Lecture 6: Concentration via Optimal Transport

Tianpei Xie

Jan. 24th., 2023

Contents

Opt	imal Transport Basis	2
1.1	Optimal Transport Problem and its Dual Problem	2
1.2	Wasserstein Distance	S
1.3	Dual Formulation of Wasserstein Distance	
The	Transportation Method	Ę
2.1	Concentration via Transportation Cost Inequality	
2.2	Tensorization for Transportation Cost	8
2.3	Marton's Transportation Inequality	8
2.4	Talagrand's Gaussian Transportation Inequality	10
2.5	Transportation Cost Inequalities for Markov Chains	11
	1.1 1.2 1.3 The 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	1.1 Optimal Transport Problem and its Dual Problem 1.2 Wasserstein Distance

1 Optimal Transport Basis

1.1 Optimal Transport Problem and its Dual Problem

• **Definition** (*Pushforward Measure*) [Peyr and Cuturi, 2019] Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_X)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ be two topological measurable spaces. Denote the spaces of *general* (*Radon*) measures on \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} as $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$. Also let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$ be space of continuous functions on \mathcal{X} . For a *continuous* map $T: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$, the <u>push-forward operator</u> is defined as $T_{\#}: \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$ that satisfies

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) \ d(T_{\#}\alpha) (y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} h(T(x)) \ d\alpha(x). \tag{1}$$

or equivalently,
$$(T_{\#}\alpha)(B) := \alpha(\{x : T(x) \in B \subset \mathcal{Y}\}) = \alpha(T^{-1}(B))$$
 (2)

where the **push-forward measure** $\beta := T_{\#}\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Y})$ of some $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})$, $T^{-1}(\cdot)$ is the pre-image of T.

• Remark (Density Function of Pushforward Measure)
Assume that (α, β) have densities $(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho_{\beta})$ with respect to a fixed measure, and $\beta = T_{\#}\alpha$. We see that $T_{\#}$ acts on a density ρ_{α} linearly to a density ρ_{β} as a change of variable, i.e.

$$\rho_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left| \det(T'(\boldsymbol{x})) \right| \rho_{\beta}(T(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

$$\left| \det(T'(\boldsymbol{x})) \right| = \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\rho_{\beta}(T(\boldsymbol{x}))}$$
(3)

• Definition (Optimal Transport Problem, Monge Problem) [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_X)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ be two measurable spaces, where \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are complete separable metric spaces. Denote $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ as the space of probability measures on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . Define a cost function $c: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ as non-negative real-valued measurable functions on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. The optimal transport problem by Monge (i.e. Monge Problem) is defined as follows: given two probability measures $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, find a continuous measurable map $T: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ so that

$$\inf_{T} \int_{\mathcal{X}} c(x, T(x)) d\mathbb{P}(x)$$

s.t. $\mathbb{Q} = T_{\#}\mathbb{P}$

The optimal solution T is also called an *optimal transportation plan*.

• Definition (Optimal Transport Problem, Kantorovich Relaxation) [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

<u>The optimal transport problem</u> by Kantorovich (i.e. <u>Kantorovich Relxation</u>) is defined as follows: given two probability measures $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, find a *joint probability measure* $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ so that

$$\begin{split} &\inf_{\gamma} \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} c(x,y) d\gamma(x,y) \\ \text{s.t. } &\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) := \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) : \pi_{\mathcal{X},\#} \gamma = \mathbb{P}, \ \pi_{\mathcal{Y},\#} \gamma = \mathbb{Q} \} \end{split}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ is the space of joint probability measure on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are the coordinate projection onto \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . $\pi_{\mathcal{X},\#}\gamma = \mathbb{P}$ means that \mathbb{P} is the marginal distribution of γ on \mathcal{X} . Similarly \mathbb{Q} is the marginal distribution of γ on \mathcal{Y} .

Equivalently, let X and Y are random variables taking values in \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} . The joint distribution of (X,Y) is γ with marginal distribution of X and Y being \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} . Then the problem is

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[c(X, Y) \right]$$

The joint distribution $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $X_{\#}\gamma = \mathbb{P}$ and $Y_{\#}\gamma = \mathbb{Q}$ is called **a coupling**.

