

Lecture 4: Abstract Measures and Integrations

Tianpei Xie

Jul. 25th., 2015

Contents

1	Recall	2
2	Countably Additive Measures and Measure Spaces	4
2.1	Finitely Additive Measure	4
2.2	Countably Additive Measure	6
2.3	Outer Measures and the Carathéodory Extension Theorem	9

1 Recall

- **Definition** [Tao, 2011]

Let X be a set. A (concrete) **Boolean algebra (Boolean field)** on X is a collection of subsets \mathcal{B} of X which obeys the following properties:

1. (**Empty set**) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}$;
2. (**Complements**) For any $E \in \mathcal{B}$, then $E^c \equiv (X \setminus E) \in \mathcal{B}$;
3. (**Finite unions**) For any $E, F \in \mathcal{B}$, $E \cup F \in \mathcal{B}$.

We sometimes say that E is \mathcal{B} -*measurable*, or *measurable with respect to* \mathcal{B} , if $E \in \mathcal{B}$.

- **Remark** Note that *the finite difference* $A - B$, $A \Delta B$ and *intersections* $A \cap B$ are also *closed* under the Boolean algebra.

- **Definition** A **field (algebra)** is a non-empty collection of subsets in X that is *closed* under *finite union* and *complements*.

It is just a subset (sub-algebra) of Boolean field $(X, \subset, \cup, \cdot^c)$.

- **Definition** Given two Boolean algebras $\mathcal{B}, (\mathcal{B})'$ on X , we say that $(\mathcal{B})'$ is *finer* than, a *sub-algebra* of, or a *refinement* of \mathcal{B} , or that \mathcal{B} is *coarser* than or a *coarsening* of $(\mathcal{B})'$, if $\mathcal{B} \subset (\mathcal{B})'$.

- **Definition** A Boolean algebra is *finite* if it only consists of *finite many of subsets* (i.e., its *cardinality* is finite) [Tao, 2011].

- **Definition** Given a collection of sets \mathcal{F} , then $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{bool}$ is *the Boolean algebra generated* by \mathcal{F} , i.e. the *intersection* of all the Boolean algebras that contain \mathcal{F} .

$$\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{bool} = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha \supseteq \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{B}_\alpha.$$

- **Definition** Given space X , a σ -**field** (or, σ -**algebra**) \mathcal{F} is a non-empty collection of subsets in X such that

1. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; X \in \mathcal{F}$;
2. **Complements**: For any $B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $B^c \equiv (X - B) \in \mathcal{F}$;
3. **Countable union**: for any sub-collection $\{B_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{F}$,

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty B_k \in \mathcal{F};$$

Also, **Countable intersection**: $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty B_k \in \mathcal{F}$, *de Morgan's law*.

We refer to the pair (X, \mathcal{F}) of a set X together with a σ -algebra on that set as *a measurable space*.

- **Definition** Denote $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) := \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ as *the σ -algebra generated by* \mathcal{F} , given by

$$\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha \supseteq \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{B}_\alpha.$$

It is the **coarsest** σ -algebra containing \mathcal{F} , for any σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{F} .

- **Definition** (*Borel σ -algebra*). [Tao, 2011]

Let X be a **metric space**, or more generally **a topological space**. The **Borel σ -algebra** $\mathcal{B}[X]$ of X is defined to be the σ -algebra generated by the open subsets of X .

Elements of $\mathcal{B}[X]$ will be called **Borel measurable**.

- **Exercise 1.1** Show that the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}^d]$ of a Euclidean set is generated by any of the following collections of sets:

1. The open subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .
2. The closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .
3. The compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^d .
4. The open balls of \mathbb{R}^d .
5. The boxes in \mathbb{R}^d .
6. The elementary sets in \mathbb{R}^d .

(Hint: To show that two families $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ of sets generate the same σ -algebra, it suffices to show that every σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{F} , contains \mathcal{F}' also, and conversely.)

2 Countably Additive Measures and Measure Spaces

2.1 Finitely Additive Measure

- **Definition** Let \mathcal{B} be a *Boolean algebra* on a space X . An (unsigned) **finitely additive measure** μ on \mathcal{B} is a map $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ that obeys the following axioms

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
2. **Finite union**: for any *disjoint sets* $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B).$$

- **Proposition 2.1 (Properties of Finitely Additive Measure)** [Tao, 2011]
Let $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a finitely additive measure on a Boolean σ -algebra \mathcal{B} .

