

MAT224 Notes

Tianyu Du

January 2018

Info.

Created: January. 9 2018

Last modified: March 7, 2018

Partial revision (Lec.1 - Lec.8): February 1, 2018

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons “Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike” license.



Contents

1	Lecture1 Jan.9 2018	3
1.1	Vector spaces	3
1.2	Examples of vector spaces	3
1.3	Some properties of vector spaces	4
2	Lecture2 Jan.10 2018	6
2.1	Some properties of vector spaces-Cont'd	6
2.2	Subspaces	6
2.3	Examples of subspaces	7
2.4	Recall from MAT223	8
3	Lecture3 Jan.16 2018	9
3.1	Linear Combination	9
3.2	Combination of subspaces	10
4	Lecture4 Jan.17 2018	13
4.1	Cont'd	13
4.2	Linear Independence	14

5	Lecture5 Jan.23 2018	15
5.1	Linear independence, recall definitions	15
5.1.1	Alternative definitions of linear independency	15
5.2	Basis	15
5.3	Dimensions	18
5.3.1	Consequences of fundamental theorem	19
5.3.2	Use dimension to prove facts about linearly (in)dependent sets and subspaces	19
6	Lecture6 Jan.24 2018	20
6.1	Basis and Dimension	20
7	Lecture7 Jan.30. 2018	23
7.1	Linear Transformations	23
7.2	Properties of linear transformations	24
7.3	Definitions	26
8	Lecture8 Jan.31 2018	27
8.1	Linear Transformations	27
8.2	Applications of dimension theorem	29
9	Lecture9 Feb.6 2018	30
9.1	Applications of dimension theorem	30
9.2	Isomorphisms	32
9.3	Coordinates	34
10	Lecture10 Feb.7 2018	35
10.1	Matrix of linear transformation	35
11	lecture11 Feb. 13 2018	37
11.1	Algebra of Transformation	37
11.2	Matrix of composition	38
11.3	Inverse transformations	39
11.4	Change of basis	40
12	Lecture12 Feb. 14 2018	41
13	Lecture13 Feb. 27 2018	43
13.1	Recall	43
13.2	Diagonalization	43
13.3	How to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T	43

14 Lecture14 Feb. 28 2018	45
15 Lecture16 Mar. 7 2018	48
15.1 Vector space over a field	48
15.2 Complex vector space	48

1 Lecture1 Jan.9 2018

1.1 Vector spaces

Definition A real¹ **vector space** is a set V together with two vector operations vector addition and scalar multiplication such that

1. **AC** Additive Closure: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in V$
2. **C** Commutative: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, \vec{x} + \vec{y} = \vec{y} + \vec{x}$
3. **AA** Additive Associative: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in V, (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) + \vec{z} = \vec{x} + (\vec{y} + \vec{z})$
4. **Z** Zero Vector: $\exists \vec{0} \in V s.t. \forall \vec{x} \in V, \vec{x} + \vec{0} = \vec{x}$
5. **AI** Additive Inverse: $\forall \vec{x} \in V, \exists -\vec{x} \in V s.t. \vec{x} + (-\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$
6. **SC** Scalar Closure: $\forall \vec{x}, c \in \mathbb{R}, c\vec{x} \in V$
7. **DVA** Distributive Vector Additions: $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, c \in \mathbb{R}, c(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = c\vec{x} + c\vec{y}$
8. **DSA** Distributive Scalar Additions: $\forall \vec{x} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, (c+d)\vec{x} = c\vec{x} + d\vec{x}$
9. **SMA** Scalar Multiplication Associative: $\forall \vec{x} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, (cd)\vec{x} = c(d\vec{x})$
10. **O** One: $\forall \vec{x} \in V, 1\vec{x} = \vec{x}$

Note For V to be a vector space, need to know or be given operations of vector additions multiplication and check all 10 properties hold.

1.2 Examples of vector spaces

Example 1 \mathbb{R}^n w.r.t.² usual component-wise addition and scalar multiplication.

¹A vector space is real if scalar which defines scalar multiplication is real.

²w.r.t. is the abbreviation of "with respect to".

Example 2 $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ set of all $m \times n$ matrices with real entry. w.r.t. usual entry-wise addition and scalar multiplication.

Example 3 $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ set of polynomials with real coefficients, of degree less or equal to n , w.r.t. usual degree-wise polynomial addition and scalar multiplication.

Note If define $P_n^*(\mathbb{R})$ as set of all polynomials of degree exactly equal to n w.r.t. normal degree-wise multiplication and addition.

Then it is **NOT** a vector space.

Explanation: $(1 + x^n), (1 - x^n) \in P_n^*(\mathbb{R})$ but $(1 + x^n) + (1 - x^n) = 2 \notin P_n^*(\mathbb{R})$

Example 4 Something unusual, define V as

$$V = \{(x_1, x_2) | x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

with vector addition

$$(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1 + 1, x_2 + y_2 + 1)$$

and scalar multiplication

$$c(x_1, x_2) = (cx_1 + c - 1, cx_2 + c - 1)$$

This is a vector space.

1.3 Some properties of vector spaces

Suppose V is a vector space, then it has the following properties.

Property 1 The zero vector is unique.

proof.

Assume $\vec{0}, \vec{0}^*$ are two zero vectors in V

WTS: $\vec{0} = \vec{0}^*$

Since $\vec{0}$ is the zero vector, by Z $\vec{0}^* + \vec{0} = \vec{0}^*$

Similarly, $\vec{0} + \vec{0}^* = \vec{0}$

Also, $\vec{0} + \vec{0}^* = \vec{0}^* + \vec{0}$ by commutative vector addition.

So, $\vec{0}^* = \vec{0}$

■

Property 2 $\forall \vec{x} \in V$, the additive inverse $-\vec{x}$ is unique.

proof.

Exercise. (By Cancellation Law)

Property 3 $\forall \vec{x} \in V, 0\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

proof.

By property of number 0: $0\vec{x} = (0 + 0)\vec{x}$

By DSA: $0\vec{x} = 0\vec{x} + 0\vec{x}$

By AI, $\exists(-0\vec{x})$ s.t.

$0\vec{x} + (-0\vec{x}) = 0\vec{x} + 0\vec{x} + (-0\vec{x})$

By AA

$\implies 0\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

Property 4 $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, c\vec{0} = \vec{0}$

proof.

$c\vec{0} = c(\vec{0} + \vec{0}) = c\vec{0} + c\vec{0}$

2 Lecture2 Jan.10 2018

2.1 Some properties of vector spaces-Cont'd

Property 5 For a vector space V , $\forall \vec{x} \in V$, $(-1)\vec{x} = (-\vec{x})$. (we could use this property to find the additive inverse with scalar multiplication with (-1))³.

proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-\vec{x}) &= (-\vec{x}) + \vec{0} \quad \text{By property of zero vector} \\
 &= (-\vec{x}) + 0\vec{x} \quad \text{By property 3} \\
 &= (-\vec{x}) + (1 + (-1))\vec{x} \quad \text{By property of zero as real number} \\
 &= (-\vec{x}) + 1\vec{x} + (-1)\vec{x} \\
 &= \vec{0} + (-1)\vec{x} \\
 &= (-1)\vec{x}
 \end{aligned}$$

■

Property 6 For a vector space V , let $\vec{x} \in V$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then,

$$c\vec{x} = \vec{0} \implies c = 0 \vee \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{if } c = 0 \implies \text{True} \\
 &\text{else } c^{-1}c\vec{x} = c^{-1}\vec{0} \\
 &\implies (c^{-1}c)\vec{x} = \vec{0} \\
 &\implies 1\vec{x} = \vec{0} \\
 &\implies \vec{x} = \vec{0} \\
 &\implies \text{True}
 \end{aligned}$$

■

2.2 Subspaces

Loosely A subspace is a space contained within a vector space.