- Proposition 1.1 (Existance of Solution) [Santambrogio, 2015] Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be complete separable spaces, $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $c : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be lower semi-continuous function. Then the Kantorovich relaxation of optimal transport problem admits a solution.
- **Definition** (*Dual Problem of Kantorovich Problem*) [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

The **dual problem** of Kantorovich problem is described as below:

$$\mathcal{L}_{c}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \max_{(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y})} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi(x) d\mathbb{P}(x) + \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \psi(y) d\mathbb{Q}(y)$$
s.t. $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x, y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y},$

Here, (φ, ψ) is a pair of *continuous functions* on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} respectively and they are also the **Kantorovich potentials**. The feasible region is

$$\mathcal{R}(c) := \{ (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Y}) : \varphi \oplus \psi \leq c \}$$

where $(\varphi \oplus \psi)(x,y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y)$.

In other words, the dual optimization problem is

$$\max_{(\varphi,\psi)\in\mathcal{R}(c)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[\varphi(X)\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\psi(Y)\right]$$

• Proposition 1.2 (Strong Duality) [Santambrogio, 2015] Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be complete separable spaces, and $c: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be lower semi-continuous and bounded from below. Then the optimal value of primal and dual problems are the same

$$\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}\left[c(X, Y)\right] = \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \max_{(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{R}(c)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[\varphi(X)\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\psi(Y)\right].$$

1.2 Wasserstein Distance

• Definition (Wasserstein Distance)

Let $((\mathcal{X}, d), \mathcal{B})$ be a metric measurable space with Borel σ -algebra induced by metric d. Let X, Y be two random variables taking values in \mathcal{X} with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} . **The Wasserstein distance** between probability distributions \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} induced by d is defined as

$$W_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \equiv W_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}\left[d(X, Y)\right]$$
(4)

In general, for $p \in [1, \infty)$, we can define **Wasserstein** p-distance as

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \left(\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P}, Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E} \left[(d(X, Y))^p \right] \right)^{1/p}. \tag{5}$$

• Remark Not to confuse the 2-Wasserstein distance with the Wasserstein distance induced by L₂ norm:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}_{\|\cdot\|_2}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) &\equiv \mathcal{W}_{1,\|\cdot\|_2}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) := \min_{X \sim \mathbb{P},Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X - Y\|_2\right] \\ \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) &\equiv \mathcal{W}_{2,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) := \sqrt{\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P},Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}\left[d(X,Y)^2\right]} \end{split}$$

- Remark (Wasserstein p-Distance is a Metric in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$)

 The Wasserstein p-distance $\mathcal{W}_{p,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) := (\min_{X \sim \mathbb{P},Y \sim \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{E}\left[(d(X,Y))^p\right])^{1/p}$ is a well-defined metric in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$: for all $\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{M} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$,
 - 1. (Non-Negativity): $W_{p,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) \geq 0$.
 - 2. (Definiteness): $W_{p,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ iff $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{Q}$
 - 3. (Symmetric): $\mathcal{W}_{n,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) = \mathcal{W}_{n,d}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{P})$
 - 4. (Triangular inequality): $W_{p,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}) \leq W_{p,d}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{M}) + W_{p,d}(\mathbb{M},\mathbb{Q})$
- Remark The Wasserstein distance, or Optimal Transport (OT), $W_d(\alpha, \beta)$ depends on the distance definition d on the base measurable space \mathcal{X} . In other word, OT can be seen as automatically "lifting" a ground metric d in \mathcal{X} to a metric between measures on \mathcal{X}
- Remark ($Convergence\ in\ Wasserstein\ Space \Leftrightarrow Weak\ Convergence$) [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015, Peyr and Cuturi, 2019]

One of most *important* properly of *Wasserstein distance* is that it is a *weak distance*, i.e. it allows one to compare singular distributions (for instance, discrete ones) whose **supports** *do not overlap* and to quantify the spatial shift between the supports of two distributions.

In fact, W_p is a way to quantify the <u>weak* convergence</u> or convergence in distribution (in law) [Villani, 2009]:

Definition On a compact domain \mathcal{X} , $(\alpha_k)_k$ converges **weakly** to α in $\mathcal{M}^1_+(\mathcal{X})$ (denoted $\alpha_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \alpha$) if and only if for any **continuous** function $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$, $\int_{\mathcal{X}} g d\alpha_k \to \int_{\mathcal{X}} g d\alpha$. One needs to add additional decay conditions on g on noncompact domains.

