Lecture 5: Abstract Integrations

Tianpei Xie

Nov 15th., 2022

Contents

1	Recall	2
2	Measurable Functions, and Integration on a Measure Space	7
	2.1 Measurable Functions	7
	2.2 Simple Integral of Simple Functions	8
	2.3 Unsigned Integral	10
	2.4 Absolutely Convergent Integral	12
	2.5 The Convergence Theorems	13

1 Recall

- **Definition** Let \mathscr{B} be a *Boolean algebra* on a space X. An (unsigned) *finitely additive measure* μ on \mathscr{B} is a map $\mu: \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ that obeys the following axioms
 - 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
 - 2. Finite union: for any disjoint sets $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

- Proposition 1.1 (Properties of Finitely Additive Measure) [Tao, 2011] Let $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ be a finitely additive measure on a Boolean σ -algebra \mathcal{B} .
 - 1. (Monotonicity) If E, F are \mathscr{B} -measurable and $E \subseteq F$, then

$$\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$$
.

2. (Finite additivity) If k is a natural number, and E_1, \ldots, E_k are \mathscr{B} -measurable and disjoint, then

$$\mu(E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_k) = \mu(E_1) + \ldots + \mu(E_k).$$

3. (Finite subadditivity) If k is a natural number, and E_1, \ldots, E_k are \mathscr{B} -measurable, then

$$\mu(E_1 \cup \ldots \cup E_k) \le \mu(E_1) + \ldots + \mu(E_k).$$

4. (Inclusion-exclusion for two sets) If E, F are \mathscr{B} -measurable, then

$$\mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F).$$

(Caution: remember that the cancellation law $a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b$ does not hold in [0; +1] if c is infinite, and so the use of cancellation (or subtraction) should be avoided if possible.)

- Example (*Dirac measure*). Let $x \in X$ and \mathscr{B} be an arbitrary *Boolean algebra* on X. Then <u>the Dirac measure</u> δ_x at x, defined by setting $\delta_x(E) := \mathbb{1} \{x \in E\}$, is **finitely additive**.
- Example (Zero measure). The zero measure $0: E \mapsto 0$ is a finitely additive measure on any Boolean algebra.
- Example (Linear combinations of measures). If \mathscr{B} is a Boolean algebra on X, and $\mu, \nu : \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ are finitely additive measures on \mathscr{B} , then $\mu + \nu : E \mapsto \mu(E) + \nu(E)$ is also a finitely additive measure, as is $c\mu : E \mapsto c \times \mu(E)$ for any $c \in [0, +\infty]$. Thus, for instance, the sum of Lebesgue measure and a Dirac measure is also a finitely additive measure on the Lebesgue algebra (or on any of its sub-algebras).

In other word, the space of all finitely additive measures on \mathcal{B} is a vector space.

ullet Example (Restriction of a measure).

If \mathscr{B} is a Boolean algebra on X, $\mu: \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ is a finitely additive measure, and Y is a \mathscr{B} -measurable subset of X, then **the restriction** $\mu|_Y: \mathscr{B}|_Y \to [0, +\infty]$ of \mathscr{B} to Y, defined by setting $\mu|_Y(E) := \mu(E)$ whenever $E \in \mathscr{B}|_Y$ (i.e. if $E \in \mathscr{B}$ and $E \subseteq Y$), is also a **finitely additive measure**.

• Example (Counting measure).

If \mathscr{B} is a Boolean algebra on X, then the function $\#: \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ defined by setting #(E) to be the *cardinality* of E if E is *finite*, and $\#(E) := +\infty$ if E is infinite, is a *finitely additive measure*, known as *counting measure*.

• Proposition 1.2 (Finitely Additive Measures on Atomic Algebra)

Let \mathscr{B} be a finite Boolean algebra, generated by a finite family A_1, \ldots, A_k of non-empty atoms. For every finitely additive measure μ on \mathscr{B} there exists $c_1, \ldots, c_k \in [0, +\infty]$ such that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{1 \le j \le k: A_j \subseteq E} c_j.$$

Equivalently, if x_j is a point in A_j for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j \, \delta_{x_j}.$$

where c_1, \ldots, c_k are **uniquely** determined by μ .

- **Definition** Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space. An (unsigned) <u>countably additive measure</u> μ on \mathcal{B} , or **measure** for short, is a map $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ that obeys the following axioms:
 - 1. (*Empty set*) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
 - 2. (Countable additivity) Whenever $E_1, E_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{B}$ are a countable sequence of disjoint measurable sets, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

A triplet (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , where (X, \mathcal{B}) is a **measurable space** and $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ is a **countably additive measure**, is known as **a measure space**.

- Remark Note the distinction between a *measure space* and a *measurable space*. The latter has the *capability* to be equipped with a *measure*, but the former is *actually* equipped with a *measure*.
- **Definition** [Folland, 2013] Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space.
 - If $\mu(X) < \infty$ (which implies that $\mu(E) < \infty$ for all $E \in \mathcal{B}$), then μ is called *finite*.
 - If $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ where $E_j \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mu(E_j) < \infty$, then μ is called σ -finite. More generally, if $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ where $E_j \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\mu(E_j) < \infty$, then E is said to be σ -finite for μ .
 - If for each $E \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(E) = \infty$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{B}$ with $F \subseteq E$ and $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$, then μ is called *semi-finite*.