³The scalar multiplication here is the one defined in vector space V .

Definition Let V be a vector space and $W \subseteq V$, W is a **subspace** of V if W is itself a vector space w.r.t. operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication from V .

Theorem Let V be a vector space, and $W \subseteq V$, W has the same⁴ operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication as in V . Then, W is a subspace of V iff:

1. W is non-empty. $W \neq \emptyset$.
2. W is closed under addition. $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in W, \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in W$.
3. W is closed under scalar multiplication. $\forall \vec{x} \in W, c \in \mathbb{R}, c\vec{x} \in W$.

Proof.

Forward:

If W is a subspace

$$\implies \vec{0} \in W$$

$$\implies W \neq \emptyset$$

Also, additive and scalar multiplication closures $\implies (ii), (iii)$

Backward:

Let $W \neq \emptyset \wedge (ii) \wedge (iii)$

WTS. 10 axioms in definition of vector space hold

$(ii) \implies$ Additive Closure

$(iii) \implies$ Scalar Multiplication Closure

Because $W \subseteq V$, and V is a vector space, so properties hold $\forall \vec{w} \in W$.

Additive inverse: by property 5 and scalar multiplication closure,

$$\forall \vec{x} \in W, -\vec{x} = (-1)\vec{x} \in W.$$

Also, existence of additive identity: $(-\vec{x}) + \vec{x} = \vec{0} \in W$.

2.3 Examples of subspaces

Example 1 Let $V = \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, V is a subspace.

⁴Other properties of vector spaces related to vector addition and scalar multiplication are immediately inherited from the parent vector space.

Example 2 Define W as

$$W = \{A \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A \text{ is not symmetric}\}$$

Explanation: Let $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $A_1, A_2 \in W$ but

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \notin W.$$

Since there's no additive identity in set W , so W failed to be a vector space, therefore W is not a subspace.

Example 3 Let $V = \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$, is W defined as following,

$$W = \{p(x) \in V \mid p(1) = 0\}$$

a subspace of V ?

proof.

WTS: (i)

Let $z(x) = 0$ or $z(x) = x^2 - 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

$\implies W \neq \emptyset$

WTS: (ii)

Let $p_1, p_2 \in W$, which means $p_1(1) = p_2(1) = 0$

$(p_1 + p_2)(1) = p_1(1) + p_2(1) = 0 + 0 = 0$

$\implies p_1 + p_2 \in W$

$\implies W$ is closed under addition.

WTS: (iii) Let $p \in W$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$\implies p(1) = 0$

Since $(c * p)(x) = c * p(x)$, we have $(c * p)(1) = c * p(1) = c * 0 = 0$

$\implies cp \in W$.

So W is a subspace of V .

■

2.4 Recall from MAT223

Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then $Nul(A)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and $Col(A)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^m .

3 Lecture3 Jan.16 2018

3.1 Linear Combination

Definition Let V be a vector space, $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ the expression

$$c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n$$

is called a **linear combination** of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$.

Theorem Let V be a vector space, W is a subspace of V , $\forall \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_k \in W$, $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$c_1\vec{w}_1 + \dots + c_k\vec{w}_k \in W$$

Subspaces are closed under linear combinations, since subspaces are closed under scalar multiplication and vector addition.

Theorem Let V be a vector space, let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in V$ then the set of all linear combination of $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$

$$W = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{v}_i \mid c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \right\}$$

is a subspace of V .

proof.

Consider $\vec{0} \in W$

So, $W \neq \emptyset$

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, Let $\vec{x} \in W \wedge \vec{y} \in W$

By definition of span, we have,

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \vec{v}_i, \quad \vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \vec{v}_i$$

Consider, $\vec{x} + c\vec{y}$

$$\vec{x} + c\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \vec{v}_i + c \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \vec{v}_i = \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i + cb_i) \vec{v}_i \in W$$

■

Definition Let V be a vector space, $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k \in V$, **span** of the set of vectors $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is defined as the collection of all possible linear combinations of $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$. By pervious theorem, span is a subspace.

3.2 Combination of subspaces

Definition Let W_1, W_2 be two sets, then the **union** of W_1, W_2 is defined as:

$$W_1 \cup W_2 = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} \in W_1 \vee \vec{w} \in W_2\}$$

the **intersection** of W_1, W_2 is defined as:

$$W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{w} \mid \vec{w} \in W_1 \wedge \vec{w} \in W_2\}$$

Now consider W_1, W_2 to be two subspaces of vector space V , then we have,

1. $W_1 \cup W_2$ is **not** a subspace.
2. $W_1 \cap W_2$ is a subspace.

proof.

Falsify the statement by providing counter-example:

Consider,

$$W_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 = 0\}$$

$$W_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_2 \in \mathbb{R}, x_1 = 0\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in W_1 \cup W_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in W_1 \cup W_2$$

$$\text{But, } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin W_1 \cup W_2$$

■

proof.

Because W_1 and W_2 are both subspaces, so

$$\vec{0} \in W_1 \cap W_2 \implies W_1 \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{Let } \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in W_1 \cap W_2, c \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Consider, } \vec{x} + c\vec{y}$$

Sine W_1, W_2 are subspaces,

$$\vec{x} + c\vec{y} \in W_1 \wedge \vec{x} + c\vec{y} \in W_2$$

$$\implies \vec{x} + c\vec{y} \in W_1 \cap W_2$$

So, $W_1 \cap W_2$ is a subspace.

■

Definition Let W_1, W_2 be subspaces of vector space V , define the **sum** of two subspaces as:

$$W_1 + W_2 = \{\vec{x} + \vec{y} \mid \vec{x} \in W_1 \wedge \vec{y} \in W_2\}$$

Note Let $\vec{x} = \vec{0} \in W_1, \forall \vec{y} \in W_2, \vec{y} \in W_1 + W_2$ so that, $W_2 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$. Similarly, let $\vec{y} = \vec{0} \in W_2, \forall \vec{x} \in W_1, \vec{x} \in W_1 + W_2$. so that, $W_1 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$. So we have $\forall \vec{v} \in W_1 \cap W_2, \vec{v} \in W_1 + W_2$. So that,

$$W_1 \cap W_2 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$$

Note $W_1 + W_2$ is a subspace of V .

proof.

$$\text{Let } \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \in W_1, \vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2 \in W_2$$

By properties of subspaces,

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{x}_1 + c\vec{x}_1 \in W_1 \wedge \vec{y}_2 + c\vec{y}_2 \in W_2$$

$$\text{Consider, } \vec{x}_1 + \vec{y}_1 \in W_1 + W_2, \vec{x}_2 + \vec{y}_2 \in W_1 + W_2$$

$$(\vec{x}_1 + \vec{y}_1) + c(\vec{x}_2 + \vec{y}_2)$$

$$= (\vec{x}_1 + c\vec{x}_2) + (\vec{y}_1 + c\vec{y}_2) \in W_1 + W_2$$

■

Definition(Unique Representation) Let W_1, W_2 be subspaces of vector space V , say V is **direct sum** of W_1 and W_2 , written as $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$, if every $\vec{x} \in V$ can be written uniquely as $\vec{x} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2$ where $\vec{w}_1 \in W_1$ and $\vec{w}_2 \in W_2$.

Equivalently Let W_1 and W_2 be subspaces of V , $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \iff V = W_1 + W_2 \wedge W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$.

4 Lecture4 Jan.17 2018

4.1 Cont'd

Cont'd Proof of Theorem

proof.

(Forward direction) Suppose $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$

WTS. $V = W_1 + W_2 \wedge W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$

Let $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$

$\implies \forall \vec{x} \in V$, can be written uniquely as

$$\vec{x} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_1 \in W_1, \vec{w}_2 \in W_2$$

$\implies V = W_1 + W_2$ by definition of *sum*.

