# 1 Theory

## 1.1 Information Entropy

**Definition 1.1.** Accuracy gain from splitting R into  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  based on loss L(R):  $L(R) - \frac{|R_1|L(R_1) + |R_2|L(R_2)}{|R_1| + |R_2|}$ 

**Definition 1.2.** Given a random variable  $X \sim p$ , the **entropy** measures the amount of randomness/uncertainty in an arbitrary realization of X.

$$H(X) := \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p}[-\log_2 p(X)] \tag{1.1}$$

**Definition 1.3.** Given joint distribution  $(X,Y) \sim p(X,Y)$ , the entropy of joint distribution is defined as

$$H(X,Y) := \mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \sim p(X,Y)}[-\log_2 p(X,Y)] = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log_2 p(x,y)$$
 (1.2)

**Definition 1.4.** Given two random variables X and Y, the conditional entropy of Y conditioned on specific realization of X is defined to be

$$H(Y|X=x) := \mathbb{E}_{y \sim p(y|X=x)}[-\log_2 p(y|X=x)] = -\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y|X=x)\log_2 p(y|X=x) \tag{1.3}$$

The **expected conditional entropy**<sup>1</sup> is defined as

$$H(Y|X) = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p(x)}[H(Y|X)] = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p(x)}[\mathbb{E}_{y \sim p(y|X=x)}[-\log_2 p(y|X=x)]] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x)H(Y|X=x)$$
(1.4)

$$= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \sum_{y \in Im(Y)} p(y|X=x) \log_2 p(y|X=x) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x,y) \log_2 p(y|X=x) = -\mathbb{E}_{(X,Y) \sim p(x,y)} [\log_2 p(Y|X)] \tag{1.5}$$

**Proposition 1.1.** For every  $X \in \Delta(\mathcal{X})$ ,  $H(X) \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.2** (Chain Rule). H(X,Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X)

**Proposition 1.3.** If  $X \perp Y$ , then knowing X does not provide extra information (i.e. reduce entropy) of Y. That is H(Y|X) = H(Y).

**Proposition 1.4.** Y becomes deterministic by knowing Y, that is, H(Y|Y) = 0.

**Proposition 1.5.** By knowing X, the uncertainty about Y is reduced:  $H(Y|X) \le H(Y)$ .

**Definition 1.5.** The information gain in Y due to X, or mutual information of X and Y is defined to be

$$IG(Y|X) := H(Y) - H(Y|X) \tag{1.6}$$

When X is completely uninformative about Y: H(Y|X) = H(Y), then IG(Y|X) = 0.

When X is completely information about Y: H(Y|X) = 0 (deterministic), then IG(Y|X) = H(Y).

Proposition 1.6 (Symmetry of Information Gain).

$$IG(Y|X) := H(Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(X|Y) - H(Y|X)$$
(1.7)

$$= H(Y|X) + H(X) - H(X|Y) - H(Y|X) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = IG(X|Y)$$
(1.8)

**BVD:** Deterministic

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - f(x)\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{x,\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(x) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x\right]\right)^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x\right] - f(x)\right)^{2}\right]$$
(1.9)

**BVD: Stochastic** Let  $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$  denote one training instance such that  $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} p_{\text{sample}}$ , where  $p_{\text{sample}} \in \Delta(\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R})$ . Fixing  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , one can construct a new distribution  $p_{\text{dataset}} \in \Delta(\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R})^N$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})_{i=1}^N =: \mathcal{D} \sim p_{\text{dataset}}$  Given a (random) training set  $\mathcal{D}$ , a (random) classifier function  $h_{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathcal{H}$  is generated.

For every query point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ , the prediction  $h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})$  is therefore random.

Suppose y is not deterministic in x, then the expected mean squared error when the model is applied on new instances sampled from  $p_{\text{sample}}$  is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},y,\mathcal{D}}[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - y)^{2}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},y}[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - y)^{2}|\mathcal{D}]] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},y}[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{y}[y|x] + \mathbb{E}_{y}[y|x] - y)^{2}|\mathcal{D}]]$$
(1.10)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\{\mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{E}_y[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])^2]] + 2\mathbb{E}_{x,y}[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,y}(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)^2\}$$
(1.11)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \{ \mathbb{E}_x [(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])^2] + 2\mathbb{E}_{x,y} [(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,y} [(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)^2] \}$$
(1.12)

(1.13)

By law of iterative expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y}[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)] = \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{E}_y[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)]] = \mathbb{E}_x[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - \mathbb{E}_y[y])] = 0 \quad (1.14)$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ This is independent of specific realization of X

By dropping irrelevant expectation operators,

$$\Delta = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbb{E}_x[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])^2]] + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{x,y}[(\mathbb{E}_y[y|x] - y)^2]}_{\text{Bayes Error }\varepsilon^2} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbb{E}_x[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])^2]] + \varepsilon^2$$
(1.15)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\mathbb{E}_x\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x\right] - \mathbb{E}_y\left[y|x\right]\right)^2\right]\right] + \varepsilon^2$$
(1.16)

Note that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbb{E}_x[(h_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x])(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x] - \mathbb{E}_y[y|x])]] = 0$  The first component reduced to zero after applying law of iterative expectation. Non-deterministic case