- Proposition 1.3 Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space.
 - 1. (Countable subadditivity) If E_1, E_2, \ldots are \mathscr{B} -measurable, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

2. (Upwards monotone convergence) If $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq ...$ are \mathscr{B} -measurable, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n) = \sup_n \mu(E_n). \tag{1}$$

3. (Downwards monotone convergence) If $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq ...$ are \mathscr{B} -measurable, and $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ for at least one n, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n) = \inf_n \mu(E_n). \tag{2}$$

- Proposition 1.4 (Dominated convergence for sets). [Tao, 2011]
 Let (X, B, μ) be a measure space. Let E₁, E₂,... be a sequence of B-measurable sets that converge to another set E, in the sense that 1_{En} converges pointwise to 1_E. Then
 - 1. E is also \mathscr{B} -measurable.
 - 2. If there exists a \mathscr{B} -measurable set F of **finite measure** (i.e. $\mu(F) < \infty$) that **contains** all of the E_n , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(E).$$

(Hint: Apply downward monotonicity to the sets $\bigcup_{n>N} (E_n \Delta E)$.)

- 3. The previous part of this proposition can **fail** if the hypothesis that all the E_n are contained in a set of finite measure is **omitted**.
- Exercise 1.5 (Countably Additive Measures on Countable Set with Discrete σ -Algebra)

Let X be an at most countable set with the discrete σ -algebra. Show that every measure μ on this measurable space can be uniquely represented in the form

$$\mu = \sum_{x \in X} c_x \, \delta_x$$

for some $c_x \in [0, +\infty]$, thus

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} c_x$$

for all $E \subseteq X$. (This claim fails in the **uncountable** case, although showing this is slightly tricky.)

• Definition (Completeness). [Tao, 2011]

A <u>null set</u> of a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is defined to be a \mathcal{B} -measurable set of **measure** zero. A sub-null set is any subset of a null set.

A measure space is said to be **complete** if every sub-null set is a null set.

- Theorem 1.6 The Lebesgue measure space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{R}^d], m)$ is complete, but the Borel measure space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}^d], m)$ is not.
- Completion is a convenient property to have in some cases, particularly when dealing with properties that hold almost everywhere. Fortunately, it is fairly easy to modify any measure space to be complete:

Proposition 1.7 (Completion).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space. There exists a unique refinement $(X, \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \overline{\mu})$, known as the completion of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , which is the coarsest refinement of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) that is complete. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ consists precisely of those sets that differ from a \mathcal{B} -measurable set by a \mathcal{B} -subnull set.

• Definition (Abstract outer measure). [Tao, 2011]

Let X be a set. An abstract outer measure (or outer measure for short) is a map $\mu^*: 2^X \to [0, +\infty]$ that assigns an unsigned extended real number $\mu^*(E) \in [0, +\infty]$ to every set $E \subseteq X$ which obeys the following axioms:

- 1. (**Empty set**) $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$.
- 2. (*Monotonicity*) If $E \subseteq F$, then $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(F)$.
- 3. (Countable subadditivity) If $E_1, E_2, ... \subseteq X$ is a countable sequence of subsets of X, then

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_n).$$

Outer measures are also known as exterior measures.

• Definition (Carathéodory measurability).

Let μ^* be an outer measure on a set X. A set $E \subseteq X$ is said to be **Carathéodory measurable** with respect to μ^* (or, μ^* -measurable) if one has

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \setminus E) + \mu^*(A \cap E)$$

for every set $A \subseteq X$.

- Example (Null sets are Carathéodory measurable). Suppose that E is a null set for an outer measure μ^* (i.e. $\mu^*(E) = 0$). Then that E is Carathéodory measurable with respect to μ^* .
- Example (Compatibility with Lebesgue measurability). A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is Carathéodory measurable with respect to Lebesgue outer measurable if and only if it is Lebesgue measurable.
- Theorem 1.8 (Carathéodory extension theorem). [Tao, 2011]
 Let μ*: 2^X → [0, +∞] be an outer measure on a set X, let B be the collection of all subsets of X that are Carathéodory measurable with respect to μ*, and let μ: B → [0, +∞] be the

restriction of μ^* to \mathscr{B} (thus $\mu(E) := \mu^*(E)$ whenever $E \in \mathscr{B}$). Then \mathscr{B} is a σ -algebra, and μ is a measure.

 \bullet Definition (Pre-measure).

<u>A pre-measure</u> on a **Boolean algebra** \mathscr{B}_0 is a function $\mu_0 : \mathscr{B}_0 \to [0, +\infty]$ that satisfies the conditions:

- 1. (*Empty Set*): $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$
- 2. (**Countably Additivity**): If $E_1, E_2, \ldots \in \mathscr{B}_0$ are disjoint sets such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is in \mathscr{B}_0 ,

$$\mu_0\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n).$$

- Remark A pre-measure μ_0 is a finitely additive measure that already is countably additive within a Boolean algebra \mathscr{B}_0 .
- Remark The countably additivity condition for pre-measure can be released to be the countably subadditivity $\mu_0(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n)$ without affecting the definition of a pre-measure.
- Proposition 1.9 Let $\mathscr{B} \subset 2^X$ and $\mu_0 : \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ be such that $\emptyset, X \in \mathscr{B}$, and $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$. For any $A \subseteq X$, define

$$\mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_j) : E_j \in \mathscr{B}, \text{ and } A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right\}.$$

Then μ^* is an outer measure.