1. (**Monotonicity**) If E, F are \mathcal{B} -measurable and $E \subseteq F$, then

$$\mu(E) \leq \mu(F).$$

2. (**Finite additivity**) If k is a natural number, and E_1, \dots, E_k are \mathcal{B} -measurable and *disjoint*, then

$$\mu(E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k) = \mu(E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_k).$$

3. (**Finite subadditivity**) If k is a natural number, and E_1, \dots, E_k are \mathcal{B} -measurable, then

$$\mu(E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k) \leq \mu(E_1) + \dots + \mu(E_k).$$

4. (**Inclusion-exclusion for two sets**) If E, F are \mathcal{B} -measurable, then

$$\mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F).$$

(Caution: remember that the cancellation law $a + c = b + c \Rightarrow a = b$ does not hold in $[0; +1]$ if c is infinite, and so the use of cancellation (or subtraction) should be avoided if possible.)

- **Example** See the following examples on finitely additive measures:

1. **Lebesgue measure** m is a *finitely additive measure* on **the Lebesgue σ -algebra**, and hence on *all sub-algebras* (such as the null algebra, the Jordan algebra, or the elementary algebra).
2. **Jordan measure** and **elementary measure** are *finitely additive* (adopting the convention that co-Jordan measurable sets have infinite Jordan measure, and co-elementary sets have infinite elementary measure).
3. **Lebesgue outer measure** is *not finitely additive* on **the discrete algebra**.
4. **Jordan outer measure** is *not finitely additive* on **the Lebesgue algebra**.

- **Example (*Dirac measure*).**

Let $x \in X$ and \mathcal{B} be an arbitrary Boolean algebra on X . Then the Dirac measure δ_x at x , defined by setting $\delta_x(E) := \mathbb{1}\{x \in E\}$, is **finitely additive**.

- **Example (*Zero measure*).**

The **zero measure** $0 : E \mapsto 0$ is a *finitely additive measure* on any Boolean algebra.

- **Example (*Linear combinations of measures*).**

If \mathcal{B} is a Boolean algebra on X , and $\mu, \nu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ are *finitely additive measures* on \mathcal{B} , then $\mu + \nu : E \mapsto \mu(E) + \nu(E)$ is also a **finitely additive measure**, as is $c\mu : E \mapsto c \times \mu(E)$ for any $c \in [0, +\infty]$. Thus, for instance, the sum of Lebesgue measure and a Dirac measure is also a finitely additive measure on the Lebesgue algebra (or on any of its sub-algebras).

In other word, the space of all finitely additive measures on \mathcal{B} is a vector space.

- **Example (*Restriction of a measure*).**

If \mathcal{B} is a Boolean algebra on X , $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a *finitely additive measure*, and Y is a \mathcal{B} -measurable subset of X , then the restriction $\mu|_Y : \mathcal{B}|_Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ of \mathcal{B} to Y , defined by setting $\mu|_Y(E) := \mu(E)$ whenever $E \in \mathcal{B}|_Y$ (i.e. if $E \in \mathcal{B}$ and $E \subseteq Y$), is also a **finitely additive measure**.

- **Example (*Counting measure*).**

If \mathcal{B} is a Boolean algebra on X , then the function $\# : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defined by setting $\#(E)$ to be the **cardinality** of E if E is *finite*, and $\#(E) := +\infty$ if E is infinite, is a **finitely additive measure**, known as counting measure.

- **Proposition 2.2 (*Finitely Additive Measures on Atomic Algebra*)**

Let \mathcal{B} be a **finite** Boolean algebra, generated by a finite family A_1, \dots, A_k of non-empty atoms. For every **finitely additive measure** μ on \mathcal{B} there exists $c_1, \dots, c_k \in [0, +\infty]$ such that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k: A_j \subseteq E} c_j.$$

Equivalently, if x_j is a point in A_j for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \delta_{x_j}.$$

where c_1, \dots, c_k are **uniquely** determined by μ .

Proof: Since \mathcal{B} is the atomic algebra generated by $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$, every measurable subset $E = \cup_{j \in I_E} A_j$ where $I_E = \{j : A_j \subseteq E\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ is a finite set. Then due to finite additivity, for any finitely additive measure μ on \mathcal{B}

$$\mu(E) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq k: A_j \subseteq E} A_j\right) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k: A_j \subseteq E} \mu(A_j) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k: A_j \subseteq E} c_j$$

where $c_j = \mu(A_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Given A_1, \dots, A_k , we have that c_j is uniquely determined by μ . ■

2.2 Countably Additive Measure

- **Definition** Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space. An (unsigned) countably additive measure μ on \mathcal{B} , or *measure* for short, is a map $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ that obeys the following axioms:

1. (**Empty set**) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
2. (**Countable additivity**) Whenever $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ are a *countable sequence* of *disjoint* measurable sets, then

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

A triplet (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , where (X, \mathcal{B}) is a *measurable space* and $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a *countably additive measure*, is known as a measure space.

