote the class of functions $\Phi: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous and convex on $[0,\infty)$, twice differentiable on $(0,\infty)$, and such that either Φ is affine or Φ'' is strictly positive and $1/\Phi''$ is concave. Denote $conv(L_1^+)$ as the convex set of non-negative and integrable random variables X. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X \in conv(L_1^+)$. If $\Phi(X)$ is integrable, then

$$H_{\Phi}(X) = \sup_{T \in conv(L_1^+), T \neq 0} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Phi'(T) - \Phi'(\mathbb{E}\left[T\right]) \right) (X - T) + \Phi(T) \right] - \Phi(\mathbb{E}\left[T\right]) \right\}. \tag{34}$$

The supremum is achieved when T = X (or T = 1 if X = 0).

- 2.6 Wasserstein Distance and Transportation Cost Inequality
 - Proposition 2.13 (Wasserstein Distance and Transportation Cost Inequality) [Boucheron et al., 2013]
 Let X be a real-valued integrable random variable. Let φ be a convex and continuously

differentiable function on a (possibly unbounded) interval [0,b) and assume that $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$. Define, for every $x \geq 0$, the Legendre transform $\phi^*(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in (0,b)} (\lambda x - \phi(\lambda))$, and let, for every $t \geq 0$, $\phi^{*-1}(t) = \inf\{x \geq 0 : \phi^*(x) > t\}$, i.e. the the generalized inverse of ϕ^* . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

1. for every $\lambda \in (0,b)$,

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \phi(\lambda)$$

where $\psi_X(\lambda) := \log \mathbb{E}_Q\left[e^{\lambda X}\right]$ is the logarithm of moment generating function;

2. for any probability measure P absolutely continuous with respect to Q such that $\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{P}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X] \le \phi^{*-1}(\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q)). \tag{35}$$

In particular, given $\nu > 0$, X follows a sub-Gaussian distribution, i.e.

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \frac{\nu\lambda^2}{2}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$ if and only if for any probability measure P absolutely continuous with respect to Q and such that $\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{P}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X] \le \sqrt{2\nu \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q)}. \tag{36}$$

Proof: As a direct consequence of Corollary 2.8, we see that (1) holds if and only if for every distribution $P \ll Q$,

$$\begin{split} \psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) &\leq \phi(\lambda) \\ \Leftrightarrow \lambda \left(\mathbb{E}_{P}\left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[X \right] \right) - \mathbb{KL}\left(P \parallel Q \right) \leq \phi(\lambda), & \forall P \ll Q \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{P}\left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[X \right] \leq \frac{\phi(\lambda) + \mathbb{KL}\left(P \parallel Q \right)}{\lambda}, & \forall P \ll Q, \lambda \in (0,b) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{P}\left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[X \right] \leq \inf_{\lambda \in (0,b)} \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{KL}\left(P \parallel Q \right) + \phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right\} & \forall P \ll Q \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\phi^{*-1}(t) = \inf_{\lambda \in (0,b)} \left[\frac{t + \phi(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right]$$

Setting $t = \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q)$, we have

$$\psi_{X - \mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \phi(\lambda)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{P}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}[X] \le \phi^{*-1}(\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q)).$$

which shows that (i) is equivalent to (ii). Applying the previous result with $\phi(\lambda) = \lambda^2 \nu/2$ for every $\lambda > 0$ leads to the stated special case of equivalence since then $\phi^{*-1}(t) = \sqrt{2\nu t}$.

• Remark (*The Quadratic Transportation Cost Inequality / The Information Inequality*) [Boucheron et al., 2013, Wainwright, 2019]

The inequality (35) and (36) are called *information inequality* in [Wainwright, 2019] due to the role of Kullback-Leibler Divergence in information theory.

