

# Homework 2

Tianshuang (Ethan) Qiu

September 12, 2021

## 1 Ross 4.15

For this we first prove that  $\frac{1}{n} > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . First we multiply both sides by  $n$ , since  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 0$ , the sign does not change.

We get  $LHS = 1$   $RHS = 0$ , since  $1 > 0$  is an axiom, therefore we know that  $1/n > 0$ .

Now since we have proven  $\frac{1}{n} \geq 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by the ordered field axioms  $a \leq b$ .  
Q.E.D.

## 2 Ross 4.16

Let the set in question be denoted by  $S$ . We will prove the claim via contradiction. We break its negation down into two cases:  $\sup S < a$  or  $\sup S > a$ . For the former, let  $x = \sup S, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the denseness of rationals we see that there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.  $x < q \leq a$ . By the definition of this set we have  $q \in S$ . Therefore we have just found a member of this set that is greater than the supremum. This is a contradiction so  $x$  cannot be less than  $a$ .

For the latter, we once again let  $x = \sup S, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $a$ .  $a < x$  and by definition of  $S$ ,  $\forall s \in S, s < a$ . We have found an upperbound that is less than our supremum. That is a contradiction so  $x$  cannot be greater than  $a$ .  $\sup S = a$  Q.E.D.

### 3 Ross 8.2

#### 3.1 a

Claim:  $a_n \rightarrow 0$

Proof:  $a_n = \frac{n}{n^2+1} \leq \frac{n+1/n}{n^2+1} = \frac{1}{n} \ (n \neq 0)$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we select our  $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ .  $\forall n > N, |a_n - 0| < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ , thus the sequence converges.

#### 3.2 c

Claim:  $c_n \rightarrow \frac{4}{7}$

Proof:  $|c_n - \frac{4}{7}| = |\frac{28n+21}{49n-35} - \frac{4(7n-5)}{49n-35}|$

$$= \frac{28n + 21 - 28n + 20}{49n - 35}$$

$$= \frac{41}{49n - 35} \leq \frac{41}{49n}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we select our  $N = \frac{41}{49\epsilon}$ . For all  $n > N, |c_n - \frac{4}{7}| \leq \frac{41}{49n} < \epsilon$

#### 3.3 e

Claim:  $s_n \rightarrow 0$

Proof:  $|s_n - 0| = |\frac{1}{n} \sin n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we select our  $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . For all  $n > N, |s_n - 0| \leq \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$

## 4 Ross 8.5

### 4.1 a

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $a_n, b_n \rightarrow s$ ,  $|a_n - s| < \epsilon \forall n > N_1$  and  $|b_n - s| < \epsilon \forall n > N_2$ .  
Let  $k > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ ,  $a_k \leq s_k \leq b_k$ . We subtract  $s$  from the expression and we have:  $a_k - s \leq s_k - s \leq b_k - s$ . Furthermore  $|a_k - s| < \epsilon$ ,  $|b_k - s| < \epsilon$ .  
Since  $s_k - s$  is “sandwiched” between two expressions whose absolute values are less than epsilon, then  $|s_k - s| < \epsilon$ .  
 $s_n \rightarrow 0$ , Q.E.D.

### 4.2 b

Claim:  $\lim s_n = 0$ .

Proof: Since the absolute value  $s$  is strictly non-negative,  $t_n \geq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $\lim t_n = 0$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\forall k > N, t_k < \epsilon$ . Then consider the sequence  $d_n = 0$ . Obviously  $\lim d_n = 0$ .

$$0 = |d_k - 0| \leq |s_k| = |s_k - 0| \leq t_k = |t_k - 0|$$

Therefore  $s_n$  converges to 0 by squeeze lemma.

## 5 Ross 8.7

### 5.1 a

Assume that this sequence  $a_n$  converges, let  $a_n \rightarrow k$ . By our assumption  $\exists N \in \mathbb{R} s.t. |a_n - k| < \epsilon \forall n > N$ . Since this is a cosine function it is cyclical, we can see that it goes 1, 0.5, -0.5, -1, -0.5, 0.5, ..., repeating ad infinitum. Let  $\epsilon = 0.1$ . Select  $t > N, t \bmod 6 \equiv 0$ . By the pattern we observed above, we know that  $a_t = 0$ . Furthermore, we know that  $a_{t+1} = 0.5$ . By the definition of convergence we have  $|a_t - k| < \epsilon$ ,  $|a_{t+1} - k| < \epsilon$ , substituting the values we have calculated we have  $|0 - k| < 0.1$ ,  $|0.5 - k| < 0.1$ ,  $|0 - k| + |0.5 - k| \leq 0.2$ . However by the triangle property we know that  $|0 - k| + |0.5 - k| \leq 0.5$ . This is a contradiction, therefore our assumption is not correct.  $a_n$  does not converge. Q.E.D.

### 5.2 b

For this problem we simply need to show that the sequence is not bounded. Assume that the sequence is bounded, and that there is a supremum  $k$ . By the Archimedean Principle  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} s.t. n > k$ . Consider  $s_n$  (if  $n$  is odd consider  $s_{n+1}$ ), this term is greater than  $k$ . Therefore we have found a member in the set that is greater than the supremum.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

The sequence is not bounded, therefore  $s_n$  cannot converge. Q.E.D.

### 5.3 c

The sequence here is very similar to that in section (a). The pattern is 0, 0.5, 1, 0.5, 0, -0.5, -1, -0.5, ... . We can let  $\epsilon = 0.1$  again and assume that it converges. So let  $N \in \mathbb{R} s.t. |c_n - \lim c_n| < \epsilon \forall n > N$ . Pick  $i > N s.t. i \bmod 6 \equiv 0$ . From the pattern that we observed,  $c_{n+1} = 0.5$ . By the triangle inequality we see that  $\lim c_n$  cannot exist since we need the "two sides" (0.2) to be less than the other side (0.5).

We have found a contradiction,  $c_n$  does not converge.

## 6 Ross 8.10

Since  $\lim s_n > a$ ,  $\lim s_n - a > 0$ . Let this value be  $d$ .

Consider  $\epsilon = d$ . Since the sequence converges we have  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}. \forall n > N, |s_n - \lim s_n| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon = \lim s_n - a$ , we have

$$|s_n - \lim s_n| < \lim s_n - a$$

If  $s_n \geq \lim s_n$ ,  $s_n > a$  because  $\lim s_n > a$ .

Otherwise,  $s_n < \lim s_n$ . We can simplify  $|s_n - \lim s_n| < \lim s_n - a$  into  $\lim s_n - s_n < \lim s_n - a$ , and by algebraic manipulation we have  $s_n > a$ .

In both cases  $s_n > a$ . Q.E.D.

## 7 Q7

Claim:  $\lim s_n = 1$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Consider  $a_n = 1$ ,  $b_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . Obviously  $a_n$  converges to 1.

For  $b_n$ , let  $N = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ .  $\forall k > N$ , we have  $|b_k - 1| = |1 - \frac{1}{k} - 1| = |-\frac{1}{k}| = \frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$ .

Therefore  $b_n \rightarrow 1$

Since  $\frac{1}{n} > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(1 - \frac{1}{n}) < 1$ , so  $\sqrt{(1 - \frac{1}{n})} > (1 - \frac{1}{n})$ .

We have shown that  $a_n \rightarrow 1$ ,  $b_n \rightarrow 1$ , and  $b_n \leq s_n \leq a_n$ . Therefore  $s_n \rightarrow 1$  by squeeze theorem.

Q.E.D.