

Lecture 6: Concentration via Optimal Transport

Tianpei Xie

Jan. 24th., 2023

Contents

1	Optimal Transport Basis	2
1.1	Optimal Transport Problem and its Dual Problem	2
1.2	Wasserstein Distance	3
1.3	Dual Formulation of Wasserstein Distance	5
2	The Transportation Method	5
2.1	Concentration via Transportation Cost Inequality	5
2.2	Tensorization for Transportation Cost	8
2.3	Induction Lemma	8
2.4	Marton's Transportation Inequality	9
2.5	Talagrand's Gaussian Transportation Inequality	11
2.6	Transportation Cost Inequalities for Markov Chains	12

1 Optimal Transport Basis

1.1 Optimal Transport Problem and its Dual Problem

- **Definition (*Pushforward Measure*)** [Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_X)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ be two topological measurable spaces. Denote the spaces of *general (Radon) measures* on \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} as $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$. Also let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$ be space of continuous functions on \mathcal{X} . For a *continous* map $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, the **push-forward operator** is defined as $T_{\#} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$ that satisfies

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) d(T_{\#}\alpha)(y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} h(T(x)) d\alpha(x). \quad (1)$$

$$\text{or equivalently,} \quad (T_{\#}\alpha)(B) := \alpha(\{x : T(x) \in B \subset \mathcal{Y}\}) = \alpha(T^{-1}(B)) \quad (2)$$

where the **push-forward measure** $\beta := T_{\#}\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$ of some $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$, $T^{-1}(\cdot)$ is the pre-image of T .

- **Remark (*Density Function of Pushforward Measure*)**

Assume that (α, β) have densities $(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho_{\beta})$ with respect to a fixed measure, and $\beta = T_{\#}\alpha$. We see that $T_{\#}$ acts on a density ρ_{α} linearly to a density ρ_{β} as a change of variable, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) &= |\det(T'(\mathbf{x}))| \rho_{\beta}(T(\mathbf{x})) \\ |\det(T'(\mathbf{x}))| &= \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\rho_{\beta}(T(\mathbf{x}))} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

- **Definition (*Optimal Transport Problem, Monge Problem*)** [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_X)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ be two measurable spaces, where \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are *complete separable metric spaces*. Denote $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ as the space of probability measures on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . Define a **cost function** $c : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ as non-negative real-valued measurable functions on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. **The optimal transport problem** by *Monge* (i.e. **Monge Problem**) is defined as follows: given two probability measures $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, find a *continuous measurable map* $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_T \int_{\mathcal{X}} c(x, T(x)) d\mathbb{P}(x) \\ &\text{s.t. } \mathbb{Q} = T_{\#}\mathbb{P} \end{aligned}$$

The optimal solution T is also called an **optimal transportation plan**.

- **Definition (*Optimal Transport Problem, Kantorovich Relaxation*)** [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

The optimal transport problem by *Kantorovich* (i.e. **Kantorovich Relaxation**) is defined as follows: given two probability measures $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, find a *joint probability measure* $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} c(x, y) d\gamma(x, y) \\ &\text{s.t. } \gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) : \pi_{\mathcal{X}, \#}\gamma = \mathbb{P}, \pi_{\mathcal{Y}, \#}\gamma = \mathbb{Q}\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is the space of joint probability measure on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are the coordinate projection onto \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . $\pi_{\mathcal{X},\#}\gamma = \mathbb{P}$ means that \mathbb{P} is the marginal distribution of γ on \mathcal{X} . Similarly \mathbb{Q} is the marginal distribution of γ on \mathcal{Y} .

Equivalently, let X and Y are *random variables* taking values in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . The *joint distribution* of (X, Y) is γ with marginal distribution of X and Y being \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} . Then the problem is

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} [c(X, Y)]$$

The joint distribution $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $X_{\#}\gamma = \mathbb{P}$ and $Y_{\#}\gamma = \mathbb{Q}$ is called **a coupling**.

