

APM462: Nonlinear Optimization

Tianyu Du

September 11, 2019

Contents

1	Preliminaries	2
1.1	Mean Value Theorems and Taylor Approximations.	2
1.2	Implicit Function Theorem	3
2	Convexity	3
2.1	Terminologies	3
2.2	Minimum and Maximum of Convex Functions	4

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Mean Value Theorems and Taylor Approximations.

Definition 1.1. Let $f : S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the **gradient** of f at $x \in S$, if exists, is a vector $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ characterized by the property

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+v) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot v}{\|v\|} = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem 1.1 (The First Order of Mean Value Theorem). Let f be a C^1 real-valued function defined on \mathbb{R}^n , then for any $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f(x+v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x + \theta v) \cdot v \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. Let $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define $g(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} := f(x + tv)$, which is C^1 . By the mean value theorem on \mathbb{R} , there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(0+1) = g(0) + g'(\theta)(1-0)$, that is, $f(x+v) = f(x) + g'(\theta)$. Note that $g'(\theta) = \nabla f(x + \theta v) \cdot v$, what desired is immediate. ■

Proposition 1.1 (The First Order Taylor Approximation). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function, then

$$f(x+v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot v + o(\|v\|) \quad (1.3)$$

that is

$$\lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+v) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot v}{\|v\|} = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

Proof. By the mean value theorem, $\exists \theta \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(x+v) - f(x) = \nabla f(x + \theta v) \cdot v$. The limit becomes $\lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\nabla f(x + \theta v) - \nabla f(x)] \cdot v}{\|v\|} = \lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow 0; x + \theta v \rightarrow x} \frac{[\nabla f(x + \theta v) - \nabla f(x)] \cdot v}{\|v\|}$. Since $f \in C^1$, $\lim_{x + \theta v \rightarrow x} \nabla f(x + \theta v) = \nabla f(x)$. And $\frac{v}{\|v\|}$ is a unit vector, and every component of it is bounded, as the result, the limit of inner product vanishes instead of explodes. ■

Theorem 1.2 (The Second Order Mean Value Theorem). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function, then for any $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$ satisfying

$$f(x+v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} v' H_f(x + \theta v) v \quad (1.5)$$

where H_f is the Hessian matrix of f , may also be written as $\nabla^2 f$.

Proposition 1.2 (The Second Order Taylor Approximation). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function, and $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$f(x+v) = f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} v' H_f(x) v + o(\|v\|^2) \quad (1.6)$$

that is

$$\lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+v) - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot v - \frac{1}{2} v' H_f(x) v}{\|v\|^2} = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

Proof. By the second mean value theorem, there exists $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that the limit is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\|v\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v}{\|v\|} \right)' [H_f(x + \theta v) - H_f(x)] \frac{v}{\|v\|} \quad (1.8)$$

Since $f \in C^2$, the limit of $[H_f(x + \theta v) - H_f(x)]$ is in fact $\mathbf{0}_{n \times n}$. And every component of unit vector $\frac{v}{\|v\|}$ is bounded, the quadratic form converges to zero as an immediate result. ■

It is often noted that the gradient at a particular $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ gives the direction f increases most rapidly. Let $x_0 \in \text{dom}(f)$, and v be a unit vector representing a *feasible direction* of change. That is, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x_0 + tv \in \text{dom}(f) \forall t \in [0, \delta]$. Then the rate of change of f along feasible direction v can be written as

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(x_0 + tv) = \nabla f(x_0) \cdot v = \|\nabla f(x_0)\| \|v\| \cos(\theta) \quad (1.9)$$

where $\theta = \angle(v, \nabla f(x_0))$. And the derivative is maximized when $\theta = 0$, that is, when v and ∇f point the same direction.

1.2 Implicit Function Theorem

Theorem 1.3 (Implicit Function Theorem). Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function, let $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(a, b) = 0$. If $\nabla f(a, b) \neq 0$, then $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : f(x, y) = 0\}$ is locally a graph of a function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 1.1. $\nabla f(x_0) \perp$ level set of f near x_0 .