This notion of weak convergence corresponds to the **convergence in the distribution** of random vectors. Note the any random variable X_n is a continous function on Ω , and its distribution is the push-forward measure $\alpha_n = X_{n\#}\mathbb{P}$. Therefore, $\alpha_n \rightharpoonup \alpha$ is equivalent to $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$. This convergence can be shown (see [Villani, 2009, Santambrogio, 2015]) to be equivalent to

$$\alpha_n \rightharpoonup \alpha \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{W}_p(\alpha_n, \alpha) \to 0.$$

Thus we can also write the weak convergance as $\alpha_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_d} \alpha$.

1.3 Dual Formulation of Wasserstein Distance

• Theorem 1.3 (Kantorovich-Rubenstein Duality) [Villani, 2009] Let \mathcal{X} be a Polish space, i.e. \mathcal{X} a complete separable metric space equipped with a Borel σ algebra induced by metric d, and \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} be probability measures on \mathcal{X} . For fixed $p \in [1, \infty)$,
let Lip_1 be the space of all 1-Lipschitz function with respect to metric d such that

$$||f||_L := \sup_{x,y \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} \right\} \le 1.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{W}_d(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \equiv \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \sup_{f \in Lip_1} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[f(X) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[f(Y) \right] \right\}. \tag{6}$$

- **Remark** This theorem only applies for Wasserstein 1-distance, i.e. p = 1.
- Example (Total Variation as W_d with respect to Hamming distance d_H) When $d(x,y) = \sum_i \mathbb{1} \{x_i \neq y_i\} = d_H(x,y)$ Hamming distance, the $W_{1,d}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{1,d_H}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) &= \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})} \gamma \left\{ X \neq Y \right\} \\ &= \sup_{f: \mathcal{X} \to [0,1]} \int_{\mathcal{X}} f \left(d\mathbb{P} - d\mathbb{Q} \right) \\ &= \sup_{A \subset \mathcal{X}} |\mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{Q}(A)| := \|\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{Q}\|_{TV} \end{aligned}$$

• Example $(W_1 \text{ in } 1\text{-dimensional space } \mathbb{R})$ When d(x,y) = |x-y| in \mathbb{R} , and F_{α}, F_{β} are cumulative distribution function of α, β , then W_1 distance becomes

$$\mathcal{W}_{1}(\alpha, \beta) = \|F_{\alpha} - F_{\beta}\|_{1} := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F_{\alpha}(x) - F_{\beta}(x)\|_{1} dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x} d(\alpha - \beta) \right|$$

which shows that W_1 on \mathbb{R} is a **norm**. An optimal Monge map T such that $T_{\#}\alpha = \beta$ is then defined by

$$T = F_{\beta}^{-1} \circ F_{\alpha}$$

where $F_{\beta}^{-1} = \inf\{t : F_{\beta} \ge t\}.$

2 The Transportation Method

2.1 Concentration via Transportation Cost Inequality

• Lemma 2.1 (Transportation Lemma) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let X be a real-valued integrable random variable. Let φ be a convex and continuously differentiable function on a (possibly unbounded) interval [0,b) and assume that $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$. Define, for every $x \ge 0$, the Legendre transform $\phi^*(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in (0,b)} (\lambda x - \phi(\lambda))$, and let, for every $t \ge 0$, $\phi^{*-1}(t) = \inf\{x \ge 0 : \phi^*(x) > t\}$, i.e. the the generalized inverse of ϕ^* . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

1. for every $\lambda \in (0,b)$,

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \phi(\lambda)$$

where $\psi_X(\lambda) := \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{\lambda X} \right]$ is the logarithm of moment generating function;

2. for any probability measure \mathbb{Q} absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} such that $\mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X] \le \phi^{*-1}(\mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})). \tag{7}$$

In particular, given $\nu > 0$, X follows a sub-Gaussian distribution, i.e.

