

# Self-study: Information Geometry Basis

Tianpei Xie

Nov. 6th., 2022

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Geometry of <math>\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})</math></b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Definitions . . . . .	2
1.2	$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ as Embedded Submanifold . . . . .	3
1.3	Fisher Information Metrics . . . . .	4
1.4	$\alpha$ -Connections . . . . .	4
1.5	Dual Connections . . . . .	6
1.6	Embedding Associated with $\alpha$ -Connections . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Differential Geometry vs. Information Geometry</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Geometry of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$

## 1.1 Definitions

- Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  be the set of **probability density functions** on  $\mathcal{X}$  with respect to base measure  $\mu$

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) := \left\{ p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) d\mu(x) = 1, p(x) > 0 (\forall x \in \mathcal{X}). \right\}$$

In general,  $p = \frac{dP}{d\mu}$  is ***the Radon-Nikodym derivative*** where  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a measurable set  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$  with  $\mathcal{B}$  being ***the Borel field*** consisting of  $\mathcal{X}$  and its subsets.  $P$  is ***the probability measure*** that is ***absolutely continuous*** with respect to  $\mu$ . We also assume that ***the support of  $p$  covers  $\mathcal{X}$***  so that  $p(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

- Define  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  as a family of probability densities on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Suppose for each probability function can be parameterized as  $p_{\xi} = p(x; \xi) \in S$ , where  $\xi = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \in \Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus

$$S := \{p_{\xi} = p(x; \xi) : \xi \in \Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

and  $\xi \mapsto p_{\xi}$  is injective. We call  $S$  as an ***n-dimensional statistical model***, a ***parametric model***, simply a ***model*** on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

- Define the space of all ***real-valued measurable functions*** on  $\mathcal{X}$  as  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} := \{f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ .  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  is an ***infinite-dimensional vector space*** under function addition and scalar multiplication. We see that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ , is an ***affine subspace*** of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ . Moreover, since  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  is a metric space, with metric topology, we assume that  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  has ***subspace topology***.
- Assume that the statistical model  $S = \{p(x; \xi) : \xi \in \Xi\}$  is ***a topological manifold*** equipped with ***smooth structure***  $\{(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha})\}$  where each smooth chart  $(U, \varphi)$  is defined and  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \hat{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by  $\varphi(p_{\xi}) = \xi := (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$ . For any  $(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha})$  and  $(U_{\beta}, \varphi_{\beta})$  such that  $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\varphi_{\beta} \circ \varphi_{\alpha}^{-1}$  being a diffeomorphism. That is,  ***$S$  is a n-dimensional smooth manifold***. We may call  ***$S$  a statistical manifold***.
- Define  $\ell : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  as  $\ell(p) = \log(p)$ .  $\ell$  is the ***log-likelihood function***. Under the subspace topology in  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\ell$  is ***continous*** mapping, and is ***injective***. It is a ***homemorphism*** onto its image  $\ell : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \ell(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  with its inverse being  $(\ell)^{-1}(f) = \exp(f)$  for  $f \in \ell(\mathcal{P})$ . The ***restriction*** of  $\ell$  on statistical manifold  $S$  is a ***smooth injection*** since the ***differential*** of  $\ell$  at  $p$  as  $d\ell_p = p^{-1}dp = p_{\xi}^{-1}(\partial_i p_{\xi})d\xi^i \neq 0$  for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ . Moreover,  $d\ell_p$  is also ***injective***, thus  $\ell$  is ***an injective immersion***. Since  $\ell$  is also a homemorphism onto its image, the log-likelihood  $\ell$  is ***a smooth embedding***.

- The ***Fisher Information matrix*** for  $p_{\xi} \in S$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i,j}(\xi) &= \mathbb{E}_p \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell_{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell_{\xi} \right] := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \log p(x; \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \log p(x; \xi) d\mu \\ &= -\mathbb{E}_p \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^i \partial \xi^j} \ell_{\xi} \right], \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \\ G(\xi) &= [g_{i,j}(\xi)] \succeq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

since  $\partial_i \int_{\mathcal{X}} p_{\xi} d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \partial_i p_{\xi} d\mu = 0$ , thus  $\mathbb{E}_p [\partial_i \ell_{\xi}] = \int \partial_i \ell_{\xi} = \int p_{\xi}^{-1} \partial_i p_{\xi} = 0$ .