- Theorem 1.10 (Hahn-Kolmogorov Theorem). Every pre-measure $\mu_0: \mathcal{B}_0 \to [0, +\infty]$ on a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B}_0 in X can be extended to a countably additive measure $\mu: \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$.
- Remark We can construct an outer measure μ^* according to Proposition 1.9. Let \mathscr{B} be the collection of all sets $E \subseteq X$ that are Carathéodory measurable with respect to * $(\mu^*$ -measurable), and let μ be the restriction of μ^* to \mathscr{B} . The tuple (X, \mathscr{B}, μ) is what we want in Hahn-Kolmogorov theorem.

The measure μ constructed in this way is called <u>the Hahn-Kolmogorov extension</u> of the pre-measure μ_0 .

Proposition 1.11 (Uniqueness of the Hahn-Kolmogorov Extension)
Let μ₀: ℬ₀ → [0, +∞] be a pre-measure, let μ: ℬ → [0, +∞] be the Hahn-Kolmogorov extension of μ₀, and let μ': ℬ' → [0, +∞] be another countably additive extension of μ₀. Suppose also that μ₀ is σ-finite, which means that one can express the whole space X as the countable union of sets E₁, E₂,... ∈ ℬ₀ for which μ₀(E_n) < ∞ for all n. Then μ and μ' agree on their common domain of definition. In other words, show that μ(E) = μ'(E) for all E ∈ ℬ ∩ ℬ'.

2 Measurable Functions, and Integration on a Measure Space

2.1 Measurable Functions

- **Definition** Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space, and let $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ or $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ be an *unsigned* or *complex-valued function*. We say that f is *measurable* if $f^{-1}(U)$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable for every open subset U of $[0, +\infty]$ or \mathbb{C} .
- Remark The inverse image of a Lebesgue measurable set by a measurable function need not remain Lebesgue. measurable. This is due to the definition of above measureable function. The pre-image of E is Lebesgue measurable, if if E has a slightly stronger measurability property than Lebesgue measurability, namely Borel measurability.
- In general, we have the following
 - **Definition** For $f: X \to Y$, and $X \equiv (X, \mathscr{F})$, $Y \equiv (Y, \mathscr{B})$ are measurable spaces, then f is called $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{B})$ measureable (or $(\mathscr{F}/\mathscr{B})$ measureable or, simply, measureble), if $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathscr{F}$ for every $E \in \mathscr{B}$.
- **Definition** Note that if $\{(Y_{\alpha}, \mathscr{B}_{\alpha})\}$ is a family of measureable spaces, and $\{f_{\alpha}\}$ for $f_{\alpha}: X \to Y_{\alpha}$, then there is a **unique smallest** σ -algebra on X so that $\{f_{\alpha}\}$ are all measureable. It is generated by $f_{\alpha}^{-1}(E_{\alpha}), E_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$. It is called the σ -algebra generated by $\{f_{\alpha}\}$.
 - In particular, $X = \prod_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}$ has **product** σ -algebra that is generated by coordinate functions $\{\pi_{\alpha}\}.$
- Proposition 2.1 Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space.
 - 1. $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ is **measurable** if and only if the **level sets** $\{x \in X : f(x) > \lambda\}$ are \mathscr{B} -measurable.
 - 2. The indicator function $\mathbb{1}_E$ of a set $E \subseteq X$ is measurable if and only if E itself is \mathscr{B} -measurable.
 - 3. $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ or $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if $f^{-1}(E)$ is \mathscr{B} -measurable for every **Borel-measurable** subset E of $[0, +\infty]$ or \mathbb{C} .
 - 4. $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if its real and imaginary parts are measurable.
 - 5. $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable if and only if the **magnitudes** $f_+ := \max\{f, 0\}$, $f_- := \max\{-f, 0\}$ of its **positive** and **negative** parts are **measurable**.
 - 6. If $f_n: X \to [0, +\infty]$ are a sequence of **measurable** functions that converge **pointwise** to a limit $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$, then f is also **measurable**. The same claim holds if $[0, +\infty]$ is replaced by \mathbb{C} .
 - 7. If $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ is measurable and $\varphi: [0, +\infty] \to [0, +\infty]$ is **continuous**, the composite $\varphi \circ f$ is measurable. The same claim holds if $[0, +\infty]$ is replaced by \mathbb{C} .
 - 8. The sum or product of two measurable functions in $[0, +\infty]$ or \mathbb{C} is still measurable.
- **Definition** A function $f:(X,\mathscr{F})\to (Y,\mathscr{B})$ is <u>simple</u> if it only takes *finitely many* different values $s_1,\cdots,s_k\in Y$.

Then the σ -algebra $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ reduce to $\sigma\left(\left\{f^{-1}(\left\{s_{\alpha}\right\})\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{k}\right)$, the **finite** σ -algebra generated by atomic algebra with atoms $E_{\alpha} \equiv f^{-1}(\left\{s_{\alpha}\right\})$. The **canonical representation** of f is

$$f = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} s_{\alpha} \mathbb{1} \left\{ E_{\alpha} \right\},\,$$

which is determined up to a reordering.

