# STA447: Stochastic Processes

# Tianyu Du

# $March\ 18,\ 2020$

# Contents

1	Mai	rkov Chain Probabilities	2
	1.1	Recurrent and Transience	5
	1.2	Communicating States	8
	1.3	Recurrence and Transience Equivalence Theorem	8
	1.4	Closed Subset of a Markov Chain	11
2	Mai	rkov Chain Convergence	12
	2.1	Stationary Distributions	12
	2.2	Searching for Stationarity	13
	2.3	Convergence Theorem	15
	2.4	Periodic Convergence	16
3	Random Walk on Graphs		17
4	Mea	an Recurrence Times	18
5	Mai	rtingales	19
6	$Sto_{]}$	pping Times	20
7	Wald's Theorem		21
8	$\mathbf{Seq}$	uence Waiting Times	21
9	Mai	rtingale Convergence Theorem	22
10 Branching Processes		22	
11	Disc	crete Stock Options	23

### 1 Markov Chain Probabilities

Definition 1.1. A discrete-time, discrete-space, and time-homogenous Markov chain is a triple of S = (S, v, P) in which

- (i) S represents the state space, which is nonempty and countable;
- (ii) initial probability v, which is a distribution on S;
- (iii) and transition probability  $(p_{ij})$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i \in S \tag{1.1}$$

**Definition 1.2.** A Markov chain satisfies the time-homogenous property if

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) = P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) = p_{ij} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
(1.2)

Definition 1.3. A Markov chain satisfies the Markov property if

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i_n, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}, \cdots, X_0 = i_0) = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i_n)$$
(1.3)

That is, the chain is memoryless.

**Proposition 1.1.** As an immediate result from the Markov property, the joint probability

$$P(X_{0} = i_{0}, X_{1} = i_{1}, X_{2} = i_{2}, \cdots, X_{n} = i_{n}) = P(X_{0} = i_{0})P(X_{1} = i_{1}, X_{2} = i_{2}, \cdots, X_{n} = i_{n}|X_{0} = i_{0}) \quad (1.4)$$

$$= v_{i_{0}}P(X_{1} = i_{1}|X_{0} = i_{0})P(X_{2} = i_{2}, \cdots, X_{n} = i_{n}|X_{0} = i_{0}, X_{1} = i_{1}) \quad (1.5)$$

$$= v_{i_{0}}P(X_{1} = i_{1}|X_{0} = i_{0})P(X_{2} = i_{2}, \cdots, X_{n} = i_{n}|X_{1} = i_{1}) \quad (Markov property)$$

$$(1.6)$$

$$= v_{i_{0}}p_{i_{0}i_{1}} \cdots p_{i_{n-1}i_{n}} \quad (1.7)$$

**Definition 1.4** (*n*-step Arrival Probability). Let m = |S| and  $\mu_i^{(n)} := P(X_n = i)$  denote the probability that the state ends up at i after n step (starting point follows v).

### Proposition 1.2.

$$\mu^{(n)} = vP^n \tag{1.8}$$

*Proof.* By the law of total expectation,

$$P(X_n = i) = \sum_{i \in S} P(X_n = i, X_{n-1} = j)$$
(1.9)

$$= \sum_{j \in S} P(X_n = i | X_{n-1} = j) P(X_{n-1} = j)$$
(1.10)

$$= \sum_{j \in S} P(X_{n-1} = j) p_{ij} \tag{1.11}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in S} \mu_j^{(n-1)} p_{ij} \tag{1.12}$$

Let  $\mu^{(n)} := \left[\mu_1^{(n)}, \mu_2^{(n)}, \cdots, \mu_m^{(n)}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}$  and  $P = [p_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ . The recurrence relation can be expressed in matrix notation as:

$$\mu^{(n)} = \mu^{(n-1)}P \tag{1.13}$$

where  $\mu^{(0)} = v = [v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m]$  by construction. Define  $P^0$  to be the identity matrix  $I_m$ , then

$$\mu^{(0)} = v = vP^0 \tag{1.14}$$

$$\mu^{(1)} = \mu^{(0)}P = vP^1 \tag{1.15}$$

$$\vdots (1.16)$$

$$\mu^{(n)} = vP^n \tag{1.17}$$

**Definition 1.5** (*n*-step Transition Probability). Define

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} := P(X_{m+n} = j | X_m = i)$$
(1.18)

to be the probability of arriving state j after n steps, starting from state  $i^1$ . By the time-homogenous property,

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = P(X_{m+n} = j | X_m = i) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (1.19)

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $P^{(n)} := [p_{ij}^{(n)}] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , then

$$P^{(n)} = P^n \tag{1.20}$$

*Proof.* Initial Step: for n = 1,  $P^{(1)} = P$  by definition.

Inductive Step: for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$p_{ij}^{(n+1)} = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_0 = i)$$
(1.21)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = k, X_0 = i) P(X_n = k | X_0 = i)$$
(1.22)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = k) p_{ik}^{(n)}$$
(1.23)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} p_{ik}^{(n)} p_{kj} \tag{1.24}$$

$$= [P^{(n)}P]_{ij} (1.25)$$

Therefore,

$$P^{(n+1)} = P^{(n)}P (1.26)$$

and

$$P^{(n)} = P^n \tag{1.27}$$

In the definition of  $\mu_j^{(n)}$ , the starting state is random following distribution v. While defining  $p_{ij}^{(n)}$  the initial state is fixed to be i.

**Theorem 1.1** (Chapman-Kolmogorov Equation). For every  $k \in S$ ,

$$p_{ij}^{(m+n)} = p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kj}^{(n)} \tag{1.28}$$

For  $k, \ell \in S$ ,

$$p_{ij}^{(m+s+n)} = p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{k\ell}^{(s)} p_{\ell j}^{(n)}$$
(1.29)

**Theorem 1.2** (Chapman-Kolmogorov Equations (Generalization)). Let  $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$  be a multi-set of non-negative integers, then

$$P^{(\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} P^{(n_i)} \quad (\dagger)$$
 (1.30)

*Proof.* Prove by induction on the size of multi-set:

Base case is trivial for k = 1.

