# ECO375: Review Notes Applied Econometrics I

# Tianyu Du

## October 27, 2018

# Contents

| 1        | $\mathbf{Slid}$ | le 4: Simple & Multiple Regression -         | - Estimation | 1  |
|----------|-----------------|--|--------------|----|
|          | 1.1             | Regression Model                             |              | 1  |
|          | 1.2             | OLS  |              | 1  |
|          | 1.3             | Partialling Out                              |              | 3  |
|          |                 | 1.3.1 Steps                                  |              | 3  |
|          |                 | 1.3.2 Interpretation                         |              | 3  |
|          | 1.4             | Omitted Variable Bias                        |              | 3  |
| <b>2</b> | Ma              | trix Differentiation*                        |              | 4  |
| 3        | Mu              | ltiple Regression in Matrices                |              | 4  |
|          | 3.1             | The Model                                    |              | 4  |
|          | 3.2             | Variance Matrix                              |              | 5  |
| 4        | Slid            | le 7   |              | 6  |
|          | 4.1             | Assumptions (MLRs) in Matrix Form .          |              | 6  |
|          | 4.2             | Properties of OLS Estimator                  |              | 7  |
|          | 4.3             | Variance Inflation                           |              | 8  |
| 5        | Slid            | le 8: Multiple Regression-Inference          |              | 8  |
|          | 5.1             | t-test for significance of individual predic | cator        | 8  |
|          | 5.2             | t-test for comparing 2 coefficients          |              | 9  |
|          | 5.3             | Partial F-test for joint significance        |              | 9  |
|          | 5.4             | Full F-test for the significance of the mod  |              | 9  |
|          | 5.5             | F-test for general restrictions              |              | 10 |
| 6        | Slid            | les 9  | 1            | LO |
|          | 6.1             | Data Scaling                                 |              | 10 |
|          |                 | 6.1.1 Mutiplier                              |              | 10 |
|          |                 | 6.1.2 Standardization                        |              | 10 |
|          | 6.2             | Logarithmic Function                         |              | 11 |
|          | 6.3             | Quadratics and Polynomials                   |              | 11 |

|   | 6.4  | Interaction Effects                                  | 11        |
|---|------|--|-----------|
|   | 6.5  | Regression Selection and Adjusted R-square           | 11        |
|   | 6.6  | Causal Mechanism                                     | 12        |
|   | 6.7  | Confidence Interval for Prediction                   | 12        |
| 7 | Slid | les 10: Multiple Regression: Qualitative Information | <b>12</b> |
|   | 7.1  | Binary predictors                                    | 12        |
|   |      | 7.1.1 On Intercept                                   | 12        |
|   |      |  |           |
|   |      | 7.1.2 On Slopes                                      | 13        |
|   |      | 7.1.2 On Slopes                                      |           |
|   | 7.2  | -  | 13        |

# 1 Slide 4: Simple & Multiple Regression - Estimation

#### 1.1 Regression Model

**Assumption 1.1.** Assuming the population follows

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$$

and assume that x causes y.

#### 1.2 OLS

$$\min_{\vec{\beta}} \sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
With FOC:
$$\sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{ij} (y_i - \hat{y}_i) = 0, \ \forall j$$

**Remark 1.1.** Both  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$  are functions of *random variables* and therefore themselves *random* with *sampling distribution*. And the estimated coefficients are random up to random sample chosen.

Property 1.1. Properties of OLS estimators

- Unbiased  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}|X] = \beta$
- Consistent  $\hat{\beta} \to \beta$  as  $n \to \infty$
- Efficient/Good min variance.

#### Definition 1.1. The Simple Coefficient of Determination

$$R^2 = \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

and  $SS\underline{Total} = SSExplained + SS\underline{Residual}$ 

$$\sum_{i} (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum_{i} (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y})^2 + \sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

**Proposition 1.1** (Logarithms). Interpretation with logarithmic transformation.

- $\ln y = \alpha + \beta \ln y + u$ : x increases by 1%, y increases by  $\beta$ %.
- $\ln y = \alpha + \beta x + u$ : x increases by 1 unit, y increases by  $100\beta\%$ .
- $y = \alpha + \beta \ln x + u$ : x increases by 1%, y increases by 0.01 $\beta$  unit.