Let us *assume* that *the Fisher Information matrix is positive definite* for all  $\xi \in \Xi$ . This is *equivalent* to say that the  $n$ -tuple

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \ell_\xi, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^n} \ell_\xi \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X} \text{ are } \textit{linearly independent}.$$

## 1.2 $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ as Embedded Submanifold

- As discussed above,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X}$  is a subspace in  $\mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X}$ . In fact, it is *an open subset of the affine subspace*  $\mathcal{A}_0 := \{A : \int_{\mathcal{X}} A(x) d\mu = 1\}$ .
- Given  $|\mathcal{X}| < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is *an embedded submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X}$*  under two different embeddings:

1. The *natural inclusion map*  $\iota : \mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X}$  is an *embedding*. If we assume that the probability density function is smooth, then  $\iota$  is a *smooth embedding* as well. We call it *the mixture embedding*.

The *tangent space*  $T_p^{(m)}\mathcal{P}$  under this embedding is the subspace of  $T_p\mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X} \simeq \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X}$ . In particular,

$$T_p^{(m)}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X} : \int_{\mathcal{X}} A(x) d\mu = 0 \right\}$$

Denote the tangent vector under this embedding as  $X^{(m)} = d\iota_p(X)$ . That is,  $X^{(m)}$  is a representation of the tangent vector  $X \in T_p\mathcal{P}$  when considered as an element of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . It is called *the mixture representation* of the tangent vector  $X \in T_p\mathcal{P}$  [Amari and Nagaoka, 2007]. Thus the tangent space under the mixture embedding is

$$T_p^{(m)}\mathcal{P} := \{X^{(m)} : X \in T_p\mathcal{P}\} = \mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X} : \int_{\mathcal{X}} A(x) d\mu = 0 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Note that *the basis tangent vector* under this embedding is still

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_p \right)^{(m)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_{\iota(p)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_p. \quad (3)$$

2. The *log-likelihood function*  $\ell : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \ell(\mathcal{P})\mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X}$  is also a *smooth embedding* as shown above. It is called *the exponential embedding*. Note that  $\ell(\mathcal{P}) = \{\log(p) : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ . A tangent vector  $X \in T_p\mathcal{P}$  under this embedding is then represented by the result of mapping  $p \mapsto \log(p)$ , which is denoted as  $X^{(e)}$  and call *the exponential representation* [Amari and Nagaoka, 2007]. Note that

$$X^{(e)} = d\ell_p(X) = X\ell = p(x; \xi)^{-1} X^{(m)}(x).$$

Thus *the basis tangent vector* under the exponential embedding

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_p \right)^{(e)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_{\ell(p)} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_p. \quad (4)$$

Denote the *tangent space* under this embedding as  $T_p^{(e)}\mathcal{P}$ . We can verify that

$$T_p^{(e)}\mathcal{P} = \{X^{(e)} : X \in T_p\mathcal{P}\} = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{R}^\mathcal{X} : \int_{\mathcal{X}} A(x) p(x) d\mu = \mathbb{E}_p[A] = 0 \right\}. \quad (5)$$

- **Remark**  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is  $|\mathcal{X}|$ -dimensional submanifold if the domain  $\mathcal{X}$  is finite. Otherwise,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is *not seen as a manifold itself*. However, the above discussion is still valid if we restrict our attention to the  $n$ -dimensional **statistical manifold**  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ . We just need to replace  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $S$  above. Without noticing, we will focus on  $S$  instead of  $\mathcal{P}$  for our discussion.