- **Proposition 1.1 (Existence of Solution)** [Santambrogio, 2015]
Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be **complete separable spaces**, $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $c : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be **lower semi-continuous function**. Then the Kantorovich relaxation of optimal transport problem admits a solution.
- **Definition (Dual Problem of Kantorovich Problem)** [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]
The **dual problem** of Kantorovich problem is described as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) &= \max_{(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi(x) d\mathbb{P}(x) + \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \psi(y) d\mathbb{Q}(y) \\ \text{s.t. } &\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x, y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}, \end{aligned}$$

Here, (φ, ψ) is a pair of *continuous functions* on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} respectively and they are also the **Kantorovich potentials**. The feasible region is

$$\mathcal{R}(c) := \{(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y}) : \varphi \oplus \psi \leq c\}$$

where $(\varphi \oplus \psi)(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y)$.

In other words, the dual optimization problem is

$$\max_{(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{R}(c)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [\varphi(X)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [\psi(Y)]$$

- **Proposition 1.2 (Strong Duality)** [Santambrogio, 2015]
Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be **complete separable spaces**, and $c : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be **lower semi-continuous and bounded from below**. Then the optimal value of primal and dual problems are the same

$$\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} [c(X, Y)] = \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \max_{(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{R}(c)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [\varphi(X)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [\psi(Y)].$$

1.2 Wasserstein Distance

- **Definition (Wasserstein Distance)**
Let $((\mathcal{X}, d), \mathcal{B})$ be a *metric measurable space* with *Borel σ -algebra* induced by metric d . Let X, Y be two random variables taking values in \mathcal{X} with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} . **The Wasserstein distance** between probability distributions \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} induced by d is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \equiv \mathcal{W}_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} [d(X, Y)] \quad (4)$$

In general, for $p \in [1, \infty)$, we can define **Wasserstein p -distance** as

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \left(\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} [(d(X, Y))^p] \right)^{1/p}. \quad (5)$$

- **Remark** Not to confuse the **2-Wasserstein distance** with **the Wasserstein distance induced by L_2 norm**:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) &\equiv \mathcal{W}_{1,\|\cdot\|_2}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} [\|X - Y\|_2] \\ \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) &\equiv \mathcal{W}_{2,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \sqrt{\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} [d(X, Y)^2]} \end{aligned}$$

- **Remark (Wasserstein p -Distance is a Metric in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$)**

The **Wasserstein p -distance** $\mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := (\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} [(d(X, Y))^p])^{1/p}$ is a well-defined metric in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$: for all $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{M} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$,

1. (*Non-Negativity*): $\mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \geq 0$.
2. (*Definiteness*): $\mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ iff $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{Q}$
3. (*Symmetric*): $\mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})$
4. (*Triangular inequality*): $\mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{M}) + \mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{Q})$

- **Remark** The Wasserstein distance, or Optimal Transport (OT), $\mathcal{W}_d(\alpha, \beta)$ depends on the distance definition d on the base measurable space \mathcal{X} . In other word, OT can be seen as automatically “**lifting**” a ground metric d in \mathcal{X} to a *metric* between **measures** on \mathcal{X}

- **Remark (Convergence in Wasserstein Space \Leftrightarrow Weak Convergence)** [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

One of most **important** property of *Wasserstein distance* is that it is a **weak distance**, i.e. it allows one to compare singular distributions (for instance, discrete ones) whose **supports do not overlap** and to quantify the spatial shift between the supports of two distributions.

In fact, \mathcal{W}_p is a way to quantify the **weak* convergence** or **convergence in distribution (in law)** [Villani, 2009]:

Definition On a compact domain \mathcal{X} , $(\alpha_k)_k$ converges **weakly** to α in $\mathcal{M}_+^1(\mathcal{X})$ (denoted $\alpha_k \xrightarrow{d} \alpha$) if and only if for any **continuous** function $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$, $\int_{\mathcal{X}} g d\alpha_k \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{X}} g d\alpha$. One needs to add additional decay conditions on g on noncompact domains.

This notion of weak convergence corresponds to the **convergence in the distribution** of random vectors. Note the any random variable X_n is a continous function on Ω , and its distribution is the push-forward measure $\alpha_n = X_{n\#}\mathbb{P}$. Therefore, $\alpha_n \rightharpoonup \alpha$ is equivalent to $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. This convergence can be shown (see [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015]) to be equivalent to

$$\alpha_n \rightharpoonup \alpha \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{W}_p(\alpha_n, \alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we can also write the weak convergence as $\alpha_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_d} \alpha$.