Assumption 1.2. Simple regression model assumptions

- 1. Model is linear in parameter.
- 2. Random samples  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ .
- 3. Sample outcomes  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are not the same.
- 4.  $\mathbb{E}(u|x) = 0$  conditional on random sample x.
- 5. Error is homoskedastic.  $Var(u|x) = \sigma^2$  for all x.

#### Benefits of MLR compared with SLR

- More accurate causal effect estimation.
- More flexible function forms.
- Could explicitly include more predictors so  $\mathbb{E}(u|X)=0$  is easier to be satisfied.
- MLR4 is less restrictive than SLR4.

Property 1.2. MLR OLS residual satisfies

$$\sum_{i} \hat{u_i} = 0$$

$$\sum_{i} x_{ji} \hat{u_i} = 0, \ \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

**Property 1.3.** MLR OLS estimators  $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k$  pass through the average point.

$$\overline{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k \overline{x}_k$$

Proof.

#### 1.3 Partialling Out

#### 1.3.1 Steps

- 1. Regress  $x_1$  on  $x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_K$  and calculate the residual  $\tilde{r}_1$ .
- 2. Regress y on  $\tilde{r}_1$  with simple regression and find the estimated coefficient  $\hat{\lambda}_1$ .
- 3. Then the multiple regression coefficient estimator  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\sum_i y_i \widetilde{r}_{1i}}{\sum_i (\widetilde{r}_{1i})^2}$$

Proof.

#### 1.3.2 Interpretation

This OLS estimator only uses the <u>unique variance</u> of one independent variable. And the parts of variation correlated with other independent variables is partialled out.

**Assumption 1.3.** Multiple Regression Assumptions

- 1. (MLR1) The model is linear in parameters.
- 2. (MLR2) Random sample from population  $\{(x_{1i}, \dots x_{ki}, y_i)_{i=1}^n$ .
- 3. (MLR3) No perfect multicollinearity.
- 4. (MLR4) Zero expected error conditional on population slice given by X.

$$\mathbb{E}(u|X) = \mathbb{E}(u|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = 0$$

5. (MLR5) Homoskedastic error conditional on population slice given by X.

$$Var(u|X) = \sigma^2$$

6. (MLR6, strict assumption) Normally distributed error

$$u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

#### 1.4 Omitted Variable Bias

Suppose population follows the real model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Consider the *alternative model*, and  $\underline{x_k}$  is omitted, which is assumed to be relevant.

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_{k-1} x_{(k-1)i} + r_i$$
 (2)

and use the partialling-out result on the second regression we have

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_i \tilde{r}_{1i} y_i}{(\tilde{r}_{1i})^2}$$

where  $\tilde{r}_{1i} = x_{1i} - \tilde{\alpha}_0 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 x_{2i} - \dots - \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1} x_{(k-1)i}$ 

$$\tilde{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_k \frac{\sum (\tilde{r}_{1i} x_{ki})}{\sum (\tilde{r}_{1i})^2}$$
(3)

and take the expectation

$$\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\beta}_1|X) = \beta_1 + \tilde{\delta}_1\beta_k$$
$$Bias(\tilde{\beta}_1) = \tilde{\delta}_1\beta_k$$

**Conclusion** the sign of bias depends on  $cov(x_1, x_k)$  and  $\beta_k$ .

### 2 Matrix Differentiation\*

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \implies \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}$$
 (4)

Let  $\alpha = \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ , notice that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{A} \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A}' \tag{6}$$

Consider special case  $\alpha = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ , then

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A}' \tag{7}$$

and if **A** is symmetric,

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 2\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{A} \tag{8}$$

## 3 Multiple Regression in Matrices

#### 3.1 The Model

#### Predictor

$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times (k+1)}(\mathbb{R})$$

where n is the number of observations and k is the number of features.

