

# MAT 344 Lecture Notes

Tianyu Du

February 24, 2019

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International” license.



Github Page [https://github.com/TianyuDu/Spikey\\_UofT\\_Notes](https://github.com/TianyuDu/Spikey_UofT_Notes)  
Note Page [TianyuDu.com/notes](https://TianyuDu.com/notes)

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Strings, Sets, and Binomial Coefficients</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Strings and Sets . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Induction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Pigeon Hole Principle and Complexity</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Pigeon Hole Principle . . . . .	4
3.2	Complexity . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Graph Theory</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Eulerian Graphs . . . . .	7
4.2	Hamiltonian Graphs . . . . .	7
4.3	Graph Colouring . . . . .	7
4.4	Planer Graphs . . . . .	9

# 1 Strings, Sets, and Binomial Coefficients

## 1.1 Strings and Sets

**Notation 1.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$ , and we use  $[n]$  to denote the  $n$ -element set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set, then an  $X$ -string of length (or a **word/array**)  $n$  is a function  $s : [n] \rightarrow X$ , and  $X$  is called the **alphabet** of the string, and each  $x \in X$  is called a **character** or letter.

**Remark 1.1.** An  $X$ -string defined by  $s : [n] \rightarrow X$  with length  $n$  can be equivalently defined as a **sequence** consisting elements in  $X$ .

$$s(1)s(2) \dots s(n) \quad (1.1)$$

**Definition 1.2.** In the case  $X = \{0, 1\}$ , strings generated from  $X$  are called **binary strings**. When  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , strings are called **ternary strings**.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a *finite* set and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$ . An  $X$ -string  $s = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$  is a **permutation** of size  $m$  if  $x_i \neq x_j \ \forall x_i, x_j \in s$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $X$  is an  $m$ -element set and  $m \geq n \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}$ , then the number of  $X$ -strings of length  $n$  that are permutations is

$$P(m, n) \equiv \frac{m!}{(m-n)!} \quad (1.2)$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $X$  be a *finite* set and let  $0 \leq k \leq |X|$ . Then  $S \subseteq X$  with  $|S| = k$  is a **combination** of size  $k$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then the number of combinations is

$$\binom{n}{k} \equiv \frac{P(n, k)}{n!} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \quad (1.3)$$

**Proposition 1.3.** For all integers  $n$  and  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k} \quad (1.4)$$

**Example 1.1.** Binomial coefficients can be used to find the number of integer solutions of

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq N \quad (1.5)$$

given appropriate integers  $k, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (i)  $x_i > 0 \ \forall i \in [k]$  and equality holds, then  $C(N-1, k-1)$ .
- (ii)  $x_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \in [k]$  and equality holds, then  $C(N+k-1, k-1)$ .<sup>1</sup>
- (iii)  $x_i > 0 \ \forall i \neq j, x_j = Z$  and equality holds, then  $C(N-Z+k-2, k-2)$ .
- (iv)  $x_i > 0 \ \forall i \in [k]$  and strict inequality holds, then  $C(N-1, k)$ .<sup>2</sup>
- (v)  $x_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \in [k]$  and strict inequality holds, then  $C(N+k-1, k)$ .
- (vi)  $x_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \in [k]$  and *weak* inequality holds,  $C(N+k, k)$ .<sup>3</sup>

$$\binom{N+k-1}{k-1} + \binom{N+k-1}{k} = \binom{N+k}{k} \quad (1.6)$$

<sup>1</sup>Simulate choosing  $x_i + 1$  instead of  $x_i$ .

<sup>2</sup>Image there is a placeholder  $x_{k+1} > 0$ .