1.3 Dual Formulation of Wasserstein Distance

- **Theorem 1.3 (Kantorovich-Rubenstein Duality)** [Villani, 2009]

Let \mathcal{X} be a **Polish space**, i.e. \mathcal{X} a complete separable metric space equipped with a Borel σ -algebra induced by metric d , and \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} be probability measures on \mathcal{X} . For fixed $p \in [1, \infty)$, let Lip_1 be the space of all **1-Lipschitz** function with respect to metric d such that

$$\|f\|_L := \sup_{x,y \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} \right\} \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{W}_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \sup_{f \in Lip_1} \{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(Y)] \}. \quad (6)$$

- **Remark** This theorem only applies for *Wasserstein 1-distance*, i.e. $p = 1$.
- **Example (Total Variation as \mathcal{W}_d with respect to Hamming distance d_H)**
When $d(x, y) = \sum_i \mathbf{1}\{x_i \neq y_i\} = d_H(x, y)$ Hamming distance, the $\mathcal{W}_{1,d}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{1,d_H}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) &= \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})} \gamma\{X \neq Y\} \\ &= \sup_{f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0,1]} \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(d\mathbb{P} - d\mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \sup_{A \subset \mathcal{X}} |\mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{Q}(A)| := \|\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{Q}\|_{TV} \end{aligned}$$

- **Example (\mathcal{W}_1 in 1-dimensional space \mathbb{R})**

When $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ in \mathbb{R} , and F_α, F_β are cumulative distribution function of α, β , then \mathcal{W}_1 distance becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_1(\alpha, \beta) &= \|F_\alpha - F_\beta\|_1 := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F_\alpha(x) - F_\beta(x)\|_1 dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x d(\alpha - \beta) \right| \end{aligned}$$

which shows that \mathcal{W}_1 on \mathbb{R} is a **norm**. An optimal Monge map T such that $T_\# \alpha = \beta$ is then defined by

$$T = F_\beta^{-1} \circ F_\alpha$$

where $F_\beta^{-1} = \inf \{t : F_\beta \geq t\}$.

2 The Transportation Method

2.1 Concentration via Transportation Cost Inequality

- **Lemma 2.1 (Transportation Lemma)** [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let X be a real-valued integrable random variable. Let ϕ be a **convex** and **continuously**

differentiable function on a (possibly unbounded) interval $[0, b)$ and assume that $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$. Define, for every $x \geq 0$, the **Legendre transform** $\phi^*(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in (0, b)} (\lambda x - \phi(\lambda))$, and let, for every $t \geq 0$, $\phi^{*-1}(t) = \inf\{x \geq 0 : \phi^*(x) > t\}$, i.e. the **generalized inverse** of ϕ^* . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

1. for every $\lambda \in (0, b)$,

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \leq \phi(\lambda)$$

where $\psi_X(\lambda) := \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [e^{\lambda X}]$ is the logarithm of moment generating function;

2. for any probability measure \mathbb{Q} absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} such that $\text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X] \leq \phi^{*-1}(\text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})). \quad (7)$$

In particular, given $\nu > 0$, X follows a **sub-Gaussian distribution**, i.e.

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\nu \lambda^2}{2}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$ **if and only if** for any probability measure \mathbb{Q} absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} such that $\text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X] \leq \sqrt{2\nu \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}. \quad (8)$$

- **Remark (Concentration via Transportation Methods)**

Let $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_i$ be the product measure for $Z := (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ on \mathcal{X}^n and $f : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 1-Lipschitz function. Consider a probability measure \mathbb{Q} on \mathcal{X}^n , absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} and let Y be a random variable (defined on the same probability space as \mathcal{X}) such that Y has distribution \mathbb{Q} .

The lemma above suggests that one may prove *sub-Gaussian concentration inequalities* for $X = f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ by proving a “*transportation*” inequality as above. The key to achieving this relies on *coupling*. In particular, the *Kantorovich-Rubenstein duality* for $\mathcal{W}_{1,d}$ suggests that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(Y)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(Z)] \leq \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}[d(Y, Z)] := \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})$$

Thus, it suffices to *upper bound* the 1-Wasserstein distance between \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{P} .

