

Lecture 6: Sard's Theorem

Tianpei Xie

Oct. 17th., 2022

Contents

1	Sard's Theorem	2
1.1	Sets of Measure Zero	2
1.2	Proof of Sard's Theorem	2
1.3	Corollaries	3
2	The Whitney Embedding Theorem	3
3	The Whitney Approximation Theorems	3
3.1	Whitney Approximation Theorem for Functions	3
3.2	Tubular Neighborhoods	4
3.3	Smooth Approximation of Maps Between Manifolds	4
4	Transversality	4

1 Sard's Theorem

1.1 Sets of Measure Zero

- **Definition** A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ to have **measure zero** if for any $\delta > 0$, A can be **covered** by a **countable collection of open rectangles**, the **sum** of whose **volumes** is less than δ (Fig.).
- **Lemma 1.1** Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **compact** subset whose intersection with $\{c\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ has $(n-1)$ -dimensional measure zero for **every** $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then A has n -dimensional measure zero.
- **Proposition 1.2** Suppose A is an open or closed subset of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} or \mathbb{H}^{n-1} , and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **continuous** function. Then the **graph** of f has measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n .
- **Corollary 1.3** Every **proper affine subspace** of \mathbb{R}^n has measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n .
- **Proposition 1.4** Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has **measure zero** and $F : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **smooth** map. Then $F(A)$ has measure zero.
- **Definition** Let M be a smooth n -manifold with or without boundary. A subset $A \subseteq M$ has **measure zero** in M if for **every smooth chart** (U, φ) for M , the subset $\varphi(A \cap U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has n -dimensional measure zero.
- The following lemma shows that we need only check this condition for a *single collection of smooth charts* whose domains cover A .

Lemma 1.5 Let M be a smooth n -manifold with or without boundary and $A \subseteq M$. Suppose that for **some collection** $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}$ of smooth charts whose domains **cover** A , $\varphi_\alpha(A \cap U_\alpha)$ has **measure zero** in \mathbb{R}^n for each α . Then A has measure zero in M .

- **Proposition 1.6** Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary and $A \subseteq M$ has **measure zero** in M . Then $M \setminus A$ is **dense** in M .
- **Theorem 1.7** Suppose M and N are smooth n -manifolds with or without boundary, $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a **smooth** map, and $A \subseteq M$ is a subset of **measure zero**. Then $F(A)$ has measure zero in N .

1.2 Proof of Sard's Theorem

- The Sard's theorem underlies all of our results about embedding, approximation, and transversality.
- **Theorem 1.8 (Sard's Theorem).**
Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds with or without boundary and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a **smooth map**. Then the set of **critical values** of F has **measure zero** in N .

Proof:

1.3 Corollaries

- **Corollary 1.9** *Suppose M and N are smooth manifolds with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a **smooth map**. If $\dim M < \dim N$, then $F(M)$ has measure zero in N .*
- **Remark** It is important to be aware that the Corollary above is false if F is merely assumed to be **continuous**. For example, there is a continuous map $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ whose image is the entire unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. (Such a map is called a **space-filling curve**).
- **Corollary 1.10** *Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and $S \subseteq M$ is an **immersed submanifold** with or without boundary. If $\dim S < \dim M$, then S has measure zero in M .*

2 The Whitney Embedding Theorem

- Our first application of *Sard's theorem* is to show that **every smooth manifold can be embedded into a Euclidean space**. In fact, we will show that every smooth n -manifold with or without boundary is *diffeomorphic* to a *properly embedded submanifold* (with or without boundary) of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .
- **Theorem 2.1 (Whitney Embedding Theorem).**
*Every smooth n -manifold with or without boundary admits a proper **smooth embedding** into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .*
- **Theorem 2.2 (Whitney Immersion Theorem).**
*Every smooth n -manifold with or without boundary admits a **smooth immersion** into \mathbb{R}^{2n} .*
- **Theorem 2.3 (Strong Whitney Embedding Theorem).**
*If $n > 0$, every smooth n -manifold admits a **smooth embedding** into \mathbb{R}^{2n} .*
- **Theorem 2.4 (Strong Whitney Immersion Theorem).**
*If $n > 1$, every smooth n -manifold admits a **smooth immersion** into \mathbb{R}^{2n-1} .*

Because of these results, the first two theorems are sometimes called the *easy* or *weak Whitney embedding and immersion theorems*.

3 The Whitney Approximation Theorems

3.1 Whitney Approximation Theorem for Functions

- We begin with a theorem about **smoothly approximating functions into Euclidean spaces**. Our first theorem shows, in particular, that *any continuous function* from a smooth manifold M into \mathbb{R}^k can be *uniformly approximated* by a smooth function.
- **Theorem 3.1 (Whitney Approximation Theorem for Functions).**
*Suppose M is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, and $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a **continuous function**. Given any **positive continuous function** $\delta : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a **smooth function** $\tilde{F} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ that is δ -close to F . If F is smooth on a closed subset $A \subseteq M$, then \tilde{F}*

can be chosen to be equal to F on A .

3.2 Tubular Neighborhoods

3.3 Smooth Approximation of Maps Between Manifolds

- Now we can extend *the Whitney approximation theorem* to maps between manifolds. This extension will have important applications to *line integrals*.
- **Theorem 3.2 (Whitney Approximation Theorem).**
*Suppose N is a smooth manifold with or without boundary, M is a smooth manifold (without boundary), and $F : N \rightarrow M$ is a **continuous** map. Then F is **homotopic** to a smooth map. If F is already smooth on a closed subset $A \subseteq N$, then the **homotopy** can be taken to be relative to A .*

4 Transversality

- As our final application of *Sard's theorem*, we show how *submanifolds* can be *perturbed* so that ***they intersect "nicely."*** To explain what this means, we introduce the concept of ***transversality***.
- **Definition** Suppose M is a smooth manifold. Two *embedded submanifolds* $S, S' \subseteq M$ are said to ***intersect transversely*** if for each $p \in S \cap S'$, the tangent spaces $T_p S$ and $T_p S'$ together ***span*** $T_p M$ (where we consider $T_p S$ and $T_p S'$ as subspaces of $T_p M$).
- **Definition** If $F : N \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map and $S \subseteq M$ is an *embedded submanifold*, we say that ***F is transverse to S*** if for every $x \in F^{-1}(S)$, the spaces $T_{F(x)} S$ and $dF_x(T_x N)$ together ***span*** $T_{F(x)} M$.