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \frac{\nu\lambda^2}{2}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$ if and only if for any probability measure \mathbb{Q} absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} such that $\mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}) < \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[X] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X] \le \sqrt{2\nu \mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}.$$
 (8)

• Remark (Concentration via Transportation Methods)

Let $\mathbb{P} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_i$ be the product measure for $Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ on \mathcal{X}^n and $f : \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be 1-Lipschitz function. Consider a probability measure \mathbb{Q} on \mathcal{X}^n , absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} and let Y be a random variable (defined on the same probability space as \mathcal{X}) such that Y has distribution \mathbb{Q} .

The lemma above suggests that one may prove sub-Gaussian concentration inequalities for $X = f(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ by proving a "transportation" inequality as above. The key to achieving this relies on coupling. In particular, the Kantorovich-Rubenstein duality for $W_{1,d}$ suggests that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(Y)\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[f(Z)\right] \le \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\left[d(Y, Z)\right] := \mathcal{W}_{1, d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})$$

Thus, it suffices to upper bound the 1-Wasserstein distance between \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{P} .

• Definition (d-Transportation Cost Inequality) [Wainwright, 2019] Let (\mathcal{X}, d) be a metric space with metric d, and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ be a measurable space, where \mathcal{B} is the Borel σ -algebra induced by metric d, the probability measure \mathbb{P} is said to satisfy a d-transportation cost inequality with parameter $\nu > 0$ if

$$W_{1,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) \le \sqrt{2\nu \mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}$$
(9)

for all probability measure $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ on \mathscr{B} .

• Theorem 2.2 (Isoperimetric Inequality via Transportation Cost)[Wainwright, 2019] Consider a metric measure space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ with metric d, and suppose that \mathbb{P} satisfies the d-transportation cost inequality with parameter $\nu/2 > 0$ in (9) Then its concentration function satisfies the bound

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{P},(\mathcal{X},d)}(t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{(t-t_0)_+^2}{2\nu}\right), \text{ for } t \ge t_0$$
 (10)

where $t_0 := \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}$. Moreover, for any $Z \sim \mathbb{P}$ and any L-Lipschitz function $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, we have the **concentration inequality**

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|f(Z) - \mathbb{E}\left[f(Z)\right]| \ge t\right\} \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\nu L^2}\right). \tag{11}$$

Proof: We begin by proving the bound (10). For any set A with $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1/2$ and a given t > 0, consider the set

$$A_t^c = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : d(x, A) \ge t\}.$$

If $\mathbb{P}(A_t) = 1$, then the proof is complete, so that we may assume that $P(A_t^c) > 0$. By construction, we have $d(A, A_t^c) := \inf_{x \in A_t^c} \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) \ge t$. On the other hand, let $\mathbb{P}_A := \mathbb{P}(\cdot|A)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{A_t} := \mathbb{P}(\cdot|A_t^c)$ denote the distributions of \mathbb{P} conditioned on A and A_t^c , and let γ denote any *coupling* of this pair. Since the marginals of γ are supported on A and A_t^c , respectively, we have

$$d(A, A_t^c) \le \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} d(x, x') d\gamma(x, x').$$

Taking the *infimum* over all *couplings*, we conclude that

$$t \leq d(A, A_t^c) \leq \inf_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c})} \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} d(x, x') d\gamma(x, x') := \mathcal{W}_{1, d}(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c})$$

Now applying the triangle inequality, we have

$$t \leq \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_{A}, \mathbb{P}_{A_{t}^{c}}) \leq \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_{A}, \mathbb{P}) + \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}_{A_{t}^{c}})$$
$$\leq \sqrt{2\nu \mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{A} \parallel \mathbb{P})} + \sqrt{2\nu \mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{A_{t}^{c}} \parallel \mathbb{P})}$$

It remains to compute the Kullback-Leibler divergences. For any measurable set C, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{A}(C) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(C \cap A)}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

$$g = \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{A}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)} \mathbb{1} \{A\}$$

$$\mathbb{KL} (\mathbb{P}_{A} \parallel \mathbb{P}) = \int \log \left(\frac{d\mathbb{P}_{A}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right) d\mathbb{P}_{A} = \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)}$$

