

Lecture 2: Introduction to Markov Chain

Tianpei Xie

Sep. 28th., 2022

Contents

1	Markov Chain	2
1.1	Basic Concepts	2
1.2	Hitting time	3
2	Classification of States	4
2.1	Equivalence class by communication	4
2.2	Foster's theorem and Poke's lemma	6
3	Limiting and stationary distribution	7
3.1	Property of limiting distributions	7
3.2	Ergodicity	9
3.3	Mean hitting time formula	9
4	Time-reversible Markov Chain	11
5	Ergodic Theorem and Central Limit Theorem	12

1 Markov Chain

1.1 Basic Concepts

- **Markov Chain** $(X_t)_t$ is a **probabilistic graphical model** over a chain graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}_C)$, where each random variable X_t only has exactly one children X_{t+1} and one parent X_{t-1} . Denote the index of variable t as the time. Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ is also a **stochastic process**.

- By Markov property,

$$P(X_{t+1}|X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots, X_1) = P(X_{t+1}|X_t).$$

It is seen that the transition probability does not depend on the time t , i.e. Markov chain is **time-invariant**.

- We can see that the joint distribution on $\mathbf{X}_{0:t} = [X_0, \dots, X_t]$ can be factorized by transition probabilities

$$P(\mathbf{X}_{1:t}) = P(X_0) \prod_{s=1}^t P(X_s|X_{s-1})$$

by Markov property. Denote $\pi_0(i) := P(X_0 = i)$ as the **initial probability**.

- Define the **transition kernel** of Markov Chain as the **time-invariant transition probability**

$$K(x, y) = p(x, y) := P(X_{t+1} = y | X_t = x). \quad (1)$$

Then the **m -step transition probability** is defined as

$$K^m(x, y) = P(X_{t+m} = y | X_t = x). \quad (2)$$

- In *general setting* [Robert and Casella, 1999], $K : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function so that $K(x, \cdot)$ is a **probability measure** for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K(\cdot, A)$ is a **measurable function** for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. K can also be considered as a **functional** such that

$$K(h(x)) = \int h(y) K(x, dy), \quad h \in L_1(\lambda).$$

where λ is the dominated measure.

- For $X_t \in \mathcal{X} := \{1, \dots, n\}$ as discrete random variable with $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, we can define the **transition matrix**

$$\mathbf{K} = [K(i, j)]_{n \times n} \quad (3)$$

- We can see that $\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)} := [X_{t+1}, \dots, X_{t+m-1}]$, the m -step transition can be computed using transition kernel

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{t+m}|X_t) &= \sum_{\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)}} P(X_{t+m}|\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)}, X_t) P(\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)}} P(X_{t+m}|X_{t+m-1}) P(\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{X}_{(t+1):(t+m-1)}} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} P(X_{t+i+1}|X_{t+i}) \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow [K^m(i, j)] = [K(l, m)]^m = \mathbf{K}^m \quad (5)$$

- We have the **Chapman-Kolmogorov equation** [Ross, 2014]:

$$\begin{aligned} K^{m+n}(x, y) &= \sum_z K^m(x, z) K^n(z, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{m+n} &= \mathbf{K}^m \mathbf{K}^n \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

That is, we split the $(m+n)$ -step path from $x \rightarrow y$ into all possible combination of a m -step path from $x \rightarrow z$ and a n -step path from $z \rightarrow y$ for some intermediate state z .

- And the marginal distribution on state X_t can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_t) &= \sum_{X_{t-1} \in \mathcal{X}} P(X_t|X_{t-1})P(X_{t-1}) \\ \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\pi}_t &= \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{t-1} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\pi}_t := [P(X_t = i)]$

1.2 Hitting time

- **Definition** Define $T_j = \min \{t \geq 1 : X_t = j\}$ as the time steps for Markov Chain $(X_t)_t$ to *hit* state j for the **first time**. T_j is called the state j 's **first hitting time**.