<sup>3</sup>This can be calculated by adding case (ii) and case (v) together, and apply Pascal's identity

**Definition 1.5.** Define a **plane** as  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , then a **lattice path** in the plane is a *sequence* of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$

$$((x_i, y_i))_{i=1}^t \quad (1.7)$$

such that for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ , either

- (i) (*Horizontal move*)  $x_{i+1} = x_i + 1 \wedge y_{i+1} = y_i$
- (ii) Or (*vertical move*)  $x_{i+1} = x_i \wedge y_{i+1} = y_i + 1$

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $(p, q), (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , then the number of lattice paths from  $(p, q)$  to  $(m, n)$  is

$$\binom{(p-m) + (q-n)}{p-m} \quad (1.8)$$

*Proof.* The lattice is isomorphic to a  $H, V$ -string with length  $(p-m) + (q-n)$ . There are exactly  $p-m$  horizontal moves as well as exactly  $q-n$  vertical moves. ■

**Theorem 1.1.** Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the number of lattice paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, n)$  which *never go above the diagonal line* is the **Catalan number**

$$C(n) \equiv \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \quad (1.9)$$

*Proof.* Omitted ■

**Theorem 1.2** (Binomial Theorem). Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^{n-i} y^i \quad (1.10)$$

**Theorem 1.3** (Multinomial Theorem). Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^r \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i\right)^n = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \binom{n}{\alpha} (x_i)^\alpha \quad (1.11)$$

where  $\alpha \equiv (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^r$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{++} \forall i$  is a **multi-index**, and

$$(x_i)^\alpha \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^{\alpha_i} \quad (1.12)$$

$$|\alpha| \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \quad (1.13)$$

$$\binom{n}{\alpha} \equiv \frac{n!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_r!} \quad (1.14)$$

## 2 Induction

**Theorem 2.1** (Well-Ordering Principle). Every non-empty set of  $\mathbb{Z}_{++}$  has a least element.

*Proof.* Prove using principle of mathematical induction and contradiction. ■

**Definition 2.1.** Recursive definition

**Theorem 2.2** (The Principle of Mathematical Induction). If  $S$  is any set of natural numbers with properties that

1. 1 is in  $S$ , and

2.  $k + 1$  is in  $S$  whenever  $k$  is any number in  $S$ .

then  $S = \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Recursive definitions can also be recast as **inductive definitions**.

**Definition 2.2** (Summation). Summation operator beginning with index 1,  $\sum : \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is the set of unary real-valued functions, is defined inductively as

$$\sum_{i=1}^1 f(i) \equiv f(1) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f(i) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k f(i) + f(k+1) \quad (2.2)$$

**Theorem 2.3** (The Principle of Complete Mathematical Induction). If  $S$  is any set of natural numbers with the properties that

1.  $1 \in S$ , and
2.  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\} \subset S \implies k + 1 \in S$ ,

then  $S = \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

### 3 Pigeon Hole Principle and Complexity

#### 3.1 Pigeon Hole Principle

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function, then

$$f \text{ injective} \implies |X| \leq |Y| \quad (3.1)$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Pigeon Hole Principle). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , and suppose  $|X| > |Y|$ , then  $f$  is not injective, that's

$$\exists x_1 \neq x_2 \in X \text{ s.t. } f(x_1) = f(x_2) \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Contrapositive form of the theorem 3.1 ■

**Theorem 3.3** (Erods/Szekeres). Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , then any sequence of  $mn + 1$  *distinct* real numbers either

- (i) has an increasing subsequence of  $m + 1$  terms,
- (ii) or it has a decreasing subsequence of  $n + 1$  terms.

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{mn+1})$  be a sequence with length  $mn + 1$  consisting of distinct reals. For each  $i \in [mn + 1]$  define  $a_i$  as the maximum length of an increasing subsequence of  $\sigma$  *beginning with*  $x_i$ . Define  $b_i$  as the maximum length of a decreasing subsequence of  $\sigma$  *ending with*  $x_i$ .

**Case (i)**

$$\exists i \in [mn + 1] \text{ s.t. } a_i \geq m + 1 \vee b_i \geq n + 1 \quad (3.3)$$

then the theorem is proven.

