1. Completion Status

We implemented expression tree building, grammar construction, register allocation, and code generation. We have tested our implementation on some our self-designed unit tests and some more complicated programs, for example, bubble sort. Our implementation passes all of our tests (when compiled with no phi functions). Our phi function implementation works partially and it passes all the tests when there is no register spills.

We have two implementations of register allocator. We currently use SimpleRegisterAllocator, since we do not have time to integrate the SSA-RegisterAllocator into the compiler. To view the result of SSA-RegisterAllocator, please change the macro SSA_REGISTER_ALLOCATOR in llc_olive_helper.cxx to 1, and the output in the console shows the result of register allocation.

1.1 Assumptions

- All global values need to be defined.
- %rax, %rbx, %rcx, %rdx, %rdi, %rsi, %rbp, %rsp, %r8, %r9 are treated as special registers
- \bullet %r10 and %r11 are not used during function call arguments preparation, since their values are not preserved by callee function.

1.2 Features

- Supports integer type variables with different bit-width, i.e. char, short, int, long, long long.
- Supports conversion between different type of integers by SExt, ZExt, and Trunc.
- Supports both local variables and global variables. However, global variables must be defined.
- Supports integer arithmetic operations: Add, Sub, Mul, UDiv, and SDiv.
- Supports single dimensional array and random indexing into the array by subscripts.
- Supports pointer type, and pointer arithmetic in computing array element address.
- Supports loops and conditionals with break and continue. Our implementation for loops and conditionals with Phi functions works when there is no register spill.
- Supports both user-defined function, library calls (e.g. printf, and recursion. The first six arguments are passed in registers, more arguments will be pushed onto stack. p.s. When encountering an llvm intrinsic function in bitcode, we simply replaced it with libc functions, so common functions like memcpy and memset could be working.

2. Building Expression Tree

2.1 Expression Tree Structure

Our tree structure is designed mostly after the tree structure in sample4.brg. We consider the root of an expression tree (for data flow) to be preserved either in register, or in memory, whereas

the intermediate tree nodes are temporaries.

We also made some other changes to the original tree structure. we used a vector to store the kids so we can potentially have unlimited number of children in a tree. This design fits most instructions well since it provides the ability for supporting different number of operands. Another important addition to the original tree structure is that we added the refer – reference counter, to each tree node, so we know how many times a tree node has been used as an operand during code generation.

In addition, we added many boolean variables to record the state of the tree. For example, it records the storage of the tree (as registers, as memory).

The details of expression tree structure are in

• Tree.h

2.2 Expression Tree Building

In expression tree building, we adopted an bottom-up approach. We iterate through each instruction in each basic block, and build an expression tree for each instruction, then store it in a map. For each instruction, we find the definition of each operand, and use the definition as a key to find the sub-expression trees, then we can attach the sub-expression trees as kids to the current expression tree that we are building. At the same time, the sub-expression tree being referenced will increment their reference counter by 1. On finish building the expression tree, we add it into a vector/list for code generation.

The above procedure describe the general cases of expression tree building. There are some circumstances that an operand cannot be dynamically casted to an instruction. For example, an operand cannot find its definition in previous instruction the following two cases: 1. Br instruction, the operands contain labels to basic blocks. 2. Argument, any instruction could possibly use an argument as an operand. 3. Constant, an immediate number cannot find its definition because there is no definition. 4. Constant Expression, they are like inline instructions, but they are not instructions, so they do not have trees created before.

In order to solve for circumstances 1 and 2, we first adopt an iteration to record the basic blocks and arguments, and then store them into separate maps for indexing. To solve the circumstance 3, we simply create a tree with type IMM and set the value to be the constant. To solve 4, we turn constant expressions first into instruction, and then turn the instruction into a tree, and finally do the usual procedure to construct the expression tree.

The details of expression tree building are in

- llc_olive_helper.cxx:InstructionToExprTrees(...)
- llc_olive_helper.cxx:BasicBlockToExprTrees(...)
- llc_olive_helper.cxx:MakeAssembly(...)