Denote $f_{i,j}$ be the **probability of ever hitting state j (within finite time) starting from state i** . That is

$$f_{i,j} := P(T_j < \infty | X_0 = i) \quad (8)$$

Denote $f_{i,j}^{(m)}$ be the **probability of hitting at state j at time m starting from state i**

$$f_{i,j}^{(m)} := P(T_j = m | X_0 = i) \quad (9)$$

We can generalize the hitting time for a set of states $T_A := \min \{t \geq 1 : X_t \in A\}$.

- We can the following the **kernel recursion formula**

$$\begin{aligned} K^m(x, y) &= \sum_{n=1}^m P(T_y = n | X_0 = x) K^{m-n}(y, y) \\ &:= \sum_{n=1}^m f_{x,y}^{(n)} K^{m-n}(y, y). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

That is, we *categorize* all possible m -step path from $x \rightarrow y$ according to the first time the path visiting y . (This is called the **First-Step analysis**)

- Similarly, we have the **hitting time recursion formula**:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x,y}^{(m)} &:= P(T_y = m | X_0 = x) = \sum_{z \neq i} P(T_y = m - 1 | X_0 = z) K(x, z). \\ &:= \sum_{z \neq i} f_{z,y}^{(m-1)} K(x, z). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This formula break down the m -step path from $x \rightarrow y$ into two parts: a path from $x \rightarrow z$ and a $(m - 1)$ -step path from intermediate state $z \rightarrow y$ (This is also the *First-Step analysis*).

- Define $N(y) := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\}$ is the **total number of times hitting the state y** .

$$P(N(y) \geq 1 | X_0 = x) = P(T_y < \infty | X_0 = x) = f_{x,y} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(y) \geq m | X_0 = x) &= P(N(y) \geq 1 | X_0 = x) P^{m-1}(N(y) \geq 1 | X_0 = y) \\ &= f_{x,y} f_{y,y}^{m-1} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Note that in order to visit y at least m times, we need to visit y first time and stating from y recurrently visit y $(m - 1)$ times.

The random variable $N(x) | X_0 = x$ follows a **geometric distribution** with mean $1/(1 - f_{x,x})$.

$$P(N(x) = m | X_0 = x) = (1 - f_{x,x}) f_{x,x}^{m-1} \quad (14)$$

- Define $G(x, y) := \mathbb{E}[N(y) | X_0 = x]$ as the **expected number of total visits** of y starting from x .

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &:= \mathbb{E}[N(y) | X_0 = x] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\} | X_0 = x\right] \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\} | X_0 = x] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} K^t(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\} | X_0 = x] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\} | X_0 = x]$ is true since $Z_t := \mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\}$ is non-negative random variable.

Since $N(y)$ is geometric distributed, we can compute $G(x, y)$ via

$$G(x, y) = \frac{f_{x,y}}{1 - f_{y,y}} \quad (16)$$

- Define $G(x, x) = \mathbb{E}[N(x) | X_0 = x]$ as the **expected number of total returns** starting from state x .

2 Classification of States

2.1 Equivalence class by communication

- **Definition** For any pair $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ so that $K^n(x, y) > 0$, then the state y is **accessible** from state x . This is equivalent to say that the probability of hitting time of y being finite starting from x is above zero, i.e. $f_{x,y} > 0$.

- If x is accessible from y , and y is accessible from x , then we say that x and y coummunicate, $x \leftrightarrow y$. It is easy to check that this is an equivalence relation:

1. $x \leftrightarrow x$;
2. If $x \leftrightarrow y$, then $y \leftrightarrow x$;
3. If $x \leftrightarrow z$ and $z \leftrightarrow y$, then $x \leftrightarrow y$

- Thus we can partition the state space \mathcal{X} into several **equivalence classes** $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_k \mathcal{X}^k$ and within each class, all states communicate to each other.

Equivalently, it means that the kernel \mathbf{K} can be *rearranged* into a **block-diagonal matrix**.

- **Definition** A Markov Chain is irreducible if it has only one equivalence class, i.e. all states in \mathcal{X} communicate to each other.
- Based on hitting time, we can categorize states into two groups:

- **Definition** A state i is recurrent if and only if $f_{i,i} = P(T_i < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1$, i.e. the Markov Chain will definitely revisit the state i after stating from i .