**Case (ii)** Suppose otherwise

$$\forall i \in [mn + 1] \ a_i \leq m \wedge b_i \leq n \quad (3.4)$$

construct function  $f : [mn + 1] \rightarrow [m] \times [n]$  defined as

$$f(i) \equiv (a_i, b_i) \quad (3.5)$$

Note that  $|[mn + 1]| > |[m] \times [n]|$  so  $f$  cannot be injective, so there exists  $j \neq k \in [mn + 1]$  such that  $(a_j, b_j) = (a_k, b_k)$ .

WLOG, assume  $j < k$ .

Since all elements in  $\sigma$  are distinct,  $j \neq k \implies x_j \neq x_k$ .

**Sub-case (i)**  $x_j < x_k$ , then any increasing subsequence beginning with  $x_k$  can be extended by prepending  $x_j$ , so  $a_j > a_k$ .

**Sub-case (ii)**  $x_j > x_k$ , then any decreasing subsequence ending with  $x_j$  can be extended by appending  $x_k$ , so  $b_k > b_j$ .

Either sub-case leads to a contradiction, so **case (ii)** is impossible. ■

## 3.2 Complexity

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function, then the **big oh**  $\mathcal{O}(f)$  is a collection of functions such that, for every  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}, n^* \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n^* \implies g(n) \leq cf(n) \quad (3.6)$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. If  $f(n) > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the **little oh**  $o(f)$  is the collection of functions such that, for every  $g \in o(f)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then the **little oh**,  $o(f)$  is defined as the collection of functions such that  $g \in o(f)$  if and only if

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{R}, n^* \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t. } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n^* \implies |g(n)| < c|f(n)| \quad (3.8)$$

**Definition 3.4.** Define  $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  as  $\pi(n) \equiv$  the number of primes among the first  $n$  positive integers.

**Theorem 3.4** (Prime Number Theorem).  $\pi(n)$  grows at a rate the same as  $\frac{n}{\ln(n)}$ . That's

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(n) \frac{\ln(n)}{n} = 1 \quad (3.9)$$

**Definition 3.5.** The class of **polynomial time** problems, denoted as  $\mathcal{P}$ , is the set of decision problems for which there exists one polynomial run time algorithm as the solution.

**Definition 3.6.** The class of **nondeterministic polynomial time** problems, denoted as  $\mathcal{NP}$ , is the set of decision problems for which there is a certificate for a yes answer whose correctness can be verified in polynomial time.

## 4 Graph Theory

**Definition 4.1.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as an order pair of sets  $(V, E)$ . **Vertex** set  $V$  is a set consisting of vertex objects. **Edge set**  $E$  contains **edges** as pairs of elements in  $E$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a **simple graph** if it is unweighted, undirected and contains no loop or multiple edges. That's, if  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  is a simple graph, then

1. (Undirected)  $\forall x, y \in V, xy \in E \iff yx \in E$ .
2. (No loop)  $\forall xy \in E, x \neq y$ .
3. (No multiple edge) all elements in  $E$  are distinct.
4. Vertices or edges in  $\mathcal{G}$  have no weight.

Graphs with multiple edges or loops are called **multi-graphs**.

**Remark 4.1.** In this course, unless explicitly mentioned, we consider simple graphs only.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $x, y \in V$ , if  $xy \in E$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  are **adjacent**, and edge  $xy$  is **incident to** vertices  $x$  and  $y$ . If  $xy \notin E$ , we say  $x$  and  $y$  are **non-adjacent**.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  and  $x \in V$ , then the **neighbourhood** of  $x$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}(x) \equiv \{v \in V : xy \in E\} \quad (4.1)$$

Then the **degree** of  $x$  in graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as

$$\deg_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \equiv |\mathcal{N}(x)| \quad (4.2)$$

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  and  $\mathcal{H} \equiv (W, F)$ , we say  $\mathcal{H}$  is a **subgraph** of  $\mathcal{G}$  when  $W \subseteq V$  and  $F \subseteq E$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  is an **induced subgraph** if

$$F = \{xy \in E : x, y \in W\} \quad (4.3)$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is a **spanning subgraph** if  $W = V$ .