### 1.3 Fisher Information Metrics

- **Remark** For probability models, the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  denotes *the set of all random variables* on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, it has a natural definition of **inner product** as

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) g(x) d\mu(x).$$

*The inner product* induced by the embedding map  $\iota$  in  $T_p^{(m)}S$  is formulated as

$$\langle d\iota_p(X), d\iota_p(Y) \rangle := \langle X^{(m)}, Y^{(m)} \rangle := \int_{\mathcal{X}} X^{(m)}(s) Y^{(m)}(s) d\mu(s) \quad (6)$$

Similarly, *the inner product* induced by the embedding map  $\ell$  in  $T_p^{(e)}S$  becomes

$$\langle d\ell_p(X), d\ell_p(Y) \rangle := \langle X^{(e)}, Y^{(e)} \rangle_p := \mathbb{E}_p [X^{(e)} Y^{(e)}] = \int [X^{(e)}(s) Y^{(e)}(s)] p(s) d\mu(s) \quad (7)$$

where the additional  $p(s)$  comes from *the Jacobian for the inverse of the log-likelihood*.

- By definition, *the Riemannian metric* on  $S$  under *the exponential representation* is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}_{i,j} &:= \left\langle \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \Big|_p \right)^{(e)}, \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \Big|_p \right)^{(e)} \right\rangle_p \\ &= \mathbb{E}_p \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell(p) \right] := \text{Fisher information } g_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

$g_{i,j}$  is called *the Fisher metric or the Information metric* [Amari and Nagaoka, 2007]. It is seen that *the Fisher metric is a Riemannian metric on  $S$* .

Thus,  $S$  is a  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian submanifold.

### 1.4 $\alpha$ -Connections

- [Amari and Nagaoka, 2007] proposed *the  $\alpha$ -connections*  $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$  as *a family of affine connections* on the tangent bundle  $TS$ , for  $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ . The *coefficient of the  $\alpha$ -connection* under *the Fisher metric* is formulated as

$$\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(\alpha)} = \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell_{\xi} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell_{\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell_{\xi} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^k} \ell_{\xi} \right) \right] \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(\alpha)} := \left\langle \nabla_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j, \partial_k \right\rangle,$$

where  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  is *the Fisher metric*.

We see that for  $\alpha = 0$ , the coefficient for 0-connection

$$\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(0)} = \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell_\xi \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^k} \ell_\xi \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell_\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell_\xi \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^k} \ell_\xi \right) \right]$$

Thus

$$\partial_k g_{i,j} = \partial_k \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)] = \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_k \partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)] + \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_k \partial_j \ell)] + \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)(\partial_k \ell)]$$

The last terms from  $\partial_k$  acting on the expectation function  $\mathbb{E}_p[\cdot]$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k g_{i,j} &= \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_k \partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)] + \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_k \partial_j \ell)] + \mathbb{E}_p [(\partial_i \ell)(\partial_j \ell)(\partial_k \ell)] \\ &= \Gamma_{k,i;j}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{k,j;i}^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

- Note that for Levi-Civita connection (i.e. connection that is both metric and symmetric), the relationship between the Riemannian metric and the coefficients of connection under the metric is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^k} g_{i,j} &= \Gamma_{k,i;j} + \Gamma_{k,j;i} \\ \text{where } \Gamma_{i,j;k} &:= \langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Thus *the  $\alpha$ -connection is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Fisher metric if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .*

- *The family of  $\alpha$ -connections forms an affine space itself*, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{(\alpha)} &= \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \nabla^{(1)} + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \nabla^{(-1)} \\ &= (1-\alpha) \nabla^{(0)} + \alpha \nabla^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

Also since  $\nabla^{(0)}$  is the Levi-Civita connection (Riemannian connections) on  $S$  and also that this connection is unique, we see that  $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$  *is not the Levi-Civita connection for all  $\alpha \neq 0$* . In fact,  $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$  *is not a metric connection for all  $\alpha \neq 0$*

- There are two special  $\alpha$ -connections:

1. When  $\alpha = -1$ , the  $\nabla^{(-1)}$  is called *the mixture connection* and is denoted as  $\nabla^{(m)}$ .

*The mixture family* of distributions is seen as a *m-affine subspaces* since it is considered *flat* (i.e.  $\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(-1)} = 0$ ) under *the mixture connections*  $\nabla^{(m)}$ .

$$p(x; \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \phi_i(x) + C(x) \quad (9)$$

2. When  $\alpha = 1$ , the  $\nabla^{(1)}$  is called *the exponential connection* and is denoted as  $\nabla^{(e)}$ .

*The exponential family* of distributions is seen as an *e-affine subspaces* since it is considered *flat* (i.e.  $\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(1)} = 0$ ) under *the exponential connections*  $\nabla^{(e)}$ .

$$p(x; \xi) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \phi_i(x) - A(\xi) \right\} C(x) \quad (10)$$

## 1.5 Dual Connections

- **Definition** Let  $(S, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  are two connections on  $TS$ . If for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$ ,

$$Z \langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Z^* Y \rangle \quad (11)$$

holds, then we say that  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  are **duals** to each other with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ . We call one either **the dual connection** or **the conjugate connection**.