Inductive step for k > 1, suppose (†) holds for every set of length k, consider another multi-set with length k + 1:  $n' = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k, n_{k+1})$ . Let  $\delta := \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ .

$$P_{ij}^{(\delta+n_{k+1})} = P(X_{\delta+n_{k+1}} = j|X_0 = i)$$
(1.31)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{\delta + n_{k+1}} = j | X_{\delta} = k, X_0 = i) P(X_{\delta} | X_0 = i)$$
(1.32)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{\delta + n_{k+1}} = j | X_{\delta} = k) P(X_{\delta} | X_0 = i)$$
(1.33)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(X_{n_{k+1}} = j | X_0 = k) P(X_{\delta} = k | X_0 = i)$$
(1.34)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} p_{kj}^{n_{k+1}} p_{ik}^{(\delta)} \tag{1.35}$$

$$= [P^{(\delta)}P^{(n_{k+1})}]_{ij} \tag{1.36}$$

$$\implies P^{(\delta+n_{k+1})} = P^{(\delta)}P^{(n_{k+1})} \tag{1.37}$$

Corollary 1.1 (Chapman-Kolmogorov Inequality). For every  $k \in S$ ,

$$p_{ij}^{(m+n)} \ge p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kj}^{(n)} \tag{1.38}$$

For  $k, \ell \in S$ ,

$$p_{ij}^{(m+s+n)} \ge p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{k\ell}^{(s)} p_{\ell j}^{(n)} \tag{1.39}$$

Informal Proof. Note that  $p_{ik}^{(m)}p_{kj}^{(n)}$  is exactly the probability of arriving j from i in m+n steps (say, event E), conditioned on passing state k at m steps. And  $p_{ij}^{(m+n)}$  is the unconditional probability of event E, which is no less than the

### 1.1 Recurrent and Transience

**Notation 1.1.** For an arbitrary event E,

$$P_i(E) := P(E|X_0 = i) \tag{1.40}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_i(E) := \mathbb{E}\left[E|X_0 = i\right] \tag{1.41}$$

**Notation 1.2.** Let  $N(i) := |\{n \ge 1 : X_n = i\}|$  denote the number of times the Markov chain arrives state i. Note that N(i) does not count the initial state.

**Definition 1.6.** Define the **return probability** from state i to j,  $f_{ij}$ , as the probability of arriving state j starting from state i. That is,

$$f_{ij} = P(\exists n \ge 1 \text{ s.t. } X_n = j | X_0 = i)$$
 (1.42)

$$=P_i(N(j)\ge 1) \tag{1.43}$$

**Proposition 1.4.** The probability of firstly arriving j, then arriving k (denoted as event E) starting from i equals

$$P_i(E) = f_{ij}f_{jk} \tag{1.44}$$

Proof.

$$P_i(E) = P(\exists 1 \le m \le n \text{ s.t. } X_m = j, \ X_n = k)$$
 (1.45)

$$= P_i(\exists 1 \le m \le n \text{ s.t. } X_n = k | \exists m \ge 1 \text{ s.t. } X_m = j) P_i(\exists m \ge 1 \text{ s.t. } X_m = j)$$
(1.46)

$$= P_{i}(\exists 1 \le m \le n \ s.t. \ X_{n} = k | \exists m \ge 1 \ s.t. \ X_{m} = j) f_{ij}$$
(1.47)

$$= P(\exists 1 \le m \le n \text{ s.t. } X_n = k | X_m = j) f_{ij} \text{ (Markov property)}$$
(1.48)

$$= P(\exists 1 \le n \text{ s.t. } X_n = k | X_0 = j) f_{ij} \text{ (time homogenous property)}$$
(1.49)

$$=f_{ij}f_{jk} \tag{1.50}$$

Corollary 1.2.

 $P_i(N(i) \ge k) = (f_{ii})^k \tag{1.51}$ 

$$P_i(N(j) \ge k) = f_{ij}(f_{jj})^{k-1}$$
 (1.52)

Corollary 1.3.

$$f_{ij} \ge f_{ik} f_{kj} \tag{1.53}$$

**Proposition 1.5.**  $1 - f_{ij}$  captures the probability that the Markov chain does not return to j from i.

$$1 - f_{ij} = P_i \left( X_n \neq j \text{ for all } n \ge 1 \right) \tag{1.54}$$

**Definition 1.7.** A state i in a Markov chain is **recurrent** if  $f_{ii} = 1$ . That is, starting from state i, the chain returns state i for sure. Otherwise, state i is **transient**.

**Theorem 1.3** (Recurrent State Theorem). The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) State i is recurrent (i.e.,  $f_{ii} = 1$ );
- (ii)  $P_i(N(i) = \infty) = 1$ , that is, starting from state i, state i will be visited infinitely often;

(iii) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty.$$

Proof.  $(i) \iff (ii)$ :

$$P(N(i) = \infty | X_0 = i) = P(\lim_{k \to \infty} N(i) \ge k | X_0 = i)$$
(1.55)

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} P(N(i) \ge k | X_0 = i) \tag{1.56}$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} (f_{ii})^k = 1 \text{ if and only if } f_{ii} = 1$$
(1.57)

 $(i) \iff (iii)$ :

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = i | X_0 = i)$$
(1.58)

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}\{X_n = i\} | X_0 = i)$$
 (1.59)

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}\{X_n = i\} \middle| X_0 = i\right)$$
 (1.60)

$$= \mathbb{E}(N(i)|X_0 = i) \tag{1.61}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kP(N(i) = k|X_0 = i)$$
 (1.62)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(N(i) \ge k | X_0 = i)$$
 (1.63)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_{ii})^k \tag{1.64}$$

$$=\infty$$
 if and only if  $f_{ii}=1$  (1.65)

**Theorem 1.4** (Transient State Theorem). The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) State *i* is transient;
- (ii)  $P_i(N(i) = \infty) = 0$ , that is, state i will only be visited finitely many times;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty.$

*Proof.* Take negation of the recurrent state theorem.

