

# Philosophy 12, Problem Set 5

Tianshuang (Ethan) Qiu

February 27, 2022

## 1 Q1

### 1.1 a

Resolvent of  $c1, c2$ , we have  $V_1 = q \vee s$

Resolvent of  $c1, c3$ , we have  $V_2 = p \vee \neg s$

Resolvent of  $c2, c3$ , we have  $V_3 = \neg p \vee \neg q$

We have our new formula:  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee s) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg s) \wedge (q \vee s) \wedge (p \vee \neg s) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)$

We continue the algorithm: the resolvent of  $c1, c4$  is  $(p \vee q \vee s)$ ,  $c1, c5$  yields  $(p \vee q \vee \neg s)$ ,  $c1, c6$  yields  $(q \vee \neg q) = 1$ , and will be excluded.

$c2, c4$  yields  $(\neg p \vee q \vee s)$ ,  $c2, c5$  yields 1,  $c2, c6$  yields  $(s \vee \neg p \vee \neg q)$

$c3, c4$  yields 1,  $c3, c5$  yields  $(\neg s \vee p \vee \neg q)$ ,  $c3, c6$  yields  $(\neg s \vee \neg q \vee \neg p)$

Our new formula becomes  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee s) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg s) \wedge (q \vee s) \wedge (p \vee \neg s) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee q \vee s) \wedge (p \vee q \vee \neg s) \wedge (\neg p \vee q \vee s) \wedge (s \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q \vee s) \wedge (s \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg s \vee p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg s \vee \neg q \vee \neg p)$

At this stage, any new resolution of any two sub-formulas result in an expression already in our formula. Thus our algorithm terminates, and the formula is satisfiable.

### 1.2 b

Resolvent of  $c1, c2$ :  $(q)$

Resolvent of  $c1, c3$ :  $(\neg r)$

Resolvent of  $c1, c4$ :  $(r \vee \neg q)$

Consider our formula:  $p \wedge (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q) \wedge (\neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg q)$

Resolvent of  $q \wedge (r \vee \neg q)$ :  $r$ . We have both  $\neg r$  and  $r$  in our CNF, thus it is not satisfiable.

## 2 Q2

### 2.1 a

$$(p \vee \neg s) \wedge (\neg p \vee q \vee s) \wedge \neg s \wedge (s \vee \neg q)$$

Resolvent of  $c1, c3$ :  $\neg s$  ( $c1$  is subsumed)

Resolvent of  $c2, c4$ :  $(\neg p \vee s)$  ( $c2$  is subsumed)

Our formula becomes:  $\neg s \wedge (s \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee s)$

Resolvent of  $c1, c2$ :  $\neg q$  ( $c2$  is subsumed)

Resolvent of  $c1, c3$ :  $\neg p$

Thus our formula is  $\neg s \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg p$ . There can no longer be more resolvents.

Our algorithm terminates and thus the expression is satisfiable.

### 2.2 b

$$s = 0, q = 0, p = 0$$

## 3 Q3

Assume that the algorithm fails and that the result after subsumption yields that the expression is satisfiable but in reality it is not.

Then there must exist subformulas  $C, C'$  such that  $C \leq C'$ . Our algorithm removes  $C'$  when  $C$  is in the formula. Our assumption implies that at least one such step fails.

Consider an arbitrary valuation of  $C$  such that  $\hat{V}(C) = 1$ . Since  $C'$  is subsumed by  $C$ , it is a disjunction of several other atomic expressions. Thus we have  $C' = C \vee \dots$ . Now we can substitute the valuation of  $C$  that gives  $\hat{V}(C) = 1$ . From our formula above, we have  $C' = 1 \vee \dots = 1$ .

Thus it is impossible for any subsuming formula to be satisfiable and the original to be not satisfiable. Our assumption is incorrect and the algorithm works.