Note that it follows from (15) that

Proposition 2.1 (*Characterization of recurrence via n -step return probabilities*)
A state i is recurrent if and only if $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} K^t(i, i) = \infty$.

- **Definition** A recurrent state i is **positive recurrent** if the *expected returning time* $\mathbb{E}[T_i | X_0 = i] < \infty$; otherwise we say it is **null recurrent**.
- **Definition** A state i is called **transient** if $f_{i,i} < 1$.

- **Proposition 2.2** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. state i is recurrent state;
2. The ever returning probability $f_{i,i} = 1$;
3. The probability of total number of visiting is $P(N(i) = \infty | X_0 = j) = f_{j,i}$ and $P(N(i) = \infty | X_0 = i) = 1$;
4. The expected total number of returning is infinite $G(i, i) = \infty$;
5. The sum of all n -step return probabilities $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} K^t(i, i) = \infty$.

- **Proposition 2.3** *If i is recurrent, and $i \rightarrow j$, then also $j \rightarrow i$.*

- **Proposition 2.4** *If i is positive recurrent, and $i \leftrightarrow j$, then j is also positive recurrent.*

- **Proposition 2.5** *If i is recurrent, and $i \rightarrow j$, then j is also recurrent. Therefore, in any equivalent class, either all states are recurrent or all are transient. In particular, if the chain is irreducible, then either all states are recurrent or all are transient.*

Based above proposition, we can classify **each class**, and **an irreducible Markov Chain** as recurrent or transient.

- **Proposition 2.6** *If a closed subset $S_0 \subset \mathcal{X}$ only has finitely many states, then there must be at least one recurrent state. In particular any finite Markov chain must contain at least one*

positive recurrent state.

Proposition 2.7 *An irreducible finite state Markov chain must be positive recurrent.*

- **Proposition 2.8** *Any recurrent class is a **closed** subset of states.*
- Let S_T be a set of **transient** states and C be a closed set of **irreducible, recurrent** state, the **absorption probability** is defined as

$$p_C(x) = P(T_C < \infty | X_0 = x), \quad \forall x \in S_T. \quad (17)$$

It is the probability of hitting recurrent state set starting from a transient state.

- **Theorem 2.9** *Suppose S_T is a set of **transient** states and C is a closed irreducible set of **recurrent** state, then the following system of equations has **unique** solution,*

$$f(x) = \sum_{y \in C} K(x, y) + \sum_{y \in S_T} K(x, y) f(y), \quad \forall x \in S_T \quad (18)$$

and the unique solution is the absorption probability $f(x) = p_C(x)$.

- The recurrence definition ("with infinite number of visits") can be generalized as the **Harris recurrence** in general theory [Robert and Casella, 1999].

Definition A set A is **Harris recurrent** if $P(N_A = \infty | X_0 = x) = 1$ for all $x \in A$, where $N_A := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}\{X_t \in A\}$. The chain $(X_t)_t$ is **Harris recurrent** if there exists a measure p such that $(X_t)_t$ is p -irreducible and for every set A with $p(A) > 0$, A is **Harris recurrent**.

2.2 Foster's theorem and Poke's lemma

- **Theorem 2.10 (Foster's theorem)**
Consider an irreducible Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ with state space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and transition matrix \mathbf{K} and suppose there exists a function $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(x) > -\infty \\ (2) \quad & \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} K(x, y) h(y) < \infty \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S} \\ (3) \quad & \sum_{y \in \mathcal{X}} K(x, y) h(y) < h(x) - \epsilon \quad \forall x \notin \mathcal{S} \end{aligned}$$

for some finite set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and some $\epsilon > 0$, then the Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ is **positive recurrent**.

- **Lemma 2.11 (Poke's lemma)**
Consider an irreducible Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ with state space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and transition matrix \mathbf{K} . Assume that for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} | X_t = x] < \infty$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{j \geq i} \mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} - X_t | X_t = j] < 0$. Then the Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ is **positive recurrent**.