Lemma 1.1 (Stirling's Approximation).

$$n! \approx (n/e)^n \sqrt{2\pi n} \tag{1.66}$$

**Proposition 1.6.** For simple random walk, if p = 1/2, then  $f_{ii} = 1 \ \forall i \in S$ . Otherwise, all states are transient.

$$\forall i \in S, \ f_{ii} = 1 \iff p = \frac{1}{2} \tag{1.67}$$

*Proof.* For simplicity, consider state 0 and the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)}$ . Note that for odd n's,  $p_{00}^{(n)}=0$ .

For all even n's such that n = 2k,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(2k)} \tag{1.68}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} {2k \choose k} p^k (1-p)^k \tag{1.69}$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k!}{(k!)^2} p^k (1-p)^k \tag{1.70}$$

$$\approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2k/e)^{2k} \sqrt{4\pi k}}{(k^k e^{-k} \sqrt{2\pi k})^2} p^k (1-p)^k$$
 (1.71)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2k} k^{2k} e^{-2k} 2\sqrt{\pi k}}{k^{2k} e^{-2k} 2\pi k} p^k (1-p)^k$$
(1.72)

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2k}}{\sqrt{\pi k}} p^k (1-p)^k \tag{1.73}$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^k}{\sqrt{\pi k}} p^k (1-p)^k \tag{1.74}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} [4p(1-p)]^k \tag{1.75}$$

When  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1/2}$$
 (1.76)

$$=\infty \tag{1.77}$$

When  $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [4\pi(1-p)]^k$$
(1.78)

$$<\infty$$
 (1.79)

By the recurrent state theorem,  $f_{ii} = 1 \iff p = 1/2$ . For other  $i \neq 0$ , the prove is similar.

Theorem 1.5 (f-Expansion).

$$f_{ij} = p_{ij} + \sum_{k \in S \setminus \{j\}} p_{ik} f_{kj} \tag{1.80}$$

Proof.

$$f_{ij} = P(\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} \ s.t. \ X_n = j | X_0 = i)$$
 (1.81)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} \ s.t. \ X_n = j | X_0 = i, X_1 = k) P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i)$$
(1.82)

$$= \sum_{k \in S} P(\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} \text{ s.t. } X_n = j | X_1 = k) P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i) \text{ (Markov Property)}$$

$$(1.83)$$

$$=\underbrace{P(\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} \ s.t. \ X_n = j | X_1 = j)}_{=1} P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i) + \sum_{k \neq j} f_{kj} P(X_1 = k | X_0 = i)$$
(1.84)

$$= p_{ij} + \sum_{k \neq j} f_{kj} p_{ik} \tag{1.85}$$

## 1.2 Communicating States

**Definition 1.8.** State i is said to **communicate** with state j, denoted as  $i \to j$ , if  $f_{ij} > 0$ . That is, it is possible to get from state i to state j given arbitrarily long period of time.

**Proposition 1.7** (Equivalent Defintion). The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $i \rightarrow j$ ;
- (ii)  $\exists m \ge 1, \ s.t. \ p_{ij}^{(m)} > 0.$

*Proof.* (Proving the negation) If  $p_{ij}^{(m)} = 0$  for every  $m \ge 1$ , then it's impossible to get state j from state i, that's,  $f_{ij} = 0$ .

**Definition 1.9.** A Markov chain s **irreducible** if  $i \to j \ \forall i, j \in S$ .

### 1.3 Recurrence and Transience Equivalence Theorem

Lemma 1.2 (Sum Lemma). If

- (i)  $i \rightarrow k$ ;
- (ii)  $\ell \to j$ ;
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{k\ell}^{(n)} = \infty.$

Then,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $i \to k$  and  $\ell \to j$ , then there exists m and r such that  $p_{ik}^{(m)} > 0$  and  $p_{\ell j}^{(r)} > 0$ . By the Chapman-Kolmogorov inequality,  $p_{ij}^{(m+n+r)} \ge p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{k\ell}^{(n)} p_{\ell j}^{(r)}$ .

Then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \ge \sum_{n=m+r+1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \tag{1.86}$$

$$=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(m+s+r)} \tag{1.87}$$

$$\geq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{k\ell}^{(s)} p_{\ell j}^{(r)} \tag{1.88}$$

$$= p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{\ell j}^{(r)} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{k\ell}^{(s)} = \infty$$
 (1.89)

**Remark 1.1.** Note that sum lemma is still applicable when  $k = \ell$  or i = j.

Corollary 1.4 (Sum Corollary). If  $i \leftrightarrow k$ , then

$$f_{ii} = 1 \iff f_{kk} = 1 \tag{1.90}$$

*Proof.* Provided  $i \leftrightarrow k$ , there exists  $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p_{ik}^{(m)} > 0 (1.91)$$

$$p_{kj}^{(r)} > 0 (1.92)$$

Suppose  $f_{ii} = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{kk}^{(n)} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{ii}^{(s)} p_{kj}^{(r)}$$
(1.93)

$$\geq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{ii}^{(s)} p_{kj}^{(r)} \tag{1.94}$$

$$= p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kj}^{(r)} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(s)}$$
 (1.95)

$$=\infty \tag{1.96}$$

$$\iff f_{kk} = 1 \tag{1.97}$$

**Theorem 1.6** (Case Theorem). For an irreducible Markov chain, it is either

- (a) a **recurrent** Markov chain:  $\forall i \in S, \ f_{ii} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty \ \forall i, j \in S;$
- (b) or a **transient** Markov chain:  $\forall i \in S, \ f_{ii} < 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty \ \forall i, j \in S.$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an irreducible Markov chain, if there exists  $i \neq j \in S$  such that  $f_{ii} = 1$  but  $f_{jj} < 1$ , this leads to a contradiction to the sum corollary because irreducibility of  $\mathcal{M}$  implies  $i \leftrightarrow j$ . Also, if there exists. some  $i, j \in S$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$ . Then for every other  $k, \ell \in S$ ,  $k \leftrightarrow i$  and  $j \leftrightarrow \ell$  by the irreducibility of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{k\ell}^{(n)} = \infty$  by sum lemma.

