# MAT246: Concepts in Abstract Mathematics: $_{\rm Lecture~0101~Notes}$

### Tianyu Du

### September 26, 2018

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license.



# ${\bf Contents}$

1	Lecture 1 Sep.	7 2018	2
<b>2</b>	Lecture 2 Sep.	10 2018	2
3	Lecture 3 Sep.	12 2018	3
4	Lecture 4 Sep.	14 2018	4
5	Lecture 5 Sep.	17 2018	5
6	Lecture 6 Sep.	19 2018	7
7	Lecture 7 Sep.	21 2018	8
8	Lecture 8 Sep.	24 2018	9
9	Lecture 9 Sep.	26 2018	11

### 1 Lecture 1 Sep. 7 2018

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be the set of **natural numbers**.

**Theorem 1.1** (Principle of Mathematical Induction). Suppose S is a set of natural numbers,  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . If

- 1.  $1 \in S$
- $2. k \in S \implies k+1 \in S, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

then,  $S = \mathbb{N}$ 

Example 1.1. Show that

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Proof.

## 2 Lecture 2 Sep. 10 2018

**Theorem 2.1** (Extended Principle of Mathematical Induction). Suppose set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, if

- 1.  $n_0 \in S$
- 2.  $\forall k \geq n_0, k \in S \implies k+1 \in S$

then  $\{n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots\} \subseteq S$ 

Example 2.1. Show that

$$n! > 3^n \ \forall n > 7$$

Proof.

**Theorem 2.2** (Well-Ordering Principle). Every non-empty subset of natural number has a smallest element.

*Proof.* (Principle of Mathematical Induction)

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ 

Suppose  $1 \in S \land (k \in S \implies k+1 \in S, \forall k \in \mathbb{N})$ 

Show:  $S = \mathbb{N}$ 

Let  $T = \mathbb{N} \backslash S$ 

Suppose  $T \neq \emptyset$ 

By Well-Ordering Principle, there exists a smallest element of T, denoted as  $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $1 \in S$ , therefore  $t_0 \neq 1$ .

Therefore  $t_0 > 2$ .

Thus  $t_0 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and since  $t_0 = \min T$ ,  $t_0 - 1 \notin T$ 

Therefore  $t_0 - 1 \in S$ , then,  $t_0 - 1 + 1 = t_0 \in S$ ,

Contradict the assumption that  $t_0 \in T$ .

Thus  $T = \emptyset$  and  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** We can use principle of Mathematical Induction to prove Well-Ordering Principle as well.

### 3 Lecture 3 Sep. 12 2018

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and a divides b, written as a|b if

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{N} \ s.t. \ b = ac$$

And a is a **divisor** of b.

**Definition 3.2.** A natural number p (except 1) is called **prime** if the only divisors of p are 1 and p.

**Lemma 3.1** (Prime numbers are building blocks of natural numbers). Every natural number other than 1 is a  $product^1$  of prime numbers.

**Theorem 3.1** (Principle of Complete Induction). Suppose  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and if

- 1.  $n_0 \in S$
- 2.  $n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots, k \in S \implies k + 1 \in S, \forall k \ge n_0$

then

$$\{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\} \subseteq S$$

*Proof of Lemma*. Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  for which the lemma is true,

Want to show:  $S = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ 

(Base Case) For 2 it's a product of prime. Thus  $2 \in S$ 

(Inductive Step) Suppose  $\{2, 3, \dots k\} \subseteq S$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Product could mean the product of a single number.

Consider k + 1, if k + 1 is a prime then k + 1 can be written as a product of itself, as a product of one single prime.

Else, if k + 1 is not a prime, then  $\exists 1 < m, n < k + 1$  s.t. k + 1 = mn.

By induction hypothesis of strong induction, m, n can both be written as product of primes.

 $m = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i$ ,  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_i$  where  $p_i, q_i$  are all primes. and  $k+1 = \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_i \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i$ 

thus  $k+1 \in S$ 

by principle of strong induction,  $\{2, 3, \dots, \} \subseteq S$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** There is no largest prime number.

*Proof.* (By contradiction)

Assume there is a largest prime p,

then  $\{2, 3, 5, \dots, p\}$  is the set of all primes

Let  $M := (2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p) + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ 

M is either prime or not.

Suppose M is not a prime, then by Lemma 3.1,  $\exists p'$  dividing M.

Obviously  $\forall i \in \{2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p\}, i \not\mid M$ .

There is no prime dividing M, which contradict Lemma 3.1

Thus M is a prime, and M > p, which contradicts assumption

Therefore there is no largest prime.