2 Convexity

2.1 Terminologies

Definition 2.1. Set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is **convex** if and only if

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \Omega, \lambda \in [0, 1], \lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 \in \Omega \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.2. A function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **convex** if and only if Ω is convex, and

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \Omega, \lambda \in [0, 1], f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.3. A function $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **strictly convex** if and only if Ω is convex and

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \Omega, \lambda \in (0, 1), f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) < \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) \quad (2.3)$$

Definition 2.4. A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **concave** if and only if $-f$ is **convex**.

Proposition 2.1. Properties of Convex Functions

- (i) If f_1, f_2 are convex on Ω , so is $f_1 + f_2$;
- (ii) If f is convex on Ω , then for any $a > 0$, af is also convex on Ω ;
- (iii) Any *sub-level/lower contour set* of a convex function f is convex.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in C^1$, then f is convex on a convex set Ω if and only if

$$\forall x, y \in \Omega, f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x) \quad (2.4)$$

that is, *the linear approximation is never an overestimation of value of f .*

Proof. (\implies) Suppose f is convex on a convex set Ω . Then $f(sy + (1 - s)x) \leq sf(y) + (1 - s)f(x)$ for every $x, y \in \Omega$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, which implies

$$\frac{f(sy + (1 - s)x) - f(x)}{s} \leq f(y) - f(x) \quad (2.5)$$

By taking the limit of $s \rightarrow 0$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + s(y - x)) - f(x)}{s} \leq f(y) - f(x) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} f(x + s(y - x)) \leq f(y) - f(x) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\implies \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x) \leq f(y) - f(x) \quad (2.8)$$

(\Leftarrow) Let $x_0, x_1 \in \Omega$, let $s \in (0, 1)$. Define $x = sx_0 + (1 - s)x_1$, then $f(x_0) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot (x_0 - x)$. Hence, $f(x_0) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot [(1 - s)(x_0 - x_1)]$. Similarly, $f(x_1) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot (x_1 - x)$ implies $f(x_1) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)[s(x_1 - x_0)]$. Therefore, $sf(x_0) + (1 - s)f(x_1) \geq f(x) \equiv f(sx_0 + (1 - s)x_1)$. ■

Theorem 2.2. $f \in C^2$ is a convex function on a convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if $\nabla^2 f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Using the second-order Taylor's theorem and positive definiteness of H_f .

(\Rightarrow) Prove by contradiction. ■

2.2 Minimum and Maximum of Convex Functions

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function. Suppose set $\Gamma := \min_{x \in \Omega} f(x) \neq \emptyset$, then Γ is convex, further, any local minimum of f is the global minimum.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function. Then

$$\max_{x \in \Omega} f(x) = \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} f(x) \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. As we assumed, Ω is closed, therefore $\partial\Omega \subseteq \Omega$. Hence, $\max_{x \in \Omega} f \geq \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} f$. Suppose $\max_{x \in \Omega} f > \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} f$, let $x^* := \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \Omega} f \in \Omega^{int}$. Then we can construct a string line through x^* and intersects $\partial\Omega$ at two points, $y_1, y_2 \in \partial\Omega$, such that $x^* = sy_1 + (1 - s)y_2$ for some $s \in (0, 1)$. Further, since f is convex, $f(x^*) \leq sf(y_1) + (1 - s)f(y_2) \leq s \max_{\partial\Omega} f + (1 - s) \max_{\partial\Omega} f = \max_{\partial\Omega} f$, which leads to a contradiction. ■

Proposition 2.2. Let f be continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n , then the level set and sub-level set of f are closed. Further, since the intersection of arbitrary closed sets is closed, so the set defined by collection of continuous functions $\{h_i; g_j\}$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h_i(x) = 0 \wedge g_j(x) \leq 0 \ \forall i, j\} \quad (2.10)$$

is closed.

Theorem 2.5 (Extreme Value Theorem). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact set, and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then f attains its maximum and minimum on Ω .

Corollary 2.1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, if there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f(x) \geq f(a)$ for every $x \notin \mathcal{B}(r, a)$, then f attains its minimum in $\mathcal{B}(r, a)$.

Proposition 2.3. When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, the unconstrained minimization has the following properties

(i) $\operatorname{argmax} f = \operatorname{argmin}(-f)$;

(ii) $\max f = -\min(-f)$