**Theorem 1.7** (Finite Space Theorem). An <u>irreducible</u> Markov chain on a <u>finite</u> state space is always recurrent.

*Proof.* Let  $i \in S$  (u.i.),

$$\sum_{j \in S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}^{(n)}$$
(1.98)

$$=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 = \infty \tag{1.99}$$

Because S is finite,  $\exists k \in S$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ik}^{(n)} = \infty$ . Therefore, all states are recurrent.

**Theorem 1.8** (Hit-Lemma). Define  $H_{ij}$  as the event in which the chain starts from j and visits i without firstly returning to j (direct path from j to i)  $^2$ :

$$H_{ij} := \{ \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ s.t. \ X_n = i \land X_m \neq j \ \forall m < n \}$$

$$(1.100)$$

If  $j \to i$  with  $j \neq i$ , then  $P(H_{ij}|X_0 = j) > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.9** (f-Lemma). For all  $i, j \in S$ , if  $j \to i$  and  $f_{jj} = 1$ , then  $f_{ij} = 1$ .

*Proof.* For i = j, trivial.

Suppose  $i \neq j$ , since  $j \to i$ , then  $P(H_{ij}|X_0 = j) > 0$ .

Further,

$$P(X_n \neq j \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} | X_0 = j) \ge P(H_{ij} | X_0 = j) P(X_n \neq j \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} | X_0 = i)$$
(1.101)

$$\implies 0 = 1 - f_{jj} \ge P(H_{ij}|X_0 = j)(1 - f_{ij}) \tag{1.102}$$

$$\implies f_{ij} = 1 \tag{1.103}$$

Lemma 1.3 (Infinite Returns Lemma). For an irreducible Markov chain,

- (i) if this chain is recurrent, then  $P(N(j) = \infty | X_0 = i) = 1 \ \forall i, j \in S$ ;
- (ii) if this chain is transient, then  $P(N(j) = \infty | X_0 = i) = 0 \ \forall i, j \in S$ .

Proof. Let  $i, j \in S$ .

Suppose the chain is irreducible and recurrent, if i = j, then  $f_{ii} = f_{jj} = 1$ .

Otherwise,  $i \neq j$ . Since  $j \rightarrow i$ , by the f-Lemma,  $f_{jj} = f_{ii} = f_{jj} = f_{ji} = 1$ .

$$P(N(j) = \infty | X_0 = i) = \lim_{k \to \infty} P(N(j) \ge k | X_0 = i)$$
(1.104)

$$=\lim_{k\to\infty} f_{ij}f_{jj}^{k-1} \tag{1.105}$$

$$=1 \tag{1.106}$$

When the chain is transient,  $f_{jj} < 1$ , and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} f_{ij} f_{jj}^{k-1} = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.10** (Recurrent Equivalences Theorem). For a <u>irreducible</u> Markov chain (so that  $i \to j$  for all  $i, j \in S$ ), the following statements are equivalent:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Notation abuse:  $H_{ij}$  describes the event starting from j and ending at i, instead of the other way round.

- (1)  $\exists k, \ell \in S \text{ such that } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{k\ell}^{(n)} = \infty;$
- (2)  $\forall i, j \in S, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty;$
- (3)  $\exists k \in S \ s.t. \ f_{kk} = 1$  (need two nodes to be the same to form a strong condition);
- $(4) \ \forall j \in S, \ f_{jj} = 1;$
- (5)  $\forall i, j \in S, f_{ij} = 1;$
- (6)  $\exists k, \ell \in S \text{ such that } P_k(N(\ell) = \infty) = 1;$
- (7)  $\forall i, j \in S, P_i(N(j) = \infty) = 1.$

*Proof.*  $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$  by sum lemma;

- $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$  take the special case when i = j, use recurrent state theorem;
- $(3) \Longrightarrow (4)$  by sum corollary;
- $(4) \Longrightarrow (5)$  by f-lemma;
- $(5) \Longrightarrow (6)$  by infinite returns lemma;
- $(6) \Longrightarrow (7)$
- $(7) \Longrightarrow (1)$

**Theorem 1.11** (Transience Equivalences Theorem). For a <u>irreducible</u> Markov chain (so that  $i \to j$  for all  $i, j \in S$ ), the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\forall k, \ell \in S \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{k\ell}^{(n)} < \infty;$
- (2)  $\exists i, j \in S, \ s.t. \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty;$
- (3)  $\forall k \in S \ f_{kk} < 1$ ;
- (4)  $\exists j \in S, \ s.t. \ f_{ij} < 1;$
- (5)  $\exists i, j \in S, \ s.t. \ f_{ij} < 1;$
- (6)  $\forall k, \ell \in S, P_k(N(\ell) = \infty) = 0;$
- (7)  $\exists i, j \in S, \ s.t. \ P_i(N(j) = \infty) = 0.$

### 1.4 Closed Subset of a Markov Chain

**Definition 1.10.** For a Markov chain with state space S, then any  $C \subseteq S$  satisfies

$$p_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall i \in C, \ j \notin C \tag{1.107}$$

is a **closed subset** of the original Markov chain. That is, the chain will stay in the closed subset once enters it.

Remark 1.2. All theorems hold on the closed subset as well.

**Proposition 1.8.** For a simple random walk, if  $p \ge \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $f_{ij} = 1$  for every j > i.