3 Limiting and stationary distribution

3.1 Property of limiting distributions

- **Definition** The probability of states $\{\pi(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is a **stationary distribution** if and only if

$$\pi(y) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} K(x, y) \pi(x), \forall y \in \mathcal{X} \quad (19)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^T = \boldsymbol{\pi}^T \mathbf{K} \quad (20)$$

That is, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is the eigenvector of stochastic matrix \mathbf{K} corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 1$.

- A stationary distribution is also called an **invariant distribution** [Robert and Casella, 1999, Liu, 2001], **steady-state distribution** [Ross, 2014] or **equilibrium distribution** [Brooks et al., 2011, Ross, 2014]. This is due to its *time invariant property* or *the global balance equation* (23).
- Let the initial distribution be the stationary distribution $P(X_0 = x) = \pi(x)$. Note that

$$\pi_1(y) = P(X_1 = y) = \sum_x K(x, y) \pi(x) = \pi(y), \forall y \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (21)$$

In other word, **the stationary distribution does not change over time**.

In measure theory, the invariant measure π satisfies:

$$\pi(B) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} K(x, B) \pi(dx), \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}).$$

- **Proposition 3.1** Suppose that the **limiting distribution** $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X_t = y)$ exists, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K^t(x, y) = \pi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$$

which is independent of where it starts from, then the Markov Chain has a **unique stationary distribution** and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X_t = y) = \pi(y), \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{X} \quad (22)$$

i.e. the limit distribution is stationary distribution.

Note that $P(X_t = y) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} K^t(x, y) \pi_0(x)$.

- **Proposition 3.2 (Global Balance Equation)**
The stationary distribution $\{\pi(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ satisfies the following **global balance equation**:

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(i) K(i, j) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(j) K(j, i). \quad (23)$$

This means the total flow out of i (LHS) is equal to the total flow into i (RHS) in steady state.

- **Proposition 3.3** (*Detailed Balance Equation*)

For distribution $\{\pi(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}$, if the following **detailed balance equation** is satisfied

$$\pi(i)K(i, j) = \pi(j)K(j, i), \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{X} \quad (24)$$

then $\{\pi(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is a stationary distribution.

- **Definition** Define $\mu_i := \mathbb{E}[T_i | X_0 = i]$ as the **expected first return time**, i.e. the number of transition that it takes for Markov chain when starting from state i and returning to that state.
- Let $G^{(n)}(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[N^{(n)}(y) | X_0 = x]$ where $N^{(n)}(y) = \sum_{t=0}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\}$. $N^{(n)}(y)$ is the total amount of time staying at state y within n transitions. Then

– **Theorem 3.4** For **transient state** y

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N^{(n)} &< \infty, \quad (w.p.1) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^{(n)}}{n} &= 0, \quad (w.p.1) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G^{(n)}(x, y) &= \frac{f_{x,y}}{1 - f_{y,y}} < \infty \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G^{(n)}(x, y)}{n} &= 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

That is, the frequency of visiting transient state y goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

– **Theorem 3.5** For **recurrent state** y

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^{(n)}}{n} &= \frac{\mathbb{1}\{T_y < \infty\}}{\mu_y}, \quad (w.p.1) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G^{(n)}(x, y)}{n} &= \frac{f_{x,y}}{\mu_y}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_y := \mathbb{E}[T_y | X_0 = y]$ is the **expected first return time** of state y . That is, the frequency of visiting **positive recurrent** state y converge to $\frac{1}{\mu_y}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; otherwise for **null recurrent state** y , it converges to zero.

- **Theorem 3.6** (*Stationary distribution for transient and null recurrent states*)

Let $\{\pi(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ be stationary distribution. If $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is **transient** or **null recurrent** state, then

$$\pi(x) = 0.$$

- **Theorem 3.7** (*Kac's Theorem*)[Ross, 2014]

An **irreducible recurrent** Markov Chain has a **unique stationary distribution** $\{\pi(x)\}$, given

$$\pi(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_x}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \quad (25)$$

where $\mu_x := \mathbb{E}[T_x | X_0 = x]$ is the **expected first return time** of state x .