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ 1 & x_{21} & \dots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nk} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times (k+1)}$$

Model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\vec{\beta} + \mathbf{u}$$

First order condition for OLS

$$\mathbf{X}'\hat{u} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$$

$$\iff \mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$$

Estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

*Proof.* From the first order condition for the OLS estimator

$$\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\implies \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\implies \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}$$

$$\implies \hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

and note that (X'X) is guaranteed to be invertible by assumption no perfect multi-collinearity.

Sum Squared Residual

$$SSR(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{u}' \cdot \hat{u} = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})' \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})$$

#### 3.2 Variance Matrix

Consider

$$\vec{z}_t = [z_{1t}, z_{2t}, \dots z_{nt}]'$$
  
 $\vec{z}_s = [z_{1s}, z_{2s}, \dots z_{ns}]'$ 

Notice that the variance and covariance are defined as

$$Var(\vec{z}_t) = \mathbb{E}[(\vec{z}_t - \mathbb{E}[\vec{z}_t])^2]$$
$$Cov(\vec{z}_t, \vec{z}_s) = \mathbb{E}[(\vec{z}_t - \mathbb{E}[\vec{z}_t])(\vec{z}_s - \mathbb{E}[\vec{z}_s])]$$

The variance matrix of  $\mathbf{z} = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$  is given by

$$Var(\mathbf{z}) = \begin{bmatrix} Var(z_1) & Cov(z_1, z_2) & \dots & Cov(z_1, z_n) \\ Cov(z_2, z_1) & \dots & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ Cov(z_n, z_1) & \dots & & Var(z_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)^2] & \mathbb{E}[(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)(z_2 - \overline{z}_2)] & \dots \\ \mathbb{E}[(z_2 - \overline{z}_2)(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)] & \dots & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \mathbb{E}[(z_n - \overline{z}_n)(z_1 - \overline{z}_1)] & \dots & \mathbb{E}[(z_n - \overline{z}_n)^2] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{z} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}])_{n \times 1} \cdot (\mathbf{z} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}])'_{1 \times n}] \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}$$

In the special case  $\mathbb{E}[\vec{z}] = \vec{0}$ , variance is reduced to

$$Var(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{z}']$$

**Residual** Since residual  $u_i$  are i.i.d with variance  $\sigma^2$ , the variance matrix of  $\mathbf{u}$  is

$$Var(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}'] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$$

**Estimator** If  $\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased,  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}] = \vec{\beta}$ , then

$$Var(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta} - \vec{\beta}) \cdot (\hat{\beta} - \vec{\beta})'|\mathbf{X}] \in \mathbb{M}_{(k+1)\times(k+1)}$$

#### 4 Slide 7

#### 4.1 Assumptions (MLRs) in Matrix Form

E.1. linear in parameter

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\vec{\beta} + \mathbf{u}$$

**E.2.** no perfect multi-collinearity

$$rank(\mathbf{X}) = k + 1$$

**E.3.** Error has expected value of  $\mathbf{0}$  conditional on  $\mathbf{X}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}$$

**E.4.** Error **u** is homoscedastic.

$$Var(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$$

**E.5.** Normally distributed error **u**. Note that this assumption is relatively strong.

$$\mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$$

#### 4.2 Properties of OLS Estimator

**Theorem 4.1.** Given *E.1. E.2. E.3.*, the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\vec{\beta}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}] = \vec{\beta}$$

Proof.