# 2 Markov Chain Convergence

### 2.1 Stationary Distributions

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\pi \in \Delta(S)$ ,  $\pi$  is **stationary** for a Markov chain if

$$\pi_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} \quad \forall j \in S \tag{2.1}$$

In matrix notation

$$\pi = \pi P \tag{2.2}$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\pi$  be a stationary distribution of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\pi_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \tag{2.3}$$

In matrix notation,

$$\pi = \pi P^n \tag{2.4}$$

*Proof.* Using the matrix notation, it can be shown that  $\pi = \pi P^n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,

$$\pi_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i [P^n]_{ij} \tag{2.5}$$

$$= \pi_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \text{ since } P^{(n)} = P^n$$
 (2.6)

**Definition 2.2.** A chain is doubly stochastic if

$$\forall j \in S \ \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} = 1 \tag{2.7}$$

That is, for every state j, the arrival probability is one.

**Proposition 2.2.** Uniform distribution is stationary for all finite state doubly stochastic Markov chains.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_i = \frac{1}{|S|}$  for all  $i \in S$ , then

$$\sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} = \sum_{i \in S} \frac{1}{|S|} p_{ij} \tag{2.8}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} \tag{2.9}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|S|} \text{ (doubly stochastic)} \tag{2.10}$$

$$=\pi_j \tag{2.11}$$

## 2.2 Searching for Stationarity

**Definition 2.3.** A Markov chain is **reversible** with respect to a distribution  $\pi$  if

$$\pi_i p_{ij} = \pi_j p_{ji} \quad \forall i, j \in S \tag{2.12}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** If a chain is reversible with respect to  $\pi$ , then  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution.

Proof.

$$\sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_j p_{ji} \tag{2.13}$$

$$= \pi_j \sum_{i \in S} p_{ji} \text{ (reverse the chain)}$$
 (2.14)

$$=\pi_i \tag{2.15}$$

**Proposition 2.3** (Vanishing Probability Proposition). For a Markov chain  $\mathcal{M}$ , if

$$\forall i, j \in S, \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

that is, the chain moves chaotically, then  $\mathcal{M}$  cannot have a stationary distribution.

*Proof.* Suppose, for contradiction, there is a stationary distribution  $\pi$ . Then,

$$\pi_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_j \tag{2.17}$$

$$=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i\in S}\pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \tag{2.18}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in S} \lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \tag{2.19}$$

$$= \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \tag{2.20}$$

$$= 0 \neq 1 \tag{2.21}$$

 $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ 

**Lemma 2.1** (Vanishing Lemma). If  $\mathcal{M}$  has some  $k, \ell$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_{k\ell}^{(n)} = 0$ , then for all  $i, j \in S$  such that  $k \to i$  and  $j \to \ell$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Because  $k \to i$  and  $j \to \ell$ , there exists  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p_{ki}^{(r)} > 0, \ p_{j\ell}^{(s)} > 0$$
 (2.22)

Note that for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$p_{k\ell}^{(r+n+s)} \ge p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{ij}^{(n)} p_{j\ell}^{(s)} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\implies p_{ij}^{(n)} \le \frac{p_{k\ell}^{(r+n+s)}}{p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{i\ell}^{(s)}} \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore,

$$0 \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_{k\ell}^{(r+n+s)}}{p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{j\ell}^{(s)}}$$
(2.25)

$$= \frac{1}{p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{i\ell}^{(s)}} \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{k\ell}^{(r+n+s)}$$
(2.26)

$$= \frac{1}{p_{ki}^{(r)}p_{j\ell}^{(s)}}0 = 0 (2.27)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0 \tag{2.28}$$

Corollary 2.1 (Vanishing Together Corollary). For an irreducible Markov chain, either

- (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \neq 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

*Proof.* Immediate result from vanishing lemma.

Corollary 2.2 (Vanishing Probabilities Corollary). If there exists  $i, j \in S$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  cannot have a stationary distribution.

Corollary 2.3 (Transient Not Stationary Corollary). A Markov chain which is <u>irreducible</u> and <u>transient</u> cannot have a stationary distribution.

Proof.

$$\forall i, j \in S \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$$
 (2.29)

**Definition 2.4.** The **period** of a state i is the greatest common divisor of the set

$$\Phi_i = \{ n \ge 1 : p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0 \} \tag{2.30}$$

Note that if  $f_{ii} = 0$ , then  $\Phi = \emptyset$ , and period is not well-defined.

**Definition 2.5.** If all states in  $\mathcal{M}$  has period of 1, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to be aperiodic.

**Lemma 2.2** (Equal Period Lemma). If  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , then the periods of i and j are equal.

*Proof.* Let  $t_i$  and  $t_j$  be the periods of i and j.

Because  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , there exists  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p_{ij}^{(r)}, p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0$ .

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p_{jj}^{(n)} > 0$  (i.e.,  $n \in \Phi_j$ ), it must be the case that

$$p_{ii}^{(r+n+s)} \ge p_{ij}^{(r)} p_{jj}^{(n)} p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0$$
(2.31)

$$p_{ii}^{(r+s)} \ge p_{ij}^{(r)} p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0 \tag{2.32}$$

Therefore, r + n + s and  $r + s \in \Phi_i$ , and  $t_i | r + n + s$  and  $t_i | r + s$ .

Hence  $t_i|n$ .

Because n is chosen to be an arbitrary element in  $\Phi_i$ , therefore,  $t_i \leq t_i$ .

Proving  $t_i \geq t_j$  is similar.

Corollary 2.4. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is irreducible, then all states have the same period.

*Proof.* Follows the equal period lemma directly.

Corollary 2.5. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is irreducible, and  $p_{ii} > 0$  for some  $i \in S$  (so that state i has period 1), then the whole chain  $\mathcal{M}$  is aperiodic.

*Proof.* Follows the equal period corollary directly.

#### 2.3 Convergence Theorem

**Theorem 2.2** (Markov Chain Convergence Theorem). If a Markov chain  $\mathcal{M}$  is

- (i) irreducible;
- (ii) aperiodic;
- (iii) with a stationary distribution  $\pi$
- (i. conditioned on initial state) then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j \quad \forall i, j \in S$$
 (2.33)

In fact, the limiting probability does not depend on initial state i.