The inequality (36) is related to what is usually termed a *quadratic transportation cost* inequality. If Ω is a metric space, the probability measure Q is said to satisfy a quadratic transportation cost inequality if the last inequality holds for every X which is Lipschitz on Ω with Lipschitz norm at most 1.

$$W(P,Q) = \sup_{X \in \text{Lip}_1} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_P \left[X \right] - \mathbb{E}_Q \left[X \right] \right\} \le \sqrt{2\nu \mathbb{KL} \left(P \parallel Q \right)}. \tag{37}$$

where $Lip_1 = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega} : |f(x) - f(y)| \leq L d(x, y), L \leq 1 \}$ and d is the metric in Ω . Here $\mathcal{W}(P,Q)$ is **the Wasserstein distance** between P and Q induced by metric d.

2.7 Pinsker's Inequality

• Definition (Total Variation / Variational Distance) Let P, Q be two probability measures on measurable space (Ω, \mathscr{F}) . The <u>total variation</u> or variational distance between P and Q is defined by

$$V(P,Q) := \sup_{A \in \mathscr{F}} |P(A) - Q(A)| \tag{38}$$

• Remark (Equivalent Formulation of Total Variation)

It is a well-known and simple fact that the total variation is half the L_1 -distance, that is, if μ is a common dominating measure of P and Q and $p(x) = dP/d\mu$ and $q(x) = dQ/d\mu$ denote their respective densities, then

$$V(P,Q) := P(A^*) - Q(A^*) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |p(x) - q(x)| \, d\mu(x), \tag{39}$$

where $A^* = \{x : p(x) \ge q(x)\}.$

• Remark (Total Variation via Optimal Coupling of Two Measures)

We note that another important interpretation of the variational distance is related to the best coupling of the two measures

$$V(P,Q) = \min P\left\{X \neq Y\right\} \tag{40}$$

where the minimum is taken over all pairs of joint distributions for the random variables (X,Y) whose marginal distributions are $X \sim P$ and $Y \sim Q$.

• Remark (Applications of Pinsker's Inequality)

The importance of Pinsker's inequality in statistics stems from the fact that it provides a lower bound for the error of certain hypothesis testing problems.

We use Pinsker's inequality for a completely different purpose, namely for establishing a transportation cost inequality that may be used to prove concentration inequalities.

• Proposition 2.14 (Pinsker's Inequality) [Cover and Thomas, 2006, Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let P,Q be two probability distributions on measurable space (Ω,\mathcal{F}) such that $P \ll Q$. Then

$$V(P,Q)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{KL} (P \parallel Q). \tag{41}$$

Proof: Define the random variable X such that dP = XdQ and let $A^* = \{X \ge 1\}$ be the set achieving the maximum in the definition of the total variation between P and Q. Then, setting $Z = \mathbb{1}\{A^*\}$,

$$V(P,Q) := P(A^*) - Q(A^*) = \mathbb{E}_P[Z] - \mathbb{E}_Q[Z].$$

It follows from Hoeffding's lemma that

$$\psi_{Z-\mathbb{E}[Z]}(\lambda) \le \frac{\lambda^2}{8}$$

which by transportation cost inequality for sub-Gaussian variables we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{P}\left[Z\right] - \mathbb{E}_{Q}\left[Z\right] \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{KL}\left(P \parallel Q\right)}.$$

• Remark (Total Variation as 1-Wasserstein Distance)
The total variation between P and Q is the Wasserstein distance induced by the Hamming distance $d(x, y) = \#\{i : x_i \neq y_i\}$.

$$V(P,Q) = \mathcal{W}_1(P,Q).$$

Thus the Pinsker's inequality (41) is the special case of transportation cost inequality (35).