**Definition 4.6.**  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  is a **complete graph** ( $\mathbf{K}_n$ ) if

$$E = \{xy : \text{distinct pair } x, y \in V\} \quad (4.4)$$

**Definition 4.7.** A graph  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  is a **independent graph** ( $\mathbf{I}_n$ ) if for every distinct pair  $(x, y) \subset V$ ,  $xy \notin E$ .

**Definition 4.8.** A **walk** in graph  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  is a *sequence of vertices*  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  such that

$$x_i x_{i+1} \in E \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \quad (4.5)$$

**Definition 4.9.** A **path** is a walk with *distinct* vertices. The length of path is defined as the **number of edges** in it.

**Definition 4.10.** A **cycle** is a *path*  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  with  $n \neq 3$  such that  $x_1 x_n \in E$ .

**Definition 4.11.** Two graphs  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  and  $\mathcal{H} \equiv (W, F)$  are **isomorphic**, denoted as  $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{H}$ , if there exists a bijection  $f : V \rightarrow W$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in V, xy \in E \iff f(x)f(y) \in F \quad (4.6)$$

And we say  $\mathcal{G}$  *contains*  $\mathcal{H}$  if there is a subgraph of  $\mathcal{G}$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 4.12.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is **connected** when for every distinct pair  $x, y \in V$ , there exists a path from  $x$  to  $y$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{G}$  is **disconnected**.

**Definition 4.13.** Provided  $\mathcal{G}$  is disconnected, then a **component** of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a *maximal connect subgraph* of  $\mathcal{G}$ . That's, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a component, it is connected and any super-graph of  $\mathcal{H}$  is disconnected.

**Definition 4.14.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is **acyclic** when it does not contain any cycle on three or more vertices. An acyclic graph is also called **forests**. Further, if a acyclic graph is connected, it's called a **tree**.

**Definition 4.15.** Given  $\mathcal{G}$  is connected<sup>4</sup>, a subgraph  $\mathcal{H} \equiv (W, F)$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a **spanning tree** if both  $V = W$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a tree.

**Proposition 4.1.** Every connected graph has a spanning tree.

**Theorem 4.1.**  $\mathbf{K}_n$  has  $n^{n-2}$  labelled spanning trees.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  be a graph, then

$$\sum_{v \in V} \deg_{\mathcal{G}}(v) = 2|E| \quad (4.7)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>If  $\mathcal{G}$  is disconnected, it's impossible for any of its spanning subgraph to be connected.

**Corollary 4.1.** For any graph, the number of vertices with odd degree is even.

**Definition 4.16.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tree, a vertex  $v$  is a **leaf** if  $\deg_{\mathcal{T}}(v) = 1$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Every tree with  $|V| \geq 2$  has at least two leaves.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tree. The corollary above suggests that it cannot have one leaf. Consider the case it has no leaf, then since every vertex has at least degree of 2 and  $\mathcal{T}$  is connected, there must exist a cycle, which leads to a contradiction. ■

## 4.1 Eulerian Graphs

**Definition 4.17.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  be a graph, then a sequence of vertices  $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_t)$  is an **Eulerian circuit** if (**Unique edge**)

- (i)  $v_0 = v_t$ ;
- (ii)  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E \forall i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\forall e \in E, \exists ! i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } v_i v_{i+1} = e$ .

That's, it is a graph cycle which uses each graph edge exactly once.

**Definition 4.18.** A graph is **Eulerian** if it contains an eulerian circuit.

**Remark 4.2.** Some definitions require Eulerian graph to be connected but some don't, check with the lecture notes.

**Definition 4.19.** A **circuit** is a walk with  $x_0 = x_n$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is Eulerian if and only if it is connected and every vertex has even degree.