• Proposition 2.2 (Measurable Function with respect to Atomic Algebra is Simple) Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space that is **atomic**, thus $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}((A_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I})$ for some partition $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}$ of X into disjoint non-empty atoms. A function $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ or $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is measurable if and only if it is **constant** on each atom, or equivalently if one has a **representation of the form**

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} c_{\alpha} \mathbb{1} \left\{ x \in A_{\alpha} \right\},\,$$

for some constants $c_{\alpha} \in [0; +\infty]$ or in \mathbb{C} as appropriate. Furthermore, the c_{α} are uniquely determined by f.

- Theorem 2.3 (Egorov's theorem). [Tao, 2011] Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a finite measure space (so $\mu(X) < \infty$), and let $f_n : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence of measurable functions that converge pointwise almost everywhere to a limit $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a measurable set E of measure at most ϵ such that f_n converges uniformly to f outside of E.
- Remark Give an example to show that the claim can fail when the measure μ is not finite.

2.2 Simple Integral of Simple Functions

• Definition (Simple integral).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space with \mathcal{B} finite (i.e., its cardinality is finite and there are only finitely many measurable sets). X can then be partitioned into a finite number of atoms A_1, \dots, A_n . If $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ is measurable, it has a unique representation of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} c_{\alpha} \mathbb{1} \left\{ x \in A_{\alpha} \right\},\,$$

for some constants $c_{\alpha} \in [0; +\infty]$. We then define the <u>simple integral</u> simp $\int_X f d\mu$ of f by the formula

$$\operatorname{simp} \int_X f d\mu \equiv \sum_{\alpha \in I} c_\alpha \mu(A_\alpha)$$

• **Remark** Note that the precise decomposition into atoms *does not affect* the definition of the simple integral.

Proposition 2.4 (Simple integral unaffected by refinements). [Tao, 2011] Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let (X, \mathcal{B}', μ') be a refinement of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , which

means that \mathscr{B}' contains \mathscr{B} and $\mu': \mathscr{B}' \to [0, +\infty]$ agrees with $\mu: \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ on \mathscr{B} . Suppose that both $\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{B}'$ are finite, and let $f: \mathscr{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ be measurable. We have

$$simp \int_X f d\mu = simp \int_X f d\mu'.$$

Proof: Since taking simple integrals both w.r.t. \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' implies that f is both \mathcal{B} -measurable and \mathcal{B}' -measurable, we see that for the finite values a_1, \ldots, a_k of f we have $f^{-1}(a_i) \in \mathcal{B}$.

$$\operatorname{simp} \int_X f d\mu' = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mu'(f^{-1}(a_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mu'|_{\mathscr{B}}(f^{-1}(a_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mu(f^{-1}(a_i)) = \operatorname{simp} \int_X f d\mu.$$

• The above proposition allows one to extend the *simple integral* to *simple functions*:

Definition (Integral of simple functions).

An <u>(unsigned)</u> simple function $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{B}) is a measurable function that takes on **finitely many values** a_1, \dots, a_k . Note that such a function is then automatically measurable with respect to at least one **finite sub-\sigma-algebra** \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} , namely the σ -algebra \mathcal{B}' generated by the preimages $f^{-1}\{a_1\}, \dots, f^{-1}\{a_k\}$ of a_1, \dots, a_k .

We then define the *simple integral* simp $\int_X f d\mu$ by the formula

$$\operatorname{simp} \int_{X} f d\mu \equiv \operatorname{simp} \int_{X} f d\mu|_{\mathscr{B}'}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \mu \left(f^{-1} \left\{ a_{k} \right\} \right)$$

where $\mu|_{\mathscr{B}'}:\mathscr{B}'\to [0,+\infty]$ is the **restriction** of $\mu:\mathscr{B}\to [0,+\infty]$ to \mathscr{B}' .

- Remark Note that there could be *multiple finite* σ -algebras with respect to which f is *measurable*, but all such extensions will give the same simple integral. Indeed, if f were measurable with respect to two separate finite sub- σ -algebras \mathscr{B}' and \mathscr{B}'' of \mathscr{B} , then it would also be *measurable* with respect to their *common refinement* $\mathscr{B}' \vee \mathscr{B}'' := (\mathscr{B}' \cup \mathscr{B}'')$, which is also *finite* and then by Proposition 2.4, $\int_X f d\mu|_{\mathscr{B}'}$ and $\int_X f d\mu|_{\mathscr{B}''}$ are both equal to $\int_X f d\mu|_{\mathscr{B}' \vee \mathscr{B}''}$, and hence equal to each other.
- Remark As with the Lebesgue theory, we say that a property P(x) of an element $x \in X$ of a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) <u>holds μ -almost everywhere</u> if it holds outside of a sub-null set, i.e. $\mu(\{P(x) \text{ does not } \overline{hold}\}) = 0$.
- Proposition 2.5 Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f, g : X \to [0, +\infty]$ be simple unsigned functions.
 - 1. (Monotonicity) If $f \leq g$ then $simp \int_X f d\mu \leq simp \int_X g d\mu$.
 - 2. (Compatibility with measure) For every \mathscr{B} -measurable set E, we have simp $\int_X \mathbb{1}_E d\mu = \mu(E)$.
 - 3. (Homogeneity) For every $c \in [0, +\infty]$, one has $simp \int_X (cf) d\mu = c \times simp \int_X f d\mu$.

