# MAT224 Notes

# Tianyu Du

## January 2018

Info.	
TIIIO.	

Created: January. 9 2018

Last modified: February 27, 2018

Partial revision (Lec.1 - Lec.8): February 1, 2018

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons "Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported" license.



# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Lec}$	ture1 Jan.9 2018	3
	1.1	Vector spaces	٠
	1.2	Examples of vector spaces	į
	1.3	Some properties of vector spaces	
2	Lec	ture2 Jan.10 2018	
	2.1	Some properties of vector spaces-Cont'd	
	2.2	Subspaces	(
	2.3	Examples of subspaces	
		Recall from MAT223	
3	Lec	ture3 Jan.16 2018	8
	3.1	Linear Combination	8
		Combination of subspaces	
4	Lec	ture4 Jan.17 2018	2
	4.1	Cont'd	2
		Linear Independence	

5	Lect	ture5 Jan.23 2018	<b>14</b>			
	5.1	Linear independence, recall definitions	14			
		5.1.1 Alternative definitions of linear independency	14			
	5.2	Basis	14			
	5.3	Dimensions	17			
		5.3.1 Consequences of fundamental theorem	18			
		5.3.2 Use dimension to prove facts about linearly (in)dependent	,			
		sets and subspaces	18			
6	Lect	ture6 Jan.24 2018	19			
	6.1	Basis and Dimension	19			
7	Lect	ture7 Jan.30. 2018	22			
	7.1	Linear Transformations	22			
	7.2	Properties of linear transformations	23			
	7.3	Definitions	25			
8	Lecture 8 Jan. 31 2018 2					
	8.1	Linear Transformations	26			
	8.2	Applications of dimension theorem	28			
9	Lect	ture9 Feb.6 2018	29			
	9.1	Applications of dimension theorem	29			
	9.2	Isomorphisms	31			
	9.3	Coordinates	33			
10	Lect	ture10 Feb.7 2018	34			
	10.1	Matrix of linear transformation	34			
11	lect	ure11 Feb. 13 2018	36			
	11.1	Algebra of Transformation	36			
		Matrix of composition	37			
		Inverse transformations	38			
	11.4	Change of basis	39			
12	Lect	ture12 Feb. 14 2018	40			
13	Lect	ture13 Feb. 27 2018	42			
	-	Recall	42			
	13.2	Diagonalization	42			
		How to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $T$	42			

## 1 Lecture 1 Jan. 9 2018

## 1.1 Vector spaces

**Definition** A  $\underline{\text{real}}$  <sup>1</sup> **vector space** is a set V together with two vector operations vector addition and scalar multiplication such that

- 1. **AC** Additive Closure:  $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in V$
- 2. C Commutative:  $\forall \vec{v}, \vec{y} \in V, \vec{x} + \vec{y} = \vec{y} + \vec{x}$
- 3. **AA** Additive Associative:  $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in V, (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) + \vec{z} = \vec{x} + (\vec{y} + \vec{z})$
- 4. **Z** Zero Vector:  $\exists \vec{0} \in Vs.t. \forall \vec{x} \in V, \vec{x} + \vec{0} = \vec{x}$
- 5. **AI** Additive Inverse:  $\forall \vec{x} \in V, \exists -\vec{x} \in V s.t.\vec{x} + (-\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$
- 6. **SC** Scalar Closure:  $\forall \vec{x}, c \in \mathbb{R}, c\vec{x} \in V$
- 7. **DVA** Distributive Vector Additions:  $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, c \in \mathbb{R}, c(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = c\vec{x} + c\vec{y}$
- 8. **DSA** Distributive Scalar Additions:  $\forall \vec{x} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, (c+d)\vec{x} = c\vec{x} + d\vec{x}$
- 9. **SMA** Scalar Multiplication Associative:  $\forall \vec{x} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, (cd)\vec{x} = c(d\vec{x})$
- 10. **O** One:  $\forall \vec{x} \in V, 1\vec{x} = \vec{x}$

**Note** For V to be a vector space, need to know or be given operations of vector additions multiplication and check all 10 properties hold.

#### 1.2 Examples of vector spaces

**Example 1**  $\mathbb{R}^n$  w.r.t.<sup>2</sup> usual component-wise addition and scalar multiplication.

**Example 2**  $\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  set of all  $m \times n$  matrices with real entry. w.r.t. usual entry-wise addition and scalar multiplication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A vector space is real if scalar which defines scalar multiplication is real.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>w.r.t. is the abbreviation of "with respect to".

**Example 3**  $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$  set of polynomials with real coefficients, of degree less or equal to n, w.r.t. usual degree-wise polynomial addition and scalar multiplication.

**Note** If define  $\mathbb{P}_n^{\star}(\mathbb{R})$  as set of all polynomials of degree <u>exactly equal</u> to n w.r.t. normal degree-wise multiplication and addition.

Then it is **NOT** a vector space.

**Explanation**:  $(1+x^n), (1-x^n) \in \mathbb{P}_n^{\star}(\mathbb{R})$  but  $(1+x^n) + (1-x^n) = 2 \notin \mathbb{P}_n^{\star}(\mathbb{R})$ 

**Example 4** Something unusual, define V as

$$V = \{(x_1, x_2) | x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}\$$

with vector addition

$$(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1 + 1, x_2 + y_2 + 1)$$

and scalar multiplication

$$c(x_1, x_2) = (cx_1 + c - 1, cx_2 + c - 1)$$

This is a vector space.

#### 1.3 Some properties of vector spaces

Suppose V is a vector space, then it has the following properties.

**Property 1** The zero vector is unique. *proof.* 

Assume  $\vec{0}, \vec{0^*}$  are two zero vectors in V

WTS: 
$$\vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

Since  $\vec{0}$  is the zero vector, by  $\vec{Z} \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}$ 

Similarly, 
$$\vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

Also,  $\vec{0} + \vec{0}^* = \vec{0}^* + \vec{0}$  by commutative vector addition.

So, 
$$\vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

**Property 2**  $\forall \vec{x} \in V$ , the additive inverse  $-\vec{x}$  is unique. *proof.* 

Exercise. (By Cancellation Law)

Property 3  $\forall \vec{x} \in V, 0\vec{x} = \vec{0}.$  proof.

By property of number 0: 
$$0\vec{x} = (0+0)\vec{x}$$
  
By DSA:  $0\vec{x} = 0\vec{x} + 0\vec{x}$   
By AI,  $\exists (-0\vec{x})s.t.$   
 $0\vec{x} + (-0\vec{x}) = 0\vec{x} + 0\vec{x} + (-0\vec{x})$   
By AA  
 $\implies 0\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ 

Property 4 
$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, c\vec{0} = \vec{0}$$
 proof. 
$$c\vec{0} = c(\vec{0} + \vec{0}) = c\vec{0} + c\vec{0}$$

## 2 Lecture 2Jan. 10 2018

## 2.1 Some properties of vector spaces-Cont'd

**Property 5** For a vector space V,  $\forall \vec{x} \in V$ ,  $(-1)\vec{x} = (-\vec{x})$ . (we could use this property to find the <u>additive inverse</u> with scalar multiplication with (-1))<sup>3</sup>. proof.

$$(-\vec{x})=(-\vec{x})+\vec{0}$$
 By property of zero vector 
$$=(-\vec{x})+0\vec{x}$$
 By property3 
$$=(-\vec{x})+(1+(-1))\vec{x}$$
 By property of zero as real number 
$$=(-\vec{x})+1\vec{x}+(-1)\vec{x}$$
 
$$=\vec{0}+(-1)\vec{x}$$
 
$$=(-1)\vec{x}$$

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ The scalar multiplication here is the one defined in vector space V.

**Property 6** For a vector space V, let  $\vec{x} \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then,

$$c\vec{x} = \vec{0} \implies c = 0 \lor \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

proof.

if 
$$c = 0 \implies True$$
  
else  $c^{-1}c\vec{x} = c^{-1} = \vec{0}$   
 $\implies (c^{-1}c)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$   
 $\implies 1\vec{x} = \vec{0}$   
 $\implies \vec{x} = \vec{0}$   
 $\implies True$ 

## 2.2 Subspaces

Loosely A subspace is a space contained within a vector space.