## 4.2 Hamiltonian Graphs

**Definition 4.20.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  be a graph, then a sequence of vertices  $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_t)$  is a **Hamiltonian cycle** if (**Unique vertex**)

1.  $v_0 v_t \in E$ ;
2.  $v_i v_{i+1} \in E \forall i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ ;
3.  $\forall v \in V, \exists ! i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } v_i = v$ .

**Definition 4.21.** A graph containing Hamiltonian cycle is **Hamiltonian**.

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices, and  $\deg_{\mathcal{G}}(v) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \forall v \in V$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is Hamiltonian.

## 4.3 Graph Colouring

**Definition 4.22.** Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$ , and  $C$  is a set of elements called **colours**. Then a **proper colouring** of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a function  $\phi : V \rightarrow C$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in V, xy \in E \implies \phi(x) \neq \phi(y) \quad (4.8)$$

**Definition 4.23.** The least size of  $C$  such that we can construct a proper colouring with it is defined as the **chromatic number** of  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted as  $\chi(\mathcal{G})$ .

**Definition 4.24.** A graph  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{G}) \leq 2$  is called **2-colourable graph**.

**Theorem 4.5.** A graph is 2-colourable if and only if it does *not* contain an odd cycle.

*Proof.*

( $\implies$ ) Let  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  be a 2-colourable graph with proper colouring  $\phi : V \rightarrow \{\alpha, \beta\}$ .

Define  $V_1 \equiv \phi^{-1}(\alpha)$  and  $V_2 \equiv \phi^{-1}(\beta)$ . Clearly those two sets are disjoint and  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ .

By definition of proper colouring, for every pair of  $x_1, x_2 \in V_1$ ,  $x_1 x_2 \notin E$ . The same holds for  $V_2$ .

Therefore subgraphs of  $\mathcal{G}$  induced from  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are themselves independent, and  $\mathcal{G}$  is bipartite.

We've shown the equivalence between bipartite and 2-colourable.

Suppose there's an odd cycle in  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $C = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $n$  is odd.

WLOG, assume  $x_1 \in V_1$ , by nature of bipartite graph,  $x_i \in V_2 \iff i$  even. Therefore  $x_n \in V_1$ , and for  $C$  to be a cycle, we require  $x_1 x_n \in E$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is bipartite and 2-colourable.

*Modus Tollens*

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose there exists an odd cycle  $C = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , it's easy to show, by induction, that for any proper colouring  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $|\phi(C)| \geq 3$ . This implies  $|\phi(V)| \geq 3$ , so  $\mathcal{G}$  is not 2-colourable.

*Modus Tollens* ■

**Definition 4.25.** A graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  is a **bipartite graph** when  $V$  can be partitioned into two sets  $V_1, V_2$ , such that subgraphs *induced* by  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are *independent graphs*.

**Remark 4.3.** Bipartite graphs are 2-colourable. Simply define  $\phi : V \rightarrow \{\alpha, \beta\}$  as

$$\phi(v) = \alpha \mathbf{1}\{v \in V_1\} + \beta \mathbf{1}\{v \in V_2\} \quad (4.9)$$

**Definition 4.26.** A **clique** in a graph  $\mathcal{G} \equiv (V, E)$  is a set  $K \subseteq V$  such that the subgraph induced by  $K$  is isomorphic to the  $|K|$ -complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_{|K|}$ . (Equivalently, vertices in  $K$  are *pair-wise adjacent*)

**Definition 4.27.** The **maximum clique size** or **clique number** of graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted as  $\omega(\mathcal{G})$  is the largest  $t$  such that there exists a clique with  $t$  vertices.

**Proposition 4.3.** For any graph  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

$$\chi(\mathcal{G}) \geq \omega(\mathcal{G}) \quad (4.10)$$

**Proposition 4.4** (Generalized Pigeon Hole Principle). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping such that

$$|X| > (m-1)|Y| \quad (4.11)$$

then there exists  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq X$  such that  $f(x_i) = f(x_j) \forall i, j$ .