We call the triple  $(g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  **a dualistic structure** on  $S$ .

- We see that the coefficients  $\Gamma_{i,j;k}$  and  $\Gamma_{i,j;k}^*$  for  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  have the relationship:

$$\partial_k g_{i,j} = \Gamma_{k,i;j} + \Gamma_{k,j;i}^*$$

- Similarly, define **the covariant derivative** of vector field *along curve* with respect to  $\nabla$  and its dual connection  $\nabla^*$  as  $D_t$  and  $D_t^*$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle X(t), Y(t) \rangle = \langle D_t X(t), Y(t) \rangle + \langle X(t), D_t^* Y(t) \rangle$$

- For **the parallel transport map**  $\Pi_\gamma$  and  $\Pi_\gamma^*$  along the curve  $\gamma$  (from  $t_0$  to  $t_1$ ) with respect to  $\nabla$  and its dual  $\nabla^*$ , we have

$$\langle \Pi_\gamma(X), \Pi_\gamma^*(Y) \rangle_q = \langle X, Y \rangle_p.$$

where  $p = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $q = \gamma(t_1)$ . This is a generalization of “**the invariance of the inner product under parallel translation with respect to metric connections.**”

- Also **the Riemannian curvature tensor** with respect to  $\nabla$  and its dual  $\nabla^*$  has the relationship

$$\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = -\langle R^*(X, Y)Z, W \rangle.$$

Thus  $Rm = -Rm^*$ , so  $R = 0 \Leftrightarrow R^* = 0$ .

In other word, a Riemannian manifold  $S$  with dualistic structure  $(g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  is **flat in  $\nabla$  if and only if it is flat in its dual connection  $\nabla^*$ .**

- It is clear that if  $\nabla$  is **a metric connection**, then  $\nabla = \nabla^*$ . The concept of dual connections  $(\nabla, \nabla^*)$  is a generalization of the metric connection. Moreover,  $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla + \nabla^*)$  becomes *a metric connection*.
- Within  $\alpha$ -connections,  $(\nabla^{(-\alpha)}, \nabla^{(\alpha)})$  are **duals** to each other with respect to *the Fisher metric*. Specifically,  $(\nabla^{(m)}, \nabla^{(e)})$ , i.e. **the mixture connection and the exponential connection are duals to each other**.

From above statement, we see that

$$S \text{ is } (\alpha)\text{-flat} \Leftrightarrow S \text{ is } (-\alpha)\text{-flat} \quad (12)$$

That  $(S, g, \nabla, \nabla^*)$  is called **a dually flat space**

- **Remark** *The exponential family is a dually flat space since it is both 1-flat and (-1)-flat. The former corresponds to the natural parameterization  $(\xi^i)$  which is  $\nabla^{(e)}$ -affine and the latter corresponds to the mean parameterization  $(\mu_i)$  which is  $\nabla^{(m)}$ -affine. It has **two mutually dual coordinate systems**.*

## 1.6 Embedding Associated with $\alpha$ -Connections

- We have seen the mixture embeddings and the exponential embeddings and their associated definition of inner product. In this section, we see the embedding associated with  $\alpha$ -connections, which includes both embeddings above as its special cases.
- Consider the extension of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  by dropping the normalization constraint:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}} := \left\{ p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) d\mu(x) < \infty, p(x) > 0 (\forall x \in \mathcal{X}). \right\}$$

- **Definition** For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the following  $\alpha$ -likelihood function:

$$L^{(\alpha)}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)} x^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ \log(x), & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

$$\ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) := L^{(\alpha)}(p(x; \xi)) \quad (14)$$

Note in particular that  $\ell^{(1)}(x; \xi) = \ell(x; \xi)$  and that  $\ell^{(-1)}(x; \xi) = p(x; \xi)$ .