3. Grammar Construction

3.1 Terminals

Our grammar defines all llvm instruction types as terminals, such as Ret, Br and Call, etc. In our design, the opcode for these terminals are exactly the same as the opcode we get in llvm::Instruction. Such alignment makes it easy for grammar matching. In addition, we add DUMMY, REG, IMM, MEM, PTR, LABEL, ARGS, and NOARGS. These additional labels will be introduced in grammar rules.

3.2 Non-terminals & Grammar

- stmt: This is the starting symbol of the grammar.
- reg: This rule matches instructions that will output to a register, such as adding to register, subtracting from register, etc. Arithmetic instructions and load operations are defined as reg. PTR, pointers are also defined as REG, but they have very different internal representations. In fact, pointers are represented internally in the form of memory address.
- imm: This rule matches immediate numbers, and instructions that is equivalent to generating an immediate number, such as loading an immediate number to register.
- mem: This rule matches instructions that will output to a memory address, such as adding a register to memory address, or subtracting a register from memory address, etc. Store and Alloca operations are defined as mem.
- cond: This rule matches only cmp instruction as branch condition. In llvm, the only possible instructions are ICmp and FCmp, for integers and floating point respectively.
- label: This rule matches only the name of basic blocks that are used in a branch instruction.
- args: This rule matches the arguments of functions. It could either NOARGS, or ARGS, representing no more argument, or more arguments to come, since grammar rule requires each non-terminal rule to have a fixed arity, args: This rule is constructed as a binary tree. In order to transform vector into binary tree, we call Tree::KidsAsArguments() to perform such transformation.
- value: This rule matches all possible values: registers, immediate numbers and memory addresses.
- ri: This rule matches registers and immediate numbers.
- rm: This rule matches registers and memory addresses.

The flexibility in llvm IR causes some difficulties in grammar definition:

• llvm IR allows the same instruction to have different arity. For example, Br instruction could either take one operand or three operands, representing unconditional jump and conditional jump, respectively, but our grammar definition in olive requires a rule associated with the same terminal must have the same arity. As mentioned before, our terminals are aligned exactly with llvm IR instruction opcodes, therefore it is not appropriate for us to create another terminal. The way we solve this problem is by padding the arguments with

DUMMY. In the Br example, we will pad the unconditional jump with two DUMMY nodes, so the arity of two kinds of jumps aligned again.

• llvm IR allows var_arg for Call instruction. For a function call, the number of operands in a Call instruction is undetermined. Therefore it is impossible to write a plain rule to match the operands verbatimly. Our way to solve this problem is by create recursive grammar rules for matching arguments. Arguments are first transformed into a binary tree before passed on to olive, then olive could match each args with either ARGS(value, args) recursively, or NOARGS, the terminator of arguments.

The details of grammar definition are in

• llc_olive.brg

3.3 Costs

The cost of each operation is defined based on the sum of the cost of operands, plus some constant numbers we choose for the instruction. For memory and branch operations, we give a higher cost. For register operations, we give a relatively lower cost.

4. Simple Register Allocation

We have implemented two register allocators in this assignment. To differentiate them, we call it simple register allocator, and we will introduce register allocator in the next section. Since we are having troubles integrating the complicated version of register allocator into our compiler, we currently have the simple one integrated and tested, but the source code of the complicated SSA-form register allocator is also attached. Please see next section.

4.1 Build Lifetime Intervals

We build the lifetime intervals during grammar's actions through two function calls:

- RecordLiveStart(...)
- RecordLiveStop(...).

When a virtual register is created, we record the line number of the current instruction and use it as the starting point of virtual register's life. When a virtual register is used, we record the line number of the current instruction and append it to the life of the virtual register. In the end, we will obtain every usage point of a virtual register. p.s. The information we obtained is not liveness information, the simple register allocator causes some bugs when phi is introduced. That is why we have another version of register allocator, detailed in the next version.

The details are in

- RegisterAllocator.h
- RegisterAllocator.cpp

```
• SimpleRegisterAllocator::RecordLiveStart(...)
```

```
• SimpleRegisterAllocator::RecordLiveStop(...)
```

4.2 Physical Register Allocation

In simple register allocator, we maintain two mappings to keep track of the physical register allocation status.