**Definition** Let V be a vector space and  $W \subseteq V$ , W is a **subspace** of V if W is itself a vector space w.r.t. operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication from V.

**Theorem** Let V be a vector space, and  $W \subseteq V$ , W has the <u>same</u><sup>4</sup> operations of vector addition and scalar multiplication as in V. Then, W is a subspace of V iff:

- 1. W is non-empty.  $W \neq \emptyset$ .
- 2. W is closed under addition.  $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in W, \ \vec{x} + \vec{y} \in W$ .
- 3. W us closed under scalar multiplication.  $\forall \vec{x} \in W, c \in \mathbb{R}, c\vec{x} \in W$ .

Proof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Other properties of vector spaces related to vector addition and scalar multiplication are immediately inherited from the parent vector space.

Forward:

If W is a subspace

$$\implies \vec{0} \in W$$

$$\implies W \neq \emptyset$$

Also, additive and scalar multiplication closures  $\implies$  (ii), (iii)

#### Backward:

Let  $W \neq \emptyset \land (ii) \land (iii)$ 

WTS. 10 axioms in definition of vector space hold

 $(ii) \implies \text{Additive Closure}$ 

 $(iii) \implies \text{Scalar Multiplication Clousure}$ 

Because  $W \subseteq V$ , and V is a vector space, so properties hold  $\forall \vec{w} \in W$ .

Additive inverse: by property 5 and scalar multiplication closure,

$$\forall \vec{x} \in W, -\vec{x} = (-1)\vec{x} \in W.$$

Also, existence of additive identity:  $(-\vec{x}) + \vec{x} = \vec{0} \in W$ .

## 2.3 Examples of subspaces

**Example 1** Let  $V = \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , V is a subspace.

**Example 2** Define W as

$$W = \{A \in \mathbb{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) | A \text{ is } \underline{\text{not}} \text{ symmetric} \}$$

Explanation: Let 
$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$   $A_1, A_2 \in W$  but

$$A_1 + A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \notin W.$$

Since there's no additive identity in set W, so W failed to be a vector space, therefore W is not a subspace.

**Example 3** Let  $V = \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , is W defined as following,

$$W = \{ p(x) \in V | p(1) = 0 \}$$

```
a subspace of V?

proof.

WTS: (i)

Let z(x) = 0 or z(x) = x^2 - 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}

\Rightarrow W \neq \emptyset

WTS: (ii)

Let p_1, p_2 \in W, which means p_1(1) = p_2(1) = 0

(p_1 + p_2)(1) = p_1(1) + p_2(1) = 0 + 0 = 0

\Rightarrow p_1 + p_2 \in W

\Rightarrow W is closed under addition.

WTS: (iii) Let p \in W and c \in \mathbb{R}

\Rightarrow p(1) = 0

Since (c * p)(x) = c * p(x), we have (c * p)(1) = c * p(1) = c * 0 = 0

\Rightarrow cp \in W.

So W is a subspace of V.
```

#### 2.4 Recall from MAT223

Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , then Nul(A) is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and Col(A) is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

## 3 Lecture 3Jan. 16 2018

#### 3.1 Linear Combination

**Definition** Let V be a vector space,  $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_n} \in V$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  the expression

$$c_1\vec{v_1} + \cdots + c_n\vec{v_n}$$

is called a linear combination of  $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$ .

**Theorem** Let V be a vector space, W is a subspace of V,  $\forall \vec{w_1}, \dots \vec{w_k} \in W, c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$c_1\vec{w_1} + \cdots + c_k\vec{w_k} \in W$$

Subspaces are <u>closed under linear combinations</u>, since subspaces are closed under scalar multiplication and vector addition.

**Theorem** Let V be a vector space, let  $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k} \in V$  then the set of all linear combination of  $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}$ 

$$W = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \vec{v_i} | c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \}$$

is a subspace of V. *proof.* 

Consider 
$$\vec{0} \in W$$
  
So,  $W \neq \emptyset$ 

Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , Let  $\vec{x} \in W \land \vec{y} \in W$ 

By definition of span, we have,

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \vec{v_i}, \quad \vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \vec{v_i}$$

Consider,  $\vec{x} + c\vec{y}$ 

$$\vec{x} + c\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \vec{v_i} + c \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \vec{v_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (a_i + cb_i) \vec{v_i} \in W$$

**Definition** Let V be a vector space,  $\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k} \in V$ , **span** of the set of vectors  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^k$  is defined as the collection of all possible linear combinations of  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ . By pervious theorem, span is a subspace.

## 3.2 Combination of subspaces

**Definition** Let  $W_1, W_2$  be two sets, then the **union** of  $W_1, W_2$  is defined as:

$$W_1 \cup W_2 = \{ \vec{w} \mid \vec{w} \in W_1 \lor \vec{w} \in W_2 \}$$

the **intersection** of  $W_1, W_2$  is defined as:

$$W_1 \cap W_2 = \{ \vec{w} \mid \vec{w} \in W_1 \land \vec{w} \in W_2 \}$$

Now consider  $W_1, W_2$  to be two subspaces of vector space V, then we have,

1.  $W_1 \cup W_2$  is **not** a subspace.

2.  $W_1 \cap W_2$  is a subspace.

proof.

Falsify the statement by providing counter-example:

$$W_{1} = \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \mid x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{2} = 0\}$$

$$W_{2} = \{(x_{1}, x_{2}) \mid x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{1} = 0\}$$

$$\binom{0}{1} \in W_{1} \cup W_{2} \quad \binom{1}{0} \in W_{1} \cup W_{2}$$

$$\text{But}, \quad \binom{0}{1} + \binom{1}{0} = \binom{1}{1} \notin W_{1} \cup W_{2}$$

proof.

Because 
$$W_1$$
 and  $W_2$  are both subspaces, so  $\vec{0} \in W_1 \cap W_2 \implies W_1 \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$   
Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in W_1 \cap W_2, c \in \mathbb{R}$   
Consider,  $\vec{x} + c\vec{y}$   
Sine  $W_1, W_2$  are subspaces,  
 $\vec{x} + c\vec{y} \in W_1 \wedge \vec{x} + c\vec{y} \in W_2$   
 $\implies \vec{x} + c\vec{y} \in W_1 \cap W_2$   
So,  $W_1 \cap W_2$  is a subspace.

**Definition** Let  $W_1, W_2$  be subspaces of vector space V, define the **sum** of two subspaces as:

$$W_1 + W_2 = \{\vec{x} + \vec{y} \mid \vec{x} \in W_1 \land \vec{y} \in W_2\}$$

Note Let  $\vec{x} = \vec{0} \in W_1$ ,  $\forall \vec{y} \in W_2$ ,  $\vec{y} \in W_1 + W_2$  so that,  $W_2 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$ . Similarly, let  $\vec{y} = 0 \in W_2$ ,  $\forall \vec{x} \in W_1$ ,  $\vec{x} \in W_1 + W_2$ . so that,  $W_1 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$ . So we have  $\forall \vec{v} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ ,  $\vec{v} \in W_1 + W_2$ . So that,

$$W_1 \cap W_2 \subseteq W_1 + W_2$$

Note  $W_1 + W_2$  is a subspace of V. proof.

Let 
$$\vec{x_1}, \vec{x_2} \in W_1, \vec{y_1}, \vec{y_2} \in W_2$$
  
By properties of subspaces,  
 $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{x_1} + c\vec{x_1} \in W_1 \land \vec{y_2} + c\vec{y_2} \in W_2$   
Consider,  $\vec{x_1} + \vec{y_1} \in W_1 + W_2, \vec{x_2} + \vec{y_2} \in W_1 + W_2$   
 $(\vec{x_1} + \vec{y_1}) + c(\vec{x_2} + \vec{y_2})$   
 $= (\vec{x_1} + c\vec{x_2}) + (\vec{y_1} + c\vec{y_2}) \in W_1 + W_2$ 

**Definition(Unique Representation)** Let  $W_1, W_2$  be subspaces of vector space V, say V is **direct sum** of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , written as  $V = W_1 \bigoplus W_2$ , if every  $\vec{x} \in V$  can be written <u>uniquely</u> as  $\vec{x} = \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}$  where  $\vec{w_1} \in W_1$  and  $\vec{w_2} \in W_2$ .