- **Definition** For a tangent vector  $X \in T_p(S)$ , we call

$$X^{(\alpha)}(x) := X \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) \quad (15)$$

as a function of  $x$  the  $\alpha$ -representation of  $X$ . The  $e$ -representation and  $m$ -representation correspond to  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = -1$ .

- **Definition** With the  $\alpha$ -representation, we have the induced inner product by the  $\alpha$ -likelihood function  $\ell^{(\alpha)}$ :

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_g^{(\alpha)} := \left\langle X^{(\alpha)}, Y^{(-\alpha)} \right\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left( X \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) \right) \left( Y \ell^{(-\alpha)}(x; \xi) \right) d\mu(x) \quad (16)$$

- We can compute the first and second order partial derivatives of the  $\alpha$ -likelihood as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell^{(\alpha)} = p^{(1-\alpha)/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell^{(\alpha)} = p^{(1-\alpha)/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell \right) \quad (18)$$

- We may rewrite the Fisher metric and the Christoffel symbol of  $\alpha$ -connection as

$$g_{i,j}(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell^{(-\alpha)}(x; \xi) d\mu(x) \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(\alpha)} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^k} \ell^{(-\alpha)}(x; \xi) d\mu(x) \quad (20)$$

- **Remark** From (20), we see that the  $\alpha$ -likelihood defines an **embedding**  $\ell^{(\alpha)} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ . And the  $\alpha$ -connection on  $S \subset \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  is the induced connection from the affine structure of the space  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$  of functions on  $\mathcal{X}$  through the embedding  $\ell^{(\alpha)}$ .

- **Remark** For probability distribution, since  $\int \partial_i p = 0$ , we have

$$\int p(x; \xi)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \partial_i \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) dx = 0$$

$$\frac{1+\alpha}{2} g_{i,j}(\xi) = - \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x; \xi)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} \partial_i \partial_j \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) dx$$

- **Definition** For given  $\alpha$ , if under some coordinate system  $(\xi^i)$  of  $S$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^j} \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi) = 0, \quad (21)$$

then it is seen from (20) that  $\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(\alpha)} = 0$ . Thus  $S$  is  $\alpha$ -flat.

We call  $(\xi^i)$  an  $\alpha$ -affine coordinate system, and such an  $S$  an  $\alpha$ -affine manifold.

- **Remark** Thus we can say that:

1. A *mixture family* is a  $(-1)$ -**affine manifold**,
2. An *exponential family* is **not** a 1-**affine manifold**.
3. For *finite*  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is an  $\alpha$ -**affine manifold** for *every*  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

- **Definition** We can also extend  $S \subset \mathcal{P}$  by varying the sum of mass:

$$\tilde{S} := \{\tau p_{\xi} : \xi \in \Xi, \tau > 0\} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$$

We see that  $\tilde{S}$  is a manifold of dimension  $\dim S + 1$  which contains  $S$ . We call  $\tilde{S}$  a **denormalization** of  $S$ . The adopted coordinate system of  $\tilde{S}$  is  $(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n, \tau)$ . We can extend our definition of  $\ell^{\alpha}$  as  $\tilde{\ell}^{(\alpha)} := \ell^{(\alpha)}(x; \xi, \tau) := L^{(\alpha)}(\tau p(x; \xi))$ . We then extend computation of derivatives with  $\tau$  added.

- The following is the relation between  $\tilde{S}$  and  $S$ :

**Proposition 1.1**  $S$  is  $(-1)$ -autoparallel in  $\tilde{S}$ .

- **Proposition 1.2** Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $S$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be its denormalization. For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following conditions (1) and (2) are **equivalent**.

1.  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -autoparallel in  $S$ .
2.  $\tilde{M}$  is  $\alpha$ -autoparallel in  $\tilde{S}$ .

- **Definition** We call a statistical model  $S = \{p(x; \xi)\}$  whose **denormalization**  $\tilde{S}$  is an  $\alpha$ -affine manifold **an  $\alpha$ -family**.