(ii. unconditional) and for any initial probability v,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(X_n = j) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_j^{(n)} = \pi_j \tag{2.34}$$

**Theorem 2.3** (Stationary Recurrence Theorem). For an irreducible chain  $\mathcal{M}$  with a stationary distribution,  $\mathcal{M}$  is always recurrent.

Proof. Suppose not, this contradicts the previous result irreducible transient Markov chain cannot have stationary distribution.

**Proposition 2.4.** If a state i has  $f_{ii} > 0$  and is aperiodic, then there is  $n_0(i) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0 \quad \forall n \ge n_0(i)$$
 (2.35)

Proof. Because  $f_{ii} > 0$ ,  $\Phi_i := \{n \ge 1 : p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0\} \ne \emptyset$ . Let  $m, n \in \Phi_i$ , then  $p_{ii}^{(m+n)} \ge p_{ii}^{(m)} p_{jj}^{(n)} > 0$ , so that  $m + n \in \Phi_i$ .

Therefore,  $\Phi_i$  satisfies additivity property.

Also,  $gcd(\Phi_i) = 1$ .

Lemma show that  $n \in \Phi_i$  implies  $n' \in \Phi_i \ \forall n' \geq n$ .

Let  $n(i) \in \Phi_i$ , then for all  $n' \geq n(i)$ ,  $n' \in \Phi_i$ .

Corollary 2.6. If a chain is irreducible and aperiodic, then for any states  $i, j \in S$ , there is  $n_0(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} > 0 \quad \forall n \ge n_0(i,j)$$
 (2.36)

*Proof.* Let  $n_0(i) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n' \geq n_0(i)$ ,  $n' \in \Phi_i$ .

Provided  $i \to j$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p_{ij}^{(m)} > 0$ .

Let  $n_0(i, j) = n_0(i) + m$ .

For every  $n \ge n_0(i, j)$ , n can be written as n = n' + m for some  $n' \ge n_0(i)$ ,

$$n' \ge n_0(i) \implies p_{ii}^{(n')} > 0 \tag{2.37}$$

Then

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = p_{ij}^{(n'+m)} (2.38)$$

$$\geq p_{ii}^{(n')} p_{ij}^{(m)} > 0 \tag{2.39}$$

**Lemma 2.3** (Markov Forgetting Lemma). If a Markov chain  $\mathcal{M}$  is

- (i) irreducible;
- (ii) aperiodic;
- (iii) with a stationary distribution  $\pi$

then for all  $i, j, k \in S$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| p_{ik}^{(n)} - p_{jk}^{(n)} \right| = 0 \tag{2.40}$$

Proof. Omitted

Corollary 2.7. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is irreducible and aperiodic then it has at most one stationary distribution.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  has a stationary distribution, then by the Markov chain convergence theorem,  $\pi_j$  is the limit of

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(X_n = j) \tag{2.41}$$

and such limit must be unique if it exists.

Corollary 2.8 (Generalized Version). If  $\mathcal{M}$  is irreducible then it has at most one stationary distribution.

### 2.4 Periodic Convergence

**Theorem 2.4** (Periodic Convergence Theorem). Suppose a Markov chain is <u>irreducible</u>, with period  $b \ge 2$ , and has stationary distribution  $\pi$ , then for all  $i, j \in S$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b} \left[ p_{ij}^{(n)} + \dots + p_{ij}^{(n+b-1)} \right] = \pi_j$$
 (2.42)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{b} \left( \mathbb{P}[X_n = j] + \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = j] + \dots + \mathbb{P}[X_{n+b-1} = j] \right) = \pi_j$$
 (2.43)

Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[X_n = j \text{ or } X_{n+1} = j \text{ or } \cdots \text{ or } X_{n+b-1} = j]$$
(2.44)

*Proof.* For the last equality, note that since the period is b, it must take at least b steps for the chain to return to j from j. Therefore, all events in the last equality are disjoint.

**Lemma 2.4** (Cesaro Sum). If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = r$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = r$ .

**Theorem 2.5** (Average Probability Convergence). If a Markov chain is <u>irreducible</u> with stationary distribution  $\pi$ , then for all  $i, j \in S$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(\ell)} = \pi_j \tag{2.45}$$

*Proof.* If the chain is aperiodic, apply the Markov chain convergence theorem and Cesaro sum. Otherwise, suppose the chain has period  $b \ge 2$ , then by periodic convergence theorem,

$$x_n := \frac{1}{b} \left[ p_{ij}^{(n)} + \dots + p_{ij}^{(n+b-1)} \right] \to \pi_j$$
 (2.46)

and Cesaro sum,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} x_{\ell} = \pi_j \tag{2.47}$$

# 3 Random Walk on Graphs

**Definition 3.1.** A graph consists of a non-empty finite or countable set V of <u>vertices</u> and a <u>symmetric</u> weight function  $w: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A graph is **unweighted** if for every  $w, v \in V$ ,

- (i) d(w, v) = 1 if and only if there is an edge between w and v;
- (ii) and d(w, v) = 0 if and only if there is no edge in between.

**Definition 3.3.** For a vertex  $u \in V$ , the **degree** of vertex u is defined as

$$d(u) := \sum_{v \in V} w(u, v) \tag{3.1}$$

**Definition 3.4.** Given a vertex set V with symmetric weights w the (simple) random walk on the (undirected) graph (V, w) is the Markov chain with state space S = V and transition probabilities  $p_{uv} = \frac{w(u,v)}{d(u)}$  for all  $u,v \in V$ .

**Definition 3.5.** Consider a random walk on a graph V with degree d(u). Assume

$$Z = \sum_{u \in V} d(u) = \sum_{u,v \in V} w(u,v)$$
 (3.2)

is finite, then

$$\pi_u = \frac{d(u)}{Z} \tag{3.3}$$

is a stationary distribution for this walk.

*Proof.* We are going to show this random walk is reversible with respect to  $\pi$ . Let  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$\pi_u p_{uv} = \frac{d(u)}{Z} \frac{w(u, v)}{d(u)} = \frac{w(u, v)}{Z}$$
(3.4)

$$\pi_v p_{vu} = \frac{d(v)}{Z} \frac{w(v, u)}{d(v)} = \frac{w(v, u)}{Z}$$
(3.5)

These two products are in fact equal because weight is symmetric.

