MAT246: Concepts in Abstract Mathematics: $_{\rm Lecture~0101~Notes}$

Tianyu Du

September 13, 2018

Contents

1	Lecture 1 Sep. 7 2018	2
2	Lecture 2 Sep. 10 2018	2
3	Lecture 3 Sep. 12 2018	9

1 Lecture 1 Sep. 7 2018

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of **natural numbers**.

Theorem 1.1 (Principle of Mathematical Induction). Suppose S is a set of natural numbers, $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If

- 1. $1 \in S$
- $2. \ k \in S \implies k+1 \in S, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

then, $S = \mathbb{N}$

Example 1.1. Show that

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + \dots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Proof.

2 Lecture 2 Sep. 10 2018

Theorem 2.1 (Extended Principle of Mathematical Induction). Suppose set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, if

- 1. $n_0 \in S$
- $2. \ \forall k > n_0, k \in S \implies k+1 \in S$

then $\{n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots\} \subseteq S$

Example 2.1. Show that

$$n! > 3^n \ \forall n > 7$$

Proof.

Theorem 2.2 (Well-Ordering Principle). Every non-empty subset of natural number has a smallest element.

Proof. (Principle of Mathematical Induction)

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$

Suppose $1 \in S \land (k \in S \implies k+1 \in S, \forall k \in \mathbb{N})$

Show: $S = \mathbb{N}$

Let $T = \mathbb{N} \backslash S$

Suppose $T \neq \emptyset$

By Well-Ordering Principle, there exists a smallest element of T, denoted as $t_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $1 \in S$, therefore $t_0 \neq 1$.

Therefore $t_0 > 2$.

Thus $t_0 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and since $t_0 = \min T$, $t_0 - 1 \notin T$

Therefore $t_0 - 1 \in S$, then, $t_0 - 1 + 1 = t_0 \in S$,

Contradict the assumption that $t_0 \in T$.

Thus $T = \emptyset$ and $S = \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.1. We can use principle of Mathematical Induction to prove Well-Ordering Principle as well.

3 Lecture 3 Sep. 12 2018

Definition 3.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and a divides b, written as a|b if

$$\exists c \in \mathbb{N} \ s.t. \ b = ac$$

And a is a **divisor** of b.

Definition 3.2. A natural number p (except 1) is called **prime** if the only divisors of p are 1 and p.

Lemma 3.1 (Prime numbers are building blocks of natural numbers). Every natural number other than 1 is a $product^1$ of prime numbers.

Theorem 3.1 (Principle of Complete Induction). Suppose $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and if

- 1. $n_0 \in S$
- 2. $n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots, k \in S \implies k + 1 \in S, \forall k \ge n_0$

then

$$\{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\} \subseteq S$$

Proof of Lemma. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which the lemma is true,

Want to show: $S = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$

(Base Case) For 2 it's a product of prime. Thus $2 \in S$

(Inductive Step) Suppose $\{2, 3, \dots k\} \subseteq S$

¹Product could mean the product of a single number.

Consider k+1, if k+1 is a prime then k+1 can be written as a product of itself, as a product of one single prime.

Else, if k + 1 is not a prime, then $\exists 1 < m, n < k + 1$ s.t. k + 1 = mn.

By induction hypothesis of strong induction, m, n can both be written as product of primes.

 $m = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i$, $n = \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_i$ where p_i, q_i are all primes. and $k+1 = \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_i \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i$

thus $k+1 \in S$

by principle of strong induction, $\{2, 3, \dots, \} \subseteq S$.

Theorem 3.2. There is no largest prime number.

Proof. (By contradiction)

Assume there is a largest prime p,

then $\{2, 3, 5, \dots, p\}$ is the set of all primes

Let $M := (2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p) + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$

M is either prime or not.

Suppose M is not a prime, then by Lemma 3.1, $\exists p'$ dividing M.

Obviously $\forall i \in \{2 * 3 * 5 * \cdots * p\}, i \not\mid M$.

There is no prime dividing M, which contradict Lemma 3.1

Thus M is a prime, and M > p, which contradicts assumption

Therefore there is no largest prime.