- **Remark** We have the following results

1. An *exponential family* is a 1-**family**; and conversely, *every* 1-**family** is *exponential family*.
2. A *mixture family* is a  $(-1)$ -**family**; and conversely, *every*  $(-1)$ -**family** is *mixture family*.
3. For *finite*  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  is an  $\alpha$ -**family** for *every*  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$



## 2 Differential Geometry vs. Information Geometry

**Table 1:** Comparison between differential geometry and information geometry

base	<i>smooth manifold</i> $M$	<i>statistical manifold</i> $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .
embeddings	$M \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ with smooth embedding $\iota : M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}$	$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ with a <i>smooth embedding</i> as <i>the log-likelihood</i> $\ell : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} : \ell(p) = \log(p)$ .
element	a point $p \in M$	a <i>parametric model</i> $p(x; \xi) \in S, \xi \in \Xi$
coordinate map	$\varphi(p) = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$	$\varphi(p_\xi) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$
smooth map	$f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	e.g. $\kappa : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \kappa(p) := \mathbb{E}_p[f]$ for some <i>random variable</i> $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ .
space of smooth maps	$\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$	$\mathcal{C}^\infty(S) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{P})$
tangent vector at $p$	a <i>derivation operator</i> at $p$ : $v : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	a <i>derivation operator</i> at $p$ : $X : \mathcal{C}^\infty(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
tangent space at $p$	<b>tangent space</b> $T_p M$	<b>tangent space</b> $T_p S \subseteq T_p \mathcal{P}$
embedding representation of $T_p \mathcal{P}$	$\{\tilde{v} := d\iota_p(v) : v \in T_p M\} \subseteq T_p \mathcal{R}$	<i>exponential-representation</i> $T_p^{(e)} \mathcal{P} = \{X^{(e)} := X\ell : X \in T_p \mathcal{P}\}$ $= \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} : \mathbb{E}_p[f] = 0\} \subseteq T_p \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$
$\dim T_p M$	$n$	$n = \dim T_p S < \dim T_p \mathcal{P} = +\infty$
basis of tangent space	$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \Big _p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \Big _p \right)$	$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \Big _p, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^n} \Big _p \right)$
basis of embedding tangent space	$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \Big _{\iota(p)}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \Big _{\iota(p)} \right)$	$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^1} \Big _{\ell(p)}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^n} \Big _{\ell(p)} \right)$
inner product on tangent space	$\langle v, w \rangle_g := g(v, w)$	The <i>cross correlation</i> $\langle X, Y \rangle_p := \mathbb{E}_p[(X\ell)(Y\ell)]$
Riemanian metric	The <i>Riemanian metric</i> $g = g_{i,j} dx^i dx^j$ where $g_{i,j} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle_g$	The <i>Fisher information metric</i> $g = g_{i,j} d\xi^i d\xi^j$ where $g_{i,j} = \mathbb{E}_p[\partial_i \ell \partial_j \ell] := \langle \partial_i, \partial_j \rangle_p$ , and $\partial_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i}$
Riemanian matrix	$(g_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{S}_+^n$	The <i>Fisher information matrix</i> $I$ where $(g_{i,j}(\xi)) \in \mathcal{S}_+^n$
connections / Christoffel symbols	<i>Riemannian connection</i> $\Gamma_{i,j;k} := \langle \nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j, \partial_k \rangle_g$ $= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i g_{j,k} + \partial_j g_{k,i} - \partial_k g_{i,j})$ $\Rightarrow \partial_k g_{i,j} = \Gamma_{k,i;j} + \Gamma_{k,j;i}$	<i><math>\alpha</math>-connection</i> $\Gamma_{i,j;k}^{(\alpha)} := \langle \nabla_{(\partial_i)^{(e)}}^{(\alpha)} (\partial_j)^{(e)}, (\partial_k)^{(e)} \rangle_p$ $= \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[ \left( \partial_i \partial_j \ell_\xi + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \partial_i \ell_\xi \partial_j \ell_\xi \right) \partial_k \ell_\xi \right]$ $\Rightarrow \partial_k g_{i,j} = \Gamma_{k,i;j}^{(0)} + \Gamma_{k,j;i}^{(0)}$

## References

Shun-ichi Amari and Hiroshi Nagaoka. *Methods of information geometry*, volume 191. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.