Let $\vec{x} \in W_1 \cap W_2$

Decomposition, let $\vec{z} \in W_1 \cap W_2 \subseteq V$

$$\vec{z} = \vec{z} + \vec{0}, \vec{z} \in W_1, \vec{0} \in W_2$$

$$\vec{z} = \vec{0} + \vec{z}, \vec{0} \in W_1, \vec{z} \in W_2$$

Since decomposition is unique, $\vec{z} = \vec{0}$

So, $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$

(Backward direction) Suppose $V = W_1 + W_2 \wedge W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$

WTS. $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$

Assume $\vec{x} = \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2, \vec{w}_1 \in W_1, \vec{w}_2 \in W_2$

$$\vec{x} = \vec{w}'_1 + \vec{w}'_2, \vec{w}'_1 \in W_1, \vec{w}'_2 \in W_2$$

$$\implies \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 = \vec{w}'_1 + \vec{w}'_2$$

$$\implies \vec{w}_1 - \vec{w}'_1 = \vec{w}'_2 - \vec{w}_2$$

Where, by definition of subspace, $\vec{w}_1 - \vec{w}'_1 \in W_1 \wedge \vec{w}'_2 - \vec{w}_2 \in W_2$

So, $\vec{w}_1 - \vec{w}'_1 = \vec{w}'_2 - \vec{w}_2 \in W_1 \cap W_2$

Since $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$

$$\implies \vec{w}_1 = \vec{w}'_1 \wedge \vec{w}_2 = \vec{w}'_2$$

So the decomposition is unique.

■

4.2 Linear Independence

Theorem (Redundancy theorem) Let V be a vector space, $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$, let $\vec{x} \in \{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$, then

$$\text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n, \vec{x}\} = \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$$

we say \vec{x} is the **redundant** vector that contributes nothing to the span.
proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } \vec{x} &\in \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\} \\ \vec{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{x}_i \text{ for } c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \\ \text{So, } \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n, \vec{x}\} &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \vec{x}_i + z \vec{x} \mid a_i, z \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \vec{x}_i + z \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{x}_i \mid a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + z c_i) \vec{x}_i \mid a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \right\} \\ \text{Let } d_i &= a_i + z c_i \in \mathbb{R} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \vec{x}_i \mid d_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \right\} \\ &= \text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\} \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition Let V be a vector space, let $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\} \in V$, we say $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is **linearly independent** if the only set of scalars $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ that satisfies,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{x}_i = 0$$

is $\{0, \dots, 0\}$.

Definition In contrast, we say a set of vector, with size n , is **linearly dependent** if

$$\exists \vec{c} \neq \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{v}_i = 0$$

Theorem Let V be a vector space, $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in V$ is *linearly dependent* if and only if,

$$\exists \vec{x} \in \{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ s.t. } \vec{x} \in \text{span}\{\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n \setminus \{\vec{x}\}\}$$

Theorem Let V be a vector space, $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in V$ is *linearly independent* if and only if,

$$\forall \vec{x} \in \{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \vec{x} \notin \text{span}\{\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n \setminus \{\vec{x}\}\}$$

5 Lecture5 Jan.23 2018

5.1 Linear independence, recall definitions

Acknowledgement: special thanks to Frank Zhao.

Definition Let $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_k\}$ is **linearly independent** if only scalars $c_1 \dots c_k$ s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{x}_i = 0(\star)$$

are $c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$

linearly dependent means at least one $c_i \neq 0$, (\star) still holds.

5.1.1 Alternative definitions of linear independency

Definition(Alternative.1) $\{\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_k\}$ is **linearly independent** iff none of them can be written as a linear combination of the remaining $k - 1$ vectors.⁵

Definition(Alternative.2) $\{\vec{x}_1 \dots \vec{x}_k\}$ is **linearly dependent** iff at least one of them can be written as a linear combination of the remaining $k - 1$ vectors.⁶

5.2 Basis

Definition Let V be a vector space, a non-empty⁷ set S of vectors from V is a **basis** for V if

1. $V = \text{span}\{S\}$

⁵See theorem from the pervious lecture.

⁶See theorem from the pervious lecture.

⁷Specially, for an empty set, we define $\text{span}\{\emptyset\} = \{\vec{0}\}$

2. S is linearly independent.

Theorem (characterization of basis) A non-empty subset $S = \{\vec{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of vector space V is basis for V iff every $\vec{x} \in V$ can be written uniquely as linear combination for vectors in S .

proof.

Forwards

Suppose S is a basis for V

So every $\vec{x} \in V$ can be written as a linear combination of vectors in S

To prove the uniqueness, assume two expressions of $\vec{x} \in V$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{cases} c_1\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + c_k\vec{x}_k \\ b_1\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + d_k\vec{x}_k \end{cases}$$

Consider,

$$c_1\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + c_k\vec{x}_k - (b_1\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + d_k\vec{x}_k) = \vec{0}$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - b_i)\vec{x}_i = \vec{0}$$

Since vectors in basis S are linear independent,

$$c_i = b_i \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, k]$$

So the representation is unique.

Backwards

Suppose every $\vec{x} \in V$ can be written uniquely as linear combination of vectors in S .

WTS: $V = \text{span}\{S\} \wedge S$ is linearly independent

By the assumption, spanning set is shown.

All we need to show is linear independence.

Consider,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{x}_i = \vec{0}$$

Also, we know

$$\sum_{i=1}^n 0\vec{x}_i = \vec{0}$$

By the uniqueness of representation

$$\text{We have identical expression } \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 0\vec{x}_i$$

$$\therefore c_i = 0 \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, n]$$

■

Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
 (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) &= (x_1 + y_1 + 1, x_2 + y_2 + 1) \\
 c(x_1, x_2) &= (cx_1 + c - 1, cx_2 + c - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Show that $\{(1, 0), (6, 3)\}$ is a basis of V .

By theorem, $\{(1, 0), (6, 3)\}$ is basis if every $(a, b) \in V$ can be written uniquely as linear combination of $\{(1, 0), (6, 3)\}$.

$$\exists \text{ unique scalars } c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } c_1(1, 0) + c_2(6, 3) = (a, b)$$

proof.

By definition of scalar multiplication and vector addition in this space,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Consider } (a, b) &= c_1(1, 0) + c_2(6, 3) = (2c_1 - 1, c_1 - 1) + (7c_2 - 1, 4c_2 - 1) \\
 &= (2c_1 + 7c_2 - 1, c_1 + 4c_2 - 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the coefficients of variables

$$\begin{cases} 2c_1 + 7c_2 - 1 = a \\ c_1 + 4c_2 - 1 = b \end{cases}$$

WTS, the above system of linear equations has unique solution for all a, b

The system has a unique solution $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

Since the coefficient matrix has rank 2

$$\text{rank}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 2$$

Since obviously the columns are linearly independent.

■

5.3 Dimensions

Definition For a vector space V , the **dimension** of V is the minimum number of vectors required to span V .

Fundamental Theorem if V vector space is spanned by m vectors, then any set of more than m vectors from V must be linearly dependent.

Fundamental Theorem (Alternative) If V is vector space spanned by m vectors, then any linearly independent set in V must contain less or equal to m vectors.

5.3.1 Consequences of fundamental theorem

Theorem if $S = \{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and $T = \{\vec{w}_i\}_{i=1}^l$ are two bases of vector space V then $l = k$. *Bases have the same size.*

proof.

Since S spans V and T is linearly independent

$$\therefore l \leq k$$

(flip) Since T spans V and S is linearly independent

$$\therefore k \leq l$$

$$\implies l \leq k \wedge k \leq l$$

$$\implies k = l$$

■

Definition So we can define the **dimension** of V , as $\dim(V)$ as the number vectors in any basis for V . For special case $V = \{\vec{0}\}$, $\dim(V) = 0$.