Similarly, we have $\mathbb{KL}\left(\mathbb{P}_{A_t^c} \parallel \mathbb{P}\right) = \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_t^c)}$. Combining the pieces, we have

$$t \leq \mathcal{W}_{1,d}(\mathbb{P}_A, \mathbb{P}_{A_t^c}) \leq \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)}} + \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_t^c)}}$$

Denote $u = \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A)}}$, we have

$$(t-u)_{+} \leq \sqrt{2\nu \log \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_{t}^{c})}}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(A_{t}^{c}) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t-u)_{+}^{2}}{2\nu}\right), \text{ for } t \geq u.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 1/2$ so $u \leq \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}$. Thus for $t \geq \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}$, the concentration function

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{P},(\mathcal{X},d)}(t) = \sup_{A \subset \mathcal{X}: \mathbb{P}(A) \ge 1/2} \mathbb{P}(A_t^c) \le \exp\left(-\frac{\left(t - \sqrt{2\nu \log 2}\right)_+^2}{2\nu}\right),$$

which proves (10).

To show (11), we see that for L-Lipschitz function:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(Y)\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[f(Z)\right] \leq L \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\left[d(Y, Z)\right] = L \ \mathcal{W}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) \leq \sqrt{2L^2 \nu \mathbb{KL} \left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}\right)}$$

where the first inequality follows the Kantorovich-Rubenstein duality and the second inequality follows the assumption. By the transportation lemma,

$$\psi_{f(Z)-\mathbb{E}[f(Z)]}(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[e^{\lambda(f(Z)-\mathbb{E}[f(Z)])}\right] \le \frac{\nu L^2 \lambda^2}{2}$$

The upper tail bound thus follows by the Chernoff bound. The same argument can be applied to -f, which yields the lower tail bound.

2.2 Tensorization for Transportation Cost

• Proposition 2.3 (Tensorization for Transportation Cost) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Suppose that, for each k = 1, 2, ..., n, the univariate distribution \mathbb{P}_k satisfies a d_k -transportation cost inequality with parameter ν_k . Then the product distribution $\mathbb{P} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_k$ satisfies the transportation cost inequality

$$W_{1,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) = \sqrt{2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu_k\right) \mathbb{KL}(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P})}, \quad \text{for all distributions } \mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$$
 (12)

where the Wasserstein metric is defined using the distance $d(x,y) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_k(x_k, y_k)$.

2.3 Marton's Transportation Inequality

• Theorem 2.4 (Marton's Transportation Inequality) [Boucheron et al., 2013] Let $\mathbb{P} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_k$ be a product probability measure on \mathcal{X}^n , and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Define two random vectors $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n), Y = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ (Y_1,\ldots,Y_n) in \mathcal{X}^n with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,d_{H}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{P}) := \sqrt{\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^{2} \left\{ X_{i} \neq Y_{i} \right\}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{KL} \left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}\right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma^{2} \left\{ X_{i} \neq Y_{i} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{KL} \left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}\right)$$

$$(13)$$

• Theorem 2.5 (Marton's Conditional Transportation Inequality) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let $\mathbb{P} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}_k$ be a product probability measure on \mathcal{X}^n , and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Define two random vectors $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n), Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ in \mathcal{X}^n with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} respectively. Then

$$\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\gamma^2 \{ X_i \neq Y_i | X_i \} + \gamma^2 \{ X_i \neq Y_i | Y_i \}) \right] \leq 2 \mathbb{KL} \left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P} \right) \tag{14}$$

• Proposition 2.6 (Concentration of Lipschitz Function with Function Weighted Hamming Distance) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let $f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function and let Z_1, \ldots, Z_n be independent random variables taking their values in \mathcal{X} . Define $X = f(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$. Assume that there exist **measurable functions** $c_i: \mathcal{X}_n \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}^n$,

$$f(y) - f(z) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(z) \mathbb{1} \{ y_i \ne z_i \}.$$

Setting

$$u = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2(Z)\right] \qquad and \qquad \nu_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{X}^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2(z)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\psi_{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \frac{\nu\lambda^2}{2}$$
 and $\psi_{-X+\mathbb{E}[X]}(\lambda) \le \frac{\nu_\infty\lambda^2}{2}$