**Equivalently** Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of V,  $V = W_1 \bigoplus W_2 \iff V = W_1 + W_2 \wedge W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}.$ 

## 4 Lecture 4 Jan. 17 2018

#### 4.1 Cont'd

Cont'd Proof of Theorem proof.

(Forward direction) Suppose 
$$V = W_1 \bigoplus W_2$$

WTS.  $V = W_1 + W_2 \wedge W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$ 

Let  $V = W_1 \bigoplus W_2$ 
 $\Rightarrow \forall \vec{x} \in V$ , can be written uniquely as  $\vec{x} = \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}, \ \vec{w_1} \in W_1, \ \vec{w_2} \in W_2$ 
 $\Rightarrow V = W_1 + W_2$  by definition of  $sum$ .

Let  $\vec{x} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ 

Decomposition, let  $\vec{z} \in W_1, \vec{0} \in W_2$ 
 $\vec{z} = \vec{z} + \vec{0}, \ \vec{z} \in W_1, \vec{0} \in W_2$ 
 $\vec{z} = \vec{0} + \vec{z}, \ \vec{0} \in W_1, \vec{z} \in W_2$ 

Since decomposition is unique,  $\vec{z} = \vec{0}$ 

So,  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$ 

(Backward direction) Suppose  $V = W_1 + W_2 \wedge W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$ 

WTS.  $V = W_1 \bigoplus W_2$ 

Assume  $\vec{x} = \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2}, \ \vec{w_1} \in W_1, \vec{w_2} \in W_2$ 
 $\vec{x} = \vec{w_1}' + \vec{w_2}', \ \vec{w_1}' \in W_1, \vec{w_2}' \in W_2$ 
 $\Rightarrow \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} = \vec{w_1}' + \vec{w_2}'$ 
 $\Rightarrow \vec{w_1} - \vec{w_1}' = \vec{w_2}' - \vec{w_2}$ 

Where, by definition of subspace,  $\vec{w_1} - \vec{w_1}' \in W_1 \wedge \vec{w_2}' - \vec{w_2} \in W_2$ 

So,  $\vec{w_1} - \vec{w_1}' = \vec{w_2}' - \vec{w_2} \in W_1 \cap W_2$ 

Since  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\vec{0}\}$ 
 $\Rightarrow \vec{w_1} = \vec{w_1}' \wedge \vec{w_2} = \vec{w_2}'$ 

So the decomposition is unique.

## 4.2 Linear Independence

Theorem (Redundancy theorem) Let V be a vector space,  $\{\vec{x_1}, \dots \vec{x_n}\}$ , let  $\vec{x} \in \{\vec{x_1}, \dots \vec{x_n}\}$ , then

$$span\{\vec{x_1}, \dots \vec{x_n}, \vec{x}\} = span\{\vec{x_1}, \dots \vec{x_n}\}$$

we say  $\vec{x}$  is the **redundant** vector that contributes nothing to the span. proof.

$$\det \vec{x} \in span\{\vec{x}, \dots, \vec{x_n}\}$$

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \vec{x_i} \text{ for } c_i \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall i$$
So, 
$$span\{\vec{x_1}, \dots, \vec{x_n}, \vec{x}\} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \vec{x_i} + z \vec{x} \mid a_i, z \in \mathbb{R} \forall i\}$$

$$= \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \vec{x_i} + z \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \vec{x_i} \mid a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i\}$$

$$= \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + z c_i) \vec{x_i} \mid a_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i\}$$

$$\text{Let } d_i = a_i + z c_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$= \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \vec{x_i} \mid d_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i\}$$

$$= span\{\vec{x_1}, \dots, \vec{x_n}\}$$

**Definition** Let V be a vector space, let  $\{\vec{x_1}, \dots, \vec{x_n}\} \in V$ , we say  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is **linearly independent** if the only set of scalars  $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  that satisfies,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \vec{x_i} = 0$$

is  $\{0, \dots, 0\}$ .

**Definition** In contrast, we say a set of vector, with size n, is **linearly** dependent if

$$\exists \vec{c} \neq \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ s.t. \ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{v_i} = 0$$

**Theorem** Let V be a vector space,  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n \in V$  is linearly dependent if and only if,

$$\exists \vec{x} \in \{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n \ s.t. \ \vec{x_j} \in span\{\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n \setminus \{\vec{x}\}\}\$$

**Theorem** Let V be a vector space,  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n \in V$  is linearly independent if and only if,

$$\forall \vec{x} \in \{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n, \ \vec{x_i} \notin span\{\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n \setminus \{\vec{x}\}\}\$$

## 5 Lecture Jan. 23 2018

## 5.1 Linear independence, recall definitions

Acknowledgement: special thanks to Frank Zhao.

**Definition** Let  $\{\vec{x_1}, \dots \vec{x_k}\}$  is **linearly independent** if only scalars  $c_1 \dots c_k$  s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_1 \vec{x_k} = 0(\star)$$

are 
$$c_1 = \cdots = c_k = 0$$

linearly dependent means at least one  $c_i \neq 0$ ,  $(\star)$  still holds.

#### 5.1.1 Alternative definitions of linear independency

**Definition(Alternative.1)**  $\{\vec{x_1} \dots \vec{x_k}\}$  is linearly independent iff none of them can be written as a linear combination of the remaining k-1 vectors.<sup>5</sup>

**Definition(Alternative.2)**  $\{\vec{x_1} \dots \vec{x_k}\}$  is **linearly dependent** iff at least one of them can be written as a linear combination of the remaining k-1 vectors. <sup>6</sup>

#### 5.2 Basis

**Definition** Let V be a vector space, a non-empty<sup>7</sup> set S of vectors from V is a **basis** for V if

1. 
$$V = span\{S\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See theorem from the pervious lecture.

 $<sup>^6\</sup>mathrm{See}$  theorem from the pervious lecture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Specially, for an empty set, we define  $span\{\emptyset\} = \{\vec{0}\}$ 

# 2. S is linearly independent.

Theorem (characterization of basis) A non-empty subset  $S = \{\vec{x_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  of vector space V is basis for V iff every  $\vec{x} \in V$  can be written <u>uniquely</u> as linear combination for vectors in S.

proof.

#### **Forwards**

Suppose S is a basis for V

So every  $\vec{x} \in V$  can be written as a linear combination of vectors in S

To prove the uniqueness, assume two expressions of  $\vec{x} \in V$ 

$$\vec{x} = \begin{cases} c_1 \vec{x_1} + \dots + c_k \vec{x_k} \\ b_1 \vec{x_1} + \dots + d_k \vec{x_k} \end{cases}$$

Consider

$$c_1\vec{x_1} + \dots + c_k\vec{x_k} - (b_1\vec{x_1} + \dots + d_k\vec{x_k}) = \vec{0}$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^{k} (c_i - b_i) \vec{x_1} = \vec{0}$$

Since vectors in basis S are linear independent,

$$c_i = b_i \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, k]$$

So the representation is unique.

#### **Backwards**

Suppose every  $\vec{x} \in V$  can be written uniquely as linear combination of vectors in S.

WTS:  $V = span\{S\} \land S$  is linearly independent

By the assumption, spanning set is shown.

All we need to show is linear independence.

Consider,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \vec{x}_i = \vec{0}$$

Also, we know

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 0\vec{x_i} = \vec{0}$$

By the uniqueness of representation

We have identical expression 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \vec{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 0 \vec{x}_i$$

$$\therefore c_i = 0 \ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, n]$$

#### Example

$$V = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
$$(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1 + 1, x_2 + y_2 + 1)$$
$$c(x_1, x_2) = (cx_1 + c - 1, cx_2 + c - 1)$$

Show that  $\{(1,0),(6,3)\}$  is a basis of V.

By theorem,  $\{(1,0),(6,3)\}$  is basis if every  $(a,b) \in V$  can be written uniquely as linear combination of  $\{(1,0),(6,3)\}$ .