#### 4 Lecture 4 Sep. 14 2018

**Theorem 4.1** (the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Every natural (except 1) is a product of prime(s), and the prime(s) in the product are unique including multiplicity except for the order.

*Proof.* We have already proven that the existential parts of this theorem in Lemma 3.1.

(Proof for the uniqueness part) Suppose there exists natural number (not 1) has 2 different prime factorizations.

By well ordering principle, there is a smallest n, which has two distinct prime factorizations.

Say  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k = q_1 q_2 \dots q_\ell$  where  $p_i, q_i$  are all primes.

Notice that  $p_i \neq q_j$  for any combination of (i,j) since if so  $\frac{n}{p_i} = \frac{n}{q_i}$  is a natural number smaller than n having 2 distinct prime factorization, which contradicts our assumption above.

Specifically,  $p_1 \neq q_1$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(Case 1: } p_1 < q_1) \\ \text{Let } m := n - p_1 q_2 \ldots q_\ell \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{Notice } m = p_1 (p_2 p_3 \ldots p_k - q_2 q_3 \ldots q_\ell) \\ \text{Also } m = (q_1 - p_1) (q_2 q_3 \ldots q_\ell) \\ \Longrightarrow m = p_1 \ldots p_k = q_2 q_3 \ldots q_\ell (q_1 - p_1) \\ \Longrightarrow p_1 | m \text{ also notices that } p_1 \not\mid q_2 q_3 \ldots q_\ell \\ \Longrightarrow p_1 | (q_1 - p_1) \implies p_1 | q_1 \implies p_1 = q_1 \\ \text{Contradicts the assumption that } p_q < q_1 \\ \text{The other case goes a similar proof.}$ 

**Definition 4.1.** A natural number n is called **composite** if it's not 1 or a prime number.

**Remark 4.1.** Natural numbers are partitioned into 3 categories, 1, prime and composite numbers.

**Example 4.1.** Find 20 consecutive composite numbers.

$$(21!) + 2, (21!) + 3, \dots, (21!) + 21$$

**Example 4.2.** Find k consecutive composite numbers.

$$(k+1!) + 2, (k+1)! + 3, \dots, (k+1!) + k + 1$$

# 5 Lecture 5 Sep. 17 2018

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . If m|a-b then we say "a and b are congruent modulo m"

**Remark 5.1.** Regular Induction  $\iff$  Complete Induction  $\iff$  Well-Ordering Principle

*Proof.* (WTS: Complete Induction ⇒ Well-Ordering Principle)

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $S \neq \emptyset$ 

(WTS, S has the smallest element)

Assume S does not have the smallest element.

Let  $T := S^c$ 

Clearly  $1 \in T \text{ (prop 1)}$ 

Since other wise 1 could be the smallest element of S.

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Suppose  $1, 2, 3, \ldots, k \in T$ , if  $k + 1 \notin T$ , then  $k + 1 \in S$  and k + 1 becomes the smallest element of S and contradicts our assumption above.

Therefore  $1, 2, 3, \dots k \in T \implies k+1 \in T$ .

By principle of strong induction,  $T = \mathbb{N}$ .

Thus,  $S = \emptyset$ , and contradicts our definition of S.

Therefore  $\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $S \neq \emptyset$ , S has the smallest element (Well-Ordering Principle).

**Example 5.1** (Application 2). Is  $2^{29} + 3$  divisible by 7?

Solution. Notice  $2^2 \equiv 4 \mod 7$  and  $2^3 \equiv 1 \mod 7$ .

$$\implies (2^3)^9 \equiv 1^9 \mod 7$$
$$\implies 2^{27} \equiv 1 \mod 7$$

$$\implies 2^{27} \equiv 1 \mod 7$$

$$\implies 2^{29} \equiv 4 \mod 7$$

Also  $3 \equiv 3 \mod 7$ 

$$\implies 2^{29} + 3 \equiv 4 + 3 \mod 7$$

$$\implies 2^{29} + 3 \equiv 7 \mod 7$$

$$\implies 7|2^{29} + 3.$$

**Theorem 5.1** (Rules on computing congruence). Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- 1.  $a \equiv b \mod m \land c \equiv d \mod m \implies a + c \equiv b + d \mod m$
- 2.  $a \equiv b \mod m \land c \equiv d \mod m \implies ac \equiv bd \mod m$

*Proof.* Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

suppose  $a \equiv b \mod m \land c \equiv d \mod m$ 

by definition of congruence,  $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \ s.t. \ (a-b) = pm \land (c-d) = qm$ 

$$\implies (a+c-b-d) = (p+q)m, (p+q) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\implies a + c \equiv b + d \mod m$$