- **Definition (*d-Transportation Cost Inequality*)** [Wainwright, 2019]

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a metric space with metric d , and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable space, where \mathcal{B} is the Borel σ -algebra induced by metric d , the **probability measure** \mathbb{P} is said to satisfy a ***d-transportation cost inequality*** with parameter $\nu > 0$ if

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) \leq \sqrt{2\nu \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})} \quad (9)$$

for all probability measure $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ on \mathcal{B} .

- **Theorem 2.2 (Isoperimetric Inequality via Transportation Cost)**[Wainwright, 2019]
Consider a metric measure space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ with metric d , and suppose that \mathbb{P} satisfies the d -transportation cost inequality with parameter $\nu/2 > 0$ in (9). Then its **concentration function** satisfies the bound

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{P},(\mathcal{X},d)}(t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t_0)_+^2}{2\nu}\right), \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \quad (10)$$

where $t_0 := \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}$. Moreover, for any $Z \sim \mathbb{P}$ and any L -Lipschitz function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have the **concentration inequality**

$$\mathbb{P}\{|f(Z) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]| \geq t\} \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\nu L^2}\right). \quad (11)$$

Proof: We begin by proving the bound (10). For any set A with $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1/2$ and a given $t > 0$, consider the set

$$A_t^c = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : d(x, A) \geq t\}.$$

If $\mathbb{P}(A_t) = 1$, then the proof is complete, so that we may assume that $\mathbb{P}(A_t^c) > 0$. By construction, we have $d(A, A_t^c) := \inf_{x \in A_t^c} \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) \geq t$. On the other hand, let $\mathbb{P}_A := \mathbb{P}(\cdot|A)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{A_t} := \mathbb{P}(\cdot|A_t^c)$ denote the distributions of \mathbb{P} conditioned on A and A_t^c , and let γ denote any *coupling* of this pair. Since the marginals of γ are supported on A and A_t^c , respectively, we have

$$d(A, A_t^c) \leq \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} d(x, x') d\gamma(x, x').$$

Taking the *infimum* over all *couplings*, we conclude that

$$t \leq d(A, A_t^c) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c})} \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} d(x, x') d\gamma(x, x') := \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c})$$

Now applying the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t \leq \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c}) &\leq \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}) + \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2\nu \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_A \| \mathbb{P})} + \sqrt{2\nu \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{A_t^c} \| \mathbb{P})} \end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute the *Kullback-Leibler divergences*. For any measurable set C , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_A(C) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(C \cap A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \\ g &= \frac{d\mathbb{P}_A}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \mathbb{1}\{A\} \\ \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_A \| \mathbb{P}) &= \int \log\left(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_A}{d\mathbb{P}}\right) d\mathbb{P}_A = \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{A_t^c} \| \mathbb{P}) = \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_t^c)}$. Combining the pieces, we have

$$t \leq \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c}) \leq \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)}} + \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_t^c)}}$$

Denote $u = \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)}}$, we have

$$(t - u)_+ \leq \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_t^c)}}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(A_t^c) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t - u)_+^2}{2\nu}\right), \text{ for } t \geq u.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1/2$ so $u \leq \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}$. Thus for $t \geq \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}$, the concentration function

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{P},(\mathcal{X},d)}(t) = \sup_{A \subset \mathcal{X}: \mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1/2} \mathbb{P}(A_t^c) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t - \sqrt{2\nu \log 2})_+^2}{2\nu}\right),$$

which proves (10).

To show (11), we see that for L -Lipschitz function:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(Y)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(Z)] \leq L \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}[d(Y, Z)] = L \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) \leq \sqrt{2L^2 \nu \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}$$

where the first inequality follows *the Kantorovich-Rubenstein duality* and the second inequality follows the assumption. By *the transportation lemma*,

$$\psi_{f(Z) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)]}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{\lambda(f(Z) - \mathbb{E}[f(Z)])} \right] \leq \frac{\nu L^2 \lambda^2}{2}$$

The upper tail bound thus follows by the Chernoff bound. The same argument can be applied to $-f$, which yields the lower tail bound. \blacksquare

2.2 Tensorization for Transportation Cost

- **Proposition 2.3** (*Tensorization for Transportation Cost*) [Boucheron et al., 2013]
Suppose that, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the univariate distribution \mathbb{P}_k satisfies a d_k -**transportation cost inequality** with parameter ν_k . Then **the product distribution** $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_k$ satisfies the transportation cost inequality

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) = \sqrt{2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \nu_k \right) \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}, \quad \text{for all distributions } \mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P} \quad (12)$$

where the Wasserstein metric is defined using the distance $d(x, y) := \sum_{k=1}^n d_k(x_k, y_k)$.