 $\exists$  unique scalars  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $c_1(1,0) + c_2(6,3) = (a,b)$ 

proof.

By definition of scalar multiplication and vector addition in this space,

Consider
$$(a, b) = c_1(1, 0) + c_2(6, 3) = (2c_1 - 1, c_1 - 1) + (7c_2 - 1, 4c_2 - 1)$$
  
=  $(2c_1 + 7c_2 - 1, c_1 + 4c_2 - 1)$ 

Consider the coefficients of variables

$$\begin{cases} 2c_1 + 7c_2 - 1 = a \\ c_1 + 4c_2 - 1 = b \end{cases}$$

WTS, the above system of linear equations has unique solution for all a, b

The system has a unique solution  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Since the coefficient matrix has rank 2

$$rank(\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}) = 2$$

Since obviously the columns are linearly independent.

#### 5.3 Dimensions

**Definition** For a vector space V, the **dimension** of V is the minimum number of vectors required to span V.

**Fundamental Theorem** if V vector space is spanned by m vectors, then any set of more than m vectors from V must be <u>linearly dependent</u>.

Fundamental Theorem (Alternative) If V is vector space spanned by m vectors, then any <u>linearly independent</u> set in V must contain less or equal to m vectors.

## 5.3.1 Consequences of fundamental theorem

**Theorem** if  $S = \{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$  and  $T = \{\vec{w}_i\}_{i=1}^l$  are two bases of vector space V then l = k. Bases have the same size.

proof.

Since S spans V and T is linearly independent

$$\therefore l \leq k$$

(flip) Since T spans V and S is linearly independent

**Definition** So we can define the **dimension** of V, as dim(V) as the number vectors in <u>any</u> basis for V. For special case  $V = \{\vec{0}\}$ , dim(V) = 0.

## Example

- $dim(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$
- $dim(\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})) = n+1$
- $dim(\mathbb{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})) = m \times n$

# 5.3.2 Use dimension to prove facts about linearly (in)dependent sets and subspaces

**Theorem** If V is a vector space, dim(V) = n,  $S = \{\vec{x_k}\}_{i=1}^k$  is subset of V, if k > n then S is <u>linearly dependent</u>.

Note  $k \leq n \Rightarrow S$  is linear dependent.

**Theorem** If W is subspace of vector space V, then

- 1.  $dim(W) \leq dim(V)$
- 2.  $dim(W) = dim(V) \iff W = V$

proof.

(1) Suppose 
$$dim(V) = n, dim(W) = k$$
  
WTS,  $k \le n$ 

Any basis for W is a linearly independent set of k vectors from V.

Since V is spanned by n vectors, since dim(V) = n

By fundamental theorem,  $k \leq n$ 

$$\iff dim(W) \le dim(V)$$

(2) By contradiction, assume dim(V) = dim(W) = n but  $V \neq W$ Then  $\exists \vec{x} \in V \land \vec{x} \notin W$ 

Take S as a basis of W, then  $\vec{x} \notin span\{S\}$ 

Then  $S \cup \vec{x}$  is linearly independent

 $\implies S \cup \{\vec{x}\}\$ is linearly independent in V containing n+1 vectors

This contradicts the assumption by fundamental theorem since dim(V) = n so it could not contain more than n linearly independent vectors

## 6 Lecture 6 Jan. 24 2018

#### 6.1 Basis and Dimension

**Theorem** Let V be a vector space, S is a spanning set of V, and I is a linearly independent subset of V, s.t.  $I \subseteq S$ , then  $\exists$  basis B for V s.t.  $I \subseteq B \subseteq S$ .

#### Explaining

- 1. Any spanning set for V cab be **reduced** to basis for V by removing the linearly dependent(redundant) vector in the spanning set, using <u>redundancy theorem</u> to get a linearly independent spanning set.
- 2. Linear independent set can be **enlarged** to a basis for V.

proof.

omitted.

19

**Corollary** Let V be a vector space and dim(V) = n, any set of n linearly independent vectors from V is a basis for V.

proof. If n linearly independent vectors did not span V, then could be enlarged to a basis of V by pervious theorem, but then have a basis containing more than n vectors from V, which is impossible by the fundamental theorem since we given the dim(V) = n, proven by contradiction.

**Example** Let  $V = P_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $p_1(x) = 2 - 5x$ ,  $p_2(x) = 2 - 5x + 4x^2$ , find  $p_3 \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $\{p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)\}$  is basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ 

**Note** Since  $dim(P_2(\mathbb{R})) = 3$  so any 3 linearly independent vectors from  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  will be a basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Solutions** e.g. constant function  $p_3(x) = 1$ , since  $1 \notin span\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$ , so  $\{p_1(x), p_2(x), p_3(x)\}$  is a basis of  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ . e.g.  $p_3(x) = x$ , since  $x \notin span\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$ 

**Theorem** Let U and W be subspaces of vector space V, then we have

$$dim(U+W) = dim(U) + dim(W) - dim(U \cap W)$$

proof.

Let 
$$\{\vec{v_i}\}_1^k$$
 be basis for  $U \cap W$   
 $\implies dim(U \cap W) = k$ 

Since  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_1^k$  is basis for  $U \cap W$  then it's a linearly independent subset of U So it could be enlarged to basis for  $U, \{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}, \vec{y_1}, \dots, \vec{y_r}\}$ 

So 
$$dim(U) = k + r$$

We also could enlarge a basis for W  $\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}, \vec{z_1}, \dots, \vec{z_s}\}$ 

$$\implies dim(V) = k + s$$

WTS.  $\{\vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_k}, \ldots, \vec{y_1}, \ldots, \vec{y_r}, \vec{z_1}, \ldots, \vec{z_s}\}$  is a basis for U + W

(If we could show this) 
$$dim(U+W) = k+r+s = (k+r)+(k+s)-k$$
  
=  $dim(U)+dim(W)-dim(U\cap W)$ 

Obviously, the above set spans U + W

WTS.  $\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}, \dots, \vec{y_1}, \dots, \vec{y_r}, \vec{z_1}, \dots, \vec{z_s}\}$  is linearly independent

Consider  $a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_k \vec{v_k} + b_1 \vec{y_1} + \dots + b_r \vec{y_r} + c_1 \vec{z_1} + \dots + c_s \vec{z_s} = \vec{0} (\star)$ 

From 
$$(\star) \implies \sum (c_i \vec{z_i}) = -\sum (a_i \vec{v_i}) - \sum b_i \vec{y_i}$$
  
 $\implies \sum (c_i \vec{z_i}) \in U \land \sum (c_i \vec{z_i}) \in W$   
 $\iff \sum (c_i \vec{z_i}) \in U \cap W$ 

Since  $\{\vec{v_i}\}$  is a basis for  $U \cap W$ 

$$\Longrightarrow \sum (c_i \vec{z_i}) = \sum (d_i \vec{v_i})$$

$$\iff \sum (c_i \vec{z_i}) - \sum (d_i \vec{v_i}) = \vec{0} \in W$$

 $\implies c_i = d_i = 0 \text{ since } \{\vec{z_i}, \vec{v_i}\} \text{ is a basis}$ Rewrite  $(\star)$ 

$$\sum (a_i \vec{v_i}) + \sum b_i \vec{y_i} = 0 \in U$$

 $\implies a_i = b_i = 0 \text{ since } \{\vec{v_i}, \vec{y_i}\} \text{ is a basis for } U$ 

Corollary For direct sum, since the intersection is  $\{\vec{0}\}$ 

$$dim(U \bigoplus W) = dim(U) + dim(W)$$

**Example** Let U,W are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such shat dim(U)=dim(W)=2, why is  $U\cap W\neq \{\vec{0}\}$ 

**Solutions** Geometrically, U and W are planes through origin then the intersection would be a line through  $\operatorname{origin}(U \neq W)$  or a plane through  $\operatorname{origin}(U = W)$ , so shown.