- **Remark** Note the distinction between a *measure space* and a *measurable space*. The latter has the *capability* to be equipped with a *measure*, but the former is *actually* equipped with a *measure*.

- **Definition** [Folland, 2013]

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space.

- If $\mu(X) < \infty$ (which implies that $\mu(E) < \infty$ for all $E \in \mathcal{B}$), then μ is called *finite*.
- If $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ where $E_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(E_j) < \infty$, then μ is called *σ -finite*. More generally, if $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ where $E_j \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu(E_j) < \infty$, then E is said to be *σ -finite* for μ .
- If for each $E \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(E) = \infty$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{B}$ with $F \subseteq E$ and $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$, then μ is called *semi-finite*.

- **Example** The followings are examples for *countably additive measures*:

1. **Lebesgue measure** is a *countably additive measure* on the **Lebesgue σ -algebra**, and hence on every sub- σ -algebra (such as the Borel σ -algebra)
2. The **Dirac measures** δ_x are *countably additive*
3. The **counting measure** $\#$ is *countably additive measure*.
4. The **zero measure** is *countably additive measure*.
5. Any **restriction** of a *countably additive measure* to a **measurable subspace** is again *countably additive*.

- **Example** (*Countable combinations of measures*).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}) be a measurable space.

1. If μ is a *countably additive measure* on \mathcal{B} , and $c \in [0, +\infty]$, then $c\mu$ is also *countably additive*.
2. If μ_1, μ_2, \dots are a *sequence of countably additive measures* on \mathcal{B} , then the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n : E \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(E)$ is also a *countably additive measure*.

That is, the space of all countable additive measures on \mathcal{B} is a vector space.

- **Remark** Note that *countable additivity measures are necessarily finitely additive* (by padding out a finite union into a countable union using the empty set), and so countably additive measures inherit all the properties of finitely additive properties, such as monotonicity and finite subadditivity. But one also has additional properties:

Proposition 2.3 *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a **measure space**.*

1. (**Countable subadditivity**) *If E_1, E_2, \dots are \mathcal{B} -measurable, then*

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n).$$

2. (**Upwards monotone convergence**) *If $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ are \mathcal{B} -measurable, then*

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \sup_n \mu(E_n). \quad (1)$$

3. (**Downwards monotone convergence**) *If $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$ are \mathcal{B} -measurable, and $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ for **at least one** n , then*

$$\mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \inf_n \mu(E_n). \quad (2)$$

- **Exercise 2.4** *Show that the **downward monotone convergence** claim can **fail** if the hypothesis that $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ for at least one n is **dropped**.*
- **Proposition 2.5** (**Dominated convergence for sets**). [Tao, 2011]
*Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space. Let E_1, E_2, \dots be a sequence of \mathcal{B} -measurable sets that **converge** to another set E , in the sense that $\mathbf{1}_{E_n}$ converges **pointwise** to $\mathbf{1}_E$. Then*

1. E is also \mathcal{B} -measurable.
2. If there exists a \mathcal{B} -measurable set F of **finite measure** (i.e. $\mu(F) < \infty$) that **contains all of the** E_n , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(E).$$

(Hint: Apply downward monotonicity to the sets $\bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E)$.)

3. The previous part of this proposition can **fail** if the hypothesis that all the E_n are contained in a set of finite measure is **omitted**.

Proof: (1) Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{1}_{E_n}(x) = \mathbf{1}_E(x)$ for every x , then for arbitrary x , $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $|\mathbf{1}_{E_n}(x) - \mathbf{1}_E(x)| < \epsilon$. This means that if $x \in E$ then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $x \in E_n$ for $n \geq N$, i.e.

$$E \subseteq \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{B}.$$

So E is \mathcal{B} -measurable.