**Proposition 3.1.** A random walk on graph is irreducible if and only if the graph is connected.

**Proposition 3.2.** The period of a random walk on graph is either 1 or 2, since  $p_{uu}^{(2)} > 0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** A random walk on graph is aperiodic if and only if it's non-bipartite.

**Proposition 3.4.** Any cycle with odd number of vertices is non-bipartite, therefore, aperiodic.

**Theorem 3.1** (Graph Convergence Theorem). For a random walk on a <u>connected non-bipartite</u> graph, if  $Z < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p_{uv}^{(n)} = \frac{d(v)}{Z} \equiv \pi_v \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $u, v \in V$ , and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P}\left[X_n = v\right] = \frac{d(v)}{Z} \tag{3.7}$$

for any initial probabilities.

*Proof.* Since the graph is irreducible and aperiodic, further it possesses a stationary distribution. By Markov chain convergence theorem, it converges to its stationary distribution.

**Theorem 3.2** (Graph Average Convergence). For a random walk on any <u>connected graph</u> with  $Z < \infty$  (whether bipartite or not), for all  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_{uv}^{(n)} + p_{uv}^{(n+1)} \right] = \frac{d(v)}{Z}$$
(3.8)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} p_{uv}^{(\ell)} = \frac{d(v)}{Z}$$
(3.9)

*Proof.* By periodic convergence theorem.

### 4 Mean Recurrence Times

**Definition 4.1.** Given a Markov chain with states S, the **mean recurrence time** of a state  $i \in S$  is the expected value of the time of returning state i from state i. That is,

$$m_i = \mathbb{E}_i[\inf\{n \ge 1 : X_n = i\}] = \mathbb{E}[\inf\{n \ge 1 : X_n = i | X_0 = i\}]$$
 (4.1)

Let  $\tau_i := \inf\{n \ge 1 : X_n = i\}.$ 

**Remark 4.1.** Even if state i is recurrent, it is still possible that  $m_i = \infty$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A state is **positive recurrent** if  $m_i < \infty$ . It is **null recurrent** if  $m_i = \infty$ .

Theorem 4.1 (Recurrent Time Theorem). For an irreducible Markov chain, either

- (i)  $m_i < \infty$  for all  $i \in S$ , and there is a unique stationary distribution  $\pi_i = \frac{1}{m_i}$ ;
- (ii) or  $m_i = \infty$  for all  $i \in S$ , and there is no stationary distribution.

**Proposition 4.1.** An <u>irreducible</u> Markov chain on a <u>finite</u> state space S always have  $m_i < \infty$  for all  $i \in S$ , and this chain has stationary distribution  $\pi_i = \frac{1}{m_i}$ .

**Remark 4.2.** The converse to above proposition is false. There are Markov chains with stationary distribution, but has infinite state space.

**Proposition 4.2.** For a symmetric random walk starting from state i,

$$\infty = m_i = \mathbb{E}_i[\tau_i] = 1 + p\mathbb{E}_{i+1}[\tau_i] + (1-p)\mathbb{E}_{i-1}[\tau_i]$$
(4.2)

$$= 1 + p\mathbb{E}_i[\tau_{i-1}] + (1-p)\mathbb{E}_i[\tau_{i+1}]$$
(4.3)

$$\implies \mathbb{E}_i[\tau_{i-1}] = \mathbb{E}_i[\tau_{i+1}] = \infty \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, on average, it takes infinite steps for the simple symmetric walk to progress one step.

# 5 Martingales

**Assumption 5.1.** We assume throughout that the random variable of consideration,  $X_n$ , has finite expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty \tag{5.1}$$

**Definition 5.1.** A stochastic process  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a martingale if for all n:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_{0:n}] = X_n \tag{5.2}$$

**Proposition 5.1.** A Markov chain with discrete space S and  $X_t = i_t \in S$  is a martingale if

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_{0:n}] = \sum_{j \in S} p_{i_n j} j = i_n \tag{5.3}$$

**Example 5.1.** A simple symmetric random walk is a Markov chain martingale.

Lemma 5.1 (Law of Total Expectation).

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[X|Y\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] \tag{5.4}$$

**Proposition 5.2** (Necessary Condition of a Martingale). Let  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a martingale stochastic process, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n+1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n+1}|X_{0:n}\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right] \tag{5.5}$$

By induction,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{5.6}$$

# 6 Stopping Times

**Definition 6.1.** A non-negative-inter-valued random variable T is a **stopping time** for  $\{X_n\}$  if for every n, the event  $\{T=n\}$  is determined only by  $X_{0:n}$ . That is, whether the stopping time T is reached at step n is determined completely by the history up to time n.

**Motivation** Given a stopping time random variable T, whether

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] \tag{6.1}$$

**Lemma 6.1** (Optional Stopping Lemma). If  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale, and T is a bounded stopping time:

$$\exists M < \infty \ s.t. \ P(T \le M) = 1 \tag{6.2}$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] \tag{6.3}$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{T}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{T} - X_{0}\right] \tag{6.4}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} X_t - X_{t-1}\right] \tag{6.5}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{M} (X_t - X_{t-1}) \mathbb{1}\{t \le T\}\right]$$
(6.6)

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{M} (X_t - X_{t-1})(1 - \mathbb{1}\{t > T\})\right]$$
(6.7)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\left[ (X_t - X_{t-1})(1 - \mathbb{1}\{t > T\}) \right]$$
 (6.8)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(X_t - X_{t-1})(1 - \mathbb{1}\{T \le t - 1\})|X_{0:t-1}]\right]$$
(6.9)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\left[ (1 - \mathbb{1}\{T \le t - 1\}) \mathbb{E}\left[ (X_t - X_{t-1}) | X_{0:t-1} \right] \right]$$
 (6.10)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\left[ (1 - \mathbb{1}\{T \le t - 1\}) (\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t} | X_{0:t-1}\right] - X_{t-1}) \right]$$
 (6.11)