**Question** V is a vector space, dim(V) = n,  $U \neq W$  are subspaces of V but dim(U) = dim(V) = (n-1), proof:

- $1. \ V = U + W$
- 2.  $dim(U \cap W) = (n-z)$

#### 7 Lecture 7 Jan. 30, 2018

#### 7.1 Linear Transformations

**Definition** Let V,W be vector spaces, a function  $T:V\to W$  is a **linear transformation**<sup>8</sup> if

1. 
$$T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y}) \ \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V^9$$

2. 
$$T(c\vec{x}) = cT(\vec{x}) \ \forall \vec{x} \in V, \ c \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$$

Linear transformation preserves <u>vector additions and saclar multiplications</u> on vector spaces.

**Theorem(Alternative definition)** Transformation  $T: V \to W$  is linear if and only if

$$T(c\vec{x} + d\vec{y}) = cT(\vec{x}) + dT(\vec{y}), \ \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$$

Linear transformations preserves <u>linear combinations</u>.

**Example** (form 223) Rotation through angle  $\theta$  about the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>In some textbooks, this is annotated as **linear mapping**.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$ Notice that the vector additions on the left and right sides of the equation are defined in different vector spaces, in V and W respectively.

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$ Notice that the scalar multiplication on the left and right sides of the equation are defined in different vector spaces, in V and W respectively.

**Example** (from 223) <u>Matrix transformation</u>, let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  defined as

$$T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$$

is linear.

**Example** Derivative  $T: P_n(\mathbb{R}) \to P_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$T(\vec{p}(x)) = \vec{p}'(x)$$

**Example** Matrix transpose  $T: M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \to M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$T(A) = A^T$$

## 7.2 Properties of linear transformations

**Property(i)** Linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  are <u>uniquely</u> defined by their values on <u>any</u> basis for V.

proof.

Let
$$\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$$
 be any basis for  $V$ 

Every vector  $\vec{x} \in V$  can be uniquely written as some linear combination of the  $\{\vec{v}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ 

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \vec{v_i}, \ c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } c_i \text{ are uniquely determined } \forall \vec{x} \in V$$

$$\implies T(\vec{x}) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \vec{v_i})$$

 $= \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i T(\vec{v_i}) \text{ since the transformation } T \text{ is linear.}$ 

Since  $c_i$ s are uniquely determined by  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ 

so the value of  $T(\vec{x})$  is uniquely determined by its value on basis vectors  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ .

**Property(ii)** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation, let A be a subspace of vector space V, then the **image** T(A) defined as

$$T(A) = \{ T(\vec{x}) \mid \vec{x} \in A \}$$

called the image of A under linear transformation T is a subspace of W. Linear transformation maps subspaces of V to subspaces of W.

proof.

Since A is a subspace so it's non-empty, therefore  $\exists T(\vec{x}), \ \vec{x} \in A$ 

So 
$$T(A) \neq \emptyset$$

Let 
$$\vec{w_1}, \vec{w_2} \in T(A)$$

$$\implies \vec{w_1} = T(\vec{x_1}), \vec{w_2} = T(\vec{x_2}), \vec{x_1}, \vec{x_2} \in A$$

$$\implies \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} = T(\vec{x_1}) + T(\vec{x_2}) = T(\vec{x_1} + \vec{x_2})$$
 since T is linear.

Since  $\vec{x_1} + \vec{x_2} \in A$  by the definition of subspaces.

$$\implies \vec{w_1} + \vec{w_2} \in T(A)$$

So T(A) is closed under vector addition.

Let 
$$\vec{w} \in T(A)$$

$$\implies \vec{w} = T(\vec{x}), \vec{x} \in A$$

Let 
$$c \in \mathbb{R}$$

Consider 
$$c\vec{w} = cT(\vec{x}) = T(c\vec{x})$$

Since 
$$c\vec{x} \in A$$

So 
$$c\vec{w} \in T(A)$$

So T(A) is closed under scalar multiplication.

**Property(derived from the definition)** For all linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ , we have <sup>11</sup>

$$T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$$

**Property(iii)** Let transformation  $T: V \to W$  be linear, let B be a subspace of W, then its **pre-image** defined as

$$T^{-1}(B) = \{ \vec{x} \in V \mid T(x) \in B \}$$

is a subspace of V. <sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>In the equation, clearly, the zero vector on the left side of the equation is in space V and the zero vector on the right side is in space W.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ The pre-image and inverse share the same notation, but in this case, transformation T is not necessarily invertible.

proof.

Let 
$$\vec{w_1}, \vec{w_2} \in T^{-1}(B)$$

$$\implies T(\vec{w_1}), T(\vec{w_2}) \in B$$

$$\implies aT(\vec{w_1}) + b(\vec{w_2}) \in B, \ \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ since } B \text{ is a subspace.}$$

$$\implies T(a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}) \in B$$

$$\implies a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2} \in T^{-1}(B)$$

So  $T^{-1}(B)$  is closed under both vector addition and scalar multiplication, So  $T^{-1}(B)$  is a subspace.

#### 7.3 Definitions

Let  $T: V \to W$  to be a linear transformation,

**Definition** the **Image** of transformation T is defined as

$$Im(T) = T(V) = \{T(\vec{x}) \mid \vec{x} \in V\}$$

**Definition** the **Rank** of transformation T is defined as

$$Rank(T) = dim(Im(T))$$

**Definition** the **Kernel** of transformation T is defined as

$$Ker(T) = T^{-1}(\{\vec{0}\}) = \{\vec{x} \in V \mid T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\}\$$

**Definition** the **Nullity** of transformation T is defined as

$$Nullity(T) = dim(ker(T))$$

**Example**  $T: P_3(\mathbb{R}) \to P_3(\mathbb{R})$  is <u>linear</u> defined by

$$T(\vec{p}(x)) = \vec{p}(2x+1) - 8\vec{p}(x)$$

find Ker(T).

**Theorem** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation, let  $\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$  be the spanning set of  $V^{13}$ , then  $\{T(\vec{v_1}), \dots, T(\vec{v_k})\}$  spans Im(T)

proof.

Let 
$$\vec{w} \in Im(T)$$

Since 
$$V = span\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$$

For any  $\vec{x} \in V$  can be written as

$$\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \vec{v_i}, \ c_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\implies \vec{w} = T(\vec{x}) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \vec{v_i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i T(\vec{v_i})$$

as a linear combination of  $\{T(\vec{v_1}), \ldots, T(\vec{v_k})\}$ 

So 
$$Im(T) = span\{T(\vec{v_1}), \dots, T(\vec{v_k})\}$$

## 8 Lecture 8 Jan. 31 2018

#### 8.1 Linear Transformations

Example  $T: P_3(\mathbb{R}) \to P_3(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$T(p(x)) = p(2x+1) - 8p(x)$$

Find the image of T.

We know  $B = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$  is the standard basis for  $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ , consider the set P(B)

$$P(B) = \{-7, 1 - 6x, 1 + 4x - 4x^2, 1 + 6x + 12x^2\}$$

spans Im(T). Notice the first three vectors in the set is linearly independent, the last vector is clearly dependent to the pervious three.<sup>14</sup>. So by the redundancy theorem we could remove the last vector. There we have

$$Im(T) = span\{-7, 1 - 6x, 1 + 4x - 4x^2\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>The set is only the spanning set of V, it's not necessarily to be a basis of V.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Notice that the first three vectors is a basis of  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

as basis.

In this example, the dimension of Ker(T) is 1 and the dimension of Im(T) is 3, and dimension of  $P_3(\mathbb{R})$  is 4. We have,  $dim(P_3(\mathbb{R})) = Nullity(T) + Rank(T)$ 

**Theorem(Dimension Theorem)** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation,

$$dim(V) = Nullity(T) + Rank(T)$$

Proof.

Say 
$$dim(V) = n$$

Let  $\{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_k}\}$  be a basis for Ker(T)

Since Ker(T) is a subspace of V, the set  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_1^k$  is a subset of V,

It can be extended to a basis  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_1^k \cup \{\vec{v_i}\}_{k+1}^n$  for V.