(2) First, note that for any $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mu(A) - \mu(B)| &= |(\mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(A \cap B)) - (\mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(A \cap B))| \\
&= |\mu(A \setminus B) - \mu(B \setminus A)| \\
&\leq |\mu(A \setminus B)| + |\mu(B \setminus A)| \\
&= \mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(B \setminus A) = \mu((A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)) = \mu(A \Delta B)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(E_n) - \mu(E)| &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(E_n \Delta E)) \\
&\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left(\bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

As N increases, $\bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E)$ is monotone decreasing. Note that $\bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) \leq F \Delta E$, thus $\mu(\bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E)) \leq \mu(F) < \infty$. By downward monotone convergence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left(\bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) \right) &= \mu \left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) \right) \\
\Rightarrow \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) - \mu(E) \right| &\leq \mu \left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) = \emptyset$, therefore $\mu(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E)) = 0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) &= \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} ((E_n \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus E_n)) \\
&= \left(\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n \right) \setminus E \right) \cup \left(E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n \geq N} E_n \right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$E = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n \geq N} E_n \Rightarrow \left(E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n \geq N} E_n \right) \right) = \emptyset$$

Also

$$E = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n \Rightarrow \left(\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} E_n \right) \setminus E \right) = \emptyset$$

Therefore $\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq N} (E_n \Delta E) = \emptyset$. So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(E_n) - \mu(E)| = 0$ thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(E)$. ■

- **Exercise 2.6** (*Countably Additive Measures on Countable Set with Discrete σ -Algebra*)

Let X be an at most **countable** set with **the discrete σ -algebra**. Show that every measure μ on this measurable space can be uniquely represented in the form

$$\mu = \sum_{x \in X} c_x \delta_x$$

for some $c_x \in [0, +\infty]$, thus

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} c_x$$

for all $E \subseteq X$. (This claim fails in the **uncountable** case, although showing this is slightly tricky.)

- **Definition (Completeness).** [Tao, 2011]
A **null set** of a measure space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is defined to be a \mathcal{B} -measurable set of **measure zero**. A **sub-null** set is any subset of a null set.

A measure space is said to be **complete** if every sub-null set is a null set.

- **Theorem 2.7** The **Lebesgue measure space** $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{R}^d], m)$ is **complete**, but the **Borel measure space** $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}^d], m)$ is **not**.
- Completion is a convenient property to have in some cases, particularly when dealing with properties that hold almost everywhere. Fortunately, it is fairly easy to modify any measure space to be complete:

Proposition 2.8 (Completion).

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space. There exists a **unique refinement** $(X, \overline{\mathcal{B}}, \overline{\mu})$, known as **the completion** of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) , which is the **coarsest** refinement of (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) that is **complete**. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ consists precisely of those sets that differ from a \mathcal{B} -measurable set by a **\mathcal{B} -subnull set**.

- **Remark** The Lebesgue measure space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{R}^d], m)$ is the **completion** of the Borel measure space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}^d], m)$.
- **Exercise 2.9 (Approximation by an algebra).**
Let \mathcal{A} be a **Boolean algebra** on X , and let μ be a measure on the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{A} , i.e. $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$.

1. If $\mu(X) < \infty$, show that for every $E \in \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(E \Delta F) < \epsilon$.
2. More generally, if $X = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ for some $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ for all n , $E \in \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ has finite measure, and $\epsilon > 0$, show that there exists $F \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(E \Delta F) < \epsilon$.

2.3 Outer Measures and the Carathéodory Extension Theorem

- **Remark** Just like when we constructed the Lebesgue measure, we first constructed the Lebesgue outer measure. We can abstract this process:

1. We first define outer measure for **all subsets** in X (not just in σ -algebra);

2. Then we use the *Carathéodory Extension Theorem* to construct a countably additive measure from outer measure.

- **Definition (Abstract outer measure).** [Tao, 2011]

Let X be a set. An **abstract outer measure** (or **outer measure** for short) is a map $\mu^* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ that assigns an *unsigned extended real number* $\mu^*(E) \in [0, +\infty]$ to every set $E \subseteq X$ which obeys the following axioms:

1. (**Empty set**) $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$.
2. (**Monotonicity**) If $E \subseteq F$, then $\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(F)$.
3. (**Countable subadditivity**) If $E_1, E_2, \dots \subseteq X$ is a countable sequence of subsets of X , then

$$\mu^* \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_n).$$

Outer measures are also known as **exterior measures**.

- **Remark Lebesgue outer measure** m^* is an outer measure. On the other hand, **Jordan outer measure** $m^{*,J}$ is only *finitely subadditive* rather than *countably subadditive* and thus is **not**, strictly speaking, an outer measure.
- **Remark** Note that outer measures are **weaker** than measures in that they are merely *countably subadditive*, rather than *countably additive*. On the other hand, they are able to *measure all subsets of X* , whereas measures can only measure a σ -algebra of *measurable sets*.
- **Definition (Carathéodory measurability).**

Let μ^* be an outer measure on a set X . A set $E \subseteq X$ is said to be **Carathéodory measurable with respect to μ^*** (or, **μ^* -measurable**) if one has

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \setminus E) + \mu^*(A \cap E)$$

for every set $A \subseteq X$.

