

Math 74, Week 5


Tianshuang (Ethan) Qiu

September 25, 2021

1 Mon Lec, 2a

Let the statement: “There is at most one parallel line to a given line l through a given point P .” be statement A;

“If a line intersects one of two parallel lines, both of which are coplanar with the original line, then it also intersects the other.” be statement B.



We first prove that $A \implies B$. Let $a \parallel b$, and c intersects a at point Q . Assume that statement B is false so c does not intersect b . Since it does not intersect b and c are coplanar, we have $b \parallel c$. $a \parallel b$, and a, c intersect


at Q . However by A we know that there can be at most one line parallel to b at point Q . ζ . Our assumption is incorrect, $A \implies B$

Then we show that $B \implies A$. Let $a \parallel b$, and c intersects b at point P . Assume that A is incorrect, so we construct a' to also be parallel to b . We know that b must intersect a by B . We name this point P . Then since we have assumed that $a \parallel a'$, our line must also intersect a' at P . Consider $\angle SPT, \angle RQT$, and they must be equal because they $a \parallel b$, and corresponding angles are equal when the two lines are parallel. By the same logic we have $\angle SPT = \angle R'QT$.

Using the transitive property we can get $\angle RQT = \angle R'QT$. However this cannot be true because if the two angles are equal, a, a' overlap and they become the same line. ζ

Our assumption is incorrect and $B \implies A$. Therefore $A \iff B$. Q.E.D.

2 Mon Lec, 3a



2.1 Bisector \implies equal distance from legs

Let OC bisect $\angle AOB$, choose A, B such that $CA \perp OA, CB \perp OB$

Consider $\triangle AOC, \triangle BOC$, since $CA \perp OA, CB \perp OB$, we can write the fol-

lowing using the inner sum of triangles:

$$\angle ACO + \angle COA + 90^\circ = 190^\circ$$

$$\angle BCO + \angle COB + 90^\circ = 190^\circ$$

Since OC bisect $\angle AOB$, we have $\angle COA = \angle COB$, so $\angle ACO = \angle BCO$. Finally, since $\triangle AOC, BOC$ share OC , we have $\triangle AOC \cong \triangle BOC$ (ASA congruency). Therefore $CA = CB$. Q.E.D.

2.2 Equal distance from legs \implies angle bisection

Let OC be a ray from O , choose point C and draw $CA \perp OA, CB \perp OB$.
 $AC = BC$

Consider $\triangle AOC, BOC$, since $CA \perp OA, CB \perp OB$, they are both right triangles. Using $AC = BC$, we have $\triangle AOC \cong \triangle BOC$ (HL right triangle congruency). Therefore $\angle COA = \angle COB$. Q.E.D.

3 Mon Dis, 1b (second bullet)

$$\prod_1^n = (1 - \frac{1}{n^2})$$

We examine $1 - 1/k^2$ and factor it into $\frac{k^2-1}{k^2} = \frac{(k+1)(k-1)}{k^2}$. Since k is incrementing by 1 in our series, we can cancel the majority of terms out since it is telescoping. We can expand our series into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 \times 3}{2^2} \times \frac{2 \times 4}{3^2} \times \dots \times \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^2} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{n+1}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2n} \end{aligned}$$

4 Mon Dis, 1d

(Assuming that)

4.1 $4^n + 15n - 1$

The largest common divisor for these expressions is 1.

4.2 $n^3 - n$

The largest common divisor for these expressions is 6.

4.3 $2^{n+2} + 7n$

The largest common divisor for these expressions is 5.

5 Wed Lec, 3a

Base case: $n = 1, 1 = 1^2$. Base case holds.

Inductive hypothesis: assume that for some $n \geq 1, 1+3+5+\dots+(2n-1) = n^2$.

Inductive proof: consider $n + 1, 1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n - 1) + (2n + 1)$, using our inductive hypothesis, we can substitute everything but the last term:
 $n^2 + 2n + 1 = (n + 1)^2$

Thus we have proven the inductive step. Q.E.D.

6 Wed Lec, 3c

Base case: $n = 1, 1/(4 \times 1^2 - 1) = 1/3 = 1/(2 \times 1 + 1)$. Base case holds.

Inductive hypothesis: assume that for some $n \geq 1, \frac{1}{4 \times 1^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{4 \times 2^2 - 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{4 \times n^2 - 1} = \frac{n}{2n+1}$.