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$
$$= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{X}\vec{\beta} + \mathbf{u})$$
$$= \vec{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}$$

Taking expectation conditional on X on both sides,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}] = \vec{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{0} = \vec{\beta}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times 1}$  then

$$Var(\mathbf{Az}) = \mathbf{A}Var(\mathbf{z})\mathbf{A}'$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Given  $E.1 \sim E.4$ 

$$Var(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\sigma^2$$

Proof.

$$Var(\hat{\beta}|\mathbf{X}) = Var((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X})$$

$$= Var((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta} + \mathbf{u})|\mathbf{X})$$

$$= Var(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X})$$
By the lemma above,
$$= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'Var(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X})[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}']'$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'Var(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{X})\mathbf{X}''(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}_{n}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

**Theorem 4.3** (Gause-Markov). Given  $E.1. \sim E.4.$ , the OLS estimator is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE).

(The best here means the OLS has the least variance among all estimators.)

#### 4.3 Variance Inflation

Let  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ , then the variance of an individual estimator on particular feature j is

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - R_j^2)SST_j}$$

where

$$SST_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{ij} - \overline{x}_j)^2$$

and  $R_j^2$  is the coefficient of determination while regressing  $x_j$  on <u>all other</u> features  $x_i, \forall i \neq j$ .

**Definition 4.1.** The variance inflation on estimator for feature j is

$$VIF_j = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2}$$

**Remark 4.1** (Interpretation). the standard error of estimator on a particular variable  $(\hat{\beta}_i)$  is *inflated* by it's $(x_i)$  relationship with other explanatory variables.

#### Solutions to high VIF

- 1. Drop the explanatory variable.
- 2. Use ratio  $\frac{x_i}{x_i}$  instead.
- 3. Ridge regression.

**Remark 4.2.** VIF highlights the importantce of **not** including redundant predictors.

# 5 Slide 8: Multiple Regression-Inference

Hypothesis Testing on multiple regression model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + u_i$$

#### 5.1 t-test for significance of individual predicator

Test statistic Given  $MLR.1 \sim MLR.6$  (need  $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$ ),

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - b}{s.e.(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-k-1}$$

where

$$H_0: \beta_j = b$$
$$H_1: \beta_j(\neq, >, <)b$$

#### 5.2 t-test for comparing 2 coefficients

Test statistic

$$t = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_i - \hat{\beta}_j) - b}{s.e.(\hat{\beta}_i - \hat{\beta}_j)} \sim t_{n-k-1}$$

where

$$H_0: \beta_i - \beta_j = b$$
  
$$H_1: \beta_i - \beta_i (\neq, >, <) b$$

notice

$$s.e.(\hat{\beta}_i - \hat{\beta}_j) = \sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta}_i - \hat{\beta}_j)}$$
$$= \sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta}_i) + Var(\hat{\beta}_j) - 2Cov(\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_j)}$$

#### 5.3 Partial F-test for joint significance

$$H_0: \beta_i = \beta_j = \beta_k = \dots = 0$$
  
 $H_1: \exists \ z \in \{i, j, k, \dots\} \ s.t. \ \beta_z \neq 0$ 

Test significance by comparing the *restricted* and *unrestricted* models, see whether restricting the model by removing certain explanatory variables "significantly" hurts the fit of the model.

$$df = (q, n - k - 1)$$

Test statistic

$$F = \frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)} \sim F_{(q,n-k-1)}$$
 or 
$$F' = \frac{(R_{ur}^2 - R_r^2)/q}{(1 - R_{ur}^2)/(n-k-1)} \sim F_{(q,n-k-1)}$$

#### 5.4 Full F-test for the significance of the model

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$$
  
 $H_1: \exists i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3\} \ s.t. \ \beta_i \neq 0$ 

**Remark 5.1.**  $R^2$  version only and substitute  $R_r^2 = 0$ , since  $SSR_r$  is undefined.

Test statistic

$$F = \frac{R_{ur}^2/k}{(1 - R_{ur}^2)/(n - k - 1)} \sim F_{(k, n - k - 1)}$$

#### 5.5 F-test for general restrictions

**Remark 5.2.** Use the SSR version of Fstatistic only since the SST for restricted and unrestricted models are different.