In particular, for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X \ge \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] + t\right\} \le \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\nu}\right)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X \le \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] - t\right\} \le \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\nu_{\infty}}\right). \tag{15}$$

- **Remark** The condition in above proposition covers
 - 1. Lipschitz functions such as functions with bounded difference,

2. **self-bounding functions** including **configuration functions**: Let f be such a configuration function. For any $z \in \mathcal{X}^n$, fix a maximal sub-sequence $(z_{i,1}, \ldots, z_{i,m})$ satisfying property Π (so that f(z) = m). Let $c_i(z)$ denote the indicator that z_i belongs to the sub-sequence $(z_{i,1}, \ldots, z_{i,m})$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(z) = f(z).$$

It follows from the definition of a configuration function that for all $z, y \in \mathcal{X}^n$,

$$f(y) \ge f(z) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(z) \mathbb{1} \{ z_i \ne y_i \}$$

So g = -f satisfies the condition in above proposition.

- 3. weakly self-bounding functions
- 4. convex distance function

$$d_T(z, A) := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \|\alpha\|_2 = 1} \inf_{y \in A} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbb{1} \{ z_i \neq y_i \}$$

Denote by $c(z) = (c_1(z), \dots, c_n(z)) = \alpha^*$ the vector of nonnegative components in the unit ball for which the supremum is achieved. Thus

$$d_{T}(z, A) - d_{T}(y, A) \leq \inf_{z' \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}(z) \mathbb{1} \left\{ z_{i} \neq z'_{i} \right\} - \inf_{y' \in A} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}(z) \mathbb{1} \left\{ y_{i} \neq y'_{i} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}(z) \mathbb{1} \left\{ z_{i} \neq y_{i} \right\}$$

2.4 Talagrand's Gaussian Transportation Inequality

• Theorem 2.7 (Talagrand's Gaussian Transportation Inequality) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Let \mathbb{P} be be the standard Gaussian probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathbb{Q} be a probability measure absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Define two random vectors $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n), Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ in \mathcal{X}^n with distribution \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,d}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) := \sqrt{\min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[(X_{i} - Y_{i})^{2} \right]} \leq \sqrt{2\mathbb{KL} \left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P} \right)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \min_{\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \left[(X_{i} - Y_{i})^{2} \right] \leq 2\mathbb{KL} \left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P} \right)$$
(16)

• Remark (Gaussian Transportation Inequality \Rightarrow Gaussian Concentration Inequality) [Boucheron et al., 2013]

Talagrand's Gaussian transportation inequality implies the Tsirelson-Ibragimov-Sudakov

inequality (i.e. the dimension-free concentration of Lipschitz function of Gaussian vectors), which we proved based on the Gaussian logarithmic Sobolev inequality and Herbst's argument.

Assume that $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *Lipschitz function* with respect to *Euclidean distance*, that is, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(y) - f(x) \le L \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2}$$

Then, by Jensen's inequality, for every coupling $\gamma \in \Pi(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$, one has

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[f(Y)\right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left[f(X)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\left[f(Y) - f(X)\right]$$

$$\leq L\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i} - Y_{i})^{2}\right)^{1/2}\right]$$

$$\leq L\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}_{\gamma}\left[(X_{i} - Y_{i})^{2}\right]\right)^{1/2} = L \ \mathcal{W}_{2}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P})$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2L^{2}\mathbb{KL}\left(\mathbb{Q} \parallel \mathbb{P}\right)} \quad \text{by Gaussian Transportation Inequality}$$

By transportation lemma, we show that $f(X) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ is sub-Gaussian distributed with parameter L^2 . This implies the Gaussian concentration inequality.

2.5 Transportation Cost Inequalities for Markov Chains

References

Stéphane Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi, and Pascal Massart. Concentration inequalities: A nonasymptotic theory of independence. Oxford university press, 2013.

Gabriel Peyr and Marco Cuturi. Computational optimal transport: With applications to data science. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 11(5-6):355–607, 2019. ISSN 1935-8237.

Filippo Santambrogio. Optimal transport for applied mathematicians, volume 55. Springer, 2015.

Cédric Villani. Optimal transport: old and new, volume 338. Springer, 2009.

Martin J Wainwright. *High-dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic viewpoint*, volume 48. Cambridge University Press, 2019.