

ECO2020 Microeconomic Theory I (PhD)

Individual Decision Making, Market Equilibrium, Market Failure, and Other Topics.

Tianyu Du

April 5, 2019

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International” license.



- GitHub: https://github.com/TianyuDu/Spikey_UofT_Notes
- Website: TianyuDu.com/notes

Contents

1	Chapter 1. Preference and Choice	2
1.1	Preference Relations	2
1.2	Choice Rules	2
1.3	The Relationship between Preference Relations and Choice Rules	3
2	Chapter 2. Consumer Choice	3
2.1	Commodities	3
2.2	The Consumption Set	4
2.3	Competitive Budgets	4

1 Chapter 1. Preference and Choice

1.1 Preference Relations

Definition 1.1.

- (i) The **strict preference** relation, \succ , is defined by

$$x \succ y \iff x \succsim y \wedge \neg(y \succsim x) \quad (1.1)$$

- (ii) The **indifference** relation, \sim , is defined by

$$x \sim y \iff x \succsim y \wedge y \succsim x \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1.2 (1.B.1). The preference relation \succsim is **rational** if it possesses the following two properties

- (i) *Completeness*

$$\forall x, y \in X, x \succsim y \vee y \succsim x \quad (1.3)$$

- (ii) *Transitivity*

$$\forall x, y, z \in X, x \succsim y \wedge y \succsim z \implies x \succsim z \quad (1.4)$$

Proposition 1.1 (1.B.1). If \succsim is rational, then

- (i) \succ is both **reflexive** ($\neg x \succ x$) and **transitive** ($x \succ y \wedge y \succ z \implies x \succ z$);
- (ii) \sim is both **reflexive** and **transitive**;
- (iii) $x \succ y \succsim z \implies x \succ z$.

Example 1.1. Typical scenarios when transitivity of preference is violated:

- (i) *Just perceptible differences*;
- (ii) *Framing problem*;
- (iii) *Observed preference might from the result of the interaction of several more primitive rational preferences (Condorcet paradox)*;
- (iv) *Change of tastes*.

Definition 1.3 (1.B.2). A function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **utility function representing preference relation** \succsim if

$$\forall x, y \in X, x \succsim y \iff u(x) \geq u(y) \quad (1.5)$$

Proposition 1.2 (1.B.2). If a preference relation \succsim can be represented by a utility function, then \succsim is rational.

1.2 Choice Rules

Definition 1.4. A **choice structure**, $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$, is a tuple consists of

- (i) The collection of **budget sets** \mathcal{B} , which is a set of nonempty subsets of X .
- (ii) The **choice rule**, $C(B) \subset B$, is a *correspondence* for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ denotes the individual's choice from among the alternatives in B . If $C(B)$ is not a singleton, it can be interpreted as the *acceptable alternatives* in B , which the individual would actually chosen if the decision-making process is run repeatedly.

Definition 1.5 (1.C.1). The choice structure $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$ satisfies the **weak axiom of revealed preference** if

$$\underbrace{\left(\exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ s.t. } x, y \in B \wedge x \in C(B) \right)}_{x \succsim y \text{ revealed.}} \implies \left(\forall B' \in \mathcal{B} \text{ s.t. } x, y \in B', y \in C(B') \implies x \in C(B') \right) \quad (1.6)$$

Definition 1.6. Given a choice structure $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$, the **revealed preference relation** \succsim^* is defined as

$$x \succsim^* y \iff \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ s.t. } x, y \in B \wedge x \in C(B) \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1.1 (Interpretation on the definition of WARP). If x is *revealed* at least as good as y , then y cannot be revealed preferred to x .

1.3 The Relationship between Preference Relations and Choice Rules

Definition 1.7. Given rational preference relation \succsim on X , the **preference-maximizing choice rule** is defined as

$$C^*(B, \succsim) := \{x \in B : x \succsim y \forall y \in B\} \forall B \in \mathcal{B} \quad (1.8)$$

We say the rational preference relation **generates** the choice structure $(\mathcal{B}, C^*(\cdot, \succsim))$.

Assumption 1.1. Assume $C^*(B, \succsim) \neq \emptyset$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proposition 1.3 (1.D.1 (**Rational \rightarrow WARP**)). Suppose that \succsim is a rational preference relation. Then the choice structure generated by \succsim , $(\mathcal{B}, C^*(\cdot, \succsim))$, satisfies the weak axiom.

Definition 1.8 (1.D.1). Given choice structure $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$, we say that the rational preference relation \succsim **rationalizes** $C(\cdot)$ relative to \mathcal{B} if

$$C(B) = C^*(B, \succsim) \forall B \in \mathcal{B} \quad (1.9)$$

That is, \succsim *generates the choice structure* $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$.

Remark 1.2. In general, for a given choice structure $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$, there may be more than one rational preference relation \succsim rationalizing it.

Proposition 1.4 (1.D.2 (**WARP \rightarrow Rational**)). If $(\mathcal{B}, C(\cdot))$ is a choice structure such that

- (i) The weak axiom is satisfied;
- (ii) \mathcal{B} includes all subsets of X up to three elements.

Then there is a rational preference relation \succsim that rationalizes $C(\cdot)$ relative to \mathcal{B} .

2 Chapter 2. Consumer Choice

2.1 Commodities

Definition 2.1. Assume the number of **commodities** is finite and equal to L . In general, a **commodity vector** or **commodity bundle** is an element in a **commodity space**, typically \mathbb{R}^L .

$$\mathbf{x} := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_L \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^L \quad (2.1)$$

Remark 2.1 (Time Aggregation). The time/location of commodity matters in some scenarios, and can be built into the definition of a commodity.

Remark 2.2. We should also note that in some contexts it becomes convenient, and even necessary, to expand the set of commodities to include goods and services that may potentially be available for purchase but are not actually so and even some that may be available by means other than market exchange.

2.2 The Consumption Set

Definition 2.2. The **consumption set** is a subset of the commodity space \mathbb{R}^L , denoted by $X \subset \mathbb{R}^L$, whose elements are the consumption bundles that the individual can conceivably consume given the physical constraints imposed by his environment.

Assumption 2.1. For simplicity, we assume the consumption set to be \mathbb{R}_+^L , which is *convex*.

$$X := \mathbb{R}_+^L = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^L : x_\ell \geq 0, \forall \ell \in [L]\} \quad (2.2)$$

2.3 Competitive Budgets

Definition 2.3. A **price vector** is defined as

$$\mathbf{p} := \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_L \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^L \quad (2.3)$$

For simplicity, here we always assume

(i) $\mathbf{p} \gg \mathbf{0}$