**Remark 5.3.** We only reject or failed to reject  $H_0$ , we never accept  $H_0$  in a hypothesis test.

#### 6 Slides 9

#### 6.1 Data Scaling

#### 6.1.1 Mutiplier

- 1. Enlarge  $x_j$  by factor a:  $\hat{\beta}_j$  shrinks by a.
- 2. Enlarge y by factor a: all  $\hat{\beta}_i$  enlarged by a.
- 3. Test statistic  $t = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{s.e.(\hat{\beta})} = \frac{a\hat{\beta}}{s.e.(a\hat{\beta})}$  is unaffected.

#### 6.1.2 Standardization

**Standardized variable** For  $j^{th}$  observation of explanatory variable x,

$$z_j = \frac{x_j - \overline{x}}{\sigma_x}$$

which satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[z_j] = 0, \ Var(z_j) = 1$$

**Properties** Consider model and find the estimator of regressing standardized y on standardized x.

$$y_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_{ik} + \hat{u}_i$$

Since OLS estimator passes through the mean,

$$\overline{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}_1 + \dots \hat{\beta}_k \overline{x}_k$$

$$\implies (y_i - \overline{y}) = \hat{\beta}_1 (x_{i1} - \overline{x}_1) + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k (x_{ik} - \overline{x}_k) + \hat{u}_i$$

$$\implies \frac{y_i - \overline{y}}{\sigma_y} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 \sigma_{x_1}}{\sigma_y} \frac{x_{i1} - \overline{x}_1}{\sigma_{x_1}} + \dots + \frac{\hat{\beta}_k \sigma_{x_k}}{\sigma_y} \frac{x_{ik} - \overline{x}_k}{\sigma_{x_k}} + \frac{\hat{u}_i}{\sigma_y}$$

$$\implies b_j = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j \sigma_{x_j}}{\sigma_y}$$

**Remark 6.1** (Interpretation).  $x_j$  increases by 1 std, y increases by  $b_j = \frac{\beta_j \sigma_{x_j}}{\sigma_y}$  std, ceteris paribus.

#### 6.2 Logarithmic Function

**Exact** interpretation of log transformation.

$$\ln(y_i) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \dots \hat{\beta}_k x_{ik} + \hat{u}_i$$

Derive.

$$\ln(y_2) - \ln(y_1) = \hat{\beta}_j \Delta x_j$$

$$\implies \ln(\frac{y_2}{y_1}) = \hat{\beta}_j \Delta x_j$$

$$\implies \frac{y_2}{y_1} = \exp(\hat{\beta}_j \Delta x_j)$$

$$\implies \frac{y_2 - y_1}{y_1} = \frac{y_2}{y_1} - 1$$

$$\implies \% \Delta y = \exp(\hat{\beta}_j \Delta x_j) - 1$$

#### 6.3 Quadratics and Polynomials

Model

$$y_i = \sum_{p=0}^k \beta_p x_i^p + u_i$$

Remark 6.2. Consider the interpretation and turning points.

#### 6.4 Interaction Effects

Consider model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 z + \beta_3 x z + u$$

then

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \beta_1 + \beta_3 z$$

- 1. The effects of change of x on y depends on z.
- 2. Interpretation: evaluate  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  at a z point that we are interested in.
- 3. Use conventional testing (t-test) to check if interaction term is significant.

#### 6.5 Regression Selection and Adjusted R-square

The adjusted R-square,  $\overline{R^2}$ , incorporates a *penalty* for including more regressors (if insignificant).

$$\overline{R^2} = 1 - \frac{(1 - R^2)(n - 1)}{n - k - 1}$$

**Remark 6.3.**  $\overline{R^2}$  increases when adding new regressor(or a group of regressors) if and only if the t value (F) for the individual regression(group of regressors) is more than 1.