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y,\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)-y\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}_{x,\mathcal{D}}\left[\left(h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)-\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x\right]\right)^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[h_{\mathcal{D}}(x)|x\right]-\mathbb{E}_{y}[y|x]\right)^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}_{x,y}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{y}[y|x]-y\right)^{2}\right]$$
(1.17)

#### 2 Mathematics & Probability

$$\begin{split} p(x|\mu,\Sigma) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}\det(\Sigma)^{1/2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right\} \\ \operatorname{Var}(X) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(X-\mu)(X-\mu)^T\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d} \\ \operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) &= \mathbb{E}\left[(X-\mu_X)\left(Y-\mu_y\right)^T\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d} \quad p(\theta|\text{ data }) = \frac{p(\text{ data }|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(\text{ data })} \quad \theta^{\text{MAP}} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}}p(\theta|\text{ data }) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}}p(\text{data }|\theta)p(\theta) \\ \theta^{\text{MAP}} &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}}p\left(X_1,\dots,X_N|\theta\right)p(\theta) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}}p(\theta)\prod_{i=1}^N p\left(X_i|\theta\right) = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}}\log p(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^N \log p\left(X_i|\theta\right) \end{split}$$

**Proposition 2.1** (Law of Total Expectation).  $\mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$ .

Proof. 
$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|Y]] = \int \left[ \int x p(x|y) dx \right] p(y) dy = \iint x p(x,y) dx dy = \mathbb{E}[X]$$
  
minimize  $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{w}) =: \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \qquad \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{t}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{t}^\top \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}.$ 

**Theorem 2.1** (Bayes Optimal).  $\operatorname{argmin}_{y} \mathbb{E}[(y-t)^{2}|\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{E}[t|\mathbf{x}]$  where  $t \sim p(t|\mathbf{x})$ .

Multi-class Classification  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$  (aka logits) Input dim = D, output dim = K,  $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times D}$ Pred\_prob: $y_k = \operatorname{softmax}(z_1, \dots, z_K)_k = \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_{k'} e^{z_{k'}}} \quad \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{t}) = -\sum_{k=1}^K t_k \log y_k = -\mathbf{t}^T(\log(y)) \text{ (Softmax-cross-entropy)}.$ 

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{CE}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{k}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{CE}}{\partial z_{k}} \cdot \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\mathbf{w}_{k}} = (y_{k} - t_{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{w}_{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_{k} - \alpha \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y_{k}^{(i)} - t_{k}^{(i)} \right) \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \quad \mathbf{W} \leftarrow \mathbf{W} - \frac{\alpha}{N} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{t}) \mathbf{X}$$
(Forward) (Backward I) (Backward II)  $\mathbf{g}_{i} = \nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$ 

(Forward) (Backward)
$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \qquad \overline{\mathcal{L}} = 1$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \sigma(\mathbf{z})$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{b}^{(2)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{y}\|^{2}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{(2)} = \overline{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{h}^{\top}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{h}}^{(2)} = \overline{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{W}^{(2)\top}\overline{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{z}} = \overline{\mathbf{h}} \circ \sigma'(\mathbf{z})$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} = \overline{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{x}^{\top}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}} = \overline{\mathbf{z}}$$
(Forward: Reg)
$$\mathbf{z} = wx + b \qquad \overline{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$\mathbf{y} = \sigma(z)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}w^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{reg} = \mathcal{L} + \lambda \mathcal{R}$$

### 3 Misc

- 1. Activation functions  $\tanh(z) = \frac{\exp(z) \exp(-z)}{\exp(z) + \exp(-z)}$   $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$ ReLU(z) = max(0, z).
- 2. Parametric Benefits (i) Simpler (interpretability) (ii) Speed (iii) Less Data; Drawbacks (i) Constrained (ii) Limited Complexity (iii) Poor fit.
- 3. Non-parametric Benefits (i) Flexibility (ii) Power (No prior assumptions) (iii) Performance; Drawbacks (i) More data (ii) Slower (iii) Overfitting.
- 4. Decision of linear models:  $\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$  (hyperplane).

 $\mathbf{SVM}$ 

0-1 loss: 
$$\mathcal{L}_{0-1}(z,t) = \mathbb{I}\{\operatorname{sign}(z) \neq t\}$$
 Hinge loss:  $\mathcal{L}_{H}(z,t) = \max\{0,1-zt\}$  a classier using different costs (aka  $\min_{\mathbf{w},b} \Sigma_{i=1}^{N} \max\left\{0,1-t^{(i)}z^{(i)}(\mathbf{w},b)\right\}$   $\min_{\mathbf{w},b} \Sigma_{i=1}^{N} \max\left\{0,1-t^{(i)}z^{(i)}(\mathbf{w},b)\right\} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{2}^{2}$   $w^{(n)} \geq 0 \land \sum_{n=1}^{N} w^{(n)} = 1$ 

Optimize: gradient descent.

### Boosting

$$\Sigma_{n=1}^{N} w^{(n)} \mathbb{I}[h(x^{(n)}) \neq t^{(n)}]$$
  
$$w^{(n)} > 0 \wedge \Sigma_{n=1}^{N} w^{(n)} = 1$$

Decision Stump A decision tree with a single split.
AdaBoost reduces bias by making each classier focus on previous mistakes.