

Homework 1

Tianshuang (Ethan) Qiu

September 5, 2021

1 Ross 1.4

1.1 a

$$n = 1, 1 = 1$$

$$n = 2, 1 + 3 = 4$$

$$n = 3, 1 + 3 + 5 = 9$$

$$n = 4, 1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16$$

$$\text{Conjecture: } \sum_{i=1}^n 2i - 1 = n^2$$

1.2 b

Base case: $n = 1$. $\sum_{i=1}^1 2i - 1 = 1$, base case holds.

Inductive hypothesis: let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n 2i - 1 = n^2$

Inductive proof: consider $n+1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} 2i - 1 = (\sum_{i=1}^n 2i - 1) + 2(n+1) - 1 = n^2 + 2n + 1 = (n+1)^2$.

Thus we have proven that for $n+1$, the sum of the sequence is also $(n+1)^2$, and our conjecture holds.

Q.E.D.

2 Ross 1.12

2.1 a

$$(a + b) = a + b$$

$$(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2$$

$$(a + b)^3 = a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3$$

The theorem holds for all the above cases.

2.2 b

We will prove this with a combinatorics proof.

$\binom{n}{k}$ calculates the number of ways to choose k elements from a set with n . Likewise, $\binom{n}{k-1}$ gives the ways to choose $k - 1$ from n .

When we evaluate $\binom{n+1}{k}$, we can line up the $n + 1$ elements in a row. To choose the k elements, we can either choose the first element or not. If we choose the first element, there are now n elements left and $k - 1$ elements to choose; if we do not, there are n elements left and still $k - 1$ to choose. It is precisely the two elements above: $\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}$.

Q.E.D.

2.3 c

Base case: let $n = 1$, $(a + b)^1 = a + b$, base case holds.

Inductive hypothesis: assume that the hypothesis holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Inductive proof: $(a + b)^{n+1} = (a + b)(a + b)^n$, therefore by the inductive hypothesis, it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (a + b)\left(\binom{n}{0}a^n + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b + \dots + \binom{n}{n}b^n\right) \\ &= a\left(\binom{n}{0}a^n + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b + \dots + \binom{n}{n}b^n\right) + b\left(\binom{n}{0}a^n + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b + \dots + \binom{n}{n}b^n\right) \\ &= \binom{n}{0}a^{n+1} + \binom{n}{1}a^nb + \dots + \binom{n}{n}ab^n + \binom{n}{0}a^nb + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n}b^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Now we group like terms:

$$= \binom{n}{0}a^{n+1} + \left(\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{0}\right)a^nb + \dots + \left(\binom{n}{n} + \binom{n}{n-1}\right)ab^n + \binom{n}{n}b^{n+1}$$

Using part(b) and that $\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n+1}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{n}{n} = \binom{n+1}{n+1} = 1$:

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} a^{n+1-i} b^i$$

Thus we have proven the inductive case.
Q.E.D.

3 Q3

For this problem we pick intermediary ab .

$\because a \leq b, b \geq 0, \therefore ab \leq bb = b^2$. By the same logic, we can multiply both sides by a and get $a^2 = aa \leq ab$

Since $a^2 \leq ab \leq b^2$, $a^2 \leq b^2$ must hold according to the order field axiom.

To prove the converse, we will take its contrapositive: $a > b \implies a^2 > b^2$.

We can repeat the logic as above, using ab as the intermediary. We arrive at the conclusion that $a^2 > ab > b^2$, proving our statement.

Thus we have proved the statement and its converse. $a \leq b \iff a^2 \leq b^2$ ($a, b \geq 0$).

Q.E.D.

4 Ross 4.7

4.1 a

Since the infimum is a member of the set of lowerbounds for any set, and the supremum is a member of the set of upperbounds, we have $\inf S \leq s(\forall s \in S)$, and $\sup S \geq s(\forall s \in S)$. Therefore by the ordered field axiom, $\inf S \leq \sup S$ for any set.

Thus we have proven $\inf T \leq \sup T$ and $\inf S \leq \sup S$. Now we show that $\inf T \leq \inf S$.

Suppose that the above statement is false, so $\inf S > \inf T$. Therefore $\min s | s \in \mathbb{R}, s \leq x(\forall x \in S) > \min t | t \in \mathbb{R}, s \leq y \forall y \in T$. Then consider $k = (\inf S + \inf T)/2$. Since $\inf S > \inf T$, $k < \inf S$. From the definition of infimum, $k < s(\forall s \in S)$. Furthermore, since $k > \inf T$, $\exists t \in T$ such that $t > k$. However, $S \subseteq T$, so every element of S is in T. It is impossible for an element to exist in T but not in S. Therefore our assumption is incorrect.

We conclude that $\inf T \leq \inf S$.

We can repeat the same argument symmetrically for the supremum and show that $\sup T \geq \sup S$. Then by the ordered field axioms we can arrive at the conclusion $\inf T \leq \inf S \leq \sup S \leq \sup T$.

Q.E.D.

4.2 b

Let $x = \sup S, y = \sup T, Z = S \cup T$. Furthermore, let $x \geq y$ (switch S, T if $x < y$). To show that $x = \sup Z$, we need to show that $\forall z \in Z, x \geq z$, and that x is the minimum of all upperbounds of Z .

To show the first part, we assume that the statement is false. $\exists z \in Z$ s.t. $z > x$. Since Z is the union of S and T , all the elements inside must be from S or T . $x = \sup S, y = \sup T$, and since $x \geq y$, x is an upperbound for both S and T . This is a contradiction to our assumption that $\exists z \in Z$ s.t. $z > x$. Therefore our assumption is incorrect and x must be an upperbound for Z .

To second part, we also assume that it is false. $\exists a < x$, s.t. $a \geq z(\forall z \in Z)$. Consider $b_0 = \frac{a+x}{2}$. Firstly we can see that $b_0 > a$ since $a < x$. Secondly, if $b_0 \in S$, our proof is complete since $b_0 > a$ and $b_0 \in S$, therefore $b_0 \in Z$. We have found an element in the superset that is greater than our assumed supremum. This is a contradiction.

If $b_0 \notin S$

5 Ross 4.8