#### 6.6 Causal Mechanism

#### 6.7 Confidence Interval for Prediction

Consider a prediction

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2 + \dots \hat{\beta}_k x_k$$

Evaluate at an arbitrary data point (not necessarily an observation in sample)

$$\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$$

Then the estimation of y at c is

$$\theta_0 = \mathbb{E}[y|x_1 = c_1, x_2 = c_2, \dots x_k = c_k]$$

$$= \beta_0 + \beta_1 c_1 + \beta_2 c_2 + \dots + \beta_k c_k$$

$$\implies \beta_0 = \theta_0 - \beta_1 c_1 - \beta_2 c_2 - \dots - \beta_k c_k$$

substitute back into the model

$$y = \theta_0 + \beta_1(x_1 - c_1) + \beta_2(x_2 - c_2) + \dots + \beta_k x_k + u$$

And the <u>margin of error</u> of confidence interval of prediction of y at  $\mathbf{c}$  can be found by inspecting the intercept on above regression.

$$ME = t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \times s.e.(intercept)$$

The center of confidence interval can be found from

$$\hat{\theta}_0 = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 c_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_k$$

The  $\alpha$  confidence interval is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_0 \pm ME$$

# 7 Slides 10: Multiple Regression: Qualitative Information

#### 7.1 Binary predictors

**Remark 7.1.** With binary independent variables,  $MLR.1 \sim MLR.6$  still holds, but the interpretations are different.

#### 7.1.1 On Intercept

$$y = \delta_0 + \delta_1 male + \dots + u$$

**Remark 7.2.** To avoid perfect multi-collinearity, never include all categories.

#### 7.1.2 On Slopes

$$y = \delta_0 + (\delta_1 + \delta_2 male) \times education + \dots + u$$

#### 7.1.3 F-test(Chow test)

Test whether the <u>true coefficients</u> in 2 linear regression models (e.g. for different gender groups) are equal.

1. Restricted model  $(SSR_r)$ 

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + u$$

2. Unrestricted model  $(SSR_{ur})$ 

$$y = (\beta_0 + \delta_0 indicator) + (\beta_1 + \delta_1 indicator)x + u$$

3. Test whether the additional factors in coefficients  $(\delta_0, \delta_1)$  are significant. (q=2 in this case)

$$F = \frac{(SSR_r - SSR_{ur})/q}{SSR_{ur}/(n-k-1)}$$

#### 7.2 Linear Probability Model

Qualitative binary dependent variable

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + u, \ y \in \{0, 1\}$$

**Interpretation** the model above predicts the probability of y = 1.

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}[y|\mathbf{x}] = 0 \times Pr(y = 0|\mathbf{x}) + 1 \times Pr(y = 1|\mathbf{x})$$
$$= Pr(y = 1|\mathbf{x})$$

Remark 7.3.  $\beta_j = \frac{\partial P(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j}$  is the response probability, and  $\hat{P}(\mathbf{x})$  is the predicted probability of y to be 1.

**Remark 7.4** (Out-of-range predictions). Notice the prediction is not necessarily with the range of [0,1] for some extreme values of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

### 7.3 Heterskedasticity

**Remark 7.5.** For probability linear models, MLR.5 (homoskedasticity) fails. *Proof.* 

$$y_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i1} + \dots \beta_{k}x_{ik} + u_{i}$$
For binary  $y$ 

$$Var(u) = Var(y) = Pr(y = 1)(1 - Pr(y = 1))$$

$$Var(u|\mathbf{x}) = Var(y - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}x_{1} - \beta_{2}x_{2} - \dots - \beta_{k}x_{k}|\mathbf{x})$$

$$= Var(y|\mathbf{x})$$

$$= Pr(y = 1|\mathbf{x})(1 - Pr(y = 1|\mathbf{x}))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[y|\mathbf{x}](1 - \mathbb{E}[y|\mathbf{x}])$$

$$= (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{1} + \dots + \beta_{k}x_{k})(1 - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}x_{1} - \dots - \beta_{k}x_{k})$$

$$\neq \sigma_{u}^{2}$$