- **Example (Null sets are Carathéodory measurable).**
Suppose that E is a **null set** for an outer measure μ^* (i.e. $\mu^*(E) = 0$). Then that E is Carathéodory measurable with respect to μ^* .
- **Example (Compatibility with Lebesgue measurability).** A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is Carathéodory measurable with respect to Lebesgue outer measure if and only if it is Lebesgue measurable.
- **Theorem 2.10 (Carathéodory extension theorem).** [Tao, 2011]
Let $\mu^* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be an outer measure on a set X , let \mathcal{B} be the collection of all subsets of X that are **Carathéodory measurable with respect to μ^*** , and let $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be the **restriction** of μ^* to \mathcal{B} (thus $\mu(E) := \mu^*(E)$ whenever $E \in \mathcal{B}$). Then \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra, and μ is a measure.
- **Remark** The measure μ constructed by the Carathéodory extension theorem is automatically **complete**.
- **Proposition 2.11** Let \mathcal{B} be a **Boolean algebra** on a set X . Then \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra **if and only if** it is **closed** under countable disjoint unions, which means that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{B}$ whenever $E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ are a countable sequence of **disjoint** sets in \mathcal{B} .

- **Definition (Pre-measure).**

A **pre-measure** on a **Boolean algebra** \mathcal{B}_0 is a function $\mu_0 : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ that satisfies the conditions:

1. (**Empty Set**): $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$
2. (**Countably Additivity**): IF $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}_0$ are *disjoint sets* such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is in \mathcal{B}_0 ,

$$\mu_0 \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n).$$

- **Remark** A pre-measure μ_0 is a **finitely additive measure** that **already** is *countably additive within* a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B}_0 .
- **Remark** The countably additivity condition for pre-measure can be relaxed to be the *countably subadditivity* $\mu_0(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_n)$ without affecting the definition of a pre-measure.
- **Proposition 2.12** Let $\mathcal{B} \subset 2^X$ and $\mu_0 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be such that $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$. For any $A \subseteq X$, define

$$\mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(E_j) : E_j \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ and } A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \right\}.$$

Then μ^* is an outer measure.

- **Theorem 2.13 (Hahn-Kolmogorov Theorem).**

Every **pre-measure** $\mu_0 : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ on a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B}_0 in X can be **extended** to a **countably additive measure** $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$.

- **Remark** We can construct an *outer measure* μ^* according to Proposition 2.12. Let \mathcal{B} be the *collection* of all sets $E \subseteq X$ that are *Carathéodory measurable with respect to μ^** (μ^* -measurable), and let μ be the *restriction* of μ^* to \mathcal{B} . The tuple (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is what we want in *Hahn-Kolmogorov theorem*.

The measure μ constructed in this way is called **the Hahn-Kolmogorov extension of the pre-measure μ_0** .

- **Proposition 2.14 (Uniqueness of the Hahn-Kolmogorov Extension)**

Let $\mu_0 : \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a **pre-measure**, let $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be the **Hahn-Kolmogorov extension** of μ_0 , and let $\mu' : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be **another** countably additive extension of μ_0 . Suppose also that μ_0 is **σ -finite**, which means that one can express the whole space X as the countable union of sets $E_1, E_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}_0$ for which $\mu_0(E_n) < \infty$ for all n . Then μ and μ' agree on their common domain of definition. In other words, show that $\mu(E) = \mu'(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}'$.

(Hint: first show that $\mu'(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{B}'$.)

- **Exercise 2.15** The purpose of this exercise is to show that the **σ -finite hypothesis** above **cannot be removed**. Let \mathcal{A} be the collection of all subsets in \mathbb{R} that can be expressed as finite unions of half-open intervals $[a, b)$. Let $\mu_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be the function such that $\mu_0(E) = +\infty$ for non-empty E and $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$.

1. Show that μ_0 is a pre-measure.
2. Show that $\langle A \rangle$ is the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}]$.
3. Show that the Hahn-Kolmogorov extension $\mu : \mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ of μ_0 assigns an **infinite measure** to any non-empty Borel set.
4. Show that **counting measure** $\#$ (or more generally, $c\#$ for any $c \in (0, +\infty]$) is **another extension** of μ_0 on $\mathcal{B}[\mathbb{R}]$.

References

Gerald B Folland. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

Terence Tao. *An introduction to measure theory*, volume 126. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.