Example

- $\dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$
- $\dim(\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})) = n + 1$
- $\dim(\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})) = m \times n$

5.3.2 Use dimension to prove facts about linearly (in)dependent sets and subspaces

Theorem If V is a vector space, $\dim(V) = n$, $S = \{\vec{x}_k\}_{i=1}^k$ is subset of V , if $k > n$ then S is linearly dependent.

Note $k \leq n \nRightarrow S$ is linear dependent.

Theorem If W is subspace of vector space V , then

1. $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$
2. $\dim(W) = \dim(V) \iff W = V$

proof.

(1) Suppose $\dim(V) = n, \dim(W) = k$

WTS, $k \leq n$

Any basis for W is a linearly independent set of k vectors from V .

Since V is spanned by n vectors, since $\dim(V) = n$

By fundamental theorem, $k \leq n$

$$\iff \dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$$

(2) By contradiction, assume $\dim(V) = \dim(W) = n$ but $V \neq W$

Then $\exists \vec{x} \in V \wedge \vec{x} \notin W$

Take S as a basis of W , then $\vec{x} \notin \text{span}\{S\}$

Then $S \cup \vec{x}$ is linearly independent

$\implies S \cup \{\vec{x}\}$ is linearly independent in V containing $n + 1$ vectors

This contradicts the assumption by fundamental theorem since $\dim(V) = n$ so it could not contain more than n linearly independent vectors

■

6 Lecture6 Jan.24 2018

6.1 Basis and Dimension

Theorem Let V be a vector space, S is a spanning set of V , and I is a linearly independent subset of V , s.t. $I \subseteq S$, then \exists basis B for V s.t. $I \subseteq B \subseteq S$.

Explaining

1. Any spanning set for V can be **reduced** to basis for V by removing the linearly dependent(redundant) vector in the spanning set, using redundancy theorem to get a linearly independent spanning set.
2. Linear independent set can be **enlarged** to a basis for V .

proof.

omitted.

■

Corollary Let V be a vector space and $\dim(V) = n$, any set of n linearly independent vectors from V is a basis for V .

proof. If n linearly independent vectors did not span V , then could be enlarged to a basis of V by previous theorem, but then have a basis containing more than n vectors from V , which is impossible by the fundamental theorem since we given the $\dim(V) = n$, proven by contradiction.

Example Let $V = P_2(\mathbb{R})$, $p_1(x) = 2 - 5x$, $p_2(x) = 2 - 5x + 4x^2$, find $p_3 \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\{p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)\}$ is basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$

Note Since $\dim(P_2(\mathbb{R})) = 3$ so any 3 linearly independent vectors from $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ will be a basis for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Solutions e.g. constant function $p_3(x) = 1$, since $1 \notin \text{span}\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$, so $\{p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)\}$ is a basis of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$. e.g. $p_3(x) = x$, since $x \notin \text{span}\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$

Theorem Let U and W be subspaces of vector space V , then we have

$$\dim(U + W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W)$$

proof.

Let $\{\vec{v}_i\}_1^k$ be basis for $U \cap W$

$$\implies \dim(U \cap W) = k$$

Since $\{\vec{v}_i\}_1^k$ is basis for $U \cap W$ then it's a linearly independent subset of U

So it could be enlarged to basis for U , $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_r\}$

$$\text{So } \dim(U) = k + r$$

We also could enlarge a basis for W $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_s\}$

$$\implies \dim(W) = k + s$$

WTS. $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \dots, \vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_r, \vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_s\}$ is a basis for $U + W$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(If we could show this)} \quad \dim(U + W) &= k + r + s = (k + r) + (k + s) - k \\ &= \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the above set spans $U + W$

WTS. $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k, \dots, \vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_r, \vec{z}_1, \dots, \vec{z}_s\}$ is linearly independent

Consider $a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k + b_1\vec{y}_1 + \dots + b_r\vec{y}_r + c_1\vec{z}_1 + \dots + c_s\vec{z}_s = \vec{0}$ (*)

$$\text{From (*)} \implies \sum (c_i\vec{z}_i) = -\sum (a_i\vec{v}_i) - \sum b_i\vec{y}_i$$

$$\implies \sum (c_i\vec{z}_i) \in U \wedge \sum (c_i\vec{z}_i) \in W$$

$$\iff \sum (c_i\vec{z}_i) \in U \cap W$$

Since $\{\vec{v}_i\}$ is a basis for $U \cap W$

$$\implies \sum (c_i\vec{z}_i) = \sum (d_i\vec{v}_i)$$

$$\iff \sum (c_i\vec{z}_i) - \sum (d_i\vec{v}_i) = \vec{0} \in W$$

$$\implies c_i = d_i = 0 \text{ since } \{\vec{z}_i, \vec{v}_i\} \text{ is a basis}$$

Rewrite (*)

$$\sum (a_i\vec{v}_i) + \sum b_i\vec{y}_i = \vec{0} \in U$$

$$\implies a_i = b_i = 0 \text{ since } \{\vec{v}_i, \vec{y}_i\} \text{ is a basis for } U$$

■

Corollary For direct sum, since the intersection is $\{\vec{0}\}$

$$\dim(U \oplus W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$$

Example Let U, W are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\dim(U) = \dim(W) = 2$, why is $U \cap W \neq \{\vec{0}\}$

Solutions Geometrically, U and W are planes through origin then the intersection would be a line through origin ($U \neq W$) or a plane through origin ($U = W$), so shown.

Question V is a vector space, $\dim(V) = n$, $U \neq W$ are subspaces of V but $\dim(U) = \dim(W) = (n - 1)$, proof:

1. $V = U + W$
2. $\dim(U \cap W) = (n - 2)$

7 Lecture7 Jan.30. 2018

7.1 Linear Transformations

Definition Let V, W be vector spaces, a function $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a **linear transformation**⁸ if

1. $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y}) \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$ ⁹
2. $T(c\vec{x}) = cT(\vec{x}) \quad \forall \vec{x} \in V, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ¹⁰

Linear transformation preserves vector additions and scalar multiplications on vector spaces.

Theorem(Alternative definition) Transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear if and only if

$$T(c\vec{x} + d\vec{y}) = cT(\vec{x}) + dT(\vec{y}), \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$$

Linear transformations preserves linear combinations.

Example (form 223) Rotation through angle θ about the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 .

⁸In some textbooks, this is annotated as **linear mapping**.

⁹Notice that the vector additions on the left and right sides of the equation are defined in different vector spaces, in V and W respectively.

¹⁰Notice that the scalar multiplication on the left and right sides of the equation are defined in different vector spaces, in V and W respectively.

Example (from 223) Matrix transformation, let $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined as

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

is linear.

Example Derivative $T : P_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$T(\vec{p}(x)) = \vec{p}'(x)$$

Example Matrix transpose $T : M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$T(A) = A^T$$

7.2 Properties of linear transformations

Property(i) Linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ are uniquely defined by their values on any basis for V .

proof.

Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be any basis for V

Every vector $\vec{x} \in V$ can be uniquely written as some linear combination of the $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{v}_i, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and } c_i \text{ are uniquely determined } \forall \vec{x} \in V$$

$$\implies T(\vec{x}) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{v}_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i T(\vec{v}_i) \text{ since the transformation } T \text{ is linear.}$$

Since c_i s are uniquely determined by $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$

so the value of $T(\vec{x})$ is uniquely determined by its value on basis vectors $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$. ■

Property(ii) Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, let A be a subspace of vector space V , then the **image** $T(A)$ defined as

$$T(A) = \{T(\vec{x}) \mid \vec{x} \in A\}$$

called the image of A under linear transformation T is a subspace of W .
Linear transformation maps subspaces of V to subspaces of W .

proof.