*Proof.* For each  $y \in Y$ , we can divide  $X$  into  $|Y|$  partitions, where each partition is defined as the pre-image of one particular  $y \in Y$ . Let  $\{X_i\}$  denote the set of partitions.

We are trying to find the minimum value of  $\max_i \{|X_i|\}_{i=1}^{|Y|}$ , that's

$$\min_{\text{valid partition}} \max_i \{|X_i|\}_{i=1}^{|Y|} \quad (4.12)$$

the minimum is attained when each partition of  $X$  has the same cardinality, which is strictly greater than  $m-1$ .

For each of those partitions, it's a pre-image for some value  $y \in Y$  with size at least  $m$ . ■

**Proposition 4.5.** For every  $t \geq 3$ , there exists a graph  $\mathcal{G}_t$  so that  $\chi(\mathcal{G}_t) = t$  and  $\omega(\mathcal{G}_t) = 2$ . So the difference between  $\chi$  and  $\omega$  can be arbitrarily large and this inequality in proposition 4.2 cannot always be tight.

**Definition 4.28.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in V\}$  be an indexed family of sets, define a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  in the following such that

$$S_x \cap S_y \neq \emptyset \iff xy \in E \quad (4.13)$$

Then we call  $\mathcal{G}$  an **intersection graph** (representing  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

**Remark 4.4.** Every graph is an intersection graph since we can explicitly construct a collection of sets from the adjacency matrix of the given graph.



**Definition 4.29.**  $\mathcal{G}$  is an **interval graph** if it is the intersection graph of a collection of closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is an interval graph, then  $\chi(\mathcal{G}) = \omega(\mathcal{G})$ .

**Definition 4.30.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is **perfect** if  $\chi(\mathcal{H}) = \omega(\mathcal{H})$  for every induced subgraph  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** Since every induced subgraph of interval graph is also an interval graph, therefore every interval graph is perfect.

## 4.4 Planer Graphs

**Definition 4.31.** A **drawing** of a graph is a way of associating its vertices with points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and its edges with simple polygonal arcs whose endpoints are the coordinates associated to the vertices that are the endpoints of the edge.

**Definition 4.32.** A **planar drawing** of a graph is one in which arcs corresponding to two edges intersect only at a point corresponding to a vertex to which they are both incident.

**Definition 4.33.** A graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is **planar** if it has a planar drawing.

**Definition 4.34.** A **face** of a planar drawing of a graph is a region bounded by edges and vertices and not containing any other vertices or edges.

**Theorem 4.7** (Euler's Formula). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a connected planar graph with  $V$  vertices and  $E$  edges. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  has  $f$  faces where

$$V - E + f = 2 \quad (4.14)$$

**Theorem 4.8** (Generalization of Euler's Formula). If a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  is planar, then

$$V - E + F = 1 + \# \text{ of components} \quad (4.15)$$

*Proof.* Induction on  $E$ .

**Base Case**  $E = 0$ , there are 1 face and  $V$  components, above formula holds.

**Inductive Step** Adding one edge either

1. Eliminate one component,
2. Or increase one face.

Suppose the new edge  $e$  reduces number of component by 1, it must be the case that it connects two components in the original graph, and there is only one edge between those two components, it's impossible for such single edge to form one extra face. ■

**Theorem 4.9.** A planar graph with  $n$  vertices has at most  $3n - 6$  edges when  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 4.10** (Kuratowski's Theorem). A graph is planar if and only if it does not contain either  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$ .

**Definition 4.35.** Graph  $\mathcal{G}$  **Contain**  $\mathcal{H}$  means  $\mathcal{G}$  has a subgraph that is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Theorem 4.11** (Four Colour Theorem). Every planar graph has chromatic number at most four.

## References

Keller, M. T., & Trotter, W. T. (2017). *Applied combinatorics*: Mitchel T. Keller, William T. Trotter.  
<https://www.rellek.net/appcomb>