Claim: 
$$\{T(\vec{v_{k+1}}), \dots, T(\vec{v_n})\}\$$
 is basis for  $Im(T)$ 

If the claim is true, this prove the theorem since

$$dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T)) = k + n - k = n = dim(V)$$

$$T(\vec{v_i}) = \vec{0}, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_1^k$$

and by the definition of kernel of linear transformation,

$$\therefore \{T(\vec{v_i})\}_{k+1}^n \text{ spans } Im(T)$$

Show if 
$$\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} c_i T(\vec{v_i}) = \vec{0} \implies c_i = 0$$

$$\implies T(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} c_i \vec{v_i}) = \vec{0}$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=k+1}^n c_i \vec{v_i} \in Ker(T)$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} c_i \vec{v_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \vec{v_i}$$

$$\implies c_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + c_k \vec{v_k} - c_{k+1} \vec{v_{k+1}} - \dots - c_n \vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$$

Since  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_i^n$  is a basis for V.

$$\implies c_i = 0 \ \forall i$$

## 8.2 Applications of dimension theorem

**Definition** A linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  is called **injective**(one-to-one) if and only if

$$T(\vec{v_1}) = T(\vec{v_2}) \implies \vec{v_1} = \vec{v_2}$$

**Definition** A linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  is called **surjective**(onto) if and only if

$$Im(T) = W$$

Every vector in W has a pre-image in V.

**Definition** A linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  is called **bijective** if it's both injective and surjective.

**Theorem** Let transformation  $T: V \to W$  is linear, T is injective if and only if dim(Ker(T)) = 0.

Proof.

#### Exercise

**Theorem** T is surjective if and only if dim(Im(T)) = dim(W).

**Example**  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by

$$T(p(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} p(1) \\ p(2) \end{pmatrix}$$

is T injective? surjective?

Not injective but surjective.

Solution

$$Ker(T) = span\{(x-1)(x-2)\}$$

So T has nullity of 1 and since  $dim(P_2(\mathbb{R})) = 3$ , by the <u>dimension theorem</u> we have Rank(T) = 2 and since Im(T) is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which has dimension of 2, we could conclude that  $Im(T) = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 9 Lecture 9 Feb. 6 2018

## 9.1 Applications of dimension theorem

**Recall Dimension Theorem**  $T: V \to W$  is linear transformation,

$$dim(V) = dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T))$$

**Recall** T is **injective** if and only if dim(Ker(T)) = 0.

**Recall** T is surjective if and only if dim(Im(T)) = dim(W).

**Example**  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$T(p(x)) = (p(1), p(2), p(3))$$

Take  $p(x) = a + bx + cx^2 \in P_2(\mathbb{R}), p(x) \in Ker(T) \text{ iff } T(p(x)) \in \vec{0}.$ Let  $p(x) \in Ker(T),$ 

Obviously the only solution for the system

$$\begin{cases} a+b+c = 0 \\ a+2b+4c = 0 \\ a+3b+9c = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a = b = c = 0, So dim(Ker(T)) = 0. Therefore, T is **injective**. By  $dimension\ theorem$ ,

$$dim(V) = 3 = 0 + dim(Im(T)) \implies dim(Im(T)) = 3 = dim(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

therefore T is surjective. Therefore, T is called **bijective**.

Question  $T: P_n(\mathbb{R}) \to P_n(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$T(p(x)) = xp'(x)$$

Solution Not injective because any constant function in  $P_n(\mathbb{R})$  is mapped onto  $\vec{0} \in P_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Also not surjective by the dimension theorem.

**Theorem** Let  $T: V \to W$  be an <u>injective</u> linear transformation, if  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^k$  is linearly independent in V, then the set  $\{T(\vec{v_i})\}_{i=1}^k$  is linearly independent in W.

 $\label{linear linear linear$ 

Proof.

If  $\sum c_i T(\vec{v_i}) = \vec{0}$ , then we have  $T(\sum c_i \vec{v_i}) = \vec{0}$ , which means  $\sum c_i v_i \in Ker(T)$ . By definition of injective transformation,  $\sum c_i v_i = \vec{0}$ . Since  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^k$  is linearly independent, so  $c_i = 0$ ,  $\forall i$ .

**Theorem**  $T: V \to W$  is a linearly transformation,  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  is a basis for V then, if  $\{T(\vec{v_i})\}_{i=1}^n$  is linear independent, then T is <u>injective</u>. A criteria for T to be injective based on image of a basis.

Proof.

Let 
$$\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$$
 be a basis of  $V$   
Consider  $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$   
Since  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  is a basis  
Let  $x = \sum c_i \vec{v_i}$   
 $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \iff T(\sum c_i \vec{v_i}) = \vec{0}$   
 $\implies \sum c_i T(\vec{v_i}) = \vec{0} \implies c_i = 0$   
 $\therefore \vec{x} = \sum 0 \vec{v_i} = \vec{0}$   
Therefore  $Ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$   
Therefore  $dim(Ker(T)) = 0$   
 $\implies$  injective

**Theorem** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation,

- 1. If dim(V) > dim(W), then T cannot be injective.
- 2. If dim(V) < dim(W), then T cannot be surjective.

For a linear transformation between spaces with different dimension, it could not be bijective.

Proof.

$$dim(V) = dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T))$$

$$\therefore dim(Im(T)) \leq dim(W)$$

$$\therefore dim(V) \leq dim(Ker(T) + dim(W))$$

$$\implies dim(Ker(T)) \geq dim(V) - dim(W)$$

$$\implies dim(Ker(T)) > 0$$
So  $T$  could not be injective
$$dim(V) = dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T))$$

$$\therefore dim(Ker(T)) \geq 0$$

$$\therefore dim(V) \geq dim(Im(T))$$

$$\implies dim(Im(T)) < dim(W)$$
So  $T$  could not be surjective

**Theorem Half is good enough** Let  $T: V \to W$  is linear, and dim(V) = dim(W). T is injective if and only if surjective.

Proof.

By dimension theorem 
$$dim(V) = dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T)) = dim(W)$$
 If injective 
$$dim(Ker(T)) = 0$$
 
$$\implies dim(Im(T)) = dim(W)$$
 So surjective 
$$\text{If surjective } dim(Im(T)) = dim(W) = dim(V)$$
 
$$\implies dim(Ker(T)) = 0$$
 So injective

## 9.2 Isomorphisms

**Recall** If  $T: V \to W$  is both injective and surjective, say T is bijective.

**Definition** If  $T:V\to W$  is bijective, we call T an **isomorphism**. If there exists an isomorphism  $T:V\to W$  say V and W are **isomorphic** vector spaces.

**Theorem** V, W are isomorphic iff dim(V) = dim(W).

Proof.

$$\rightarrow V, W \text{ isomorphic } \implies dim(V) = dim(W)$$

Isomorphic means there exists a bijective transformation T

By dimension theorem dim(V) = dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T))

$$= 0 + dim(W)$$

$$\leftarrow dim(V) = dim(W) \implies V, W \text{ isomorphic}$$

Equivalently, find a bijective transformation

Let 
$$\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$$
 be basis for  $V$ 

Let 
$$\{\vec{w_i}\}_{i=1}^n$$
 be basis for W

Claim  $T: V \to W$  is linear and s.t.

 $T(\vec{v_i}) = \vec{w_i}$  is an isomorphism.

If 
$$\vec{x} \in Ker(T) \subseteq V$$

$$x = \sum c_i \vec{v_i}$$

$$\vec{0} = T(\vec{x})$$

$$= \sum c_i T(\vec{v_i})$$

$$= \sum (c_i \vec{w_i})$$

 $\implies c_i = 0$  since  $\vec{w_i}$  are basis.

$$\implies \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

$$\implies dim(Ker(T)) = 0$$

 $\implies$  injective  $\iff$  surjective

**Note** if  $T: V \to W$  is an isomorphism, then T maps a basis for V to a basis for W.

Example  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$T(p(x)) = (p(1), p(2), p(3))$$

is an isomorphism. And  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are isomorphic.

Example  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$T(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ T(x^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism.

**Example**  $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R}), P_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^4$  are isomorphic.

**Theorem** Any n-dim vector space V is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . What is an isomorphism  $T: V \to \mathbb{R}^n$ 

Procedure:

Let  $\{\vec{v_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  be any basis for V We know that  $\forall \vec{x} \in V$ , By property of basis,

$$\vec{x} = \sum c_i \vec{v_i}$$

$$c_1$$

Then 
$$T(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 is an isomorphism.