Since A is a subspace so it's non-empty, therefore $\exists T(\vec{x}), \vec{x} \in A$

So $T(A) \neq \emptyset$

Let $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in T(A)$

$\implies \vec{w}_1 = T(\vec{x}_1), \vec{w}_2 = T(\vec{x}_2), \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \in A$

$\implies \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 = T(\vec{x}_1) + T(\vec{x}_2) = T(\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2)$ since T is linear.

Since $\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2 \in A$ by the definition of subspaces.

$\implies \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 \in T(A)$

So $T(A)$ is closed under vector addition.

Let $\vec{w} \in T(A)$

$\implies \vec{w} = T(\vec{x}), \vec{x} \in A$

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$

Consider $c\vec{w} = cT(\vec{x}) = T(c\vec{x})$

Since $c\vec{x} \in A$

So $c\vec{w} \in T(A)$

So $T(A)$ is closed under scalar multiplication. ■

Property(derived from the definition) For all linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$, we have ¹¹

$$T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$$

Property(iii) Let transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear, let B be a subspace of W , then its **pre-image** defined as

$$T^{-1}(B) = \{\vec{x} \in V \mid T(\vec{x}) \in B\}$$

is a subspace of V . ¹²

¹¹In the equation, clearly, the zero vector on the left side of the equation is in space V and the zero vector on the right side is in space W .

¹²The pre-image and inverse share the same notation, but in this case, transformation T is not necessarily invertible.

proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Let } \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in T^{-1}(B) \\
 & \implies T(\vec{w}_1), T(\vec{w}_2) \in B \\
 & \implies aT(\vec{w}_1) + bT(\vec{w}_2) \in B, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ since } B \text{ is a subspace.} \\
 & \implies T(a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2) \in B \\
 & \implies a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2 \in T^{-1}(B)
 \end{aligned}$$

So $T^{-1}(B)$ is closed under both vector addition and scalar multiplication,

So $T^{-1}(B)$ is a subspace. ■

7.3 Definitions

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ to be a linear transformation,

Definition the **Image** of transformation T is defined as

$$Im(T) = T(V) = \{T(\vec{x}) \mid \vec{x} \in V\}$$

Definition the **Rank** of transformation T is defined as

$$Rank(T) = dim(Im(T))$$

Definition the **Kernel** of transformation T is defined as

$$Ker(T) = T^{-1}(\{\vec{0}\}) = \{\vec{x} \in V \mid T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\}$$

Definition the **Nullity** of transformation T is defined as

$$Nullity(T) = dim(ker(T))$$

Example $T : P_3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3(\mathbb{R})$ is linear defined by

$$T(\vec{p}(x)) = \vec{p}(2x + 1) - 8\vec{p}(x)$$

find $Ker(T)$.

Theorem Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be the spanning set of V ¹³, then $\{T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$ spans $Im(T)$

proof.

Let $\vec{w} \in Im(T)$

Since $V = span\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$

For any $\vec{x} \in V$ can be written as

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{v}_i, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\implies \vec{w} = T(\vec{x}) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{v}_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k c_i T(\vec{v}_i)$$

as a linear combination of $\{T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$

So $Im(T) = span\{T(\vec{v}_1), \dots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$

■

8 Lecture8 Jan.31 2018

8.1 Linear Transformations

Example $T : P_3(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3(\mathbb{R})$

$$T(p(x)) = p(2x + 1) - 8p(x)$$

Find the image of T .

We know $B = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$ is the standard basis for $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, consider the set $P(B)$

$$P(B) = \{-7, 1 - 6x, 1 + 4x - 4x^2, 1 + 6x + 12x^2\}$$

spans $Im(T)$. Notice the first three vectors in the set is linearly independent, the last vector is clearly dependent to the pervious three.¹⁴ So by the redundancy theorem we could remove the last vector. There we have

$$Im(T) = span\{-7, 1 - 6x, 1 + 4x - 4x^2\}$$

¹³The set is only the spanning set of V , it's not necessarily to be a basis of V .

¹⁴Notice that the first three vectors is a basis of $P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

as basis.

In this example, the dimension of $\text{Ker}(T)$ is 1 and the dimension of $\text{Im}(T)$ is 3, and dimension of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ is 4. We have, $\dim(P_3(\mathbb{R})) = \text{Nullity}(T) + \text{Rank}(T)$

Theorem(Dimension Theorem) Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation,

$$\dim(V) = \text{Nullity}(T) + \text{Rank}(T)$$

Proof.

Say $\dim(V) = n$

Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be a basis for $\text{Ker}(T)$

Since $\text{Ker}(T)$ is a subspace of V , the set $\{\vec{v}_i\}_1^k$ is a subset of V ,

It can be extended to a basis $\{\vec{v}_i\}_1^k \cup \{\vec{v}_i\}_{k+1}^n$ for V .

Claim: $\{T(\vec{v}_{k+1}), \dots, T(\vec{v}_n)\}$ is basis for $\text{Im}(T)$

If the claim is true, this prove the theorem since

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = k + n - k = n = \dim(V)$$

$$\because T(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{0}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_1^k$$

and by the definition of kernel of linear transformation,

$$\therefore \{T(\vec{v}_i)\}_{k+1}^n \text{ spans } \text{Im}(T)$$

$$\text{Show if } \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i T(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{0} \implies c_i = 0$$

$$\implies T\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \vec{v}_i\right) = \vec{0}$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \vec{v}_i \in \text{Ker}(T)$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \vec{v}_i = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \vec{v}_i$$

$$\implies c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_k \vec{v}_k - c_{k+1} \vec{v}_{k+1} - \dots - c_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$$

Since $\{\vec{v}_i\}_1^n$ is a basis for V .

$$\implies c_i = 0 \forall i$$

8.2 Applications of dimension theorem

Definition A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **injective**(one-to-one) if and only if

$$T(\vec{v}_1) = T(\vec{v}_2) \implies \vec{v}_1 = \vec{v}_2$$

Definition A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **surjective**(onto) if and only if

$$Im(T) = W$$

Every vector in W has a pre-image in V .

Definition A linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **bijective** if it's both injective and surjective.

Theorem Let transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear, T is injective if and only if $dim(Ker(T)) = 0$.

Proof.

Exercise

■

Theorem T is surjective if and only if $dim(Im(T)) = dim(W)$.

Example $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$T(p(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} p(1) \\ p(2) \end{pmatrix}$$

is T injective? surjective?

Not injective but surjective.

Solution

$$Ker(T) = span\{(x-1)(x-2)\}$$

So T has nullity of 1 and since $dim(P_2(\mathbb{R})) = 3$, by the dimension theorem we have $Rank(T) = 2$ and since $Im(T)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 which has dimension of 2, we could conclude that $Im(T) = \mathbb{R}^2$.

9 Lecture9 Feb.6 2018

9.1 Applications of dimension theorem

Recall Dimension Theorem $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear transformation,

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T))$$

Recall T is **injective** if and only if $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$.

Recall T is **surjective** if and only if $\dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(W)$.

Example $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$T(p(x)) = (p(1), p(2), p(3))$$

Take $p(x) = a + bx + cx^2 \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$, $p(x) \in \text{Ker}(T)$ iff $T(p(x)) \in \vec{0}$.

Let $p(x) \in \text{Ker}(T)$,

Obviously the only solution for the system

$$\begin{cases} a + b + c = 0 \\ a + 2b + 4c = 0 \\ a + 3b + 9c = 0 \end{cases}$$

is $a = b = c = 0$, So $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$. Therefore, T is **injective**.

By *dimension theorem*,

$$\dim(V) = 3 = 0 + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) \implies \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = 3 = \dim(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

therefore T is **surjective**. Therefore, T is called **bijective**.

Question $T : P_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$T(p(x)) = xp'(x)$$

Solution Not injective because any *constant function* in $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ is mapped onto $\vec{0} \in P_n(\mathbb{R})$. Also **not surjective** by the dimension theorem.