It implies that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any state $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the fraction of time that Markov Chain stays at x is unchanged and is the reciprocal of the expected first return time.

3.2 Ergodicity

- Under what condition we have $\forall y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X_t = y) = \pi(y)? \quad (26)$$

This is the question that ergodicity property tries to answer.

- **Definition** The **periodicity** of a state $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is defined as

$$d(x) = \text{g.c.d.} \{t \geq 0 : K^t(x, x) > 0\} \quad (27)$$

where g.c.d. is the **greatest common divisor**.

- **Theorem 3.8** If $x \leftrightarrow y$ (i.e. $f_{x,y} > 0$ and $f_{y,x} > 0$), then $d(x) = d(y)$.

- **Definition** If $d(x) \geq 2$, then state x is **periodic**. If $d(x) = 1$, then state x is **aperiodic**

Based on above theorem, the periodicity property is *closed* under the equivalence class C .

- **Definition** A Markov Chain is **irreducible, positive recurrent and aperiodic**, then it is called **ergodic**.
- **Theorem 3.9** A Markov Chain is **irreducible and positive recurrent** having stationary distribution π .

– If the Markov Chain is also **aperiodic**, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K^t(x, y) = \pi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \quad (28)$$

– If the Markov chain is **periodic** with period d , then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $0 \leq r \leq d - 1$ such that

$$K^t(x, y) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \quad (29)$$

unless $t = md + r$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} K^{md+r}(x, y) = d\pi(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{X} \quad (30)$$

Note that periodicity only appears on discrete time Markov chain.

Based on the Theorem 3.9 and Proposition 3.1, when a Markov chain is ergodic, its marginal state distribution will converge to the stationary distribution.

3.3 Mean hitting time formula

- **Definition** Let $(X_t)_t$ be a stochastic process and let $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ be an increasing family of σ -field.

A random variable $T : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, 2^{\mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}})$ is called a **stopping time** with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$, if $\forall k \geq 0$, $\mathbb{1}_{\{T = k\}}$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable (i.e. $\{T = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_t$.)

For Markov chain $(X_t)_t$, the **first hitting time** is defined as $T_A^{(1)} = \min \{t > 0 : X_t \in A\}$. Also we can define n -th hitting time as $T_A^{(n)} = \min \{t > T_A^{(n-1)} : X_t \in A\}$. All of these $\{T_A^{(1)}, \dots, T_A^{(n)}, \dots\}$ are all **stopping time**.

- **Theorem 3.10 (Strong Markov property)** [Robert and Casella, 1999]
For every initial distribution π and every stopping time τ which is almost surely finite,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X_{\tau+1}, X_{\tau+2}, \dots) | x_1, \dots, x_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, X_2, \dots)], \quad (31)$$

provided the expectations exist.

We can thus condition on a random number of instants while keeping the fundamental properties of a Markov chain. We can proof that for the intervals $\tau_1 = T_x^{(1)}$, $\tau_i := \tau_x^{(i)} - \tau_x^{(i-1)}$, $i = 2, \dots$, then $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n, \dots\}$ are i.i.d.

- **Theorem 3.11** Let $(X_t)_t$ be a **positive recurrent** Markov chain with state space \mathcal{X} and stationary distribution π . Let T be any **stopping time** of $(X_t)_t$ such that for arbitrary $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $X_T = x$. Then for all $y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_T \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} K^t(x, y) | x \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = y\} | X_0 = x \right] = \pi(y) \mathbb{E}[T | X_0 = x]. \quad (32)$$