## 9.3 Coordinates

**Definition** Let V be a vector space,  $\alpha = \{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}\}$  be nay basis for V,  $\forall \vec{x} \in V$  can be written uniquely as

$$\vec{x} = c_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + c_n \vec{v_n}$$

then  $c1, \ldots, c_n$  is called the **coordinates** for  $\vec{x}$  relative to  $\alpha$ , with notation

$$[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} \iff \vec{x} = \sum c_i \vec{v_i}$$

Claim  $[\vec{x} + c\vec{y}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} + c[\vec{y}]_{\alpha} \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V, \ c \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

**Note** if  $\alpha, \alpha'$  are any two bases for V then generally  $[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \neq [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$  (except  $\vec{0}$ ).

# 10 Lecture 10 Feb. 7 2018

## 10.1 Matrix of linear transformation

**Recall** Let V be a vector space, let  $\alpha$  be any basis for V.

$$\forall \vec{x} \in V, x = \sum c_i \vec{v_i}$$

$$[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

So transformation  $\vec{x} \to [\vec{x}]_{\alpha}$  is an isomorphism that  $V \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Say W is a vector space and let  $\beta = \{\vec{w_i}\}_1^m$  be any basis of W, say  $T: V \to W$  is linear.

$$T(\vec{x}) = \sum c_i T(\vec{v_i})$$

So that

$$[T(\vec{x})]_{\beta} = [\sum c_i T(\vec{v_i})]_{\beta} = \sum c_i [T(\vec{v_i})]_{\beta}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} [T(\vec{v_1})]_{\beta} & \dots & [T(\vec{v_n})]_{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}$$

 $[[T(\vec{v_1})]_{\beta} \dots [T(\vec{v_n})]_{\beta}]$  is called the <u>the matrix of T w.r.t.</u>  $\alpha, \beta$ . Denoted as  $[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ 

$$[T(\vec{x})]_{\beta} = [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [\vec{x}]_{\alpha}$$

Example  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to P_3(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$T(p(x)) = xp(x)$$

$$\alpha = \{1 - x, 1 - x^2, x\}, \ \beta = \{1, 1 + x, 1 + x + x^2, 1 - x^3\}$$

Find  $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ .

$$T(1-x) = x(1-x) = x - x^{2}$$

$$x - x^{2} = (-1)(1) + 2(1+x) + (-1)(1+x+x^{2}) + 0(1-x^{3})$$

$$[T(1-x)]_{\beta} = (-1,2,-1,0)$$

$$T(1-x^{2}) = x - x^{3}$$

$$[T(1-x^{2})]_{\beta} = (-2,1,0,1)$$

$$[T(x)] = x^{2}$$

$$[T(x)]_{\beta} = (0,-1,1,0)$$

$$[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 0\\ 2 & 1 & -1\\ -1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Picture** V, W are vectors spaces,  $\alpha = \{\vec{v_1}, \dots, vecv_n\}$  is a basis for V and  $\beta = \{\vec{w_1}, \dots, vecw_m\}$  is a basis for W.

$$V \longrightarrow^{T} W$$

$$\downarrow^{[\ ]_{\alpha}} \qquad \downarrow^{[\ ]_{\beta}}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrowtail^{[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}} \mathbb{R}^{m}$$

Note

1. 
$$\vec{x} \in Ker(T) \iff T(\vec{x}) = \vec{0} \iff [T(x)]_{\beta} = [\vec{0}]_{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^m \iff [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = 0 \iff [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \in Ker([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$$

2. 
$$\vec{w} \in Im(T) \iff [\vec{w}]_{\beta} \in Col([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$$

Theorem(Rank nullity for transformation matrix)

$$\dim(Ker([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}))+\dim(Col([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}))=n$$

Example  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \to M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ 

$$T(a+bx+c^{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} c & -c \\ a-c & a+c \end{bmatrix}$$

And given bases  $\alpha = \{x^2 - x, x - 1, x^2 + 1\}$  and  $\beta = \{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\}$ 

Answer

$$\begin{split} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ Nul([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}) &= span \{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \\ Nul(T) &= span \{ 2x \} \\ Col([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}) &= span \{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \\ Col(T) &= span \{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \} \end{split}$$

## 11 lecture11 Feb. 13 2018

#### 11.1 Algebra of Transformation

**Recall** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation, where  $\alpha = \{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}\}$  and  $\beta = \{\vec{w_1}, \dots, \vec{w_m}\}$  are bases for V, W respectively.

$$\vec{x} \in Ker(T) \iff [\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \in Ker([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$$
  
 $\vec{x} \in Im(T) \iff [\vec{x}]_{\beta} \in Col([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})$ 

**Definition**  $T_1, T_2: V \to W$  are linear transformations, define

$$(T_1 + T_2)(\vec{x}) = T_1(\vec{x}) + T_2(\vec{x}) \forall \vec{x} \in V$$
$$(cT_1)(\vec{x}) = c(T_1(\vec{x})) \forall \vec{x} \in V, \ c \in \mathbb{R}$$

And, let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be bases for V, W respectively, then,

$$[T_1]^{\beta}_{\alpha} + [T_2]^{\beta}_{\alpha} = [T_1 + T_2]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$$
  
 $c[T_1]^{\beta}_{\alpha} = [cT_1]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ 

**Definition**  $T: V \to W$  and  $S: W \to U$  are linear transformations, then the **composition**  $ST: V \to U$  is defined as

$$(ST)(\vec{x}) = S(T(\vec{x})) \quad \forall \vec{x} \in V$$

**Note** If S, T are linear then the composition ST is also linear. Check

Let 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \ \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$$
  

$$ST(a\vec{x} + b\vec{y})$$

$$= S(T(a\vec{x} + b\vec{y}))$$

$$= S(aT(\vec{x}) + bT(\vec{y}))$$

$$= a(ST(\vec{x})) + b(ST(\vec{y}))$$

#### Example

omitted

## 11.2 Matrix of composition

Consider  $T:V\to W$  and  $S:W\to U$  as linear transformations, let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  be bases of V, W, U respectively. We know how to compute  $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$  and  $[S]^{\gamma}_{\beta}$ . Now want to find  $[ST]^{\gamma}_{\alpha}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \vec{x} \in V, [ST]_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \\ &= [(ST)(\vec{x})]_{\gamma} \\ &= [S(T(\vec{x}))]_{\gamma} \\ &= [S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T(\vec{x})]_{\beta} \\ &= [S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$
This holds true for all  $\vec{x} \in V$ 

$$\therefore [ST]_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = [S]_{\beta}^{\gamma}[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

Conclusion the matrix of  $ST = \text{matrix of } S \times \text{matrix of } T$ .

## 11.3 Inverse transformations

**Definition**  $T: V \to W$  is  $isomorphism^{15}$  if and only if there exists function  $S: W \to V$  such that

$$(ST)(\vec{v}) = \vec{v} \ \forall \vec{v} \in V \land (TS)(\vec{w}) = \vec{w} \ \forall \vec{w} \in W$$

And S is called the **inverse** of T, written as  $T^{-1}$ .

 $proof. \to T$  is an isomorphism means every vector in W has an unique preimage in V the function  $S: W \to V$  maps every vector in W to its unique pre-image in V, so S is the inverse of T.

 $proof. \leftarrow \text{Assume } S: W \to V \text{ is the inverse of } T: V \to W \text{ then } T(S(\vec{y})) = \vec{y} \ \forall \vec{y} \in V, \text{ this means } T \text{ is surjective since every } \vec{y} \in W \text{ has pre-image under } T, \text{ that's } S(\vec{y}) \in V. \text{ Now suppose } T(\vec{x_1}) = T(\vec{x_2}), \text{ apply transformation } S \text{ on both sides of the equation, } S(T(\vec{x_1})) = S(T(\vec{x_2})) \text{ we have } \vec{x_1} = \vec{x_2}.$  This implies the transformation is injective. Therefore, transformation T is bijective, that's isomorphism.

