Inverse Propensity Score Weighting

Tianyu Du

June 10, 2020

Let X, Y, Z denote the cause, the effect, and confounding variables. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$ denote domains of above-mentioned random variables. Let f(Y) denote the random variable of interest. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Y)|do(X=x)] = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} f(y)P(X=x, Y=y, Z=z|do(X=x)) \tag{1}$$

$$= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} f(y)P(Y = y|X = x, Z = z)P(Z = z)$$
(2)

$$= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} f(y) \frac{P(X = x, Y = y | Z = z)}{P(X = x | Z = z)} P(Z = z)$$

$$\tag{3}$$

$$= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} f(y) \frac{P(X = x, Y = y, Z = z)}{P(X = x | Z = z)}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where P(X = x | Z = z) is the **propensity score**, which denotes the probability of receiving treatment X = x given characteristics Z = z.

Assume there is a finite dataset of size N, $(x_i, y_i, z_i)_{i=1}^N$, and we wish to infer the interventional distribution of f(Y) using this dataset.

One method used for discrete variables is to simply count the occurrence of each (X, Y, Z) in the dataset.

$$\hat{P}(X=x,Y=y,Z=z) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\{x_i=x\} \mathbb{1}\{y_i=y\} \mathbb{1}\{z_i=z\}}{N}$$
(5)

Therefore,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[f(Y)|do(X=x)] = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}, z \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{f(y)}{P(X=x|Z=z)} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\{x_i = x\} \mathbb{1}\{y_i = y\} \mathbb{1}\{z_i = z\}}{N}$$
(6)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{f(y_i)}{P(X = x_i | Z = z_i)}$$
 (7)

The estimation (7) is the inverse-propensity-score weighted mean of $f(y_i)$.

Figure 1: The Causal Graph