- register_status: This dictionary maps physical registers to its allocation status. If a physical register maps to -1, then this physical register is not allocated to any virtual register, otherwise it is mapped to the corresponding virtual register indicated by the number.
- virtual2machine: This dictionary maps virtual registers to its physical allocation status. If a virtual register maps to -1, then this virtual register has not been allocated a physical register. If a virtual register maps to positive number, then this number represents a physical register that is allocated to this virtual register. Otherwise, if a virtual register maps to a negative number, then this negative number represents that the virtual register's value has been spilled to stack, and the negative number is the offset from the %rbp stack frame.

When a virtual register requests for a physical register allocation, the register allocator tries the following step:

- Scan through available registers. If any physical register is available, then use this physical register. If any virtual register is dead at current line, then we can also revoke virtual register's allocation and use its physical register. p.s. This is not safe because our information about virtual registers' lives are not accurate, as mentioned in the previous section.
- Scan through the used registers, find out the corresponding virtual registers, and pick the virtual register that will be least recently used (in code) in the future. Since code sequence is not the same as the execution sequence, our method is simply a heuristic and makes no guarantee of the performance.

The details of physical register allocation are in

```
• SimpleRegisterAllocator::Allocate(...)
```

• SimpleRegisterAllocator::GetFreeReg(...)

• SimpleRegisterAllocator::GetRegToSpill(...)

4.3 Spill and Restore

In our implementation, we first run a simulation of register allocation to determine how many spill spots we need, and then we allocate the spill spots on the stack. When creating a spill, FunctionState will return the offset of the spill spot on the stack, and RegisterAllocator will save it. When restoring a spilled register, Register Allocator will provide the offset from the stack to restore the value.

In our implementation, all spills and restores are implemented using mov, therefore there is no problem of stack overflowing.

The details of physical register allocation are in

```
    FunctionState::CreateSpill(...)
    FunctionState::RestoreSpill(...)
    SimpleRegisterAllocator::Allocate(...)
    SimpleRegisterAllocator::GetFreeReg(...)
    SimpleRegisterAllocator::GetRegToSpill(...)
```

5. Register Allocation

The Poletto's paper [1] describes a general framework of allocating limited number of physical registers to arbitrary number of live ranges obtained from liveness analysis. Later research found that the register allocation could largely benefit from Static Single Assignment (SSA) form. In this project, we implemented the SSA-form-based register allocation originated in the paper [2].

For the following part, we will present the details of our implementation in three steps: Building Lifetime Intervals, Allocating Registers, and Integrating Allocation to Code Generation.

5.1 Building Lifetime Intervals

The very first step is to build lifetime intervals in terms of the SSA-form LLVM intermediate representation. The objective of doing so is to generate one lifetime interval for each virtual register, covering operation numbers where the register is alive and with lifetime holes in between. Such lifetime interval contains the usage information of virtual registers and thus serves as the input of physical register allocation in later step.

We initiated two classes class Interval and class LiveRange to represent the corresponding interval and included live ranges of a virtual register respectively. In class Interval, we correctly implemented two operations required by the SSA-form-based interval construction:

- Interval::addRange(int from, int to): impose the live
- Interval::setFrom(int pos): shorten one live range of the interval and change the startpoint of the live range that pos resides in to pos

Note that addRange needs to merge live ranges if there exist overlapping ones and we correctly implemented (see log in stdout).

The details of these two significant data structures can be found in

• LiveRange.h:

Here is the procedural description of our way of constructing lifetime intervals (see Figure. 1). Given the intermediate representation in SSA form, we traverse each block b in reverse order where all dominators of a block are before this block, and where all blocks belonging to the same loop are contiguous. For each block b, we initialize the live as the union of all its successors' live virtual registers. Then we add input operands of all phi functions residing in b's successors to livein.

```
LINEARSCANREGISTERALLOCATION
BUILDINTERVALS
                                                                              active \leftarrow \{\}
for each block b in reverse order do
                                                                              foreach live interval i, in order of increasing start point
   live = union of successor.liveln for each successor of b
                                                                                    EXPIREOLDINTERVALS(i)
                                                                                    if length(active) = R then
   for each phi function phi of successors of b do
                                                                                         SPILLATINTERVAL(i)
       live.add(phi.inputOf(b))
                                                                                         register[i] \leftarrow a register removed from pool of free registers
   for each opd in live do
                                                                                         add i to active, sorted by increasing end point
       intervals[opd].addRange(b.from, b.to)
   for each operation op of b in reverse order do
                                                                        EXPIREOLDINTERVALS(i)
       for each output operand opd of op do
                                                                              foreach interval j in active, in order of increasing end point
                                                                                   \mathbf{if} \ endpoint[j] \geq startpoint[i] \ \mathbf{then}
           intervals[opd].setFrom(op.id)
           live.remove(opd)
                                                                                         return
                                                                                    remove j from active
       for each input operand opd of op do
                                                                                   add register[j] to pool of free registers
           intervals[opd].addRange(b.from, op.id)
           live.add(opd)
                                                                        SpillAtInterval(i)
   for each phi function phi of b do
                                                                              spill \leftarrow last interval in active
       live.remove(phi.output)
                                                                              if endpoint[spill] > endpoint[i] then
                                                                                    register[i] \leftarrow register[spill]
   if b is loop header then
                                                                                    location[spill] \leftarrow new stack location
       loopEnd = last block of the loop starting at b
                                                                                   remove spill from active
       for each opd in live do
                                                                                   add i to active, sorted by increasing end point
           intervals[opd].addRange(b.from, loopEnd.to)
                                                                                    location[i] \leftarrow \text{new stack location}
   b.liveln = live
```

Figure 1: Build Lifetime Intervals Based on SSA-form Intermediate Representation

Figure 2: Overall Framework of The Linear-Scan Register Allocator

And now all intervals in existing live will be assigned a new range of current block. Afterwards, we iterate through each individual instruction within the current block b, updating all intervals corresponding to each input and output operand of the instruction, and removing/adding virtual registers from/to the live. Next, we need to remove all corresponding virtual registers of output operands of all phi function in current block b. Lastly, if the current block b is a loop header, all intervals in existing live should be appended with a new range from the start of the block to the end of the loop. Before steping into the next basic block, move all remained virtual registers in live to livein.

The details of building lifetime intervals can be found in

• llc_olive_helper.cxx:BuildIntervals(...)

5.2 Allocating Physical Registers

Our implementation strictly follow the general framework of physical register allocation described in the Poletto's paper [1] as shown in Figure 2.

We created one class named class RegisterAllocator to encapsulate all elementary data structures and operations required by the task of register allocation. The basic idea of our linear-scan register allocator is that it allocates one free register when one available register exists, and spill one interval (virtual register) out to stack if all physical registers are occupied.

Two fundamental procedures in determining which specific physical registers for allocation:

- tryAllocateFreeReg(): allocate free register to the new-coming interval when there is one available register.
- ullet allocateBlockedReg(): spill one interval and allocate its register to new-coming interval.

For these two procedure, we strictly follow the strategy described in the paper [2] as shown in Figure. 3.

TRYALLOCATEFREEREG
set freeUntilPos of all physical registers to maxInt
for each interval it in active do
 freeUntilPos[it.reg] = 0
for each interval it in inactive intersecting with current do
 freeUntilPos[it.reg] = next intersection of it with current
reg = register with highest freeUntilPos
...

ALLOCATEBLOCKEDREG
set nextUsePos of all physical registers to maxInt
for each interval it in active do
 nextUsePos[it.reg] = next use of it after start of current
for each interval it in inactive intersecting with current do
 nextUsePos[it.reg] = next use of it after start of current
reg = register with highest nextUsePos

Figure 3: Strategy of Register Allocation

One noticeable variation in our implementation is that when deciding freeUntilPos and nextUsePos, we take the minimal of all conflicting next intersection (or next use), which causes very reasonable choice of physical register.