- **Theorem 3.12** Let $i \neq j$ and T be the first time returning i after visiting j , $T = \min\{t > \tau_j, X_t = i | X_0 = i\}$, $\tau_j = \min\{t > 0 : X_t = j\}$ and $\tau_i = \min\{t > 0 : X_t = i\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \mathbb{E}[T | X_0 = i] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_i | X_0 = i] + \mathbb{E}[\tau_j | X_0 = i] \\ (2) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = j\} | X_0 = i \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=\tau_j}^{T-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = j\} | X_0 = i \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_i-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = j\} | X_0 = j \right] \\ (3) \quad & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_i-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = j\} | X_0 = j \right] = \pi(j) (\mathbb{E}[\tau_j | X_0 = i] + \mathbb{E}[\tau_i | X_0 = j]) \end{aligned}$$

The "number of visits to j before first returning to i " is geometric distributed with mean $p := P(\tau_j > \tau_i | X_0 = i)$, thus (3) can be computed as

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_i-1} \mathbb{1}\{X_t = j\} | X_0 = j \right] = \frac{1}{P(\tau_j > \tau_i | X_0 = i)}.$$

- **Definition** For finite state, ergodic Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ with stationary distribution π , define the **fundamental matrix** as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z} &:= (\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{1}\pi^T))^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{I} + \sum_{t \geq 0} (\mathbf{K}^t - \mathbf{1}\pi^T) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and its (i, j) element is

$$Z_{i,j} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (K^t(i, j) - \pi_j) \quad (34)$$

Note that $\mathbf{Z} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q})^{-1} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{Q}^t$, where $\mathbf{Q} := (\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{1}\pi^T)$.

- **Theorem 3.13** (*Mean hitting time formula*)

For finite state, ergodic Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ with stationary distribution π , and $Z_{i,j}$ is defined as (34), then

$$Z_{i,i} = \pi(i) \mathbb{E} [\tau_i | X_0 \sim \pi], \quad i \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (35)$$

$$Z_{j,j} - Z_{i,j} = \pi(j) \mathbb{E} [\tau_j | X_0 = i], \quad i, j \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (36)$$

where $\tau_i := \min \{t \geq 0 : X_t = i\}$ is the stopping time/first hitting time. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\tau_i | X_0 \sim \pi] &= \frac{Z_{i,i}}{\pi(i)} \\ \mathbb{E} [\tau_j | X_0 = i] &= \frac{(Z_{j,j} - Z_{i,j})}{\pi(j)} \end{aligned}$$

4 Time-reversible Markov Chain

- **Definition** A Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ is called ***time-reversible***, if it has stationary distribution π and the detailed balance equation is satisfied:

$$\pi(i)K(i, j) = \pi(j)K(j, i), \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (37)$$

- From this definition, we can see that reversibility implies that the stationary distribution exists, but not *vice versa*.
- The reversed process $(Y_k)_k := (X_{t-k})_k$ is a Markov chain and its transition probability

$$Q(i, j) = \frac{\pi(j)K(j, i)}{\pi(i)} \quad (38)$$

Note that $(Y_k)_k$ and $(X_t)_t$ are statistically equivalent since $Q(i, j) = K(i, j)$.

- **Theorem 4.1** An ergodic Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ for which $K(i, j) = 0$ whenever $K(j, i) = 0$ is ***time-reversible*** if and only if starting from any state i , any path back to i has the ***same probability*** as its reverse path. That is, for path $i \rightarrow i_1 \rightarrow i_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_k \rightarrow i$ and its reverse path $i \leftarrow i_1 \leftarrow i_2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow i_k \leftarrow i$

$$K(i, i_1) K(i_1, i_2) \dots K(i_k, i) = K(i, i_k) \dots K(i_2, i_1) K(i_1, i), \quad \forall i, i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathcal{X} \quad (39)$$

- **Theorem 4.2** (*Reversal Test*)

Let \mathbf{K} be a stochastic matrix indexed by a countable set \mathcal{X} and let π be a probability distribution on \mathcal{X} . Let \mathbf{Q} be a stochastic matrix indexed by \mathcal{X} such that

$$\pi(i)Q(i, j) = \pi(j)K(j, i), \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (40)$$

Then π is a stationary distribution of \mathbf{K}

- **Proposition 4.3** For finite state, ergodic Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ with stationary distribution π , and $Z_{i,j}$ is defined as (34), then $(X_t)_t$ is time-reversible if and only if

$$\pi(i)Z_{i,j} = \pi(j)Z_{j,i}, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (41)$$

Note that $\pi(i)\mathbb{E} [\tau_j | X_0 = i] \neq \pi(j)\mathbb{E} [\tau_i | X_0 = j]$.