- 4. (Finite additivity) We have simp $\int_X (f+g)d\mu = simp \int_X fd\mu + simp \int_X gd\mu$.
- 5. (Insensitivity to refinement) Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let (X, \mathcal{B}', μ') be its refinement, which means that \mathcal{B}' contains \mathcal{B} and $\mu' : \mathcal{B}' \to [0, +\infty]$ agrees with $\mu : \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ on \mathcal{B} . Suppose that both $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ are finite, and let $f : \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ be measurable. We have

$$simp \int_X f d\mu = simp \int_X f d\mu'.$$

- 6. (Almost everywhere equivalence) If μ -almost everywhere f = g, then simp $\int_X f d\mu = simp \int_X g d\mu$
- 7. (Finiteness) $simp \int_X f d\mu < \infty$ if and only if f is finite μ -almost everywhere and is supported on a set of finite measure.
- 8. (Vanishing) simp $\int_X f d\mu = 0$ if and only if f = 0 μ -almost everywhere.
- Exercise 2.6 (Inclusion-exclusion principle). Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let A_1, \ldots, A_n be \mathcal{B} -measurable sets of finite measure. Show that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = \sum_{J\subseteq[1:n], J\neq\emptyset} (-1)^{|J|-1} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i\in J} A_i\right)$$

(Hint: Compute simp $\int_X (1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \mathbb{1}_{A_i})) d\mu$ in two different ways.)

• Remark The simple integral could also be defined on *finitely additive measure spaces*, rather than *countably additive ones*, and all the above properties would still apply. However, on a finitely additive measure space one would have difficulty extending the integral beyond simple functions.

2.3 Unsigned Integral

• **Definition** Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ be *(unsigned) measurable*. Then we define the <u>unsigned integral</u> $\int_X f d\mu$ of f by the formula

$$\int_X f d\mu \equiv \sup_{\substack{0 \le g \le f, \\ g \text{ simple}}} \operatorname{simp} \int_X g d\mu$$

- Proposition 2.7 (Properties of the unsigned integral). Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f, g: X \to [0, +\infty]$ be measurable.
 - 1. (Almost everywhere equivalence) If f=g μ -almost everywhere, then $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X g d\mu$
 - 2. (Monotonicity) If $f \leq g$ μ -almost everywhere, then $\int_X f d\mu \leq \int_X g d\mu$.
 - 3. (Homogeneity) We have $\int_X (cf) d\mu = c \int_X f d\mu$ for every $c \in [0, +\infty]$.
 - 4. (Superadditivity) We have $\int_X (f+g)d\mu \ge \int_X fd\mu + \int_X gd\mu$.

- 5. (Compatibility with the simple integral) If f is simple, then $\int_X f d\mu = simp \int_X f d\mu$.
- 6. (Markov's inequality) For any $0 < \lambda < 1$, one has

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X : f(x) \ge \lambda\right\}\right) \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_X f d\mu$$

In particular, if $\int_X f d\mu < \infty$, then the sets $\{x \in X : f(x) \ge \lambda\}$ have finite measure for each $\lambda > 0$.

- 7. (Finiteness) If $\int_X f d\mu < \infty$, then f(x) is finite for μ -almost every x.
- 8. (Vanishing) If $\int_X f d\mu = 0$, then f(x) is zero for μ -almost every x.
- 9. (Vertical truncation) We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \min \{f, n\} \, d\mu = \int_{X} f d\mu$$

10. (Horizontal truncation) If $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq ...$ is an increasing sequence of \mathscr{B} -measurable sets, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_X f1\!\!1_{E_n}d\mu=\int_X f1\!\!1_{\cup_{n=1}^\infty E_n}d\mu.$$

11. (Restriction) If Y is a measurable subset of X, then

$$\int_X f \mathbb{1}_Y d\mu = \int_Y f|_Y d\mu|_Y,$$

where $f|_Y: Y \to [0, +\infty]$ is the **restriction** of $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ to Y, and $\mu|_Y$ is the restriction μ on Y. We will often abbreviate $\int_Y f|_Y d\mu|_Y$ (by slight abuse of notation) as $\int_Y f d\mu$.

• Theorem 2.8 Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f, g: X \to [0, +\infty]$ be measurable. Then

$$\int_X (f+g)d\mu = \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu.$$

• Proposition 2.9 (Linearity in μ).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ be measurable.

- 1. $\int_X f d(c\mu) = c \times \int_X f d\mu$ for every $c \in [0, +\infty]$.
- 2. If μ_1, μ_2, \ldots are a sequence of measures on \mathscr{B} ,

$$\int_{X} fd\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{X} fd\mu_n.$$