2.3 Induction Lemma

- **Lemma 2.4** [Boucheron et al., 2013]
Let $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_i$ be a **product probability measure** on a product measurable space \mathcal{X}^n and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} (i.e. $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$). Let $w : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function and let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a **convex**

function. Suppose that for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ and for every probability measure $\nu \ll \mathbb{P}_i$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P}_i ,

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}_i, \nu)} \phi(\mathbb{E}_\gamma[w(X_i, Y_i)]) \leq \text{KL}(\nu \parallel \mathbb{P}_i)$$

Then

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\mathbb{E}_\gamma[w(X_i, Y_i)]) \leq \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}).$$

2.4 Marton's Transportation Inequality

- **Theorem 2.5 (Marton's Transportation Inequality)** [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_k$ be a product probability measure on \mathcal{X}^n , and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Define two random vectors $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n), Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ in \mathcal{X}^n with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} respectively. Then

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^2 \{X_i \neq Y_i\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) \quad (13)$$

- **Proof: (Proof of Bounded Difference Inequality)**

Any function with **bounded difference property** is **Lipschitz function** with respect to **Hamming distance**. This implies that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}^n$,

$$f(y) - f(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \mathbb{1}\{x_i \neq y_i\} \equiv d_{H,L}(x, y).$$

Note that for coupling $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})$ where $Y \sim \mathbb{Q}$ and $X \sim \mathbb{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{Q}[f(Y)] - \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{P}[f(X)] &= \mathbb{E}_\gamma[f(Y) - f(X)] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \mathbb{E}_\gamma[\mathbb{1}\{X_i \neq Y_i\}] \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_\gamma[\mathbb{1}\{X_i \neq Y_i\}])^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove the concentration using transportation cost inequality. That is, to bound the term

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_\gamma[\mathbb{1}\{X_i \neq Y_i\}])^2 = \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^2 \{X_i \neq Y_i\}.$$

We have shown that

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \gamma \{X \neq Y\} = \mathcal{W}_{1,d_H}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{X}} |\mathbb{Q}(A) - \mathbb{P}(A)| \equiv \|\mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{P}\|_{TV}.$$

For each independent variable X_i, Y_i , and their marginal distribution $\mathbb{P}_i, \mathbb{Q}_i$ where $\mathbb{Q}_i \ll \mathbb{P}_i$, by Pinsker's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}_i, \mathbb{P}_i)} \gamma \{X_i \neq Y_i\} &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q}_i \parallel \mathbb{P}_i)} \\ \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \gamma^2 \{X_i \neq Y_i\} &\leq \left[\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \gamma \{X_i \neq Y_i\} \right]^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q}_i \parallel \mathbb{P}_i) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by induction lemma,

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^2 \{X_i \neq Y_i\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})$$

which is the *Marton's transportation inequality*. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(Y)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[f(X)] &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}[\mathbb{1}\{X_i \neq Y_i\}])^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i^2)}{2} \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply the transportation lemma with $\nu := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i^2$, which proves the bounded difference inequality. ■

- **Theorem 2.6 (Marton's Conditional Transportation Inequality)** [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let $\mathbb{P} = \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_k$ be a product probability measure on \mathcal{X}^n , and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Define two random vectors $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n), Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ in \mathcal{X}^n with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} respectively. Then

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma^2 \{X_i \neq Y_i | X_i\} + \gamma^2 \{X_i \neq Y_i | Y_i\}) \right] \leq 2 \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) \quad (14)$$

- **Proposition 2.7 (Concentration of Lipschitz Function with Function Weighted Hamming Distance)** [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let $f : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function and let Z_1, \dots, Z_n be independent random variables taking their values in \mathcal{X} . Define $X = f(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$. Assume that there exist **measurable functions** $c_i : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}^n$,

$$f(y) - f(z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(z) \mathbb{1}\{y_i \neq z_i\}.$$