Theorem Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be an injective linear transformation, if $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is linearly independent in V , then the set $\{T(\vec{v}_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ is linearly independent in W .

Injective transformation maps linearly independent set to linear independent set.

Proof.

If $\sum c_i T(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{0}$, then we have $T(\sum c_i \vec{v}_i) = \vec{0}$, which means $\sum c_i \vec{v}_i \in \text{Ker}(T)$. By definition of injective transformation, $\sum c_i \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$. Since $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is linearly independent, so $c_i = 0, \forall i$. ■

Theorem $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a linearly transformation, $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis for V then, if $\{T(\vec{v}_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is linear independent, then T is injective.

A criteria for T to be injective based on image of a basis.

Proof.

Let $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a basis of V

Consider $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$

Since $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis

Let $x = \sum c_i \vec{v}_i$

$$T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \iff T(\sum c_i \vec{v}_i) = \vec{0}$$

$$\implies \sum c_i T(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{0} \implies c_i = 0$$

$$\therefore \vec{x} = \sum 0 \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$$

Therefore $\text{Ker}(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$

Therefore $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$

\implies injective

■

Theorem Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation,

1. If $\dim(V) > \dim(W)$, then T cannot be injective.
2. If $\dim(V) < \dim(W)$, then T cannot be surjective.

For a linear transformation between spaces with different dimension, it could not be bijective.

Proof.

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T))$$

$$\because \dim(\text{Im}(T)) \leq \dim(W)$$

$$\therefore \dim(V) \leq \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(W)$$

$$\implies \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) \geq \dim(V) - \dim(W)$$

$$\implies \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) > 0$$

So T could not be injective

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T))$$

$$\because \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \dim(V) \geq \dim(\text{Im}(T))$$

$$\implies \dim(\text{Im}(T)) < \dim(W)$$

So T could not be surjective

■

Theorem *Half is good enough* Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear, and $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$. T is injective if and only if surjective.

Proof.

By dimension theorem

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(W)$$

$$\text{If injective } \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$$

$$\implies \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(W)$$

So surjective

$$\text{If surjective } \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(W) = \dim(V)$$

$$\implies \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$$

So injective

■

9.2 Isomorphisms

Recall If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is both injective and surjective, say T is bijective.

Definition If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is bijective, we call T an **isomorphism**. If there exists an isomorphism $T : V \rightarrow W$ say V and W are **isomorphic** vector spaces.

Theorem V, W are isomorphic iff $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.

Proof.

$$\rightarrow V, W \text{ isomorphic} \implies \dim(V) = \dim(W)$$

Isomorphic means there exists a bijective transformation T

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By dimension theorem } \dim(V) &= \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) \\ &= 0 + \dim(W) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leftarrow \dim(V) = \dim(W) \implies V, W \text{ isomorphic}$$

Equivalently, find a bijective transformation

Let $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be basis for V

Let $\{\vec{w}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be basis for W

Claim $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear and s.t.

$T(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{w}_i$ is an isomorphism.

If $\vec{x} \in \text{Ker}(T) \subseteq V$

$$x = \sum c_i \vec{v}_i$$

$$\vec{0} = T(\vec{x})$$

$$= \sum c_i T(\vec{v}_i)$$

$$= \sum (c_i \vec{w}_i)$$

$$\implies c_i = 0 \text{ since } \vec{w}_i \text{ are basis.}$$

$$\implies \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

$$\implies \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$$

$$\implies \text{injective} \iff \text{surjective}$$

■

Note if $T : V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism, then T maps a basis for V to a basis for W .

Example $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$T(p(x)) = (p(1), p(2), p(3))$$

is an isomorphism. And $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathbb{R}^3 are isomorphic.

Example $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$T(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T(x^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism.

Example $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$, $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ and \mathbb{R}^4 are isomorphic.

Theorem Any n-dim vector space V is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . What is an isomorphism $T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$

Procedure:

Let $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be any basis for V

We know that $\forall \vec{x} \in V$,

By property of basis,

$$\vec{x} = \sum c_i \vec{v}_i$$

Then $T(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an isomorphism.

9.3 Coordinates

Definition Let V be a vector space, $\alpha = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be any basis for V , $\forall \vec{x} \in V$ can be written uniquely as

$$\vec{x} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \vec{v}_n$$

then c_1, \dots, c_n is called the coordinates for \vec{x} relative to α , with notation

$$[\vec{x}]_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \iff \vec{x} = \sum c_i \vec{v}_i$$

Claim $[\vec{x} + c\vec{y}]_\alpha = [\vec{x}]_\alpha + c[\vec{y}]_\alpha \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, c \in \mathbb{R}.$

Note if α, α' are any two bases for V then generally $[\vec{x}]_\alpha \neq [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$ (except $\vec{0}$).

10 Lecture10 Feb.7 2018

10.1 Matrix of linear transformation

Recall Let V be a vector space, let α be any basis for V .

$$\forall \vec{x} \in V, x = \sum c_i \vec{v}_i$$

$$[\vec{x}]_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

So transformation $\vec{x} \rightarrow [\vec{x}]_\alpha$ is an isomorphism that $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Say W is a vector space and let $\beta = \{\vec{w}_i\}_1^m$ be any basis of W , say $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear.

$$T(\vec{x}) = \sum c_i T(\vec{v}_i)$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} [T(\vec{x})]_\beta &= [\sum c_i T(\vec{v}_i)]_\beta = \sum c_i [T(\vec{v}_i)]_\beta \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} [T(\vec{v}_1)]_\beta & \dots & [T(\vec{v}_n)]_\beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\begin{bmatrix} [T(\vec{v}_1)]_\beta & \dots & [T(\vec{v}_n)]_\beta \end{bmatrix}$ is called the the matrix of T w.r.t. α, β . Denoted as $[T]_\alpha^\beta$

$$[T(\vec{x})]_\beta = [T]_\alpha^\beta [\vec{x}]_\alpha$$

Example $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3(\mathbb{R})$

$$T(p(x)) = xp(x)$$

$$\alpha = \{1 - x, 1 - x^2, x\}, \quad \beta = \{1, 1 + x, 1 + x + x^2, 1 - x^3\}$$

Find $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$.

$$T(1-x) = x(1-x) = x - x^2$$

$$x - x^2 = (-1)(1) + 2(1+x) + (-1)(1+x+x^2) + 0(1-x^3)$$

$$[T(1-x)]_{\beta} = (-1, 2, -1, 0)$$

$$T(1-x^2) = x - x^3$$

$$[T(1-x^2)]_{\beta} = (-2, 1, 0, 1)$$

$$[T(x)] = x^2$$

$$[T(x)]_{\beta} = (0, -1, 1, 0)$$

$$[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Picture V, W are vectors spaces, $\alpha = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, vecv_n\}$ is a basis for V and $\beta = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, vecw_m\}$ is a basis for W .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{T} & W \\ \downarrow [\]_{\alpha} & & \downarrow [\]_{\beta} \\ \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}} & \mathbb{R}^m \end{array}$$

Note

1. $\vec{x} \in Ker(T) \iff T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \iff [T(x)]_{\beta} = [\vec{0}]_{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^m \iff [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = 0 \iff [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \in Ker([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$
2. $\vec{w} \in Im(T) \iff [\vec{w}]_{\beta} \in Col([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$

Theorem(Rank nullity for transformation matrix)

$$dim(Ker([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})) + dim(Col([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})) = n$$

Example $T : P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$T(a + bx + c^2) = \begin{bmatrix} c & -c \\ a - c & a + c \end{bmatrix}$$

And given bases $\alpha = \{x^2 - x, x - 1, x^2 + 1\}$ and $\beta = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Answer

$$[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Nul}([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}) = \text{span}\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{Nul}(T) = \text{span}\{2x\}$$

$$\text{Col}([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}) = \text{span}\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{Col}(T) = \text{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

11 lecture11 Feb. 13 2018

11.1 Algebra of Transformation

Recall Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, where $\alpha = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ and $\beta = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ are bases for V, W respectively.

