

# MATH205A: Measure Theory

September 14, 2020

## 1 Lecture 1

### 1.1 Motivation

**Motivation of this course** is to define a notion of *length* on subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

1.  $length([a, b]) = b - a$ .
2. (countable additivity)  $length(\bigcup^\infty A_i) = \sum^\infty length(A_i)$  where  $A_i$ 's are disjoint.
3. (translation invariance) for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $length(A + a) = length(A)$ .

**Fact 1.1.** it is impossible to construct such length for all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* This proof shows it is impossible to construct a notion of length on  $[0, 1]$  with desired properties.

For  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , define an equivalence relation as  $x \sim y \iff x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . By the axiom of choice, we may construct a set  $A$  containing exactly one element from each equivalence class of  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Obviously,  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ .

For each  $r \in [-1, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $A_r := A + r$ , and  $A_r \subseteq [-1, 2]$ . By translation invariance,  $length(A_r) = length(A)$ . Note that for any  $y \in [0, 1]$ , there exists some  $x \in A$  such that  $x \sim y$ , therefore,  $y \in A_{y-x} \subseteq \bigcup_r A_r$ . Hence,  $[0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_r A_r$ .

If the notion of length satisfies countable additivity,  $length(\bigcup_r A_r)$  is either zero or infinity, which leads to a contradiction. ■

**Lebesgue's Resolution:** we only defines length for a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ , which contains *everything that may ever arrive in practice*, i.e.,  $\sigma$ -algebras.

### 1.2 Algebras and $\sigma$ -algebra

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set, a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called an **algebra** if

1.  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
2.  $A \in \mathcal{A} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
3.  $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Consequently: (1)  $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ ; (2)  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A} \implies \bigcup_i A_i, \bigcap_i A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  (easily shown by induction); (3)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a set, a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra if

1.  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
2.  $A \in \mathcal{A} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
3.  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A} \implies \bigcup_i^\infty A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 1.1** (trivial examples). The power set of  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ ;  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

**Example 1.2** (finite/co-finite algebra). Let  $X$  be an infinite set and  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of subsets  $A$  such that either  $A$  is finite or  $A^c$  is finite.  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra.

*Proof.*  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $X^c = \emptyset$  is finite. For a  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , if  $X$  is finite, then  $X^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $X$  is infinite,  $X^c$  is finite and  $X^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , if both  $A$  and  $B$  are finite,  $A \cup B$  is finite and in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $A$  is finite and  $B$  is co-finite, then  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c \subseteq B^c$  is finite. If both  $A$  and  $B$  are co-finite,  $(A \cup B)^c$  is finite so that  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ . ■

Note the  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra if  $X$  is infinite: take distinct points  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the union of them is neither finite or co-finite, and therefore not in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 1.3** (countable/co-countable  $\sigma$ -algebra). The collection of subsets  $A \subseteq X$ , such that either  $A$  is countable or  $A^c$  is countable, forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Example 1.4.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all finite disjoint unions of half-open intervals (i.e., sets like  $(a, b], (-\infty, b], (a, \infty)$ ),  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra. (Not working for open intervals).

**Example 1.5** (counter example). Let  $X$  be an infinite set,  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of finite subsets of  $X$ . Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  is not an algebra.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set and  $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be an arbitrary (not necessarily countable) collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras, then  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}_i$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof.* Since  $X \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  ■

**Corollary 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a set, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is an arbitrary collection of subsets of  $X$ , then  $\exists!$  smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  containing  $\mathcal{P}$ . That is, for any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as the  $\sigma$ -algebra **generated by**  $\mathcal{P}$ , denoted as  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\mathcal{P}$ , the power set of  $X$  is obviously a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then we can take  $\mathcal{A}$  as the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $\mathcal{P}$ . ■

### 1.3 Borel $\sigma$ -algebra

**Definition 1.3.** The **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra** of  $\mathbb{R}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the set of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Fact 1.2.**  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is generated by the collection of all closed intervals as well.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all closed intervals. Any open interval can be written as a countable union of closed sets:  $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + 1/n, b - 1/n]$ , therefore  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

Similarly,  $[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - 1/n, b + 1/n)$ , hence  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra contains all closed sets. Therefore,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . ■

**Fact 1.3.**  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is generated by

1. all open sets,
2. all closed sets,
3. all half-open intervals.

**Example 1.6** (counter example).  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is not generated by the collection of singletons.

*Proof.* ■

**Definition 1.4.** The Borel algebra of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by

1. all open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,
2. all closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,
3. all closed cubes (regions) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :  $\prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$ .

### 1.4 Measures

**Definition 1.5.** For a set  $X$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$ , the pair  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is called a **measurable space**.

**Definition 1.6.** A **measure**  $\mu$  on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a map  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that

1.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,
2.  $\mu(\bigcup_i^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_i^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$  for disjoint sequence  $(A_i)$

For now, we don't require the translation invariance property.

The triple  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a **measure space**.

**Example 1.7** (counting measure).

**Example 1.8** (point-mass measure).

**Proposition 1.2.** A measure  $\mu$  possesses the following basic properties:

1. (Monotonicity)  $A \subseteq B \implies \mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .
2. (Sub-additivity)  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ .

*Proof.*

■