Setting

$$\nu = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2(Z) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{X}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2(z)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\psi_{X - \mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\nu \lambda^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{-X + \mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \leq \frac{\nu_{\infty} \lambda^2}{2}$$

In particular, for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{X \geq \mathbb{E}[X] + t\} &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\nu}\right) \\ \mathbb{P}\{X \leq \mathbb{E}[X] - t\} &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\nu_\infty}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

• **Remark** The condition in above proposition covers

1. *Lipschitz functions* such as *functions with bounded difference*,
2. **self-bounding functions** including **configuration functions**: Let f be such a configuration function. For any $z \in \mathcal{X}^n$, fix a *maximal sub-sequence* $(z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,m})$ satisfying property Π (so that $f(z) = m$). Let $c_i(z)$ denote the indicator that z_i belongs to the sub-sequence $(z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,m})$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(z) = f(z).$$

It follows from the definition of a configuration function that for all $z, y \in \mathcal{X}^n$,

$$f(y) \geq f(z) - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(z) \mathbb{1}\{z_i \neq y_i\}$$

So $g = -f$ satisfies the condition in above proposition.

3. **weakly self-bounding functions**
4. **convex distance function**

$$d_T(z, A) := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \|\alpha\|_2 = 1} \inf_{y \in A} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{1}\{z_i \neq y_i\}$$

Denote by $c(z) = (c_1(z), \dots, c_n(z)) = \alpha^*$ the vector of nonnegative components in the unit ball for which the supremum is achieved. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}d_T(z, A) - d_T(y, A) &\leq \inf_{z' \in A} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(z) \mathbb{1}\{z_i \neq z'_i\} - \inf_{y' \in A} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(z) \mathbb{1}\{y_i \neq y'_i\} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(z) \mathbb{1}\{z_i \neq y_i\}\end{aligned}$$

2.5 Talagrand's Gaussian Transportation Inequality

- **Theorem 2.8 (Talagrand's Gaussian Transportation Inequality)** [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let \mathbb{P} be the standard Gaussian probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Define two random vectors $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n), Y =$

(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) in \mathcal{X}^n with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{2,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) &:= \sqrt{\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} [(X_i - Y_i)^2] \leq \sqrt{2\text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} [(X_i - Y_i)^2] \leq 2\text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

- **Remark** (*Gaussian Transportation Inequality* \Rightarrow *Gaussian Concentration Inequality*) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Talagrand's **Gaussian transportation inequality** implies the Tsirelson-Ibragimov-Sudakov inequality (i.e. **the dimension-free concentration** of Lipschitz function of Gaussian vectors), which we proved based on the *Gaussian logarithmic Sobolev inequality* and *Herbst's argument*.

Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *Lipschitz function* with respect to *Euclidean distance*, that is, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(y) - f(x) \leq L \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2}$$

Then, by *Jensen's inequality*, for every coupling $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [f(Y)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [f(X)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} [f(Y) - f(X)] \\ &\leq L \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - Y_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\leq L \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} [(X_i - Y_i)^2] \right)^{1/2} = L \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2L^2 \text{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})} \quad \text{by Gaussian Transportation Inequality} \end{aligned}$$

By transportation lemma, we show that $f(X) - \mathbb{E} [f(X)]$ is *sub-Gaussian distributed* with parameter L^2 . This implies **the Gaussian concentration inequality**.

2.6 Transportation Cost Inequalities for Markov Chains

References

- Stéphane Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi, and Pascal Massart. *Concentration inequalities: A nonasymptotic theory of independence*. Oxford university press, 2013.
- Gabriel Peyr and Marco Cuturi. Computational optimal transport: With applications to data science. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 11(5-6):355–607, 2019. ISSN 1935-8237.
- Filippo Santambrogio. *Optimal transport for applied mathematicians*, volume 55. Springer, 2015.
- Cédric Villani. *Optimal transport: old and new*, volume 338. Springer, 2009.
- Martin J Wainwright. *High-dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic viewpoint*, volume 48. Cambridge University Press, 2019.