• Proposition 2.10 (Pushforward Measure).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $\varphi : X \to Y$ be $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ measureable from (X, \mathcal{B}) to another measurable space (Y, \mathcal{C}) . Define the <u>pushforward</u> $\phi_*\mu : \mathcal{C} \to [0, +\infty]$ of μ by φ by the formula

$$\varphi_*\mu(E) := \mu(\phi^{-1}(E)).$$

- 1. $\varphi_*\mu$ is a **measure** on \mathscr{C} , so that $(Y,\mathscr{C},\phi_*\mu)$ is a measure space.
- 2. (Change of variables formula). If $f: Y \to [0, +\infty]$ is \mathscr{C} -measurable, then

$$\int_{Y} f d(\phi_* \mu) = \int_{X} (f \circ \phi) d\mu.$$

- Corollary 2.11 Let $T: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be an invertible linear transformation, and let m be Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d . Then $T_*m = \frac{1}{|\det T|}m$, where T_*m is **the pushforward of** m.
- Example (Sums as integrals). Let X be an arbitrary set (with the discrete σ -algebra), let # be counting measure, and let $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ be an arbitrary unsigned function. Then f is measurable with

$$\int_X f d\# = \sum_{x \in X} f(x).$$

2.4 Absolutely Convergent Integral

• Definition (Absolutely convergent integral). Let (X, \mathscr{F}, μ) be a measure space. A measurable function $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be absolutely integrable if the unsigned integral

$$||f||_{L^1(X)} \equiv \int_X |f| \, d\mu$$

is **finite**. We refer to this quantity $||f||_{L^1(X)}$ as $\underline{the}\ L^1(X)\ norm\ of\ f$, and use $L^1(X)$ or $L^1(X,\mathscr{F},\mu)$ or $L^1(\mu)$ to denote the space of absolutely integrable functions. If f is real-valued and absolutely integrable, we define $\underline{the}\ Lebesgue\ integral\ \int_X fd\mu$ by the formula

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_X f_+ d\mu - \int_X f_- d\mu$$

where $f_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ and $f_- = \max\{-f, 0\}$ are the magnitudes of the positive and negative components of f. (note that the two unsigned integrals on the right-hand side are finite, as f_+, f_- are pointwise dominated by |f|). If f is complex-valued and absolutely integrable, we define **the Lebesgue integral** $\int_X f(x) d\mu$ by the formula

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_X \Re(f) d\mu + i \int_X \Im(f) d\mu,$$

where the two integrals on the right are interpreted as real-valued absolutely integrable Lebesgue integrals.

- **Remark** Sometimes $\int_X f d\mu$ is also denoted as $\int_X f(x)\mu(dx)$ or $\int_X f(x)d\mu(x)$, where $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mu(E) = \int_E \mu dx$.
- Proposition 2.12 (Properties of absolutly convergent integral) Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space.
 - 1. $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a complex vector space.

- 2. The integration map $f \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$ is a **complex linear map** from $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ to \mathbb{C} .
- 3. The triangle inequality

$$||f+g||_{L^1(\mu)} \le ||f||_{L^1(\mu)} + ||g||_{L^1(\mu)}$$

and the homogeneity property

$$||c f||_{L^1(\mu)} = |c| ||f||_{L^1(\mu)}$$

hold for all $f, g \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

- 4. If $f, g \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ are such that f(x) = g(x) for μ -almost every $x \in X$, then $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X g d\mu$.
- 5. If $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, and (X, \mathcal{B}', μ') is a **refinement** of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , then $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}', \mu')$, and

$$\int_X f d\mu' = \int_X f d\mu.$$

(Hint: it is easy to get one inequality. To get the other inequality, first work in the case when f is both bounded and has finite measure support (i.e. is both vertically and horizontally truncated).)

- 6. If $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, then $||f||_{L^1(\mu)} = 0$ if and only if f is zero μ -almost everywhere.
- 7. If $Y \subseteq X$ is \mathscr{B} -measurable and $f \in L^1(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$, then $f|_Y \in L^1(Y, \mathscr{B}|_Y, \mu|_Y)$ and

$$\int_Y f|_Y\,d\mu|_Y = \int_X f \mathbb{1}_Y\,d\mu.$$

As before, by abuse of notation we write $\int_Y f d\mu$ for $\int_Y f|_Y d\mu|_Y$.

2.5 The Convergence Theorems

• Proposition 2.13 (Uniform Convergence on a Finite Measure Space). [Tao, 2011] Suppose that (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a finite measure space (so $\mu(X) < \infty$), and $f_n : X \to [0, +\infty]$ (resp. $f_n : X \to \mathbb{C}$) are a sequence of unsigned measurable functions (resp. absolutely integrable functions) that converge uniformly to a limit f. Then $\int_X f_n d\mu$ converges to $\int_X f d\mu$.

Proof: Since $f_n \to f$ uniformly, we have for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N$, for $n \ge N$, $\sup_{x \in X} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$, thus

$$\sup_{x \in X} (||f_n(x)| - |f(x)||) \le \sup_{x \in X} (|f_n(x) - f(x)|) < \epsilon$$

So $|f_n| \to |f|$ and f is absolutely integrable if f_n is absolutely integrable

$$\int_{X} |f| \, d\mu = \int_{X} |f - f_n + f_n| \, d\mu \le \int_{X} |f - f_n| \, d\mu + \int_{X} |f_n| \, d\mu \le \epsilon \mu(X) + \int_{X} |f_n| < \infty$$

To prove convergence, see that we can choose N so that $\sup_{x\in X} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon/\mu(X)$ since $\mu(X) < \infty$, then

$$\left| \int_X f_n d\mu - \int_X f d\mu \right| = \left| \int_X (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \le \int_X |f_n - f| \, d\mu \le \epsilon / \mu(X) \int_X d\mu = \epsilon. \quad \blacksquare$$

• Theorem 2.14 (Monotone Convergence Theorem). [Tao, 2011] Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $0 \le f_1 \le f_2 \le ...$ be a monotone non-decreasing sequence of unsigned measurable functions on X. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X \left(\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n \right) d\mu$$