And  $a = b + pm \wedge c = d + qm$ 

$$ac - bd = (b + pm)(d + qm) - bd$$

$$= bd + dpm + qbm + pqm^2 - bd$$

$$= (dp + qb + pqm)m$$

$$\implies m|ac-bd|$$

$$\implies ac \equiv bd \mod m$$

**Proposition 5.1** (Corollary from theorem 5.1).

$$a \equiv b \mod m \implies a + c \equiv b + c \mod m$$

and

$$a \equiv b \mod m \implies a^k \equiv b^k \mod m, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

#### Lecture 6 Sep. 19 2018 6

Theorem 6.1. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$a = b \implies a \equiv b \mod m \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

**Example 6.1.** What is the reminder when  $3^{202} + 5^9$  is divided by 8

Solution. Notice  $3^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ Therefore,  $(3^2)^{101} \equiv 1^{101} \mod 8$ 

That's,  $3^{202} \equiv 1 \mod 8$ 

Also  $5^2 \equiv 1 \mod 8$ 

 $\implies (5^2)^4 \equiv 1^4 \mod 8$ 

 $\implies \hat{5}^9 \equiv 5 \mod 8$ 

 $\implies 3^{202} + 5^9 \equiv 5 + 1 \mod 8$ 

 $\implies$  the reminder is 6.

(Notice that  $3^{202} + 5^9 \equiv 6 \equiv 14 \equiv 22 \equiv \dots \mod 8$ , and the reminder is the smallest integer satisfying above relation.)

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = d_N \dots d_2 d_1 d_0, d_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}^2$ , then

$$3|M\iff 3\mid \sum_{i=0}^N d_i$$

*Proof.* Notice  $10 \equiv 1 \mod 3$ ,  $100 \equiv 1 \mod 3$  and so on,

(Fact)  $10^k \equiv 1 \mod 3, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ 

Then  $d_i 10^i \equiv d_i \mod 3$ ,  $\forall i$ Therefore,  $\sum_{i=0}^{N} 10^i d_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{N} d_i \mod 3$ Therefore  $\sum_{i=0}^{N} 10^i d_i \equiv 0 \mod 3 \iff \sum_{i=0}^{N} d_i \equiv 0 \mod 3$ 

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = d_N \dots d_2 d_1 d_0, d_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ , then

$$11|M\iff 11\mid \sum_{i=0}^{N}(-1)^{i}d_{i}$$

*Proof.* Notice  $10^i \equiv (-1)^i \mod 11$ 

Therefore  $10^{i}d_{i} \equiv (-1)^{i}d_{i}$ Thus,  $\sum_{i=0}^{N} 10^{i}d_{i} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{N} (-1)^{i}d_{i} \mod 11$ Then,  $\sum_{i=0}^{N} 10^{i}d_{i} \equiv 0 \mod 11 \iff \sum_{i=0}^{N} (-1)^{i}d_{i} \equiv 0 \mod 11$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This means the integer M is constructed from digits  $d_i$ . For example, M = 256, then  $d_0 = 6, d_1 = 5, d_2 = 2$ 

#### 7 Lecture 7 Sep. 21 2018

**Theorem 7.1.** Suppose p is a prime and  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , if p|ab then  $p|a \vee p|b$ .

*Proof.* If  $a = 1 \lor b = 1$ , then done. And for the case a = b = 1, the proposition is vacuously true.

Let a, b > 1,

By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, we can write a, b as their unique prime factorization

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}, \ \alpha_j \ge 1 \text{ and } b = q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell}, \ \beta_j \ge 1$$

 $\begin{array}{l} a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}, \ \alpha_j \geq 1 \ \text{and} \ b = q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell}, \ \beta_j \geq 1 \\ \text{then} \ ab = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k} q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_\ell^{\beta_\ell} \ \text{is the unique prime factorization of} \ ab. \\ \text{Since} \ p \in \mathbb{P}, \ \text{therefore}, \ p = p_j \vee p = q_j \implies p|a \vee p|b \end{array}$ 

**Remark 7.1.** We have shown that  $a \equiv b \mod m \implies ca \equiv cb \mod m$ . But notice that

$$ca \equiv cb \mod m \implies a \equiv b \mod m$$

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then we say a and b are relatively prime if they have no prime factor in common.