2.8 Birgé's Inequality and Multiple Testing Problem

- Remark We will use the Pinsker's inequality to derive a lower bound on the probability of error in multiple testing problem.
- Proposition 2.15 (Sharper Information Inequality for Total Variation) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let P,Q be two probability distributions on measurable space (Ω,\mathcal{F}) such that $P \ll Q$.

$$\sup_{A \in \mathscr{F}} h(P(A), Q(A)) \le \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) \tag{42}$$

where $h(p,q) = \mathbb{KL}(p \parallel q) = q \log(q/p) + (1-q) \log((1-q)/(1-p))$ when $p,q \in [0,1]$ are parameters of Bernoulli random variables.

Proof: For any $p \in [0, 1]$, let

$$\phi_p(\lambda) = \log\left(p\left(e^{\lambda} - 1\right) + 1\right)$$

denote the logarithm of the moment generating function of the Bernoulli(p) distribution where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. By the duality formulation of relative entropy, for $X = \mathbb{1}\{A\}$,

$$\mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) \ge \mathbb{E}_{P} \left[\lambda \mathbb{1} \left\{ A \right\} \right] - \log \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[e^{\lambda \mathbb{1} \left\{ A \right\}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{KL}(P \parallel Q) \ge \sup_{\lambda \ge 0} \left\{ \lambda P(A) - \phi_{Q(A)}(\lambda) \right\}.$$

The proposition follows by noting that for any $a \in [0, 1]$,

$$h(a,p) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \lambda a - \phi_p(\lambda) \right\}.$$

• Remark Note that

$$h(P(A), Q(A)) \ge 2(P(A) - Q(A))^{2}$$
.

Thus the proposition above implies the Pinsker's inequality.

• Remark The variational representation of relative entropy may be used to establish lower bounds for the probability of error in multiple testing problems. The next result is a sharper version of Fano's inequality, a classical tool from information theory.

Proposition 2.16 (Birgé's Inequality) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_N be probability distributions on measurable space (Ω, \mathscr{F}) and let $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_N \in \mathscr{F}$ be pairwise disjoint events. If $a = \min_{i=0,\ldots,N} P_i(A_i) \geq 1/(N+1)$,

$$a \le h\left(a, \frac{1-a}{N}\right) \le \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{KL}\left(P_i \parallel P_0\right) \tag{43}$$

Proof: By the variational representation of relative entropy, for any $i = 0, \dots, N$,

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{P_i} \left[\lambda \mathbb{1} \left\{ A_i \right\} \right] - \log \mathbb{E}_{P_0} \left[e^{\lambda \mathbb{1} \left\{ A_i \right\}} \right] \right\} \leq \mathbb{KL} \left(P_i \parallel P_0 \right).$$

See that

$$1 - a = 1 - \min_{i=0,\dots,N} P_i(A_i)$$
$$\geq 1 - P_0(A_0) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_0(A_i).$$

For any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{KL} \left(P_i \parallel P_0 \right) &\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \lambda P_i(A_i) - \log \mathbb{E}_{P_0} \left[e^{\lambda \mathbb{I} \left\{ A_i \right\}} \right] \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \lambda a - \log \left(P_0(A_i) \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \right\} \\ &= \lambda a - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left(P_0(A_i) \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \\ &\geq \lambda a - \log \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(P_0(A_i) \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \right) \quad \text{(by convexity of } - \log(x) \text{)} \\ &= \lambda a - \log \left(\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_0(A_i) \right) \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \\ &\geq \lambda a - \log \left(\frac{1 - P_0(A_0)}{N} \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \\ &\geq \lambda a - \log \left(\frac{1 - a}{N} \left(e^{\lambda} - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \end{split}$$

Note that the supremum of the right-hand side with respect to λ is $h\left(a, \frac{1-a}{N}\right)$.

References

- Stéphane Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi, and Pascal Massart. Concentration inequalities: A nonasymptotic theory of independence. Oxford university press, 2013.
- Thomas M. Cover and Joy A. Thomas. *Elements of information theory (2. ed.)*. Wiley, 2006. ISBN 978-0-471-24195-9.
- Martin J Wainwright. *High-dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic viewpoint*, volume 48. Cambridge University Press, 2019.