Proof: Let $f \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = \sup_n f_n$, then $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ is measurable. Since the f_n are non-decreasing to f, we see from monotonicity that $\int_X f_n d\mu$ are non-decreasing and bounded above $\int_X f d\mu$, which gives the bound

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu \le \int_X f d\mu.$$

It remains to establish the reverse inequality

$$\int_{Y} f d\mu \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{Y} f_n d\mu.$$

By definition, it is equivalent to show that

$$\int_{X} g d\mu \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} f_n d\mu.$$

for any $0 \le g \le f$ simple function. By horizontal truncation we may assume without loss of generality that g is also finite everywhere. Thus by canonical representation

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \mathbb{1} \{E_i\}$$

for some $m, c_1, \ldots, c_m \in (0, \infty)$ and E_1, \ldots, E_m being \mathscr{F} -measureable. The integral

$$\int_X g d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mu \left\{ E_i \right\}.$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be arbitrary. Then we have $f(x) = \sup_n \{f_n(x)\} > (1 - \epsilon)c_i$ for all $x \in E_i$. Thus, if we define the sets

$$E_{i,n} = \{x \in E_i : f_n(x) > (1 - \epsilon)c_i\}$$

then the $E_{i,n}$ increase to E_i and are measurable. By upwards monotonicity of measure, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(E_{i,n}) = \mu(E_i), \ 1 \le i \le m.$$

On the other hand, observe the pointwise bound

$$f_n(x) \ge (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbb{1} \{E_{i,n}\}$$

hold for any n. Integrate both sides,

$$\int_{X} f_{n} d\mu \geq (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} \mu \left(E_{i,n} \right).$$

and take the limits $n \to \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu \ge (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_{i,n})$$
$$= (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mu(E_i).$$

Finally, send $\epsilon \to 0$, we have the required formula.

- Remark Note that in the special case when each f_n is an indicator function $f_n = 1$ $\{E_n\}$, this theorem collapses to the upwards monotone convergence property. Conversely, the upwards monotone convergence property will play a key role in the proof of this theorem.
- Remark Note that the result still holds if the monotonicity $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ only holds almost everywhere rather than everywhere.
- Corollary 2.15 (Tonelli's Theorem for Sums and Integrals) Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let f_1, f_2, \ldots be a sequence of unsigned measurable functions on X. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{X} f_n d\mu = \int_{X} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \right) d\mu$$

- Exercise 2.16 Give an example to show that this corollary can fail if the f_n are assumed to be absolutely integrable rather than unsigned measurable, even if the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ is absolutely convergent for each x. (Hint: think about the three escapes to infinity.)
- Lemma 2.17 (Borel-Cantelli Lemma). [Tao, 2011, Resnick, 2013] Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let E_1, E_2, \ldots be a sequence of \mathcal{B} -measurable sets such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) < \infty$. Then

$$\mu\left\{\limsup_{n\to\infty} E_n\right\} = 0.$$

That is, almost every $x \in X$ is contained in **at most finitely many** of the E_n (i.e. $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x \in E_n\}$ is finite for almost every $x \in X$).

(Hint: Apply Tonelli's theorem to the indicator functions $\mathbb{1}_{E_n}$.)

Proof: Consider the indicator function $f_n = \mathbb{1}\{x \in E_n\}$, which is unsigned \mathscr{B} -measurable

functions since E_n is \mathscr{B} -measurable. By Tonelli's theorem,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X \mathbb{1} \left\{ x \in E_n \right\} d\mu$$

$$= \int_X \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1} \left\{ x \in E_n \right\} \right) d\mu$$

$$= \int_X \left(\mathbb{1} \left\{ x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right\} \right) d\mu$$

$$= \mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right)$$

Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) < \infty$ implies that $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) < \infty$. Then by the downwards monotone convergence property

$$\mu\left(\limsup_{n\to\infty} E_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} E_n\right)$$

$$= \lim_{N\to\infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} E_n\right)$$

$$= \lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$$

$$\leq \limsup_{N\to\infty} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) = 0,$$

since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) < \infty$ implies that $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

• When one *does not have monotonicity*, one can at least obtain an important inequality, known as *Fatou's lemma*:

Corollary 2.18 (Fatou's Lemma).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f_1, f_2, \ldots : X \to [0, \infty]$ be a sequence of unsigned measurable functions. Then

$$\int_{X} \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \right) d\mu \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} f_n d\mu$$

Proof: Write $F_N \equiv \inf_{n \geq N} f_n$ for each N. Then the F_N are measurable and non-decreasing, and hence by the monotone convergence theorem

$$\int_{X} \left(\lim_{N \to \infty} F_{N} \right) d\mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{X} F_{N} d\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{X} \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} f_{n} \right) d\mu = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{X} \inf_{n \ge N} f_{n} d\mu$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \inf_{n \ge N} \int_{X} f_{n} d\mu$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_{N \to \infty} \int_{X} f_{n} d\mu$$

The second last inequality holds since

$$\int_{X} \inf_{n \ge N} f_n d\mu \le \int_{X} f_n d\mu, \quad \forall n \ge N$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{X} \inf_{n \ge N} f_n d\mu \le \inf_{n \ge N} \int_{X} f_n d\mu,$$

which completes the proof.