- **Theorem 4.4 (Cycle-tour property)**

For states $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_m) \subset \mathcal{X}$ of a time-reversible Markov chain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [\tau_{i_1} | X_0 = i_0] + \mathbb{E} [\tau_{i_2} | X_0 = i_1] + \dots + \mathbb{E} [\tau_{i_0} | X_0 = i_m] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\tau_{i_m} | X_0 = i_0] + \mathbb{E} [\tau_{i_{m-1}} | X_0 = i_m] + \dots + \mathbb{E} [\tau_{i_0} | X_0 = i_1] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

5 Ergodic Theorem and Central Limit Theorem

- Consider the empirical mean of samples generated by Markov Chain

$$S_T(h) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T h(X_t). \quad (43)$$

We are considering the limit behavior of (43).

- **Theorem 5.1 (Ergodic Theorem)** [Robert and Casella, 1999]

If $(X_t)_t$ is Harris recurrent with a σ -finite invariant measure π , then for any $f, g \in L_1(\pi)$ with $\mathbb{E}_\pi[g] \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_T(f)}{S_T(g)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_\pi[f]}{\mathbb{E}_\pi[g]} = \frac{\int f(x) d\pi(x)}{\int g(x) d\pi(x)} \quad (44)$$

It can be shown that if $(X_t)_t$ is **Harris positive** with **stationary distribution** π and if $S_T(h)$ converges μ_0 -almost surely (μ_0 a.s.) to $\mathbb{E}_\pi[h]$, for an initial distribution μ_0 , this convergence occurs for **every initial distribution** μ .

Corollary 5.2 [Liu, 2001]

If a **finite state-space** Markov chain $(X_t)_t$ is irreducible and aperiodic with stationary distribution π , then $S_T(h)$ converges to $\mathbb{E}_\pi[h]$ **almost surely** for any initial distribution μ .

- **Theorem 5.3 (Central Limit Theorem for discrete atoms)**

If $(X_t)_t$ is Harris positive recurrent with an atom α such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [T_\alpha^2 | X_0 \in \alpha] < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T_\alpha} |h(X_t)| \right)^2 \mid X_0 \in \alpha \right] < \infty, \\ & \text{and the variance } \sigma_h^2 := \pi(\alpha) \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T_\alpha} \{h(X_t) - \mathbb{E}_\pi[h(X)]\} \right)^2 \mid X_0 \in \alpha \right] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

then the **Central Limit Theorem** applies:

$$\sqrt{T} (S_T(h) - \mathbb{E}_\pi[h]) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_h^2) \quad (45)$$

Corollary 5.4 [Liu, 2001]

For **finite state-space**, irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain $(X_t)_t$, the Central Limit Theorem holds, i.e. $\sqrt{T} (S_T(h) - \mathbb{E}_\pi[h]) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_h^2)$ for any initial distribution μ .

- **Theorem 5.5 (Central Limit Theorem for reversible chains)**

If $(X_t)_t$ is aperiodic, irreducible, and reversible with stationary distribution π , the **Central Limit Theorem** applies when

$$0 < \sigma_h^2 = \mathbb{E}_\pi [h^2(X_t)] + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_\pi [h(X_t) h(X_{t+s})] < \infty. \quad (46)$$

References

- Steve Brooks, Andrew Gelman, Galin Jones, and Xiao-Li Meng. *Handbook of markov chain monte carlo*. CRC press, 2011.
- Jun S Liu. *Monte Carlo strategies in scientific computing*, volume 10. Springer, 2001.
- Christian P Robert and George Casella. *Monte Carlo statistical methods*, volume 2. Springer, 1999.
- Sheldon M Ross. *Introduction to probability models*. Academic press, 2014.