Other details about class RegisterAllocator can be found in

- RegisterAllocator.h
- RegisterAllocator.cpp

5.3 Integrating Allocation to Code Generation

Acquiring the generated allocation result at the stage of code generation is another tricky thing of this project. The way we access the allocation result is as follows. For each *instruction or value* involved in the register replacement (from virtual to physical)

- Look up its associated virtual register.
- Look up its operation number in LLVM intermediate representation.
- Use the associated virtual register to access the value's corresponding interval.
- Scan through all live ranges of its interval and determine which live range of its interval the operation number resides in.
- Look up which physical register has been assigned to it and output that physical register.

At this point, the integration part does not work because we bifurcate our implementation and have different virtual register coding. If given more time, we would be able to resolve this problem.

6. Code Generation

6.1 Select Which Expression Tree to Build

Since we start building our expression tree using a bottom-up approach, we end up with many expression trees. Therefore we need to decide which expression trees to be thrown into olive for code generation. In our case, we use **refcnt** to decide whether an expression tree should be turned into codes.

By traversing the list of expression trees, if the **refcnt** of an expression tree is 0, then we consider it to be the root of an expression tree, and therefore feed it into olive for code generation. If the **refcnt** of an expression tree is not 0, then it must be used as an operand somewhere in other trees. Therefore we ignore it.

In our observation, instructions such as Store and Branch are always the root of an expression tree, whereas instructions like Alloca will almost always be referenced in some other instruction.

Another criterion to determine whether a tree should be thrown to olive is by flow dependence. If an instruction has a flow dependence, then we will also make it a root of an expression tree, and throw it into olive for code generation. After the flow dependence has been solved, we will recompute the flow dependence relations.

The details of this part

- llc_olive_helper.cxx:MakeAssembly(...)
- llc_olive_helper.cxx:SolveFlowDependence(...)

6.2 Fake Assembly Code Generation

We perform the fake assembly code generation in olive actions. Our fake assembly codes will fill every register with virtual registers, denoted in the form %0, %1, etc. All olive actions take in a FunctionState object, which manages the virtual register assignment, and provides convenient interfaces for fake assembly code generation. Each olive action will generate one or more X86Inst objects to represent an X86 instruction, and it will add the generated instructions into the FunctionState object in sequence. All the added instructions will be printed after register allocation.

There are several differences in llvm IR to X86 assembly translation, causing the translation to be hard. They are

- llvm IR does not have limit in arity, but X86 assembly uses at most two operands in one instruction. llvm IR is a relatively high level representation compared to X86, since one instruction in llvm IR could result in multiple X86 assembly instructions after translation.
- llvm IR outputs the result into a new virtual register, while X86 output the result into the dst operand. This implies that the virtual registers in llvm IR and the virtual registers in fake assembly are different. To solve this problem, we provided CreateVirtualReg(...) in FunctionState class to allocate a new virtual register, and then we can use this newly allocated virtual register in the generated X86 instruction.
- llvm IR does not use special registers, but X86 assembly does. llvm IR is a universal intermediate representation that does not involve physical registers. However, in X86 assembly,

physical registers are used in multiple cases, such as return value, function call parameters, stack frame, etc. To compensate for this, we also provided CreatePhysicalReg(...) in FunctionState. Registers created using this method will bypass the register allocation process. Therefore, this method should only be used to create special-purpose registers, otherwise it will cause mistakes in the generated program.

The details of fake assembly generation are in

- llc_olive.brg
- FunctionState.h

6.3 Print X86 Assembly

We provided PrintAssembly(...) in FunctionState for assembly printing. In this function, we will print the prolog, the function body and the epilog of the function. We overrided the print operator in X86Inst so that it will print the physical register allocated by the reigster allocator.

The details of fake assembly generation are in

• FunctionState.cpp::PrintAssembly(...)

7. Testing

We tested our implementation with 36 test cases. Some of them are simple unit tests that examine single instruction implementation. Some are more complicated tests like bubble_sort.

We also provided an automated testing environment in our Makefile. You can type "make clean test" to run all tests. More details of testing are in README.md.

References

- [1] POLETTO, M., AND SARKAR, V. Linear scan register allocation. ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS) 21, 5 (1999), 895–913.
- [2] WIMMER, C., AND FRANZ, M. Linear scan register allocation on ssa form. In *Proceedings* of the 8th annual IEEE/ACM international symposium on Code generation and optimization (2010), ACM, pp. 170–179.