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{M} \mathbb{E}\left[ (1 - \mathbb{1}\{T \le t - 1\})(0) \right]$$
 (6.12)

$$=0 (6.13)$$

**Remark 6.1.** If  $M = \infty$ , we may not exchange the summation and expectation at step (6.8).

**Theorem 6.1** (Optional Stopping Theorem). If  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is martingale with stopping time T such that

$$P(T < \infty) = 1 \tag{6.14}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] < \infty \tag{6.15}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbb{1}\{T > n\}] = 0 \tag{6.16}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] \tag{6.17}$$

*Proof.* For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define another random variable  $S_m = \min(T, m)$ . So that  $S_m$  is a bounded stopping time. And by the optimal stopping lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{S_m}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] \tag{6.18}$$

Moreover,

$$X_{S_m} = S_{\min(T,m)} = \mathbb{1}\{T > m\}X_m + (1 - \mathbb{1}\{T > m\})X_T$$
(6.19)

$$\implies X_T = X_{S_m} + \mathbb{1}\{T > m\}S_T - \mathbb{1}\{T > m\}S_m \tag{6.20}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{S_m}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}\left\{T > m\right\}S_T\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}\left\{T > m\right\}S_m\right] \tag{6.21}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{T > m\}S_T] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{T > m\}S_m] \tag{6.22}$$

By (6.14) and (6.15), as  $m \to \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}\{T > m\}S_T]$  approaches zero.

By (6.16), as  $m \to \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}\{T > m\}S_m\right] \to 0$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] \tag{6.23}$$

However,  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] \perp m$ , it must be  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ .

Corollary 6.1 (Optional Stopping Corollary). If  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is martingale with stopping time T such that

$$\exists M < \infty \text{ s.t. } P(|X_n| \mathbb{1}\{n < T\} \le M) = 1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (6.24)

$$P(T < \infty) = 1 \tag{6.25}$$

## 7 Wald's Theorem

**Theorem 7.1** (Wald's Theorem). Consider a stochastic process  $X_n := a + Z_1 + \cdots + Z_n$  where  $Z_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} f_Z$  with finite mean m. Let T be a stopping time for  $\{X_n\}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_T\right] = a + m\mathbb{E}\left[T\right] \tag{7.1}$$

# 8 Sequence Waiting Times

**Motivation** Converting the waiting time for a particular pattern in stochastic process  $\{X_n\}$  to a Markov chain.

fill this part

# 9 Martingale Convergence Theorem

**Theorem 9.1** (Martingale Convergence Theorem). Any martingale  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  which is either

- (i) bounded below
- (ii) or bounded above

converges to some random variable X with probability 1.

Proof. Omitted.

# 10 Branching Processes

**Definition** A branching process consists of a **offspring distribution**  $\mu \in \Delta(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Let  $X_0 = a$  and

$$X_{n+1} = Z_{n,1} + Z_{n,2} + \dots + Z_{n,X_n}$$
(10.1)

where 
$$Z_{n,j} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu$$
 (10.2)

The stochastic process  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is called a **branching process**. Note that a branching process is in fact a Markov chain with

$$p_{00} = 1, \ p_{0j} = 0 \ \forall j \ge 1 \tag{10.3}$$

In general, the transition probability can be written using the convolution of offspring distributions

$$\forall i, j \ge 1, \ p_{ij} = \underbrace{(\mu * \mu * \dots * \mu)}_{\times i}(j) \tag{10.4}$$

Define  $m := \mathbb{E}[\mu]$  to be the **reproductive number**. Assume  $0 < m < \infty$ . Then, by induction

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n+1}|X_0,\cdots,X_n\right] = mX_n\tag{10.5}$$

By applying law of total expectation and induction,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_n\right] = m^n \mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right] \tag{10.6}$$

**Proposition 10.1.** When m < 1, with probability  $1 X_n \to 0$ .

**Proposition 10.2.** Assuming  $\mu(0) > 0$ , when m > 1, both  $P(X_n \to \infty) > 0$  and  $P(X_n \to 0) > 0$ .

**Definition 10.1.** A branching process is **degenerate** if  $\mu(1) = 1$ . That is,  $X_n = X_0 = a$  w.p. 1 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 10.3.** When m=1, the branching process is a non-negative martingale. Therefore, the martingale branching process converges to some random variable X w.p. 1. If the branching process is non-degenerate, then it converges to  $X \equiv 0$  w.p. 1.

**Proposition 10.4.** Given  $\mu(0) > 0$ , then for  $m \le 1$ ,  $X_n \to 0$  w.p. 1.

# 11 Discrete Stock Options

**Definition 11.1.** A (European call) **stock option** is the option to buy one share of the stock for some fixed strike price K at some fixed future strike date (time) S > 0. Hence, at time S, the option worth  $\max(0, X_S - K)$ .

**Definition 11.2.** The fair price of an option is the price such that no profitable arbitrage is possible.

**Definition 11.3.** Let V denote the set of possible values  $X_S$  could take, then the **martingale probability** is defined as  $p_M \in \Delta(V)$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{X_S \sim p_M}[X_S] = X_0 \tag{11.1}$$

That is,  $p_M$  is the transition probability from  $X_0$  to  $X_S$  that makes  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  a martingale.

**Theorem 11.1** (Martingale Pricing Principle). The fair price of an option is equal to its expected value under the martingale probability. That is,

$$p^* = \mathbb{E}_{X_S \sim p_M}[\max\{0, X_S - K\}]$$
(11.2)

**Proposition 11.1.** Suppose a stock price at time 0 equals  $X_0 = a$ , and at time S > 0 equals either  $X_S = d$  (down) or  $X_S = u$  (up), where d < a < u Then if d < K < u, then at time 0, the fair (no-arbitrage) price of an option to buy the stock at time S for K is equal to

$$\frac{(a-d)(u-K)}{u-d} \tag{11.3}$$