Remark Informally, Fatou's lemma tells us that when taking the pointwise limit of unsigned functions f_n , that mass $\int_X f_n d\mu$ can be destroyed in the limit (as was the case in the three key moving bump examples), but it cannot be created in the limit. Of course the unsigned hypothesis is necessary here.

While this lemma was stated only for pointwise limits, the same general **principle** (that mass can be destroyed, but not created, by the process of taking limits) tends to hold for other "weak" notions of convergence.

• Finally, we give the other major way to shut down loss of mass via escape to infinity, which is to dominate all of the functions involved by an absolutely convergent one. This result is known as the dominated convergence theorem:

Theorem 2.19 (Dominated Convergence Theorem).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f_1, f_2, \ldots : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence of measurable functions that converge **pointwise** μ -almost everywhere to a measurable limit $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there is an **unsigned absolutely integrable** function $G : X \to [0, +\infty]$ such that $|f_n|$ are pointwise μ -almost everywhere **bounded** by G for each n. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Proof: By modifying f_n , f on a null set, we may assume without loss of generality that the f_n converge to f pointwise everywhere rather than μ -almost everywhere, and similarly we can assume that $|f_n|$ are bounded by G pointwise everywhere rather than μ -almost everywhere.

By taking real and imaginary parts we may assume without loss of generality that f_n , f are real, thus $-G \le f_n \le G$ pointwise. Of course, this implies that $-G \le f \le G$ pointwise also.

If we apply Fatou's lemma to the unsigned functions $f_n + G$, we see that

$$\int_{X} \left(\liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n + G \right) d\mu \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \left(f_n + G \right) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{X} \left(f + G \right) d\mu \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \left(f_n + G \right) dx$$

$$\int_{X} f d\mu \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} f_n dx \quad \text{(since } \int_{X} G dx < \infty \text{)}$$

Similarly, if we apply that lemma to the unsigned functions $G - f_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &-\int_X f d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} -\int_X f_n dx \quad \text{(since } \int_X G dx < \infty) \\ &\Rightarrow \int_X f d\mu \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n dx \end{split}$$

It concludes that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_X f_n dx = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_X f_n dx = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X f_n dx = \int_X f d\mu$.

- **Remark** From the moving bump examples we see that this statement *fails* if there is no absolutely integrable dominating function G.
- **Remark** Note also that when each of the fn is an indicator function $f_n = \mathbb{1}_{E_n}$, the dominated convergence theorem collapses to dominated convergence for sets in previous chapter.
- $\bullet \ \ {\bf Proposition} \ \ {\bf 2.20} \ \ ({\bf Almost} \ \ {\bf dominated} \ \ {\bf convergence}).$

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $f_1, f_2, \ldots : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence of measurable functions that converge pointwise μ -almost everywhere to a measurable limit $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that there is an unsigned absolutely integrable functions $G, g_1, g_2, \ldots X \to [0, +\infty]$ such that the $|f_n|$ are pointwise μ -almost everywhere bounded by $G+g_n$, and that $\int_X g_n d\mu \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Exercise 2.21 (Defect version of Fatou's lemma).
 Let (X, B, μ) be a measure space, and let f₁, f₂,...: X → [0, +∞] be a sequence of unsigned absolutely integrable functions that converges pointwise to an absolutely integrable limit f. Show that

$$\int_{X} f_n d\mu - \int_{X} f d\mu - \|f - f_n\|_{L^1(\mu)} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. (Hint: Apply the dominated convergence theorem to $\min(f_n, f)$.) Informally, this result tells us that the gap between the left and right hand sides of Fatous lemma can be measured by the quantity $||f - f_n||_{L^1(\mu)}$.

• Proposition 2.22 Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space, and let $g: X \to [0, +\infty]$ be measurable. Then the function $\mu_g: \mathcal{B} \to [0, +\infty]$ defined by the formula

$$\mu_g(E) := \int_Y g \, \mathbb{1}_E d\mu = \int_E g d\mu$$

is a measure.

• The monotone convergence theorem is, in some sense, a **defining property** of the unsigned integral:

Proposition 2.23 (Characterisation of the unsigned integral).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space. $I: f \mapsto I(f)$ be a map from the space $U(X, \mathcal{B})$ of **unsigned** measurable functions $f: X \to [0, +\infty]$ to $[0, +\infty]$ that obeys the following axioms:

- 1. (Homogeneity) For every $f \in U(X, \mathcal{B})$ and $c \in [0, +\infty]$, one has I(cf) = cI(f).
- 2. (Finite additivity) For every $f, g \in U(X, \mathcal{B})$, one has I(f+g) = I(f) + I(g).
- 3. (Monotone convergence) If $0 \le f_1 \le f_2 \le ...$ are a nondecreasing sequence of unsigned measurable functions, then $I(\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} I(f_n)$.

Then there exists a **unique measure** μ on (X, \mathcal{B}) such that

$$I(f) = \int_X f d\mu$$
, for all $f \in U(X, \mathcal{B})$.

Furthermore, μ is given by the formula $\mu(E) := I(\mathbb{1}_E)$ for all \mathscr{B} -measurable sets E.

References

Gerald B Folland. Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

Sidney I Resnick. A probability path. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

Terence Tao. An introduction to measure theory, volume 126. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.