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Ker}(T) \iff [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \in \text{Ker}([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$$

$$\vec{x} \in \text{Im}(T) \iff [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \in \text{Col}([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$$

Definition $T_1, T_2 : V \rightarrow W$ are linear transformations, define

$$(T_1 + T_2)(\vec{x}) = T_1(\vec{x}) + T_2(\vec{x}) \forall \vec{x} \in V$$

$$(cT_1)(\vec{x}) = c(T_1(\vec{x})) \forall \vec{x} \in V, c \in \mathbb{R}$$

And, let α and β be bases for V, W respectively, then,

$$[T_1]_{\alpha}^{\beta} + [T_2]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = [T_1 + T_2]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

$$c[T_1]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = [cT_1]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

Definition $T : V \rightarrow W$ and $S : W \rightarrow U$ are linear transformations, then the **composition** $ST : V \rightarrow U$ is defined as

$$(ST)(\vec{x}) = S(T(\vec{x})) \quad \forall \vec{x} \in V$$

Note If S, T are *linear* then the composition ST is also *linear*.
Check

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V \\ ST(a\vec{x} + b\vec{y}) \\ &= S(T(a\vec{x} + b\vec{y})) \\ &= S(aT(\vec{x}) + bT(\vec{y})) \\ &= a(ST(\vec{x})) + b(ST(\vec{y})) \end{aligned}$$

Example
omitted

11.2 Matrix of composition

Consider $T : V \rightarrow W$ and $S : W \rightarrow U$ as linear transformations, let α, β, γ be bases of V, W, U respectively.
 We know how to compute $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ and $[S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$. Now want to find $[ST]_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \vec{x} \in V, [ST]_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \\ &= [(ST)(\vec{x})]_{\gamma} \\ &= [S(T(\vec{x}))]_{\gamma} \\ &= [S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T(\vec{x})]_{\beta} \\ &= [S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

This holds true for all $\vec{x} \in V$

$$\therefore [ST]_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = [S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

Conclusion the matrix of ST = matrix of $S \times$ matrix of T .

11.3 Inverse transformations

Definition $T : V \rightarrow W$ is *isomorphism*¹⁵ if and only if there exists function $S : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(ST)(\vec{v}) = \vec{v} \ \forall \vec{v} \in V \wedge (TS)(\vec{w}) = \vec{w} \ \forall \vec{w} \in W$$

And S is called the **inverse** of T , written as T^{-1} .

proof. \rightarrow T is an isomorphism means every vector in W has an unique pre-image in V the function $S : W \rightarrow V$ maps *every* vector in W to its *unique* pre-image in V , so S is the inverse of T .

proof. \leftarrow Assume $S : W \rightarrow V$ is the inverse of $T : V \rightarrow W$ then $T(S(\vec{y})) = \vec{y} \ \forall \vec{y} \in W$, this means T is surjective since every $\vec{y} \in W$ has pre-image under T , that's $S(\vec{y}) \in V$. Now suppose $T(\vec{x}_1) = T(\vec{x}_2)$, apply transformation S on both sides of the equation, $S(T(\vec{x}_1)) = S(T(\vec{x}_2))$ we have $\vec{x}_1 = \vec{x}_2$. This implies the transformation is injective. Therefore, transformation T is bijective, that's isomorphism. ■

Note $T^{-1}(\vec{y})$ is the unique vector \vec{x} , s.t. $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y}$. That's

$$T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} \iff T^{-1}(\vec{y}) = \vec{x}$$

¹⁵Recall that isomorphism is equivalent to bijective.

Theorem If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism then the inverse of T , T^{-1} , then $T^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ is linear.¹⁶

Proof.

$$\text{WTS } T^{-1}(a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2) = aT^{-1}(\vec{w}_1) + bT^{-1}(\vec{w}_2) \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in W$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{w}_1) \text{ is the unique } \vec{x}_1 \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x}_1) = \vec{w}_1$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{w}_2) \text{ is the unique } \vec{x}_2 \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x}_2) = \vec{w}_2$$

$$T^{-1}(a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2) \text{ is the unique } \vec{x} \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x}) = a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2$$

$$\because T(\vec{x}) = a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2$$

$$= aT(\vec{x}_1) + bT(\vec{x}_2)$$

$$= T(a\vec{x}_1 + b\vec{x}_2)$$

$$\therefore \vec{x} = a\vec{x}_1 + b\vec{x}_2$$

$$\text{Also } T(\vec{x}) = a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2$$

$$\therefore \vec{x} = T^{-1}(a\vec{w}_1 + b\vec{w}_2) = a\vec{x}_1 + b\vec{x}_2$$

$$= aT^{-1}(\vec{w}_1) + bT^{-1}(\vec{w}_2)$$

■

Theorem $T : V \rightarrow W$ is isomorphism, then let α and β are bases of V and W representing then $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ is invertible, and

$$([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})^{-1} = [T^{-1}]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

Proof.

omitted

11.4 Change of basis

What's the effect of a change of basis on coordinate of a vector and matrix of transformation.

Theorem Let α and α' be two bases of V , then

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$$

¹⁶Note: the conclusion could be changed into isomorphism.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } \vec{x} &\in V \\ I(\vec{x}) &= \vec{x} \\ [I(\vec{x})]_{\alpha'} &= [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'} \\ [I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} &= [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'} \end{aligned}$$

■

$[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}$ is called the change of basis matrix from α to α' .

Computation Let $\alpha = \{\vec{a}_1, \dots, \vec{a}_n\}$, then

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} = [[\vec{a}_1]_{\alpha'} \mid \dots \mid [\vec{a}_n]_{\alpha'}]$$

12 Lecture12 Feb. 14 2018

Recall Let α and β be bases for V and $I : V \rightarrow V$ is the identity transformation, then

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{x}]_{\beta}$$

Also,

$$[I]_{\beta}^{\alpha} [\vec{x}]_{\beta} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha}$$

Example Let $\alpha = \{x^2, 1+x, x+x^2\}$ and β be bases for $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } [p(\vec{x})]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution omitted

Theorem Suppose $[T]_V^W$ is linear, α and α' are any two bases for V and β and β' are any two bases of W , then,

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} = [I]_{\beta}^{\beta'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

Proof.

Recall $T = ITI$

Consider let $\vec{x} \in V$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [I]_{\beta}^{\beta'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'} & \\
 &= [I]_{\beta}^{\beta'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \\
 &= [I]_{\beta}^{\beta'} [T(\vec{x})]_{\beta} \\
 &= [T(\vec{x})]_{\beta'} \\
 &= [T]_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'} \\
 \implies [T]_{\beta'}^{\alpha'} &= [I]_{\beta}^{\beta'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$

■

Also,

$$[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = [I]_{\beta'}^{\beta} [T]_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} [I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}$$

Special Case Consider when $V = W$, $\alpha = \beta$ and $\alpha' = \beta'$. we have

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} = [I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

where

$$([I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'})^{-1} = [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

the equation becomes

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} = ([I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'})^{-1} [T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

and can be written in the form of

$$B = P^{-1}AP$$

Definition Two matrices A and B are **similar** if there exists an invertible matrix P s.t.

$$B = P^{-1}AP$$

A and B representing the same transformation relative to different bases and P is the change of basis matrix if and only if A and B are similar.

Example Omitted

13 Lecture13 Feb. 27 2018

13.1 Recall

Omitted.

13.2 Diagonalization

Definition Consider a *linear operator* $T : V \rightarrow V$ is **diagonalizable** if and only \exists a basis β for V s.t.

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$$

is diagonal.