**Theorem 7.2.** Suppose p is a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p \nmid a$ , then  $ax \equiv ay$  $\text{mod } p \implies x \equiv y \mod p.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

Suppose  $ax \equiv ay \mod p$ 

Then p|a(x-y)

By theorem 7.1,  $p|a \vee p|(x-y)$ 

But by our assumption,  $p \nmid a$ , therefore  $p \mid (x - y)$ 

Thus  $x \equiv y \mod p$ 

**Theorem 7.3** (Generalization of Theorem 7.2). Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a and m are relatively prime. Then

$$ax \equiv ay \mod m \implies x \equiv y \mod m$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $ax \equiv ay \mod m$ 

Then m|a(x-y)

Therefore  $m|a\vee m|(x-y)$ 

For m to divide a, all of m's prime factors have to be in the prime factorization of |a|.

But m and a are relatively prime, therefore  $m \nmid a$ .

Therefore m|(x-y) and that's  $x \equiv y \mod m$ 

**Theorem 7.4.** Any integer a is congruent to mod m to exactly one of  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$ .

**Theorem 7.5** (Fermat's Little Theorem). If p is a prime and  $p \nmid a$  (i.e. a and p are relatively prime), then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$$

*Proof.* Let  $S := \{a1, a2, \dots a(p-1)\}$ 

Notice that if  $ax_i \equiv ax_j \mod p$ , since  $p \not\mid a, x_1 \equiv x_2 \mod p$ .

Since  $1 \le x_i, x_j \le p-1$ , then  $x_i = x_j$ .

Therefore all elements in S are distinct with mod p

i.e.  $x_i \not\equiv x_j \mod p, \ \forall (i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

Since  $p \not| a \land p \not| m$ ,  $\forall m \in \{1, 2, ..., (p-1)\}$ 

So no element in S is congruent to  $0 \mod p$ .

Thus, S contains p-1 numbers and no two of them are congruent mod p.

Also none of them are congruent to  $0 \mod p$ .

By theorem 7.4, each element in S is congruent to one corresponding element in set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ .

Therefore  $(a1)(a2)...(a(p-1)) \equiv 1 * 2 * \cdots * (p-1) \mod p$ 

That's  $a^{p-1}(1*2*\cdots*(p-1)) \equiv 1*2*\cdots*(p-1) \mod p$ 

Clearly  $p \not\mid (1 * 2 * \dots (p-1))$ , since if a prime divides a product of natural numbers, the prime must divide at least one of elements in the product.

Therefore  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ 

## 8 Lecture 8 Sep. 24 2018

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The multiplicative inverse mod p of a is an integer b such that

$$ab \equiv 1 \mod p$$

**Remark 8.1.** Notice that the multiplicative inverse is generally not unique but unique up to  $\mod p$ .

Corollary 8.1. Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \nmid a$ . Then

$$\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}, \ s.t. \ ba \equiv 1 \mod p$$

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ Suppose  $p \not\mid a$ , then by Fermat's little theorem,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p \implies a^{p-2}a \equiv 1 \mod p$ Take  $b = a^{p-2} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $ab \equiv 1 \mod p$ 

**Example 8.1.** Let a = 8 and p = 5. Obviously  $p \not\mid a$ . By corollary above,

$$\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}, \ s.t. \ 8b \equiv 1 \mod 5$$

Notice b = 2 satisfies above equation.

**Remark 8.2.** Corollary 8.1 requires p to be a prime.

Corollary 8.2 (Generalization). Let a and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a and m are relatively prime, then

$$\exists b \in \mathbb{Z}, \ s.t. \ ab \equiv 1 \mod m$$

**Theorem 8.1** (Wilsons' Theorem). Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  then

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p$$

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ 

if  $p = 2 \lor p = 3$ , then  $1! \equiv -1 \mod 2$  and  $2! \equiv -1 \mod 3$ .

Otherwise, suppose p > 3,

Consider, let  $S := \{2, 3, 4, \dots, p-2\}$ 

Notice that none of S is divisible by p.

Therefore p is relatively prime to all elements in S.

Then by Corollary 8.1,  $\exists b_i \in \mathbb{Z} \ s.t. \ b_i s_i \equiv 1 \mod p, \ \forall s_i \in S.$ 

Notice that 0 has no multiplicative inverse and

$$(p-1)(p-1) = p^2 - 2p + 1 \equiv 1 \mod p$$

That's, 1 and (p-1) have themselves as their multiplicative inverse.

Also notice that for any  $s_i \in S$ ,  $s_i$  does not have itself as its multiplicative inverse.