Inductive proof: consider $n + 1$,

$$\frac{1}{4 \times 1^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{4 \times 2^2 - 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{4 \times n^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{4 \times (n+1)^2 - 1}$$

Using our inductive hypothesis, we can substitute everything but the last term:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{4(n+1)^2 - 1} &= \frac{n(4(n+1)^2 - 1)}{(2n+1)(4(n+1)^2 - 1)} + \frac{2n+1}{(2n+1)(4(n+1)^2 - 1)} \\ &= \frac{n(4n^2 + 3 + 8n) + 2n + 1}{(2n+1)(4n^2 + 3 + 8n)} = \frac{4n^3 + 3n + 8n^2 + 2n + 1}{8n^3 + 6n + 16n^2 + 4n^2 + 3 + 8n} = \frac{4n^3 + 8n^2 + 5n + 1}{8n^3 + 20n^2 + 14n + 3} \end{aligned}$$

We apply long division by $2n + 3$ to the denominator.

$$\frac{8n^3 + 20n^2 + 14n + 3}{2n + 3} = 4n^2 + 4n + 1$$

Now we apply long division by $n + 1$ to the numerator.

$$\frac{4n^3 + 8n^2 + 5n + 1}{n + 1} = 4n^2 + 4n + 1$$

Therefore we can factor the expression into

$$\frac{(n+1)(4n^2 + 4n + 1)}{(2n+3)(4n^2 + 4n + 1)} = \frac{n+1}{2n+3}$$

Thus we have proven the inductive step. Q.E.D.


7 Wed Lec, 6b

We claim that we can divide a single square into all $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 6$ squares.


First we define a process of “opening a window”: by connecting midpoints of sides that are across each other, we draw a cross on a square, dividing it into 4 smaller squares. The total gain of this process is $4 - 1 = 3$ squares.

We split the cases into the following: $n = 3k, n = 3k + 1, n = 3k + 2 (k \geq 2)$, and we will prove by induction each case.


Base cases: $n = 6, 7, 8$, we can divide it up like the following:



(a) $n = 6$



(b) $n = 7$



(c) $n = 8$

Inductive case: Assume that for some $k \geq 2$, we can divide a square into $3k, 3k + 1, 3k + 2$ smaller squares, then in order to get $3(k + 1)$ squares, we can simply “open a window” in any of the $3k$ sub squares. This yields a total of $3k + 3 = 3(k + 1)$ squares.

We can prove the cases for $3(k + 1) + 1, 3(k + 1) + 2$ by also “opening a window” using a subsquare in squares that has been divided into $3k + 1$ and $3k + 2$ parts, yielding $3k + 4$ and $3k + 5$ squares.

Thus we have proven the inductive case. Q.E.D.

8 Wed Dis, 3a

Base case: $n = 1$, $1^3 = (1 \times 2/2)^2 = 1$, base case holds.

Inductive case: Assume that

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$$

for some $n \geq 1$, consider $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 + (n+1)^3$, we can apply our inductive hypothesis to get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2 + (n+1)^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} + (n+1)^3 + \frac{n^2(n+1)^2 + 4(n+1)^3}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2(n^2 + 4(n+1))}{4} = \frac{(n+1)^2(n^2 + 4n + 4)}{4} = \left(\frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}{2^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The expression above is exactly what we would expect if we substitute $(n+1)$ for n in $\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$. Therefore we have proven the inductive case. Q.E.D.

9 Wed Dis, 4a

Base case: $n = 0$, $1 = (x-1)/(x-1) = 1$ since $x \neq 1$. Base case holds.

Inductive case: Assume that the statement is true for some $n \geq 0$. Consider $n+1$, we have: $1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n + x^{n+1}$. Now we apply our inductive hypothesis:

$$= \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x - 1} + x^{n+1} = \frac{x^{n+1} - 1 + x^{n+1}(x - 1)}{x - 1} = \frac{x^{n+1} - 1 + x^{n+2} - x^{n+1}}{x - 1} = \frac{x^{n+2} - 1}{x - 1}$$

The expression above is exactly what we would expect if we substitute $(n+1)$ for n in $\frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1}$. Thus we have proven the inductive case. Q.E.D.

10 Fri Lec, 2b

11 Fri Lec, 3a

12 Fri Lec, 3b