Note Let $\beta = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be a basis, $T : V \rightarrow V$ is diagonalizable if and only it's in form
$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Definition $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator on V , a *non-zero* vector $\vec{x} \in V$ is an **eigenvector** of $T(\vec{x}) = \lambda\vec{x}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. λ is called the **eigenvalue** of T corresponding to vector \vec{x} .

Theorem Linear operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ is *diagonalizable* if and only exist a basis of V consisting of *eigenvectors* of T . If T is diagonalizable, the diagonal entries of $[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$ are corresponding eigenvalues of T , in the same order.

13.3 How to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T

Definition The **determinant** of T is defined as $\det([T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha})$ for any basis α for V .

Note The determinant of linear operator T does not depends on the choice of basis of α for V , *since similar matrices have the same determinant*.

Theorem $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if

$$\det(T - \lambda I) = 0$$

Proof. λ is an eigenvalue of T

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\iff \exists \vec{x} \in V, \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}, \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x} \\
 &\iff T(\vec{x}) - \lambda \vec{x} = \vec{0} \\
 &\iff (T - \lambda I)(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \\
 &\iff \vec{x} \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I) \\
 &\therefore \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I) \neq \{\vec{0}\} \\
 &\iff (T - \lambda I) \text{ is not injective} \\
 &\iff [T - \lambda I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \text{ is not injective} \\
 &\iff \det([T - \lambda I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha}) = \det(T - \lambda I) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

■

Note $\det(T - \lambda I) = 0$ is called the **characteristic polynomial** of T , written as $P_T(\lambda) := \det(T - \lambda I)$, the degree of $P_T(\lambda)$ is the dimension of V .

Note λ is an eigenvalue $\iff \lambda$ is a root of $P_T(\lambda)$.

Theorem $T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator and λ is an eigenvalue of T , \vec{x} is an eigenvector of T corresponding to eigenvalue λ , if and only if

$$\vec{x} \neq \vec{0} \wedge \vec{x} \in \text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)$$

Proof.

Exercise, using definition

■

Definition $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I)$ is called the **eigenspace** of T corresponding to eigenvalue λ , noted as $E_{\lambda}(T)$, which is a subspace of V .

Note To find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $T : V \rightarrow V$, choose any basis β for V , \vec{x} is an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue λ if and only if $[\vec{x}]_{\beta}$ is an eigenvector of $[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$ with corresponding eigenvalue λ .

That's

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{x}) &= \lambda \vec{x} \\ \implies [T(\vec{x})]_\beta &= [\lambda \vec{x}]_\beta \\ \iff [T]_\beta^\beta [\vec{x}]_\beta &= \lambda [\vec{x}]_\beta \end{aligned}$$

Note Consider diagonalization in MAT223,

$$D = P^{-1}AP$$

Let D and A representing the same linear operator $[T]_V^V$ and let β be a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T and α is another basis of V . Then, the above equation is

$$[T]_\beta^\beta = ([I]_\beta^\alpha)^{-1} [T]_\alpha^\alpha [I]_\beta^\alpha$$

14 Lecture14 Feb. 28 2018

Theorem Suppose λ_0 is an eigenvalue of linear operator $T : V \rightarrow V$, let $\dim(E_{\lambda_0}) = k$, then $(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k$ divides $P_T(\lambda)$

Proof.

Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be basis for E_{λ_0}

Let $\dim(V) = n$

Extend basis of E_{λ_0} to basis of V .

$$\alpha = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\} \cup \{\vec{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$$

Since $\vec{v}_i \in E_{\lambda_0}$,

$$\text{Therefore } T(\vec{v}_i) = \lambda_0 \vec{v}_i$$

$$[T]_\alpha^\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_0) \in \mathbb{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{R})$

And $B \in \mathbb{M}_{k \times n-k}(\mathbb{R}), D \in \mathbb{M}_{n-k \times n-k}(\mathbb{R})$

$$P_T(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) * \det(D - \lambda I)$$

$$= (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^k * \det(D - \lambda I)$$

$$\text{Therefore } (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k \mid P_T(\lambda)$$

■

Definition The **multiplicity** of eigenvalue λ_0 is the number of times $(\lambda - \lambda_0)$ appears as a factor in $P_T(\lambda)$.

Note If eigenvalue λ has multiplicity m , the above theorem says

$$1 \leq \dim(E_\lambda) \leq m$$

if $m = 1$, then $\dim(E_\lambda) = 1$.

Theorem If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are *distinct* eigenvalues of $T : V \rightarrow V$ and $\alpha = \{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_k\}$ are corresponding eigenvectors, then the set α is *linearly independent*.

Proof.

Exercise

■

(★)**Theorem** Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be distinct eigenvalues of T , suppose the characteristic polynomial is in form

$$P_T(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{m_i}$$

the T is diagonalizable if and only if

$$\dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = m_i, \quad \forall i$$

Note Also, $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = \dim(V) = n$

Proof.

←

Assume $\dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = m_i \forall i$

Consider E_{λ_i}

Take basis for E_{λ_i} , as $\{\vec{v}_1^i, \dots, \vec{v}_{m_i}^i\}$

Claim: the union of bases of $E_{\lambda_i} \forall i$ gives a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T .

$$\text{Note } |\cup_{i=1}^k \{\vec{v}_1^i, \dots, \vec{v}_{m_i}^i\}| = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = \dim(V)$$

All we need to show is linear independence.

$$\text{Consider } \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{ij} \vec{v}_j^i = \vec{0}(\star)$$

$$\text{Consider } \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{ij} \vec{v}_j^i \in E_{\lambda_i} = \vec{x}_i$$

$$\text{So } (\star) \text{ becomes } \sum_{i=1}^k \vec{x}_i = \vec{0} \text{ where } \vec{x}_i \in E_{\lambda_i}, \forall i$$

Since \vec{x}_i is eigenvectors for T , corresponding to different eigenvalues,

Therefore, $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_k\}$ is linearly independent

$$\text{So } \vec{x}_i = \vec{0} \forall i$$

$$\text{That's } \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} c_{ij} \vec{v}_j^i = \vec{x} = \vec{0} \forall i$$

$$\implies c_{ij} = 0 \forall i, j$$

Therefore linearly independent, so exists basis for V consisting of eigenvectors,

Therefore T is diagonalizable.

→

Suppose T is diagonalizable,

Since T is diagonalizable, then exists basis for V consisting of eigenvectors, say α

$$\text{Consider } [T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

Where λ_1 takes first m_1 rows, λ_2 takes the next m_2 rows, etc.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_T(\lambda) &= \det([T]_\alpha^\alpha - \lambda I) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i - \lambda)^{m_i} \\
\text{Since } 1 &\leq \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) \leq m_i \quad \forall i \\
&\implies \dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = m_i \quad \forall i
\end{aligned}$$

■

15 Lecture16 Mar. 7 2018

15.1 Vector space over a field

Definition A vector space over field F is a set V together with two operations, addition and scalar multiplication s.t. [Very similar to those those defining properties for real vector space.]

15.2 Complex vector space

Complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) | z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is a vector space over \mathbb{C} , with dimension n and standard basis $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$

Let F be a field, then

$$F^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_1, \dots, x_n \in F\}$$

and

$$\dim(F^n) = n$$

F^n is a *vector space over field F w.r.t. usual coordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication.*

Definition Let V vector space over field F , then $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ is **linearly independent** if and only if

$$\sum c_i \vec{x}_i = \vec{0}, \quad c_1, \dots, c_n \in F \implies c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0 \in F$$

Definition $\text{span}\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ is defined as

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{x}_i \mid c_1, \dots, c_n \in F \right\}$$

Definition Consider V, W as two vector spaces over fields F then transformation $T : V \rightarrow F$ is **linear** if and only if

$$\forall \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in V, c, d \in F, T(c\vec{v}_1 + d\vec{v}_2) = cT(\vec{v}_1) + dT(\vec{v}_2)$$