# ECO326 Advanced Microeconomic Theory A Course in Game Theory

#### Tianyu Du

December 20, 2018

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International" license.



Github Page https://github.com/TianyuDu/Spikey\_UofT\_Notes Note Page TianyuDu.com/notes

Readme this note is based on the course content of ECO326 Advanced Microeconomics - Game Theory, this note contains all materials covered during lectures and mentioned in the course syllabus. However, notations, statements of theorems and proofs are following the book A Course in Game Theory by Osborne and Rubinstein, so they might be, to some extent, more mathematical than the required text for ECO326, An Introduction to Game Theory.

#### Contents

1	Lecture 1. Games and Dominant Strategies	1
2	Lecture 2. Iterated Elimination and Rationalizability 2.1 Iterated Elimination of Strictly Dominated Strategies(Actions) 2.2 Rationalizability	
3	Lecture 3. Nash Equilibrium	3

## 1 Lecture 1. Games and Dominant Strategies

**Assumption 1.1** (pg.4). Assume that each decision-maker is *rational* in the sense that he is aware of his alternatives, forms expectation about any

unknowns, has clear preferences, and chooses his action deliberately after some process of optimization.

**Definition 1.1** (pg.4). A model of **rational choice** consists

- A set A of actions.
- $\bullet$  A set C of consequences.
- A consequence function  $g: A \to C$ .
- A preference relation  $\succeq$  on C.

**Definition 1.2** (pg.7). A **preference relation** is a <u>complete reflexive and transitive binary relation</u>.

**Definition 1.3** (11.1). A strategic game consists of

- a finite set of **players** N.
- for each player  $i \in N$ , an actions  $A_i \neq \emptyset$ .
- for each player  $i \in N$ , a **preference relation**  $\succeq_i$  defined on  $A \equiv \prod_{i \in N} A_i$ .

and can be written as a triple  $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i) \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.4** (pg.11). A strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i) \rangle$  is **finite** if

$$|A_i| < \aleph_0 \ \forall i \in N$$

## 2 Lecture 2. Iterated Elimination and Rationalizability

### 2.1 Iterated Elimination of Strictly Dominated Strategies (Actions)

**Definition 2.1** (60.2). The set  $X \subseteq A$  of outcomes of a <u>finite</u> strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (u_i) \rangle$  survives iterated elimination of <u>strictly</u> dominated actions if  $X = \times_{j \in N} X_j$  and there is a collection  $\overline{((X_j^t)_{j \in N})_{t=0}^T}$  of sets that satisfies the following conditions for each  $j \in N$ .

- $X_j^0 = A_j$  and  $X_j^T = X_j$ .
- $X_j^{t+1} \subseteq X_j^t$  for each  $t = 0, \dots, T-1$ .

- For each t = 0, ..., T-1 every action of player j in  $X_j^t \setminus X_j^{t+1}$  is <u>strictly dominated</u> in the game  $\langle N, (X_i^t), (u_i^t) \rangle$ , where  $u_i^t$  for each  $i \in N$  is the function  $u_i$  restricted to  $\times_{j \in N} X_j^t$ .
- No action in  $X_t^T$  is strictly dominated in game  $\langle N, (X_i^T), (u_i^T) \rangle$ .

**Proposition 2.1** (61.2). If  $X = \times_{j \in N} X_j$  survives iterated elimination of strictly dominated actions in a <u>finite</u> strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (u_i) \rangle$  then  $X_j$  is the set of player j's rationalizable actions for each  $j \in N$ .

#### 2.2 Rationalizability

**Definition 2.2** (59.1). An action of player i in a strategic game is a **never** best response if it is not a best response to any belief of player i.

**Definition 2.3** (59.2). The action  $a_i \in A_i$  of player i in the strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (u_i) \rangle$  is **strictly dominated** if there is a mixed strategy  $\alpha_i$  of player i such that

$$U_i(a_{-i}, \alpha_i) > u_i(a_{-i}, a_i)$$

for all  $a_{-i} \in A_{-i}$ , where  $U_i(a_{-i}, \alpha_i)$  is the payoff of player i if he uses the mixed strategy  $\alpha_i$  and the other players' vector of actions is  $a_{-i}$ .

## 3 Lecture 3. Nash Equilibrium

**Definition 3.1** (14.1). A Nash equilibrium of a strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (\succeq_i) \rangle$  is a profile  $a^* \in A$  of actions with property that for every player  $i \in N$ 

$$(a_i^*, a_{-i}^*) \succsim_i (a_i, a_{-i}^*) \ \forall a_i \in A_i$$

**Definition 3.2** (pg.15). The **best-response function** for a player i is defined as

$$B_i(a_{-i}) = \{ a_i \in A_i : (a_i, a_{-i}) \succeq_i (a'_i, a_{-i}) \ \forall a'_i \in A_i \}$$

**Remark 3.1.** The best-response of  $a_{-i}$  can be written as

$$B_i(a_{-i}) = \bigcap_{a_i' \in A_i} \{ a_i \in A_i : (a_i, a_{-i}) \succsim_i (a_i', a_{-i}) \}$$

where each of them is the upper contour set of  $a'_i$ .

Thus, if  $\succeq_i$  is quasi-concave, then  $B_i(a_{-i})$  is an intersection of convex sets and therefore itself convex.

**Remark 3.2** (pg.15). So a Nash equilibrium is a profile  $a^* \in A$  such that

$$a_i^* \in B_i(a_{-i}^*) \ \forall i \in N$$

**Lemma 3.1** (pg.19). A strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (\succeq_i) \rangle$  has a Nash equilibrium if equivalent to the following statement:

Define set-valued function  $B: A \to A$  by

$$B(a) = \prod_{i \in N} B_i(a_{-i})$$

and there exists  $a^* \in A$  such that  $a^* \in B(a^*)$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (20.1 Kakutani's fixed point theorem). Let X be a <u>compact</u> convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $f: X \to X$  be a set-valued function for which

- for all  $x \in X$  the set f(x) is non-empty and convex.
- the graph of f is closed. (i.e. for all sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $y_n \in f(x_n)$  for all  $n, x_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$  then  $y \in f(x)$ )

Then there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x^* \in f(x^*)$ .

**Definition 3.3** (pg.20). A preference relation  $\succeq_i$  over A is quasi-concave on  $A_i$  if for every  $a^* \in A$  the upper contour set over  $a_i^*$ , given other players' strategies

$$\{a_i \in A_i : (a_{-i}^*, a_i) \succsim_i a^*\}$$

is convex.

**Proposition 3.1** (20.3). The strategic game  $\langle N, (A_i), (\succeq_i) \rangle$  has a Nash equilibrium if for all  $i \in N$ ,

• the set  $A_i$  of actions of player i is a nonempty <u>compact convex</u> subset of a Euclidian space

and the preference relation  $\succeq_i$  is

- continuous
- quasi-concave on  $A_i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B:A\to A$  be a correspondence defined as

$$B(a) := \prod_{i \in N} B_i(a_{-i})$$

Note that for each  $a \in A$  and for each  $i \in N$ ,

 $B_i(a_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$  since preference  $\succeq_i$  is continuous and  $A_i$  is compact (EVT). Also  $B_i(a_{-i})$  is convex since it's basically an intersection of upper contour sets and each of those upper contour is convex since  $\succeq_i$  is quasi-concave. So the Cartesian product of the finite collection of  $B_i$  is non-empty and convex.

Also the graph B is closed since  $\succeq_i$  is continuous. So there exists  $a^* \in A$  such that  $a^* \in B(a^*)$ . So Nash equilibrium presents.