

# STA347: Probability

Tianyu Du

October 26, 2019

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Distributions</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1.** A **process**<sup>1</sup>  $W$  is a mechanism generating **outcomes**  $w$  from a sample space  $\Omega$ . Any realized trail of process  $W$  can be denoted as a potentially infinite sequence in  $\Omega$ :

$$W : w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

**Definition 1.2.** A **random variable** (extended process),  $X := g(W)$ , can be constructed from a process  $W$  and a real-valued function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Given a random variable  $X = g(W)$ , the **sample mean** (i.e. empirical expectation) of the first  $n$  trials from a sequence of realizations,  $g(w_1), \dots, g(w_n), \dots$ , is defined to be

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_n g(W) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n g(w_i)}{n} \quad (1.2)$$

**Definition 1.4.** A process  $W$  is said to be a **random process** if it satisfies the *empirical law of large numbers*, in that,  $\forall g \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ :

- (i) *stability*:  $(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_n g(W))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges;
- (ii) *Invariance*:  $\forall (w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Omega$ , the limits of  $(\hat{\mathbb{E}}_n g(W))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are the same.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $W$  be a random process and  $g \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ , the **expected value** of  $g(W)$  is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}g(W) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_n g(W) \quad (1.3)$$

the limit is well-defined given ELLN.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $W$  be a random process. For every  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , take  $g := I_A \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ , the **empirical relative frequencies** (i.e. empirical probability) is defined as

$$\hat{P}(W \in A) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}_n I_A(W) \quad (1.4)$$

Given ELLN, the limit is well-defined, then the **probability** is defined to be the limit:

$$P(W \in A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{P}(W \in A) \quad (1.5)$$

**Remark 1.1.** The notation of expected values and probabilities on  $W$  is well-defined only when  $W$  satisfies the empirical law of large numbers, that is,  $W$  is a random process.

Given  $W$  defined on  $\Omega$  satisfies ELLN, the behaviour of  $W$  can be fully characterized by its **probability distribution**.

$$W \sim P_W \text{ on } \Omega \quad (1.6)$$

## 2 Distributions

**Definition 2.1.** A **standard uniform** is defined to be  $\mathcal{U} \sim \text{unif}[0, 1]$  if and only if

$$P(\mathcal{U} \leq u) = u \quad \forall u \in [0, 1] \quad (2.1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is just a process, not necessarily a random process.

**Definition 2.2.**  $Z \sim \text{unif}\{0, \dots, p-1\}$  if and only if

$$P(Z = i) = P(Z = j) \quad \forall i, j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \quad (2.2)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $U = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n p^{-n}$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $U \sim \text{unif}[0, 1]$ ;
- (ii)  $Z_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Z \stackrel{d}{=} \text{unif}\{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Two random processes  $X, Y$  on a common sample space  $\mathcal{X}$  are **identically distributed**,  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$  if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \mathbb{E}[g(Y)] \quad \forall g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (2.3)$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Specifically, for  $A \stackrel{d}{=} B$ , take  $g = I_A$  where  $A \subset \mathcal{X}$ . It is evident that for every such subset, the probability **probability** as

$$\mathbb{P}[X \in A] = \mathbb{E}[I_A(X)] = \mathbb{E}[I_A(Y)] = \mathbb{P}[Y \in A] \quad (2.4)$$

**Theorem 2.2** (Invariance). If  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ , then

$$\varphi(X) \stackrel{d}{=} \varphi(Y) \quad \forall \varphi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.*

$$\mathbb{E}[h \circ \varphi(X)] = \mathbb{E}[h \circ \varphi(Y)] \quad \forall h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (2.6)$$

■

**Definition 2.4.** The **expectation** operator

$$\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\} \cup \{\text{DNE}\} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the space of *real-valued* random processes.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $W \sim \text{unif}\{1, \dots, n\}$ , then

$$n+1-W \stackrel{d}{=} W \quad (2.8)$$

$$\implies (n+1-W)^2 \stackrel{d}{=} W^2 \quad (2.9)$$

$$\implies (n+1)^2 - 2(n+1)W + W^2 \stackrel{d}{=} W^2 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[(n+1)^2 - 2(n+1)W + W^2] = \mathbb{E}[W^2] \quad (2.11)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[W] = \frac{n+1}{2} \quad (2.12)$$

**Proposition 2.3.**

$$(n+1-W)^3 \stackrel{d}{=} W^3 \quad (2.13)$$

$$\implies 2\mathbb{E}[W^3] = (n+1)^3 - 3(n+1)^2\mathbb{E}[W] + 3(n+1)\mathbb{E}[W^2] \quad (2.14)$$

$$\implies 2\mathbb{E}[W^3] = (n+1)^3 - 3(n+1)^2 \frac{n+1}{2} + 3(n+1)\mathbb{E}[W^2] \quad (2.15)$$

$$\implies 2\mathbb{E}[W^3] = -\frac{(n+1)^2}{2} + 3(n+1)\mathbb{E}[W^2] \quad (2.16)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[W^3] = n(\mathbb{E}[W])^2 \quad (2.17)$$

**Proposition 2.4.**  $\mathbb{E}[W^4]$ . **TODO**

**Definition 2.5.**  $W \sim \text{unif}\{1, \dots, n\}$ , then the *distance between*  $W^2$  and  $\mathbb{E}[W^2]$  is defined as

$$d(W^2, \mathbb{E}[W^2]) := \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[W^2 - \mathbb{E}[W^2]]^2} = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[W^2]} = \sigma_{W^2} \quad (2.18)$$

**Corollary 2.1** (Corollary of Jensen's Inequality).

$$\mathbb{E}[W^2] \geq (\mathbb{E}[W])^2 \quad (2.19)$$

and equality holds if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}[(W - \mathbb{E}[W])^2] = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

which is equivalent to

$$P(W = \mathbb{E}[W]) = 1 \quad (2.21)$$

*Proof.*

$$\mathbb{V}[W] = \mathbb{E}[(W - \mathbb{E}[W])^2] \geq 0 \quad (2.22)$$

■

**Lemma 2.1.**  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i p^{-i}$ , and let  $z = (z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in \dot{p}^{\infty}$ , then

$$z_1 = b_1 \quad (2.23)$$