

Homework 1

Tianshuang (Ethan) Qiu

September 6, 2021

1 1

2 2

f

3 3

4 4

5 Ross 4.8

5.1 a

We pick an arbitrary $s \in S$. According to the specifications of the problem, this $s \leq t \forall t \in T$. Therefore this s is a lower bound of the set T , it is bounded below.

We can repeat the same logic symmetrically and pick $t \in T$ to show that it is greater than or equal to every element of S . So S is bounded above.

5.2 b

We will prove this via contradiction. Assume that the statement is false, $\sup S > \inf T$. By the definition of the supremum, $\exists s \in S$ s.t. $s > \inf T$. If T has no supremum or if the supremum is greater than or equal to s , $\exists t \in T$ s.t. $t < s$. Otherwise, $s \geq t \forall t \in T$. Either way, we have found an element in each set that contradicts the prerequisites of this problem.

Our assumption is false and $\sup S \leq \inf T$.

5.3 c

Let $S = T = \{0\}$. Since they are the same set, it satisfies that $s \leq t \forall s \in S$ and $t \in T$. $S \cap T = \{0\}$, a non-empty set.

5.4 d

Let $S = s \in \mathbb{R} | 0 \leq s < 5, T = t \in \mathbb{R} | 5 < t < 10$. This satisfies that $s \leq t \forall s \in S$ and $t \in T$ and $\sup S = \inf T$. However, since the ends at 5 for the two sets are open, they have no overlap. $S \cap T = \{\}$

6 Ross 4.11

For this problem we simply need to replace the 1 in the denseness proof with an arbitrary n .

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b, c \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Archimedean property there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(b - a) > c$. Therefore $bn - an > c$. Furthermore, by the same property there is an integer k such that $k > \max |an|, |bn|$. Therefore $-k < an < bn < k$.

Then consider the set $J = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}, -k \leq j \leq k\}$. This set is a subset of integers, bounded above and below, and non empty (contains at least k). Let $m_1 = \min J$. Then $-k < an < m_1$. Since $m_1 > -k$, m_1 is in J . $an > m_1 - 1$ by our choice of m_1 . $m_1 - 1 \leq an$, $m_1 \leq an + 1 \leq bn$. Therefore $an < m_1 < bn$. We can simply let $m_2 = m_1 + 1$. Since $bn - an > c$, we can keep adding 1 to m_1 until $c - 1$. Furthermore, we can pick c to be arbitrarily large, so we can add 1 arbitrarily many times. Therefore it is infinite.

Q.E.D.

7 Q7

7.1 a

We assume that this is false, so $r^2 < 2$ or $r^2 > 2$. For the former we can let $x^2 = \frac{2+r^2}{2}$. This x^2 is greater than r^2 and less than 2, so x must be greater than r by problem 3. By the denseness of rationals, there must be a rational between x and $\sqrt{2}$. This rational is in the set and greater than the supremum. It is a contradiction, so $r^2 \geq 2$.

If $r^2 > 2$, we can simply change our argument above symmetrically. Let $y^2 = \frac{2+r^2}{2}$. This y^2 is greater than 2 but less than r^2 . By problem 3 y must be smaller than r . Since y^2 is greater than 2, it is greater than every element in S . We have found a smaller upperbound than the supremum. This is a contradiction, therefore $r^2 = 2$.

7.2 b

In this set, consider $a = 3$. $a > s \forall s \in S$. Since s is bounded above, it must have an supremum by the Completeness Axiom. As we have proven above, $r^2 = 2$ must exist.

7.3 c

To prove this, we need to demonstrate that $r^2 = 2, r \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Assume that $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and that $r = \frac{p}{q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \gcd(p, q) = 1$. Since $r^2 = 2, 2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}$

$$p^2 = 2q^2$$

From this we can see that p^2 is even since it is equal to 2 times q^2 . For p^2 to be even, p must also be even. So we can write $p = 2k (k \in \mathbb{Z}), r = \frac{2k}{q}$

$$r^2 = 2 = \frac{4k^2}{q^2}$$

$$2q^2 = 4k^2$$

$$q^2 = 2k^2$$

Here we see that q^2 is also even, so q must be even. However, we have assumed that $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. This is a contradiction, so $r \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Therefore the Completeness Axiom does not hold for \mathbb{Q} .