ECO326 Advanced Microeconomic Theory
A Course in Game Theory

Tianyu Du

December 20, 2018

`Github Page https://github.com/TianyuDu/Spikey_UofT_Notes`
`Note Page TianyuDu.com/notes`

Readme this note is based on the course content of *ECO326 Advanced Microeconomics - Game Theory*, this note contains all materials covered during lectures and mentioned in the course syllabus. However, notations, statements of theorems and proofs are following the book *A Course in Game Theory* by Osborne and Rubinstein, so they might be, to some extent, more mathematical than the required text for ECO326, *An Introduction to Game Theory*.

Contents

1 Lecture 1. Games and Dominant Strategies

Assumption 1.1 (pg.4)**.** Assume that each decision-maker is *rational* in the sense that he is aware of his alternatives, forms expectation about any unknowns, has clear preferences, and chooses his action deliberately after some process of optimization.

Definition 1.1 (pg.4)**.** A model of **rational choice** consists

- A set A of *actions.*
- A set C of *consequences.*
- A *consequence function* $g : A \to C$.
- A *preference relation* $\succsim$ on C.

Definition 1.2 (pg.7)**.** A **preference relation** is a complete reflexive and transitive binary relation.

Definition 1.3 (11.1)**.** A **strategic game** consists of

- a finite set of **players** N.
- for each player $i \in N$, an **actions** $A_i \neq \emptyset$.
- for each player $i \in N$, a **preference relation** $\succsim_i$ defined on $A \equiv \prod_{i\in N} A_i$.

and can be written as a triple $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i)\rangle$.

Definition 1.4 (pg.11)**.** A strategic game $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i)\rangle$ is **finite** if

$$|A_i| < \aleph_0 \ \forall i \in N$$

2 Lecture 2. Iterated Elimination and Rationalizability

2.1 Iterated Elimination of Strictly Dominated Strategies

2.2 Rationalizability

Definition 2.1 (59.1)**.** An action of player i in a strategic game is a **never best response** if it is not a best response to any belief of player i.

Definition 2.2 (59.2)**.** The action $a_i \in A_i$ of player i in the strategic game $\langle N, (A_i), (u_i)\rangle$ is **strictly dominated** if there is a mixed strategy α_i of player i such that

$$U_i(a_{-i}, \alpha_i) > u_i(a_{-i}, a_i)$$

for all $a_{-i} \in A_{-i}$, where $U_i(a_{-i}, \alpha_i)$ is the payoff of player i if he uses the mixed strategy α_i and the other players' vector of actions is a_{-i}.

3 Lecture 3. Nash Equilibrium

Definition 3.1 (14.1)**.** A **Nash equilibrium of a strategic game** $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i)\rangle$ is a profile $a^* \in A$ of actions with property that for every player $i \in N$

$$(a_i^*, a_{-i}^*) \succsim_i (a_i, a_{-i}^*) \ \forall a_i \in A_i$$

Definition 3.2 (pg.15)**.** The **best-response function** for a player i is defined as

$$B_i(a_{-i}) = \{a_i \in A_i : (a_i, a_{-i}) \succsim_i (a_i', a_{-i}) \ \forall a_i' \in A_i\}$$

Remark 3.1. The best-response of a_{-i} can be written as

$$B_i(a_{-i}) = \bigcap_{a_i' \in A_i} \{a_i \in A_i : (a_i, a_{-i}) \succsim_i (a_i', a_{-i})\}$$

where each of them is the upper contour set of a_i'.
Thus, if $\succsim_i$ is quasi-concave, then $B_i(a_{-i})$ is an intersection of convex sets and therefore itself convex.

Remark 3.2 (pg.15)**.** So a Nash equilibrium is a profile $a^* \in A$ such that

$$a_i^* \in B_i(a_{-i}^*) \ \forall i \in N$$

Lemma 3.1 (pg.19)**.** A strategic game $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i)\rangle$ has a Nash equilibrium if equivalent to the following statement:
Define set-valued function $B : A \to A$ by

$$B(a) = \prod_{i \in N} B_i(a_{-i})$$

and there exists $a^* \in A$ such that $a^* \in B(a^*)$.

Lemma 3.2 (20.1 Kakutani's fixed point theorem)**.** Let X be a <u>compact convex subset</u> of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f : X \to X$ be a set-valued function for which

- for all $x \in X$ the set $f(x)$ is non-empty and convex.
- the graph of f is closed. *(i.e. for all sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that $y_n \in f(x_n)$ for all n, $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ then $y \in f(x)$)*

Then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in f(x^*)$.

Definition 3.3 (pg.20)**.** A preference relation $\succsim_i$ over A is quasi-concave on A_i if for every $a^* \in A$ the upper contour set over a_i^*, given other players' strategies

$$\{a_i \in A_i : (a_{-i}^*, a_i) \succsim_i a^*\}$$

is convex.

Proposition 3.1 (20.3)**.** The strategic game $\langle N, (A_i), (\succsim_i)\rangle$ has a Nash equilibrium if for all $i \in N$,

- the set A_i of actions of player i is a nonempty <u>compact convex</u> subset of a Euclidian space

and the preference relation $\succsim_i$ is

- continuous
- quasi-concave on A_i.

Proof. Let $B : A \to A$ be a correspondence defined as

$$B(a) := \prod_{i \in N} B_i(a_{-i})$$

Note that for each $a \in A$ and for each $i \in N$,
$B_i(a_{-i}) \neq \emptyset$ since preference $\succsim_i$ is continuous and A_i is compact (EVT).
Also $B_i(a_{-i})$ is convex since it's basically an intersection of upper contour sets and each of those upper contour is convex since $\succsim_i$ is quasi-concave.
So the Cartesian product of the finite collection of B_i is non-empty and convex.
Also the graph B is closed since $\succsim_i$ is continuous.
So there exists $a^* \in A$ such that $a^* \in B(a^*)$.
So Nash equilibrium presents. ∎