Note  $T^{-1}(\vec{y})$  is the <u>unique</u> vector  $\vec{x}$ , s.t. $T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y}$ . That's

$$T(\vec{x}) = \vec{y} \iff T^{-1}(\vec{y}) = \vec{x}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Recall that isomorphism is equivalent to bijective.

**Theorem** If  $T: V \to W$  is an isomorphism then the inverse of  $T, T^{-1}$ , then  $T-1: W \to V$  is linear. <sup>16</sup>

Proof.

WTS 
$$T^{-1}(a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}) = aT^{-1}(\vec{w_1}) + bT^{-1}(\vec{w_2}) \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \vec{w_1}, \vec{w_2} \in W$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{w_1}) \text{ is the unique } \vec{x_1} \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x_1}) = \vec{w_1}$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{w_2}) \text{ is the unique } \vec{x_2} \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x_2}) = \vec{w_2}$$

$$T^{-1}(a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}) \text{ is the unique } \vec{x} \text{ s.t. } T(\vec{x}) = a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}$$

$$\therefore T(\vec{x}) = a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}$$

$$= aT(\vec{x_1}) + bT(\vec{x_2})$$

$$= T(a\vec{x_1} + b\vec{x_2})$$

$$\therefore \vec{x} = a\vec{x_1} + b\vec{x_2}$$
Also  $T(\vec{x}) = a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}$ 

$$\therefore \vec{x} = T^{-1}(a\vec{w_1} + b\vec{w_2}) = a\vec{x_1} + b\vec{x_2}$$

$$= aT^{-1}(\vec{w_1}) + bT^{-1}(\vec{w_2})$$

**Theorem**  $T: V \to W$  is isomorphism, then let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are bases of V and W representing then  $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$  is invertible, and

$$([T]_{\alpha}^{\beta})^{-1} = [T^{-1}]_{\alpha}^{\beta}$$

Proof. omitted

## 11.4 Change of basis

What's the effect of a change of basis on coordinate of a vector and matrix of transformation.

**Theorem** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  be two bases of V, then

$$[I]^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$$

 $<sup>^{16}\</sup>mathrm{Note}$ : the conclusion could be changed into isomorphism.

Proof.

Let 
$$\vec{x} \in V$$
  

$$I(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$$
  

$$[I(\vec{x})]_{\alpha'} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$$
  

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$$

 $[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'}$  is called the change of basis matrix from  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha'$ .

Computation Let  $\alpha = \{\vec{a_1}, \dots, \vec{a_n}\}$ , then

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} = [[\vec{a_1}]_{\alpha'} \mid \dots \mid [\vec{a_n}]_{\alpha'}]$$

## 12 Lecture 12 Feb. 14 2018

**Recall** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be bases for V and  $I: \to V$  is the identity transformation, then

$$[I]^{\beta}_{\alpha}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha} = [\vec{x}]_{\beta}$$

Also,

$$[I]^{\alpha}_{\beta}[\vec{x}]_{\beta} = [\vec{x}]_{\alpha}$$

**Example** Let  $\alpha = \{x^2, 1+x, x+x^2\}$  and  $\beta$  be bases for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$[I]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } [\vec{p(x)}]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution omitted

**Theorem** Suppose  $[T]_V^W$  is linear,  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are any two bases for V and  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are any two bases of W, then,

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} = [I]_{\beta}^{\beta'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

Proof.

Recall 
$$T = ITI$$
  
Consider let  $\vec{x} \in V$   

$$[I]^{\beta'}_{\beta}[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}[I]^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$$

$$= [I]^{\beta'}_{\beta}[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha}$$

$$= [I]^{\beta'}_{\beta}[T(\vec{x})]_{\beta}$$

$$= [T(\vec{x})]_{\beta'}$$

$$= [T]^{\alpha'}_{\beta'}[\vec{x}]_{\alpha'}$$

$$\implies [T]^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} = [I]^{\beta'}_{\beta}[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}[I]^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}$$

Also,

$$[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha} = [I]^{\beta}_{\beta'}[T]^{\beta'}_{\alpha'}[I]^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}$$

**Special Case** Consider when V = W,  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\alpha' = \beta'$ . we have

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} = [I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'} [T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

where

$$([I]^{\alpha'}_{\alpha})^{-1} = [I]^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}$$

the equation becomes

$$[T]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} = ([I]_{\alpha}^{\alpha'})^{-1} [T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} [I]_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$$

and can be written in the form of

$$B = P^{-1}AP$$

**Definition** Two matrices A and B are **similar** if there exists an <u>invertible</u> matrix P s.t.

$$B = P^{-1}AP$$

A and B representing the same transformation relative to different bases and P is the change of basis matrix if and only if A and B are similar.

## Example Omitted

## 13 Lecture 13 Feb. 27 2018

#### 13.1 Recall

Omitted.

## 13.2 Diagonalization

**Definition** Consider a linear operator  $T: V \to V$  is **diagonalizable** if and only  $\exists$  a basis  $\beta$  for V s.t.

$$[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$$

is diagonal.

Note Let  $\beta = \{\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}\}$  be a basis,  $T: V \to V$  is diagonalizabel if and only it's in form  $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Definition**  $T: V \to V$  is a linear operator on V, a non-zero vector  $\vec{x} \in V$  is an **eigenvector** of  $T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\lambda$  is called the **eigenvalue** of T corresponding to vector  $\vec{x}$ .

**Theorem** Linear operator  $T: V \to V$  is diagonalizable if and only exist a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T. If T is diagonalizable, the diagonal entries of  $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$  are corresponding eigenvalues of T, in the same order.

#### 13.3 How to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T

**Definition** The **determinant** of T is defined as  $det([T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha})$  for any basis  $\alpha$  for V.

**Note** The determinant of linear operator T does <u>not</u> depends on the choice of basis of  $\alpha$  for V, since similar matrices have the same determinant.

**Theorem**  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of T if and only if

$$det(T - \lambda I) = 0$$

 $Proof.\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T

$$\iff \exists \vec{x} \in V, \ \vec{x} \neq \vec{0}, \ s.t. \ T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x}$$
 
$$\iff T(\vec{x}) - \lambda \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$
 
$$\iff (T - \lambda I)(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$$
 
$$\iff \vec{x} \in Ker(T - \lambda I)$$
 
$$\therefore Ker(T - \lambda I) \neq \{\vec{0}\}$$
 
$$\iff (T - \lambda I) \text{ is not injective}$$
 
$$\iff [T - \lambda I]^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \text{ is not injective}$$
 
$$\iff det([T - \lambda I]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}) = det(T - \lambda I) = 0$$

Note  $det(T - \lambda I) = 0$  is called the **characteristic polynomial** of T, written as  $P_T(\lambda) := det(T - \lambda I)$ , the degree os  $P_T(\lambda)$  is the dimension of V.

**Note**  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue  $\iff \lambda$  is a root of  $P_T(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem**  $T: V \to V$  is a linear operator and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T, \vec{x}$  is an eigenvector of T corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , if and only if

$$\vec{x} \neq \vec{0} \land \vec{x} \in Ker(T - \lambda I)$$

Proof.

#### Exercise, using definition

**Definition**  $Ker(T - \lambda I)$  is called the **eigenspace** of T corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , noted as  $E_{\lambda}(T)$ , which is a subspace of V.

**Note** To find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $T: V \to V$ , choose any basis  $\beta$  for V,  $\vec{x}$  is an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$  if and only if  $[\vec{x}]_{\beta}$  is an eigenvector of  $[T]_{\beta}^{\beta}$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

That's

$$T(\vec{x}) = \lambda \vec{x}$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow [T(\vec{x})]_{\beta} = [\lambda \vec{x}]_{\beta}$$
 
$$\iff [T]_{\beta}^{\beta} [\vec{x}]_{\beta} = \lambda [\vec{x}]_{\beta}$$

Note Consider diagonalization in MAT223,

$$D = P^{-1}AP$$

Let D and A representing the same linear operator  $[T]_V^V$  and let  $\beta$  be a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T and  $\alpha$  is another basis of V. Then, the above equation is

$$[T]^{\beta}_{\beta} = ([I]^{\alpha}_{\beta})^{-1}[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}[I]^{\alpha}_{\beta}$$