If  $a \in S$  has itself as it's multiplicative inverse, then

$$a^{2} \equiv 1 \mod p$$

$$\implies a^{2} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$$

$$\implies (a+1)(a-1) \equiv 0 \mod p$$

$$\implies p|(a+1)(a-1)$$

Notice that at last one of (a+1) and (a-1) is in set S since  $p > 3 \implies S \neq \emptyset$ . This contradicts what we argued above, none of S is divisible by p. That's

$$s_i s_i \not\equiv 1 \mod p, \ \forall s_i \in S$$

Note that if y is a multiplicative inverse of x, then x is a multiplicative inverse of y.

Notice that for any  $s_i \in S$ , by Corollary 8.1,

there exists an integer  $b_i$  s.t.  $s_i b_i \equiv 1 \mod p$ 

And the multiplicative inverse is unique up to  $\mod p$ ,

Thus  $s_i(b_i \mod p) \equiv 1 \mod p$  and  $(b_i \mod p) \in S$ .

And for all elements in S has one of their multiplicative inverse in S,

That's

$$s_i s_i \equiv 1 \mod p, \ i \neq j$$

Notice p > 3 implies p is odd, so |S| is even.

Match every pair of multiplicative inverses in S and they collapse to 1 mod p

Therefore

$$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots (p-2) \equiv 1 \mod p$$
 
$$\implies 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdots (p-2) \cdot (p-1) \equiv (p-1) \mod p$$
 
$$\implies (p-1)! \equiv -1 \mod p$$

## 9 Lecture 9 Sep. 26 2018

**Remark 9.1.** Recall that an integer n is even iff  $n \equiv 0 \mod 2$  and is odd iff  $n \equiv 1 \mod 2$ .

**Theorem 9.1.** There are infinitely many primes of the form 4k + 3, where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Note that odd numbers n can be classified as  $n \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and  $n \equiv 3 \equiv -1 \mod 4$ 

(Suppose 1) there are only finitely many primes in the form 4k + 3.

Let finite set  $S := \{p_1, p_2, \dots p_m\}$  denotes the collection of them.

And notice that  $p_i \equiv -1 \mod 4$ ,  $\forall p_i \in S$ .

Let

$$M := (p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_m)^2 + 2$$

and  $M \equiv 1 + 2 \equiv 3 \equiv -1 \mod 4$ .

Therefore M is an odd natural number.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, M can be factorized into product of primes.

$$M = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} q_i$$

and since M is odd,  $q_i \neq 2 \ \forall i$ . Thus all  $q_i$  are odd.

(Suppose 2) All  $q_i \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .

Then  $M \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .

Contradict the fact that  $M \equiv -1 \mod 4$ . Thus (Suppose 2) is false.

Therefore  $\exists i, s.t. q_i \equiv -1 \mod 4$ .

From (Suppose 1), S is the collection of all primes that  $\equiv -1 \mod 4$ .

Therefore  $q_i = p_j$  for some j.

Therefore  $p_i|M$ .

Also note that  $p_j|(p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_m) \implies p_j|(p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_m)^2$ 

 $\implies p_j|2 \implies p_j=2$  contradicts the fact that  $p_j$  is odd.

Therefore (Suppose 1) is false, there are infinitely many primes taking the form 4k + 3.

**Example 9.1.** Find  $7^{20^{30}} \mod 5$ .

Solution. Let  $n := 20^{30}$ .

Notice that  $7^4 \equiv 1 \mod 5$ .

And if  $n \equiv r \mod 4$  where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

n = 4k + r and  $7^n \equiv 7^{4k+r} \equiv (7^4)^k \times 7^r \equiv 1^k \times 7^r \equiv 7^r \mod 5$ .

Notice that  $20 \equiv 0 \mod 4 \implies 20^{30} \equiv 0 \mod 4$ .

Thus r = 0.

Therefore  $7^n \equiv 7^0 \equiv 1 \mod 5$ . Thus  $7^{20^{30}} \mod 5 = 1$ .

**Example 9.2.** Find  $10^{3^{30}} \mod 7$ .

Solution. Notice that  $10^6 \equiv 1 \mod 7$ .

And  $3 \equiv 3 \mod 6$ ,  $3^2 \equiv 3 \mod 6$ ,  $3^3 \equiv 3 \mod 6$ ...

Using induction, we can show that

 $3^k \equiv 3 \mod 6, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ 

```
Therefore 3^{30} \equiv 3 \mod 6.
That's 3^{30} = 6k + 3 for some k.
Thus 10^{3^{30}} \equiv (10^6)^k \times 10^3 \equiv (1)^k \times 10^3 \equiv -1 \equiv 6 \mod 7.
So 10^{3^{30}} \mod 7 = 6.
```